# THE ONSET OF STUTTERING

# Research Findings and Implications

# Wendell Johnson and Associates

RICHARD M. BOEHMLER
W. GRANT DAHLSTROM
FREDERIC L. DARLEY
LEONARD D. GOODSTEIN
JOSEPH A. ROOLS
JAMES N. NEELLEY

WILLIAM F. FRATHER DOROTHY SHERMAN CAROLYN G. THURMAN WILLIAM D. TROTTER DEAN WILLIAMS MARTIN A. YOUNG

MLSU - CENTRAL LIBRARY

UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA PRESS, Minneapolis

# © Copyright 1959 by the University of Minnesota

Printed in the United States of America at the Iones Press, Minneapolis

Library of Congress Catalog Card Number 59-8775

Pablished in Great Britain, India, and Pakistan by the Oxford University Press, London, Bombay, and Karachi and in Canada by Thomas Allen, Ltd., Toronto

# Preface

The program of research reported in this volume consisted of three related investigations - designated for convenience of reference as Studies I. II, and III - conducted from 1934 to 1957. The institutional settings and sponsorship of this research are described in detail in the text and footnotes of Chapter 1. The investigations were carried out at the University of Iowa and Study III was done with the cooperation of the University of Minnesota and Northwestern University. The investigative procedures employed are also described in Chapter 1: the findings are presented in the Summary Table in Appendix A and in Chapters 2-8, and they are summarized in Chapter 9; the conclusions are developed in Chapter 10. A brief résumé is to be found at the end of Chapter 10. The full reports of Studies I and II, abstracted in Chapters 1 and 2 of this volume, are to be found in Chapters 3 and 4 of Stuttering in Children and Adults: Thirty Years of Research at the University of Iowa, edited by the writer with the assistance of Ralph M. Leutenegger, and published in 1955 by the University of Minnesota Press

The efforts represented by this book have been shared by many persons. The names of those who contributed most substantially to the research and the writing of the report are listed opposite the title page. Frederic L. Darley served as a consultant and supervised the training of the interviewers in Study II; moreover, he did Study II, as doctoral research for the most part, and a considerable portion of Chapter 2 has been taken from his previously published account of that investigation. Richard Bochmler served for two years as work supervisor of Study III; he also did a considerable number of interviews, collected and analyzed a large proportion of the children's speech samples that are discussed in Chapter 8, carried out much of the statistical processing of the data, and prepared a preliminary draft of certain parts of Chapters 1, 3, 4, 5, 8, 4nd 8. Carolyn Gustafson Thurman was an interviewer throughout Study III, assisted with the

training and supervising of other interviewers, and checked the coding of interview data for IBM punching. Other interviewers in Study III were Beulah Rohrlich, Janet Way, Aviva Epstein, Bernard Stoll, and Charles Robins. Ralph Leutenegger assisted in securing subjects for Study III.

William D. Trotter served as work supervisor of Study III during the period when preliminary drafts of the report were being prepared. Dorothy Sherman was a consultant for Study III. served as the major statistical adviser, and gave a considerable part of the manuscript a critical reading. Don Lewis contributed valuable counsel concerning statistical treatment of data in Study II, and this advice was followed also in Study III, Martin A. Young did approximately a third of the follow-up interviews reported in Chapter 6, checked statistical operations, personally carried out a substantial share of the processing of the data, prepared the table in Appendix B, and served as a statistical consultant, James N. Neelley did the larger share of the follow-up interviews and assisted the writer clinically and administratively in ways that made the preparation of the report possible. W. Grant Dahlstrom and Leonard D. Goodstein served successively as psychological consultants for Study III and were responsible for the part of the research reported in Chapter 7. Dean Williams spent much time with the writer in discussion of the clinical and theoretical implications of the data, read the manuscript critically, and contributed importantly to the point of view employed in interpretation of the findings.

Forrest Lee Brissey, James V. Frick, and Dean Williams assisted in the preliminary work in refining the procedure employed in the recording of children's speech samples and in making some of the recordings used in the present study, and Joseph Kools analyzed a considerable proportion of these speech samples and prepared drafts of the tables and certain portions of related material utilized in writing Chapter 8. Robert Duffy also assisted with analysis of the speech samples.

Consultants for Study III, in addition to James F. Curtis, Frederic L. Darley, Leonard D. Goodstein, Dorothy Sherman, and D. C. Spriestersbach of the University of Iowa, were W. Grant Dahlstrom and Earl D. Schubert, then of the University of Iowa; Spencer F. Brown, M.D., then of the University of Minnesota; Bryng Bryngelson and Ernest H. Henrikson of the University of Minnesota; and Charles Elliott, then of Northwestern University. Administrative work of major importance was performed by James F. Curtis, head of the Department of Speech Pathology and Audiology, University of Iowa, since 1950, and D. C. Spriestershach, who rendered a particularly valuable administrative service in relation to the organization and early development of Study

III. Jayne Zeman and Phyllis Irwin gave essential administrative assistance during most of the period in which the report was being drafted.

Expressions of appreciation for assistance in locating subjects for the three investigations are due particularly to the following: the late W. A. WinterStein, then director of the Division of Special Education of the Iowa State Department of Public Instruction: Spencer F. Brown M.D., then of the Department of Pediatrics, University Hospital. Bryng Bryngelson, professor of speech, Ernest H. Henrikson, director of the Speech Clinic, and E. W. Ziebarth, then head of the department of Speech, all of the University of Minnesota: Harold Westlake, director of the Speech Clinic, and Charles Elliott, then of the Speech Clinic staff, both of Northwestern University; Margaret Hall Powers, director of the Bureau of Physically Handicapped Children and Division of Speech Correction, Chicago, Illinois, Public Schools: Bulard Garner, superintendent, Iowa City, Iowa, Public Schools: Roger Lienke, then director of the Iowa State Services for Crippled Children, Iowa City; R. R. Remboldt, director of the University Hospital School for Severely Handicapped Children, Iowa City: Boyd McCandless, director, and Orvis C. Irwin and Ruth Underraff of the staff of the Iowa Child Welfare Research Station, University of Iowa; and many other interested colleagues and friends.

William F. Prather performed an extraordinary amount of work in checking tabulations and computations, particularly those represented in the Summary Table in Appendix A, and in assisting with the analysis of the data. A number of the tables were prepared with the help of Eileen Seigel. Carol Chinn Strange contributed impressively to the assembling of the Summary Table in Appendix A and did a major share of the typing of some of the drafts of the manuscript. Christina Sturdevant assisted with typing and performed certain of the tabulations in the preparation of the Summary Table.

Phyllis Irwin carried major responsibility for typing the final draft and readying the manuscript for the publisher. Special acknowledgment is made of the extraordinary craftsmanship displayed by Mrs. Irwin in preparing the special typescript used for reproducing the extensive tabular materials in the appendixes. Margaret Seemuth also did a considerable part of the typing, and others who assisted with preparation of the manuscript included Marjorie Albee, Ann Collins Laursen, Beverly Johnson, Barbara Schindler, Christine Holloway, Beulah Rohrlich, Dorothy Becker, Catherine Cheyne, Claryce Uhl, Marilyn Veglahn, and Barbara Miller. Phyllis Irwin, Beverly Johnson, Linda Jean Hill Eberline, Ann Collins Laursen, De Ann Osmundson,

and Bonnie McConnell assisted with proofreading and preparation of the index.

To all whose names have been mentioned, to the parents and children who served as subjects and informants, to the many students and professional colleagues in addition to those named who were helpful in countless ways, a deeply sincere expression of appreciation is ex-

tended.

In the full reports of Studies I and II in Stuttering in Children and Adults: Thirty Years of Research at the University of Josea, acknowledgments are made of the support and assistance of persons who contributed to those phases of the total program. The Foreword and Chapter I of that book contain a historical account of the stuttering research program at the University of Iowa in which there is a calling of the roll of the persons, so far as they are known to the writer, who were responsible for the initiation, several decades ago, of the research adventure in the course of which this investigation of the onset of the problem of stuttering earne to be made.

Substantial portions of Chapters 3 and 4 of Stuttering in Children and Adults are reprinted or summarized in Chapters 1 and 2 of this book by arrangement with the University of Minnesota Press. Appleton-Century-Crofts granted permission to adapt in Chapter 1 a passage from Handbook of Speech Pathology, 1956, edited by Lee Edward Travis, and Harper and Brothers granted permission to reproduce in Chapter 3 a passage from Speech Handicapped School Children, revised edition, 1956. Chapter 7 is reprinted, in extended form and with editorial adaptations, from the Journal of Consulting Psychology, with the permission of the American Psychological Association, Passages from "Listener Evaluations of Speech Interruptions" by Dean E. Williams and Louise R. Kent, are reprinted in Chapter 10, with the permission of the American Speech and Hearing Association, The cooperation of these publishers is acknowledged with gratitude. The writer is also pleased to thank the publishers and authors who have granted permission to quote or adapt material from sources specified in the relevant footnotes.

John Ervin, Jr., Jeanne Sinnen, and Janet Salisbury, of the University of Minnesota Press, exercised degrees of competence, skill in communication, and patience and understanding that transformed the labor attendant upon publication of this book into a pleasant and rewarding experience.

The research of Study III, and the publication of Stuttering in Children and Adults, and of the present volume, have been made possible by grants from the Louis W. and Maud Hill Family Foundation.

#### Preface

The enlightened interest and support of A. A. Heckman, executive director, and the members of the Board of the Foundation are respectfully and eratefully acknowledged.

This attempt to indicate backgrounds and to acknowledge collaboration and assistance is, of course, both heartening and sobering. Like any other such undertaking, it must end by making wholly clear that in searching for the sources of one's evolving questions and ever-emerging answers, one moves in a gathering company along a trail that winds its many-visted way from the fantax of I to the reality of We.

winds its many-vistact way from the fantasy of 1 to the reality of We.

And in the present reality of We there are places that are special
for Edna and Katy Lou and for Nick and Karen and Julie.

WENDELL JOHNSON

Iowa City August 1958

# Contents

I	PROBLEM, PLAN, AND PURPOSE	
	Part One. The Earlier Studies	
٤	MAIN FINDINGS OF STUDIES I AND II	3
	Part Two. Study III	
3	THE CHILDREN	49
4	THE PARENTS	7-
5	THE PROBLEM	117
6	THE EARLIER AND LATER STUTTERINGS	139
7	PARENTAL RESPONSES TO THE MMPI	181
8	ANALYSIS OF RECORDED SPEECH SAMPLES	190
	Part Three. Summary and Conclusions	
9	SUMMARY	223
10	CONCLUSIONS	236
RE	FERENCES	267
IN.	DEX	273
Ap	pendix A. SUMMARY TABLE	

Appendix B. STATISTICALLY SIGNIFICANT VALUES OF CHI-SQUARE, TABLES 2 THROUGH 87, AND ASSOCI-ATED DEGREES OF FREEDOM

## LIST OF TABLES

I. Sections of the Interview Outline and Number of Questions in Studies II and III 21	
2. Items Concerning Birth on Which Mothers of Experimental and Control Group Children Differed	
Items Concerning Physical Development on Which Experimental and Control Group Children Differed	
4. Mean Ages for Certain Physical Achievements	
5. Items Concerning Health and Physical Status on Which Experimental and Control Group Children Differed 57	
6. Number of Illnesses of Children	
7. Items Concerning Speech Development and Speech Status on Which Experimental and Control Group Children Differed 61	
8. Ages of First Words and Sentences 62	
9. Items Concerning Social Development on Which Experimental and Control Group Children Differed 64	ŀ
10. Ages of Parents at Marriage, Birth of Child, and Interview 75	,
11. Geographical Areas of Residence	5
12. Items pertaining to Socioeconomic Status and Education of Parents on Which Experimental and Control Groups Differed	7
13. Items Concerning Social Attitudes and Adjustments on Which Experimental and Control Group Parents Differed	9
14. Items Concerning Marital Relationships on Which Experimental and Control Group Parents Differed	30
15. Items Concerning Social Home Environment on Which Experimental and Control Group Parents Differed	BS
16. Items Pertaining to Speech Attitudes and Characteristics on	В
17. Items Concerning Parental Standards for Child Development	0

18.	Ages at Which Parents Believed Children Should Achieve Various Abilities	9(
19.	Items Pertaining to Disciplinary Practices on Which Experimental and Control Groups Differed	)1
20.	Number of Activities for Which Children Were Punished	9
21.	Intragroup Comparisons Involving Evaluation of Differences between Fathers and Mothers	75
22.	Items Concerning Birth and Early Development on Which Mothers and Fathers Differed	G
23.	Items Pertaining to Social Development on Which Mothers and Fathers Differed	7
24.	Items Concerning Socioeconomic Status, Physical Home Environment, Education, and Religion on Which Mothers and Fathers Differed . 9	s
25.	Items Pertaining to Social Attitudes and Adjustments on Which Mothers and Fathers Differed	9
26.	Items Pertaining to Marital Relationship on Which Mothers and Fathers Differed . 100	3
27.	Items Concerning Social Home Environment on Which Mothers and Fathers Differed 104	5
28.	Items Pertaining to Family Health on Which Mothers and Fathers Differed 103	3
29.	Items Pertaining to Parents' Attitudes toward Child's Development on Which Mothers and Fathers Differed	
<b>30.</b>	Items Pertaining to Speech Attitudes and Characteristics on Which Mothers and Fathers Differed . 100	:
31.	Items Pertaining to Disciplinary Attitudes and Practices on Which Mothers and Fathers Differed 107	
<b>52.</b>	Items Pertaining to Parents' Attitudes Regarding Child's In- telligence and School Record on Which Mothers and Fathers Differed	
33.	Interview Reactions and Procedures with Respect to Which Mothers and Fathers Differed 108	

34. Data Pertaining to Age, Circumstances, and Nature of Stuttering/Nonfluency at Onset
35. Data Pertaining to Development of Stuttering/Nonfluency Following Onset with Respect to Which Mothers and Fathers Differed
<ol> <li>Items Pertaining to Parental Attitudes Regarding Stutter- ing/Nonfluency on Which Mothers and Fathers Differed 109</li> </ol>
37. Items with Respect to Which Intergroup Intragroup Differences Were Significant
38. Percentages Reporting Repetitions and Other Nonfluencies 134
39. Significance Levels for Differences Shown in Table 38 135
40. Percentages Reporting Nonfluencies in Response to Specific Questions
41. Significance Levels for Intragroup Differences Shown in Tables 3S and 40
42. Significance Levels for Intergroup Differences Shown in Tables 38 and 40
43. Items Concerning Speech Reactions Associated with Onset of Stuttering or Nonfluencies on Which Experimental and Con- trol Group Parents Differed
44. Percentages of Responses Indicative of Unusual Muscular Tension or Irritation
45. Number of Responses by Each Parent Indicative of Unusual Muscular Tension or Concern
46. Percentages of Parents Whose Responses to 243-248 Agreed with Self-Formulated Statements on Tension
47. Percentages of Parents Reporting the Child Showed No Tension at Various Times 148
48. Percentages of Parents Whose Responses to 264-273 Agreed with Self-Formulated Statement That Nothing Was Said to the Child
49. Percentages of Parents Whose Responses to 276-283 Agreed

	with Self-Formulated Statement That Nothing Was Said the Child about His Stuttering	to . 151
<b>5</b> 0.	Percentages of Parents Expressing Concern or Shame	. 153
51.	Items Pertaining to Parents' Attitudes toward Stuttering on Which Experimental and Control Group Parents Differed	, 154
52.	Items Concerning the Development of Stuttering on Whic Control and Experimental Group Parents Differed	h 157
53.	Scores of Parents on Iowa Scale of Attitude toward Stutterin	g 160
54,	Items on Which Experimental Subgroup Mothers Differed	. 162
55.	Data on Responses to 343 and 344	165
56.	Data Obtained in Response to Follow-up Question 1	171
57.	Follow-up Ratings of Speech Problem by Experimental Group Parents	171
58.	Summary of Observations of Children's Speech by Interviewers in Follow-up Study	171
59.	Data Derived from Follow-up Ratings of Severity of Stuttering	172
ao.	Values of $t$ and Chi-Square for Differences between Mean Values Shown in Table $59$	176
61.	Data Concerning Designated Group Differences Obtained in Follow-up Study	178
62.	MMPI Scores of Parents	169
63.	Number of Parents Who Presented Their Highest Scores on Each MMPI Scale	189
61.	Number of Parents Who Presented Their Second Highest Scores on Each MMPI Scale	100
65.	Ages of Subjects from Whom Tape-Recorded Speech Samples Were Obtained	199
66.	Differences between Age of Onset of Stuttering and Age at Time When Speech Samples Were Tape Recorded	199

67. Mean Number of Words and Related Measures of Size of Speech Sample for Male and Female Experimental and Control Group Subjects
68. Pearson Product Moment Coefficients of Correlation between Counts of Units and Instances of Types of Nonfluency Made by Two Observers
69. Frequency Indexes of Nonfluency for Male Subjects 206
70. Frequency Indexes of Nonfluency for Female Subjects 207
<ol> <li>Mean Differences between Nonfluency Frequency Indexes of Male and Female Control Group Subjects</li></ol>
72. Mean Differences between Nonfluency Frequency Indexes of Male and Female Experimental Group Subjects 209
73. Mean Differences between Nonfluency Frequency Indexes of Male Experimental and Control Group Subjects 210
74. Mean Differences between Nonfluency Frequency Indexes for Female Experimental and Control Group Subjects 211
75. Average Percentage of the Nonfluencies Classified in Each Category
76. Mean Number of Units per Instance of Nonfluency in Each of Four Categories
77. Mean Differences between Indexes of Extent of Nonfluency for Male and Female Control Group Subjects
<ol> <li>Mean Differences between Indexes of Extent of Nonfluency for Male and Female Experimental Group Subjects 213</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>Mean Differences between Indexes of Extent of Nonfluency for Male Experimental and Control Group Subjects 213</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>Mean Differences between Indexes of Extent of Nonfluency for Female Experimental and Control Group Subjects 214</li> </ol>

## CHAPTER 1

# Problem, Plan, and Purpose

The story of stuttering may be viewed as a sort of miniature history of human thought. Engrossing as is the problem of stuttering itself, it scarcely equals, as a source of fascination, the thinking about it that has been carried on since the earliest recorded references to it. In this, as in many another contest with mystery, men have persuaded themselves nearly always to prefer the contentment of honored belief to the adventure of disciplined doubt.

The opening chapters of the story have never been found, and the trustworthiness of possible conjecture concerning them is limited. So it is that in our attempt to understand the origins of stuttering we are hindered, as we are in trying to discover and to comprehend the other facets of humanity's early stirrings. We cannot be certain, first of all, that stuttering, as we understand the word's meaning, was known to those comparatively modern folk whom we in the Western world call the ancients - the Greeks and other Mediterranean peoples in the few centuries before and after the beginnings of the Christian era. Recent exploration of this matter has yielded information that serves to alert sensitive and discriminating investigators to the possibility, if not indeed the likelihood, of variations in the notions and the facts represented by terms such as "stuttering" and "speech impediment" from language to language and from age to age.\* There are other reasons also, such as lack of adequate records and apparent inconsistencies in available documents, for very considerable restraint in drawing conclusions as to what the relevant historical facts may have been,

A dramatic example of the need for alertness to ambiguity in cur-

<sup>&</sup>quot;See John J. Morgantern, "Perchological and Social Factors in Children's Rammering," a Ph.D. dusertation completed at the University of Eduburyh, 1935, under the direction of Professor Journal that the statering problem may be, to some digres at reviewed by Morganter Journal that the statering problem may be, to some digres at the problem of the p

rent versions of ancient events is seen in the story of Demosthenes. It has long been a part of our accepted historical lore that Demosthenes stammered or stuttered as a youth, and overcame his impediment of speech by standing on the seashore and, with pebbles under his tongue, shouting above the roar of the waves. By these heroic means, so legend has it, he achieved the fluency and skill, if not the wisdom, he later displayed to the undying glory of Athens as the greatest orator among the Greeks. And although the legend seems unlikely to lose favor after its long career of distinguished service in the cause of human inspiration, such evidence as modern scholarship has yielded appears to indicate that Demosthenes lisped and was concerned with improving his breath control but probably did not stutter as we understand the term. Certainly he underwent very considerable training, which involved speaking before mirrors, breathing exercises of various sorts, and running uphill - or something like a present-day prize fighter's "road work." Moreover, his education and his native endowments were considerable, and it seems clear that his rise to oratorical heights is to be attributed to many influences in addition to any effects he may have gained from pebbles used orally or otherwise."

#### Traditional Vierra

If we are content to base our thinking principally upon the more adequate knowledge of the past few centuries, we may reasonably hazard the general proposition that in the main those concerned with stuttering have attributed it to some alleged flaw or other in either the soma or the psyche of the speaker. In doing so they have made use of what we may most reasonably take to be the traditional pattern of human thought, at least in Western culture. This pattern may be represented quite simply by the form "A causes B." It is a pattern that we use with the ease, and so with the relative thoughtlessness, of thoroughly accustomed habit. As basically folk thinking it represents in varying degrees the kind of thinking we do whenever we do not think overly much about the thinking we do.

Applied to the problem of stuttering, it prompts us to say that there is a something (A) which exists within or as a part of the physical body, or the psyche or personality, of a human being, and

<sup>\*</sup>George R. R. Pálsum, "The Voice Training of the Orstors in Antiquity up to the Time of Quintilina" (expediblated R.D. dissertation, Cornell University, 1921), a translation tone the German of Krumbucher S 198 Simmbidium Der Rödner Balterson Bus Ad Die Zeit Quintilina (Raderborn F. Schöningh, 1920). The statement teads here is based, as the Control of the Contr

which causes, or manifests itself as, stuttering (B). B, the stuttering, is assumed in this way of thinking to be a symptom or sign of A, whatever A may be. And whatever A may be to magnised to be, it is presented as though it were essentially constant, as though it were separate and distinct from B, and presumably, as usually set forth, as though it were unfected by B. There exists, that is to say, a one-way relationship between A and B. Meanwhile, the antecedents, or origins, or causes of A are either not acknowledged or are vaguely indicated as residing in some sort of alleged genetic predisposition, or tissue deficiency, or pathology, or neurotic motivation, or in an environment that is presumably inadequate or disturbing in some loosely designated, or unidentified, respects.

Meanwhile, the phenomena that are alleged to be the effects of this presumably unvarying and constant cause are themselves intermittent and consnicuously variable. Moreover, these effects (B) are observed to have effects of their own, and the question arises necessarily as to the means by which the supposed cause (A) might conceivably be isolated from the consequences of its own presumed effects. In regarding stuttering as a symptom of a neurosis, for example, it is, we may assume, logically necessary to maintain a clear distinction between what is taken to be stuttering and what is to be viewed as neurosis; to verify the stuttering as an effect of the neurosis, while establishing the neurosis as basic to, rather than as fully or partially a consequence of the stuttering; and to account for observed variations in the effect, stuttering, by reference to variations in the cause, the neurosis -- if. indeed, such a neurosis, and such variations of it, are to be observed. That is to say, should variations in the neurosis, if any, be noted, their explanatory significance would necessarily depend upon their correspondence to variations in the stuttering. Whether or not such correspondence were to be demonstrated, the variations in the neurosis would need to be accounted for by reference to a presumably more basic cause, variations in which would need to be explained, in turn. by reference to a cause still more basic, and so on without annarent end. Otherwise, since it is to be granted that a varying effect may not be accounted for by reference to an unvarying cause, the theory "A causes B," in the specified form "neurosis causes stuttering," is necessarily to be rejected, as is any other specified form of the "A causes B" sort of theory, such as "an inherited predisposition causes stuttering," that is not logically defensible.\*

<sup>\*</sup> These comments are adapted with permission of Appleton-Century-Crofts from the author's fuller statement on the theory of stuttering in Johnson (59).

Generally speaking, particular hypotheses derived from this basic pattern have "explained" stuttering, as has been indicated, as evidence of either a fault in the physical structure of the speaker or a flaw in the speaker's personality. Either explanation is a pointing toward the speaker, rather than the listener, or the interaction between listener and speaker, as the site of the trouble and of the factors functionally related to it. Viewed closely, either explanation appears, in fact, to identify the problem of stuttering as one involving speech considered as an expressive, even a sheer motor, process. A growing body of research findings (59, 61, 62, 127), including a considerable proportion of those reported in this book, implies meanwhile that the problem involves an interrelation between speaker and auditor. The problem would appear to concern not only speaking but listening as well, and in the interaction between these two processes, as they are carried on by one and the same person, or by two or more persons, the ways of perceiving and evaluating the speaking that is done would seem to be functionally related to the manner in which it is done.

The essential purpose of the present investigation has been to gather substantially more of the facts to be explained before yielding further to the manifestly human compulsion to make explanations. The research has been motivated, in part, by an uneasy feeling that in our traditional attempts to explain to each other the stuttering we have not understood - to adapt Professor Robert Oppenheimer's humbling phrase - we have tended to divorce our desire to explain from our curiosity about what there is, in fact, to be understood. The attempt has accordingly been made in the present research to minimize, or to make explicit, any preconceptions with respect to what the facts to be explained ought to be-to take as little for granted as possible concerning, for example, what children who come to be regarded as stutterers are like otherwise, and what sorts of parents or homes they have, or concerning what it is that children do in speaking or in other aspects of their behavior that others or the children themselves regard as stuttering, or concerning the circumstances under which certain children come to be thought of as stutterers.

#### Basic Questions

This research has been addressed to these basic questions:

What firsthand descriptions are made of whatever it is that is alleged to be the problem of stuttering at the point in time at which it is said to be beginning or to have begun?

From what specific informants are such firsthand descriptions of the beginnings of the problem obtainable?

When, with reference to the ages of such persons as are said to be stuttering or to have begun to stutter, did the alleged problem of stuttering begin?

What accounts are obtainable concerning the circumstances under which the problem of stuttering reportedly arose in given cases?

What reactions were and are made by the person who is said to be stuttering or by others, particularly that person's parents, to the alleged stuttering?

What descriptive accounts are obtainable concerning the development or course of the problem of stuttering, as reported, in relation to presumably relevant circumstances?

Are there differences, discernible by means of the methods used, between children regarded as stutterers and children not so regarded? Are there differences, discernible by means of the methods used,

Are there differences, discernible by means of the methods used, between parents who regard their children as stutterers and parents who do not so regard their children?

These questions are worded with an eye to the distinction to be made between events and reports of events - to the differences, that is, between descriptive accounts and inferences derived from them, on the one hand, and whatever they are presumably accounts of and inferences about, on the other. It may seem too obvious to mention, and yet it is much too important to overlook, that in this book, as in any Other, what are presented are necessarily reports of, statements about, and inferences concerning some alleged events, rather than the observed or observable events themselves. Confronted with words only. we may not avoid a varying degree of uncertainty as to what the facts are, or were, to which the words presumably refer. We were as painstaking as we knew how to be at the time in phrasing our questions, in presenting them to the informants, and in recording the informants' responses. Such confidence as this may afford the reader is to be tempered by the realization that there is a provocative similarity between a person's response to his own past - or to his own child's past -and his response to a Rorschach ink blot, for example. Just as in part he describes the ink blot and in part he describes that which he himself projects into the ink blot, so in recounting his own or his child's history a person tells partly fact and for the rest an account compounded of wish and dread, of schooled assumption and inadvertent belief, of sheer confusion and imagination, of memory both too sparse and too abundant. An appreciation of this would seem to be essential to an evaluation of its probable importance in specific instances. This precaution indicated, we may proceed in accordance with it to examine the report to be made of the present investigation.

## Studies I, II, and III

The research under consideration has extended from 1934 to the present writing and has involved three studies, which will be designated for convenience of reference in this report by means of Romanumerals I, II, and III.\* The first of these, Study I, was carried on from 1934 to 1940 and was reported by Johnson in condensed form in 1942 (57) and in full in 1955 (62, pp. 37-73). It was a study of forty-six allegedly stuttering children and forty-six allegedly nonstuttering children of essentially like sex, age, and intelligence level, and of the parents of these children. Interviews with parents were the chief source of data.

In this initial study there were thirty-two male and fourteen female stutterers and thirty-three male and thirteen female nonstutterers. The ages of the stutterers ranged from two years, two months, twenty-three days to nine years, three months, twelve days, with a median of four years, two months, the ages of the nonstutterers ranged from two years, two months, twenty-four days to nine years, ten months, one day, with a median of four years, five months, sixteen days. The stutterers IQ's ranged from 80 to 150, with a median of 114; the non-stutterers IQ's ranged from 95 to 158, with a median of 116; Tau Study I socioeconomic class was not controlled; the socioeconomic status of the nonstuttering group was possibly slightly higher than that of the stuttering group, and it may most reasonably be estimated that both groups were drawn predominantly from the middle class.

\* In planning the various phases of this research, we have attempted as a matter of course to benefit from work previously reported, particularly that of Depert (97, 83). Johnson (69), LaFollette (71), Moneur (84), Wood (189), and Hood, Shaak, and Williamon (183). These are among the invertigations most reternant to the purposes and procedures of the present research that were available at the time this research was formulated. More recent work, including that published in the Journal of Speech and Henrisy Disorders and elsewhere while this book was being prepared for publication, has been taken into consideration concerning current studies, as yet unpublished, has been taken into consideration so far as possible. In a less highly specific but yet significant sense much of the general body of published work dealing with stutering and related or similar problems, and with investigative procedure, particularly the methodology of case study, is relevant to a consideration of the problems and objectives of the present research, and the attempt has been made to use pertinent publications and information of whatever now.

the testing instillence of the statteres the following tests were used: Stanford Revision of the Binet-Simon Intelligence Scale, 19th and 1957, Porma L and M; Mineseta Preschool Scale; Kuldmann Bevision of the Binet-Simon Intelligence Scale; Kuldmann, Alerbon Givony Intelligence Test; Finter Non-Language Primary Mental Test; Otta Chandisation Test (Form A. for grades 4 to 8); and Detroit Advisord Test; Otta Chandisation Test (Form A. for grades 4 to 8); and Detroit Advisord to 80; and Detroit Advisord Testing Comparison of the 1957 edition of the Stanford Testing Testing Comparison of the 1957 edition of the Stanford Testing Comparison of the 1957 edition of the 1957 edition of approximate proup description and comparison.

Out of this original study a further stage of investigation was developed and this was carried forward from 1948 to 1932, primarily as a doctoral dissertation by Darley (24, pp. 74-153). This investigation, Study II, involved fifty children who were regarded by their parents as stutterers and fifty children of essentially like sex, age, and socio-conomic level of family who were not so regarded by their parents. In each case the mother and father were interviewed independently by means of a comprehensive 846-item interview in essential accordance with the interviewing principles and procedure developed by Kinsey (67), with responses coded for purposes of quantitative analysis.

All the subjects in Study II, as in Study I, were Caucasian. In each group in Study II there were thirty-nine boys and eleven girls, Matching with reference to chronological age was effected within the limits of a maximal discrepancy of six months. Ages of the stutterers ranged from two years, four months to fourteen years, four months, with a mean of eight years, eight months; ages of the nonstutterers ranged from two years, two months to fourteen years, with a mean of nine years. The two groups of families were matched in socioeconomic status, and of the 100 families in both groups 38 per cent were classified as lower-middle, 38 per cent as upper-middle, and 4 per cent as upper class, with only 20 per cent in the classes designated as upperlower (16 per cent) and lower-lower (2 per cent).\* Of Darley's fifty stuttering children forty-six were given the Revised Stanford-Binet Scale, Form L, and four were given the Wechsler-Bellevue Intelligence Scale, Form I; the distribution of I.Q.'s of these fifty subjects was compared with that of the general population as reported by Wechsler (118). The chi-square test did not indicate a statistically significant difference between the two distributions. The stutterers' I.O.'s ranged from 54 to 162; 56 per cent were between 92 and 115. 26 per cent were higher than 115, and 18 per cent were lower than 92. Darley found it impractical to administer intelligence tests to his control subjects. More detailed data from Study II are to be found in the Summary Table in Appendix A.

Study III, which has not been reported previously, was conducted during the period from 1952 to 1957, and included an additional 150 allegedly stuttering children, matched as in the second study for sex, age, and socioeconomic status of the family. In each group 107, or 71 per cent, were boys and 43, or 29 per cent, were girls. This sex ratio, approximately 2.5 to 1, is nearly identical with the distribution of 70 per cent male to 30 per cent fe-

<sup>\*</sup> Use was made of the classificatory system presented by Warner, Meeker, and Eels in Social Class in America (117).

male subjects in the stuttering group in Study I. It is a bit below that which obtained in Study II, in which 78 per cent were boys and 22 per cent were girls, slightly more than 3.5 to 1. All these figures are a bit below the modal value, approximately 4 to 1, of the ratios summarized by Studil (97).

The experimental group in Study III ranged in age from 27 to 96 months at the time the mother was interviewed. The mean age was 599 months, or approximately five years. The control group, matched for age with the experimental group, ranged in age from 28 to 103 months, with a mean age of 609 months. The interview developed for Study II, somewhat revised, was used in interviewing fathers and mothers independently. The interview, in the form in which it was used in Study III, is reproduced in Appendix A; items used in Study III are not included in the Summary Table, and the differences between the two versions of the instrument in the wording of specific questions are indicated. The number of mothers and of fathers who gave each specified response to each item in Study II and in Study III, respectively, is shown in the Summary Table, except, as has been stated, for items used in Study III but not in Study III.

### Definitions of "Stutterer" and "Nonstutterer"

A fundamental decision that had to be made before this research program could be launched was concerned with the criteria to be used in differentiating "children who stutter? from "children who do not stutter." It is to be appreciated that there is no standard operational definition of "stuttering" or "stuttering" of "stuttering when we have a listener (who may be the speaker as well) concerning something the speaker is presumably doing or has done; the word "stuttering" is not unambiguously descriptive of the speaker's behavior. In view of the lack of clear procedural precedents for deciding how children are to be sorted into "stuttering" and "nonstuttering" groups and the importance of the bases on which this decision was made in these investigations, the exact relevant wordings employed in the two previously published reports are here reproduced. In the report of Study I this statement was made:

<sup>&</sup>quot;It will be noted that the age difference between the two addet children in the two propers are serious months. This was the only instance in which the matching for age was not within air months. The age difference of this probability of the month criterion because of a delay in interviewing the parents. The mean absolute difference in age between members of matched pairs was two months and eleven days, the critical of a month mean ages of the two propers was, as has been indicated, only three traits of a month.

Care was taken to avoid so far as possible the influence of any prejudices of the investigators with regard to the definitions of "stutterer" and "nonstutterer." Everything considered, the most defensible procedure appeared to be that of placing in the stuttering group children who were referred to the clinic as stutterers. The referral was accompanied in every case by a request for remedial advice and help. Care was taken never to suggest to parents or teachers that a given child was a stutterer when he had not been recognized as such by them. The original diagnosis of stuttering was never made by the investigators. The original diagnoses were made as follows: twenty-two cases by both parents more or less simultaneously so far as could be determined; fourteen by the mother only (the father, if living or residing in the home, subsequently concurred in the diagnosis in every instance); three by a preschool teacher; two by a kindergarten teacher; and one each by a grandmother, a first-grade teacher, a speech correction teacher, a neighbor, and a graduate student in the University of Iowa Department of Speech who was living in the home of one of the children.

A child was accepted as a nonstutterer only if the parents, teachers. and others associated with the child regarded him unquestionably as a nonstutterer. If anyone at all regarded a given child as a stutterer, that child was excluded from the nonstuttering group. That a child may have been regarded as a stutterer by someone at some time previous to this study was taken to be irrelevant. As a matter of fact. after the forty-six children had been selected as nonstutterers beyond the question of anyone who knew them at the time of the study, it was found that six of them had at some time been regarded by someone as stutterers. To the investigators it seemed that exclusion of these six children would have begged one of the questions under investigation. That is, the decision to exclude them would have implied that any child who did not stutter, but who had been suspected previously by someone of being a stutterer, was necessarily the same as a child who was definitely regarded as a stutterer at the time of the study - that "once a stutterer, always a stutterer." The fact that a nonstuttering child was at one time, but not at the time of the investigation, suspected of being a stutterer was taken to be simply part of the case history data concerning him, just as it was regarded as part of the case history data concerning a stuttering child that he was at one time, but not at the time of the study, regarded as a nonstutterer. The most legitimate conditions of our investigation anpeared to have been fulfilled when it was established that the nonstutterers were definitely classified as such by the persons intimately acquainted and concerned with them, and that they were approvimately matched with the stutterers as to chronological age, sex, and I.Q. (62, pp. 39-40) \*

"The statement also contains this indication of the reasoning employed: "Our intention was to sooid any "dualing of the data that might have resulted from formlating our extensional definitions of 'statterer' and 'nonstatterer' on the basis of any assumptions which, for purposes of this study, must be regarded as unestablished. The assumption that a 'nonstuterer' is an individual who has never been suspected of 'stuttering', or that appone who has ever been suspected by myone of being a

In the report of Study II the following statement appears:

For the purpose of this study, any child was considered a stutterer who (1) showed unmistakable anxiety-tension reactions in relation to his speech nonfluencies; or (2) had been or was currently regarded as a stutterer; or (3) declared himself to be a stutterer. These criteria have been discussed by Johnson.† Acceptance of a child as a case appropriate for this study did not, therefore, rest upon the requirement that he manifest tense nonfluencies in speech with accompanying anxiety. The fact that his family had been concerned enough about his nonfluencies to label them and to seek professional help was sufficient warrant for use of the case in the study.

Forty of the fifty stutterers were selected for this study as their parents brought them to the Iowa Outpatient Speech Clinic to obtain diagnosis and recommendations for therapy. Of all the stuttering cases brought to the clinic between June 1948 and July 1949, those children of fourteen years or under who were accompanied by both parents were used in the study. Of the remaining ten cases, five were found in the Des Moines public schools by a public school speech correctionist, and five came to the attention of field nurses of the Iowa State Services for Crippled Children, who arranged for them to come with their parents to field clinics held in various Iowa towns, where the inter-

views were conducted . . . .

Each of the fifty families in the experimental group was matched with a family having a child of like age and sex who did not stutter.

An age discrepancy of six months was allowed . . . A third basis was used for matching, namely, socioeconomic status of the child's family. . . . The instrument used to accomplish the desired matching on the basis of socioeconomic class was the Index of Status Characteristics developed by W. Lloyd Warner and his

associates [117] . . . It is of interest to note that in the interviewing of the control group parents, although none considered his child to be a stutterer or to have a speech deviation which he was willing to label stuttering, at least one parent of each of seven children pointed out to the interviewer that the child had been observed at one time to be nonfluent to a noticeable degree.! Following are typical comments: "We noted occasional halting, some repeating": "He thought faster than he could talk and repeated"; "We were advised it was not stuttering"; "Some repeating and blocking were noted while he was living with his grand-

'stutterer' is by virtue of that fact still a 'stutterer' is such an assumption." In Study II this essential policy was also followed, but in Study III it was not. Although granting that the position stated here is a strong one, the investigators in designing Study III concluded that still more rigorous comparisons of alleged stutterers and alleged nonstutterers could be achieved by excluding so far as possible from the latter any persons who had ever been regarded an stutterers.

† Wendell Johnson, et al., Speech Handscapped School Children (New York: Harper,

1943), pp. 213-215. The relevant corresponding passage, considerably recast and expanded, is to be found on pp. 260-264 of the second edition, revised, 1936 (61). It is to be considered that in Study II the criteria used in classifying a child as a

nonstutterer were essentially the same as those employed in Study I. 12

mother": "We noticed him repeating at age three, said nothing about it, and it dropped out." (24, pp. 79-80, 142\*)

In Study III, reported here for the first time, a child was accepted for inclusion in the group of stutterers (experimental group) if he or she met the following criteria.

- 1. The child's family belonged to the Caucasian classification. (In view of the small numbers of subjects belonging to other classifications who might have been available, this criterion was employed as a means of avoiding a type of heterogeneity of sample that might have had effects very difficult to evaluate.)
- 2. The child was without gross sensory or motor impairment, specifically marked visual or auditory deficiency, cerebral palsy, or other crippling physical disability. (The purpose of this criterion was to avoid possibly complicating effects of the evaluative reactions of children and parents to such disabilities, had they been present.)
- 3. The child was considered to be a stutterer by at least one parent. It was not specified that there must be agreement of other adults (doctor, speech correctionist, teacher, etc.) with the parent or parents that the child was stuttering.
- 4. The average duration of the stuttering, according to the reports of the father and mother, had not been over thirty-six months, (An attempt was made to interview the parents as soon as possible after onset in order to obtain as accurate an account as possible of the circumstances surrounding the onset and of the details of the early development of the problem.)
- 5. Both natural parents were available and living together or, if the child was adopted, he had been adopted into the family to be interviewed before attaining the age of six months. (This criterion was included with a view to ensuring uniformity as well as maximal thoroughness and reliability in securing case history data.)
- A child was accepted for inclusion in the control group of nonstutterers if he or she satisfied criteria 1, 2, and 5 above, and in addition, met the following matching criteria: †
  - 1. The child had never been considered as a stutterer by his parents. 2. The child was not more than six months older or younger than
- his or her experimental group counterpart. 3. The child was of the same sex as his or her experimental group
- counterpart. 4. The child's family fell in the same socioeconomic class, as deter-

<sup>\*</sup>See this source (pp 74-153) for a more detailed statement.
† These, except for no. 1, were the same criteria as were used in Study II. In Study I the matching criteria, as has been indicated, were sex, age, and intelligence.

mined by the Warner, Mecker, and Eels "Index of Status Character-

istics" (117), as its experimental group counterpart.

Care was exercised, particularly in Study III, to ensure that families selected for the control group were taken from a population not markedly different from that represented by the experimental group with regard to "relevant sophistications." That is, the attempt was made not to select control families predominantly from special populations that might be expected to know a good deal more than most families about the nature and develonment of stuttering, or about alternative theories and practices in child care and training. For example, the effort was made to avoid biasing the control sample by inclusion of an excessive proportion of parents who were speech nathologists or who belonged to child study groups. A special effort was also made to obtain control cases from areas other than Iowa City since a relatively large proportion of Iowa City parents may know of the research program and the clinical services for stutterers that have been carried on at the University of Iowa during the past thirty years and thus have more than an average degree of sophistication about stuttering. A large number of the control cases were obtained from communities within an hour's drive of Iowa City as well as from the Minneapolis-St. Paul area.

Children whose speech was characterized by apparently functional articulation errors were not, on that basis alone, excluded from either the experimental or the control groups in any of these studies.

#### Sources of Case Material

The allegedly stuttering subjects in Study I were drawn from Iowa with the exception of three from Minnesota; all the control subjects in Study I were from Iowa. In Study II the subjects were classified according to geographical area; all hundred parents in the experimental group were drawn from midwestern United States, and ninety-four were native to this region; of the hundred control group parents, fifty were from the midwestern, twenty-eight from the southern (mostly the Washington, D.C., area), and fifteen from the northeastern section of the United States. The experimental cases in Study III were drawn mainly from Iowa, Illinois, and Minnesota, through the clinical facilities of the University of Iowa, Northwestern University, and the University of Minnesota. These cases were referred mainly by speech therapists, physicians, child welfare workers, special education teachers, and other school personnel. Some families were self-referred.

The participation of the parents and children in these studies was requested on the basis of the contribution they would be making toward improved understanding of the problem of stuttering. In addition, all the experimental group cases in Study I and Study II and the experimental group cases in Study III who were referred to the investigators in Iowa and Illinois were offered clinical assistance. In the Minnesota area it did not prove practicable in the course of Study III to offer direct chinical service, although in cases referred by speech therapists the therapists were given certain information that was intended to be helpful to them in working with the children or in counseling the parents.

In Study I all the experimental group parents were interviewed in their homes, and in addition several of the parents visited the University of Iowa Speech Clinic on one or more occasions. The number of interviews per stuttering case ranged from two to nineteen, with a median of four. Most of the experimental group parents in Study II were interviewed in the University of Iowa Speech Clinic. In Study III practically all the parents in the Minnesota area were interviewed in their homes. In Iowa the interviews took place at the University of Iowa Speech Clinic, and in Illinois most of the interviews were carried out at the Northwestern University Speech Clinic. Nearly all the interviews of control group parents in all three studies were conducted in their homes.

The control group subjects in Study III, as noted above, were drawn mostly from the states of Iowa and Minnesota. Assistance in finding control subjects was secured through parent-teacher associations and church groups, from physicians, teachers, school administrators, and other interested persons, and names were obtained from public school records, preschool enrollment lists, and birth records in newspapers. The control group parents were appeaded to in this as in the other two studies on the basis of the contribution they would make to increased knowledge about stuttering, and, in addition, the majority of the control group parents in Study III, as well as four sets of control group parents in Study III, were reimbursed at the rate of one dollar per hour to help compensate them for the time they contributed.

#### Interviewing Procedures

The investigative procedures used in Study I are indicated in the following passage from the report of that study:

In setting up the procedure to be followed in this study several ..., emphasized. Since interview and case-study considerations were ..., emphasized. Since interview and case-study considerated the setting of the consideration of the consid

stutterers, or their parents, are rarely able to recall in relatively great detail the beginnings of their problems. The degree to which we were successful in this connection is shown by the fact that the median interval between date of onset of stuttering and date of first interview as five months and eighteen days. In 95 per cent of the cases this interval was two months or less, and in 75 per cent of the cases it was thirteen months or less. The shortest interval between onset and first interview was four days. In one case the interval was five years and two months, and this case was used because the information that could be obtained seemed to be unusually detailed and dependable.

Second, a fairly long period of observation in each stuttering case studied was considered desirable. The median period of observation for these cases was two years and four mouths. The range was from five to fifty-one months, the 25th percentile was seventeen and a half

months, and the 75th percentile was thirty-six months.

Third, an attempt was made to check the information obtained by carrying out more than one interview in each stuttering case. This made for greater thoroughness and the detection of apparent misinformation, differences of statement among persons interviewed, etc. The number of interviews per stuttering case ranged from two to nineteen. The median number was four, the 25th percentile was three, and the 75th percentile was six. A total of 24T interviews were made in studying the forty-six stutterers. In twenty-eight cases supplementary data were obtained by correspondence.

Fourth, an effort was made to counteract the conscious and unconscious biases and assumptions peculiar to each interviewer. This factor is of particular importance in such a study as this one because of the number of controversial points to which the data are relevant. For this reason, more than one interviewer was assigned to each stuttering case. The number of interviewers per stuttering case ranged from two to five. The median number was three. In each of six cases there were two interviewers, in twenty-three cases there, in thirteen cases four, and in four cases there were five different interviewers, were involved; all held the MA. degree in speech pathology or clinical psychology, and four held the Ph.D. degree at the time they served in this study; thirten of the seventeen now hold the Ph.D. degree. Special medical caminations were made in three cases by physicians, Drs. Edward Lee Russell, H. F. Shirley, and Mark L. Floyd, respectively.

Every stutterer's home was visited by one or more interviewers. This made it possible to supplement the statements of parents, teachers, physicians, and other informants by the interviewers' own observations of the homes. It speech and other behavior of the stuttering children, the conditions to which they were responding, etc. A detailed interview outline was used; the specific items of information which it covered are indicated in the tables and statements of findings.

The forty-six nonstuttering children were investigated by one interview. Suan Dwyer. Only one interview was made in each case in this part of the study. All interviews were made in the homes. In every case the mother was the chief informant, supplementary information

being obtained in some cases from the father and from the University of Iowa Preschool records. (62, pp. 40-41)

On the basis of experience gained in Study I certain methodological changes were made in Study II; the interviewing procedures employed in that investigation have been described by Darley as follows:

Inasmuch as this study was exploratory in nature, it was felt that the scope of the interview should be large. It seemed desirable to secure a relatively complete history of each case and to assess parental attitudes regarding many areas of life. It was assumed that every realm of life was potentially the locus of distinctive attitudes which might have a bearing directly or indirectly on the development of stuttering. The result was the compilation of a massive questionnaire

comprising 846 questions . . .\*

The selection of questions was accomplished as follows: The case history outline used in the Iowa Speech Clinic was the initial source [61, 1948 ed., pp. 419-421];† other questions were modeled on parts of the case history outline suggested by Van Riper [113]; and the form utilized by Wood in his study of the parents of articulatory defective children [132]. The "Record of Problem Case" form used by the Department of Pupil Adjustment of the Des Moines public schools proved helpful in suggesting approaches to certain areas and indicating how parent responses might be objectified and coded [52]. A similar contribution was made by the study of Kinsey, Pomeroy, and Martin [67]. Other questions were devised by the writer and several Iowa Speech Clinic staff members and graduate assistants. An attempt was made to avoid multiple questions that might elicit ambiguous replies. Wording was made simple and the point of each question was made as obvious as possible.

Kinsey, Pomeroy, and Martin have provided what seem to this writer to be the best available guides to the use of the interview as a research instrument. They emphasize among other things the desirability of recording immediately and expeditiously the data yielded by

the interview. They further recommend rapid-fire questioning.

In line with these recommendations, an adaptation was made of Kinsey's device of codifying answers. Whenever possible, questions were framed in such a way that they could be answered rather objectively by the use of a simple scale of responses (for example, "Yes-No," "Often-Seldom-Never," "Much more than average - Somewhat

· See Table 1 for the sections into which the questionnaire was divided for convenience of administration and analysis, and the number of questions in each section in

both Study II and Study III.

This case history outline, a revision and condensation by Spencer F. Brown, M.D., Ph.D., of earlier and more detailed versions by Lee Edward Travis and Wendell Johnson and their associates, is not included in the revised edition. 1956, of Speech Handicapped School Children (61), but it is included, together with relevant additional information and discussion, and with an extended treatment of the techniques of interviewing as formulated by Frederic L. Darley, in Johnson, Darley, and Spriestersbach (64, pp. 1-12). Pp. 443-462 in the second edition (1917), pp. 543-566 in the third edition (1951).

more than average - About average - Somewhat less than average -Much less than average," etc.). Symbols were selected to represent these answers. Even where this simplification was not feasible, possible answers were anticipated and given letter or number codes before any interviewing was done; subsequently elicited answers not previously coded were assigned code letters or numbers during the interviewing. This system made it possible for the interviewer to record an answer quickly by simply jotting down the appropriate symbol, letter, or number representing the answer given, and then to proceed with minimal delay to the next question. It permitted completion of the interview within a period of tolerable length, accurate recording of answers, maximum eye-contact, and ease in tabulation of responses after the interview.

The case-study method, of which this is an example, suffers from the weaknesses inherent in human memory and human bias. To counteract and to check on these sources of error, Kinsey, Pomeroy, and Martin recommend the use of cross-checks on accuracy in the form of overlapping or interlocking questions. In their study they found it advisable, as well, to confine their questions to matters of overt activity and to avoid securing verbalizations of attitudes which in their opinion might have had little relationship to overt behavior. In the present study the former suggestion has been followed but the second has not been, owing to the very nature of the study as an exploration of parental attitudes. Other checks have been utilized, however,

The following are the means used in this study for securing maximum accuracy of reporting and for checking the accuracy of the in-

formants' responses:

1. Inclusion of overlapping and interlocking questions.

2. Appeal to the informants to help their children (in the case of the experimental group) or other children, parents, and the experimenters (in the case of the control group), by careful consideration of the truthfulness of their responses.

3. Rapid questioning, with maximum eye-contact, 4. Assurance that information given would not be divulged to the husband or wife or to unauthorized persons not directly connected with the study or the clinical handling of the children, as well as assurance that family identity would in no case be preserved in the summary of the results of the study.

5. Securing of objective information for comparison with subjective descriptions of attitude. For example, a parent's subjective estimate of his own severity in discipline was obtained, as well as a more objective statement of frequency and manner of punishment used.

6. Estimating a parent's attitude by securing not only his own statement but also a statement from his mate regarding, for example, parental strictness. Thus four statements were obtained: (1) the father's estimate of his own strictness (too strict, too lax, about right); (2) the mother's estimate of her own strictness; (3) the father's estimate of the mother's strictness; (4) the mother's estimate of the father's strictness. Analysis of the four responses gives a basis for comparing the father's and mother's attitudes and also for obtaining an estimate of the home situation.

7. Supplementing case-history data with test data. . . .

All mothers and fathers were interviewed separately. The majority of the parents of the stuttering children were interviewed in a private office in the Iowa Speech Clinic. Most of the rest of the experimental group parents, as well as the bulk of the parents in the even-numbered control group families, were interviewed in their homes in Iowa City or other Iowa towns. [The selecting and interviewing of the fifty control group families were done by Sara Conlon, Robert Higinbothum. and the writer. Miss Conlon selected and interviewed twenty-five families. The interviewing of the other twenty-five families was done by Mr. Higinbotham and the writer. Miss Conlon selected her twentyfive pairs of control group parents in the vicinity of Washington, D.C., doing all her interviewing in the homes of the families during the summer of 1049. Interviewing of the experimental group parents took place between June 7. 1948, and July 18, 1949. Interviewing of the remaining control group parents was done between January 10, 1950, and September 10, 1952.

In all cases the interview was preceded by a brief explanation of the purpose of the interview, the informants being urged to give the most truthful answers possible. Assurance was also given at that time that the record would be kent confidential within the limitations de-

scribed above.

The questions were typically presented in the following sequence: sections A to II inclusive, sections N to U inclusive, and, finally, sections I to M inclusive. This sequence provided for an initial gathering of routine information of an innocuous nature, not emotionally colored; a rather prompt attack on the presenting speech problem (in the case of the experimental group this was especially desirable). approached through the birth, developmental, and medical history, and followed by the closely related areas of social adjustment of the child, school history, and family discipline practices; and a final group of questions relative to topics typically more charged emotionally (social adjustment of the parents, home environment, and marital relationship), with a rather neutral terminal section regarding family health. It was found that this sequence was conducive to good rapport and the effective eliciting of information concerning all the areas listed. By the time the final group of questions (sections I to M) was reached, rapport had in almost every case been maximally developed and the interviewing relationship was secure enough to ensure the eliciting of reasonably trustworthy answers from the informants.

Answers were recorded in code on . . . data sheets . . Each block containing an answer was also numbered by the interviewer during the interview to indicate the section and number of each question.

To help the informants make their answers uniform in pattern, cards indicating the scale of answers appropriate for certain questions were provided and the informants were asked to choose what was to them the best answer. This device materially speeded up the intervening. On other occasions when the informant seemed at a loss to know how to answer a question, the interviewer would orally suggest a number of possible answers, taking care to avoid any special sequence in the list and to avoid making the last item especially appropriate.

Interviews averaged about three hours in length, those of the felteness taking somewhat longer than those of the fathers. This difference is attributable in part to the fact that certain groups of questions pertaining to birth history and physical development were asked only of the mothers. The asympton was here made that the mothers could be a supported by the support of the su

The phrasing of the questions was kept as constant as possible from case to case, pains having been taken from the outset to make the wording simple and the point of each question plain. However, occasional clarifications were necessary, such as definitions of words or restatements in the vernacular of the informant. (24, pp. 76-82)

Essentially the same interviewing procedure was used in Study III. The writer served as director of both Study II and Study III, as well as Study I, and sought to make the two later studies comparable with each other while at the same time applying in Study III such methodological improvements as seemed indicated by experience with the earlier investigations. The interview itself was re-evaluated and somewhat revised between Study II and Study III, as is indicated in Table 1 and, with respect to the wording of individual items, in the Summary Table in Appendix A. The 600 interviews in Study III were done by seven different interviewers. Of these, one held the Ph.D. degree in speech pathology from the University of Iowa; one had received the M.A. degree and another was a candidate for the M.A. degree in the same field at the same institution; one held the M.A. degree in speech pathology from Brooklyn College; one had received the M.A. degree in speech from Cornell University; one held the M.A. degree in educational counseling and guidance from the University of Iowa; and one was a graduate student in the School of Social Work at the University of Minnesota, The training of these interviewers in the use of the Study III procedures was supervised by Frederic L. Darley, the chief investigator in Study II. As a means of ensuring uniformity of interviewing procedures for both the experimental and control groups, all completed interviews were checked by a designated member of the project staff and frequent staff conferences were held in order to pool experiences and problems in presentation of specific questions and interpretation of particular responses.

The questions asked of the experimental group parents in Study III were asked also of the control group parents, with certain changes in wording in the items referring to stuttering. Item 105 (see the Sum-

Table I. Sections of the Interview Outline and Number of Questions in Each Section in Study II and Study III.\*

Section	Number of Questions		Section	Number of Questions		
	Study II	Study III		Study	Study	
A. Identifying informatio	n 6	6	N. Birth history			
R. Age .	3	8	of child	. 31	38	
C. Race	1	0	O. Physical develop-			
D. Geographical origin E. Rural-urban	12	2	ment of child P. Medical history	. 73	36	
background F. Educational	ð	1	of child Q Development of	29	55	
background	21	ę.	speech and speech			
G. Religious background	6	3	prob'em	117	109	
II. Occupational history and economic			R Social develop- ment of child	155	171	
status	26	42	S Disciplinary prac-	100	• • • •	
I. Physical home	40	**	tices and attitudes	50	34	
ensimpment	20	7	T. School history			
J. Social adjustment		• )	of child	51	29	
of parents	40	20	U Comparison of			
K. Marital relationship	78	54	children within			
L. Social home		01	family	9	11	
environment	44	51	V Impression of			
M. Family health	••	٠.	interview	5	4	
(including handed-		j.	W Index of status			
ness characteristics		- 1	characteristics	5	8	
and speech problems)	59	45	Total	846	814	

<sup>\*</sup> The complete interview outline, as used in Study III, is reproduced in the Summary Table in Appendix A at the end of this volume.

mary Table), for example, was worded for the experimental group parents in this way: "Has the child ever stuttered?" For the control group parents it was worded: "Does the child now show or has he, or she, ever shown any nonfluencies—repetitions, hesitations, etc.—in speech?" Item 196 was put as follows to the experimental group parents: "How old was the child when he first began to autter?" It was put to the control group parents this way: "Thow old was the child when you began to notice his speech in these respects?" Throughout the relevant section of the interview this essential distinction was maintained.

The interviews were done between November 1951 and June 1954. As a rule, first the mother was interviewed and then the father. As in Study II, assurance as to confidentiality was given at the time of the interview, and the questions were presented in the sequence which had been found in Study II to be conductive to good rapport and the

effective eliciting of the desired information. Answers were recorded by code number during the interview and were subsequently nunched on IBM cards by the Statistical Service of the University of Iowa.

The interviews in Study III averaged about three hours in length, ranging from a little less than two hours to a little more than four hours. As in Study II, the interviews of the mothers were somewhat longer than those of the fathers, because a number of questions, mostly pertaining to birth history and physical development of the child, were asked only of the mothers. Also interviews of the control group parents were, on the average, somewhat shorter, because many questions concerning the development of the speech problem and reactions to it were necessarily omitted.

#### Tests

In addition to the information obtained through interviewing, certain data were gathered by means of tests. The use made of intelligence tests has been indicated. In Study I an attempt was made to administer intelligence tests to both the experimental and control group subjects. In Study II mental tests were given only to the experimental group children. In Study III intelligence tests were not used. Two considerations governed the decisions made in this connection. One concerned need. In general, relevant data have strongly indicated that unselected samples of stutterers and nonstutterers are essentially alike with respect to measures of intelligence (21, 56, 57, 76, 96, 107, 110, 113, 116, 125). Moreover, in both Study II and Study III the question of matching the experimental and control groups was systematically considered, and after a thoroughgoing weighing of the various alternatives it was decided that the most adequate formula would be achieved by matching with reference to chronological age, sex, and socioeconomic status of the family. It is reasonably to be assumed that so far as this matching procedure would have any relevant effect it would tend to increase the similarity between the groups with respect to intelligence. The second consideration was that persuasive practical reasons for dispensing with measures of intelligence outweighed the apparently slight need to employ them. This was particularly true so far as the control groups in Study II and Study III were concerned. The families in these groups were investigated in their own homes under conditions ordinarily removed from clinical facilities and personnel required for mental testing, and, moreover, the demands made on their time were such that it was necessary to eliminate any procedures that were not clearly essential. The pertinent budgetary considerations were also of some importance. In Study II, therefore,

#### Brief Handedness Questionnaire\*

(Below are 10 questions pertaining to manual acts. You are to indicate with which hand you almost always perform them. If you have not done any of them, please indicate the way in which you would be most likely to perform them. It means almost always right; L means almost always left; E means almost always with either hand interchangeably. Draw a circle around the

oper one.				
<ol> <li>Which hand uses the hammer?</li> </ol>	R	L	E	
<ol><li>Which hand uses the needle in sewing?</li></ol>	$\mathbf{R}$	L	E	
3. Which hand uses the tooth brush?	R	L	E	
4. Which hand holds the knife when you whittle?	$\mathbf{R}$	L	E	
5. Which hand uses the can opener?	$\mathbf{R}$	L	E	
6. Which hand uses the saw?	$\mathbf{R}$	L	E	
<ol><li>Which hand uses the screw driver?</li></ol>	$\mathbf{R}$	L	E	
8. Which hand swings the tennis racquet?	R	L	E	
9. Which hand throws the ball?	R	L	E	
<ol><li>Which hand uses wrenches?</li></ol>	R	L	E	

mental tests were not administered to the control group children, and such tests were eliminated for both groups in Study III.

In Study I some use was made of certain handedness measures. On the basis of questioning of the parents and observation of the children. a hand usage questionnaire was filled out for each of the nonstutterers and for thirty-six of the stutterers. The questionnaire called for "right," "left," or "either" in response to each of several questions concerning the hand commonly used by the child for each of a number of unimanual activities. In a few cases supplementary information was obtained through observation of the child under various conditions, as indicated in the original report (62, pp. 52-62). The major interview also covered the matter of handedness in this study, as it did, even more thoroughly, in Study II and Study III. Relevant parts of the interview reproduced in the Summary Table are items 94-111 and and 775-778. In addition to information secured through interviewing, data on handedness were obtained for all children (see item 788) and their parents (see item 783) in Study III by means of the Iowa Unimanual Hand Usage Questionnaire + Scores are summarized in items 783 and 788. In addition each parent was asked to fill out the Brief

This questionnaire was scored by means of the formula R + JE/N, in which R, E, and N represent, respectively, the number of items answered by circling the R, the number answered by circling the E, and the total number of items answered. Scores can range from 0, indicating that all responses were L, to 1.00, representing all R responses

sponses + Adapted from the original form developed by Wendell Johnson and Arthur II. Davison, and used by the latter in a study done as an M.A. dissertation at the University of Iowa. See Davison (20). This test is reproduced, with instructions for its administration and scoring, in Johnson, Darley, and Spriesterbach (64, pp. 135-137).

Handedness Questionnaire reproduced on page 23; scores obtained by means of this test are summarized in item 775.

In Study II the following tests were used, as indicated in the original report:

Tests for Parents. Each parent was asked to fill out two paper-andpencil questionnaires. The first of these, the Iowa Scale of Attitude Toward Stuttering, requires one to indicate what he feels a stutterer should do in each of forty-five situations, and yields an estimate of the individual's degree of tolerance or intolerance toward stuttering." Hence it provides a useful supplement to the answers to more direct questions about attitudes toward stuttering contained in the interview.

The second scale administered was the Inventory of Factors STDCR, devised by J. P. Guilford on the basis of factor analysis studies of items in personality questionnaires. The five factors referred to are S, "social introversion-extraversion"; T, "thinking introversion-extraversion"; D, "depression"; C, "cycloid disposition"; and R, "rhathymia" (or happy-go-lucky-ness). The S, T, and R factors. according to Guilford, "belong in the area of personality traditionally known as introversion-extraversion . . . Factors C and D are more appropriately designated as emotionality factors" [46]. . . .

The information gained from [this test] is considered supplementary to that obtained in the interviews and of interest as providing a possible check on the impressions of personality gained by means of the

interview

Tests for Children. All the stuttering children were given an intelligence test by a competent psychological examiner in the Iowa Speech Clinic, in the Des Moines public school system, or in one of the field clinics of the Iowa State Services for Crippled Children. The Wechsler-Bellevue Intelligence Scale, Form I, was administered to four of the older stutterers; the Revised Stanford-Binet Scale, Form L. was administered to the remaining forty-six children. It was impossible to secure intelligence test scores for the majority of the children in the control group.

The Rogers Test of Personality Adjustment was also administered individually to the children in both groups who were eight years of age and above. This test, described by Rogers in Measuring Personality Adjustment in Children Nine to Thirteen Years of Age [92] and in the test manual [91], "measures roughly the extent to which a child is satisfactorily adjusted toward his fellows, his family and himself . . . The test is largely made up of questions which any psychologist

or psychiatrist, skilled in children's behavior, might use in an interview. These questions are put in such form that responses may simply be checked rather than written out . . . Four 'diagnostic scores' are obtained: the Personal Inferiority score, the Social Maladjustment score, the Family Maladjustment score, and the Daydreaming score" [91, pp. 1-2]. (24, pp. 83-84)

<sup>\*</sup> See Ammons and Johnson (1). This test is reproduced, with instructions for its administration and scoring and a discussion of its possible uses, in Johnson, Darley, and Spriestersbach (64, pp. 143-146).

The Iowa Scale of Attitude toward Stuttering was also administered to each parent in Study III, and in this study the Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory (MMPI) was administered to the poents instead of the Inventory of Factors STDCR that was used in Study II. The use made of the MMIPI in Study III and the findings obtained by means of it are presented in Chapter 7 of this report.

In Study III tape-recorded samples of speech were obtained from eighty-nine matched pairs of children, and the analysis of these is presented in Chapter 8.

#### Analysis of Data

Analysis of the data obtained in Study I was concerned almost wholly with a delineation of the speech problem of the children who were reported to be stuttering and with a comparison of the two groups of children; in each of the two later studies comparison was also made of the experimental and control group parents and of the mothers and fathers within each group. In a general sense, it may be said that Study I was concerned with allegedly stuttering and non-stuttering children, whereas the two later investigations were concerned with such children viewed within their family constellations. These latter studies particularly, therefore, have yielded considerable normative information of general interest to child psychologists, pediatricians, cultural anthropologists, and others whose interests are directed to parent-child relations, child-rearing practices and problems, and family life in the times and cultural settings covered by these researches.

In Study I the experimental and control group children were matched with regard to age, sex, and intelligence and were compared with respect to conditions of birth; physical development; speech development; medical history; handedness and eyedness; and stuttering and handedness characteristics among parents, siblings, and blood relatives. In addition, relevant data from previously published studies were used in evaluating data for the allegedly stuttering children with regard to birth conditions, diseases and injuries, "nervous habits" (thumb sucking, nail biting, and enuresis), and handedness. The attempt was made to explore in considerable detail the possibility of any relationship between conditions of handedness, particularly changes of handedness, and the onset and early development of stuttering, as well as changes in the severity of the speech problem during its course, and its later disappearance, or marked improvement, or persistence, or increased severity, as the case proved to be, in association with measures recommended and attempted with respect to handedness during

therapy. Certain comparisons between the experimental and control groups were involved in this exploration.

In addition to these comparisons between the two groups of children, the problem of stuttering itself was investigated with special reference to age of onset, interval between the beginnings of speech and the onset of stuttering, the nature of the stuttering at the time of onset, and conditions surrounding the onset of stuttering or affecting its subsequent severity. Finally, the parents of the experimental group children were counseled and certain recommendations were made to them, and subsequently the condition of each child's speech was evaluated. A summary of the findings from Study I is presented in Chapter 2.

Darley has described the plan of analysis of the data in Study II as follows:

Analysis of the data secured from both groups includes the following seven main parts:

 Description and comparison of the two groups of children, based on interview data (child intergroup comparison).

Description and comparison of the two groups of parents, based on interview data (parent intergroup comparison).

 Comparison of mothers with fathers within each group (parent intragroup comparisons).

4. Family-by-family comparison of the two groups of parents (intrafamily intergroup comparison).

Analysis of parents' test results (both intergroup and intragroup comparisons).

 Analysis of children's intelligence and personality test results (intergroup comparison).

7. Analysis of data pertaining to the onset and development of stuttering. . . .

A number of questions in the interview yielded numerical responses (ages and frequencies, for example). Measures of central tendency (mean) and dispersion (standard deviation) were computed in the analysis of these data. Many of the non-numerical response questions have the answers distributed along a two-, there, four, or five-category continuum. The most meaningful statistical treatment of the resulting distributions of responses, appropriate for both intergroup and Lewis and Realize Lie. Sound to be the chi-square test. . . .

Levis and Burke list as the two most basic requirements in any correct application of the disquare test "(a) independence among size "(f.p. 4.85]. A minimal value of ten is strongly recommended: "When the number of degrees of freedom is less than four or five, and especially when df=1, the especially when df=1, the control of the retired frequencies of less than data by the use of the chi-square test both of the above requirements have been satisfied. In every case the single measures used in the

#### Problem, Plan, and Purpose

tables are independent. Categories have been rationally grouped so as to provide for sufficiently large theoretical frequencies.\*

Fisher's table of chi-square, adapted by Guilford [47], has been used to determine the significance of each obtained value of chi-square. The 5 per cent level of confidence was selected as the cutting point for the consideration of values obtained as significant. (24, pp. 84-85)

While the method of analyzing the data in Study III was relatively more detailed in octain respects, it was essentially the same as that used in Study II. The seven parts of the analysis listed by Darley in the quotation immediately above were duplicated in Study III, with the exception of No. 6; the tests involved in this part of the analysis were not used in Study III.

<sup>9</sup> In instances in which these requirements were not met the Yates correction was applied. See Lewis and Burk e 148, pp. 648-643) and also Yates (158, pp. 217-237). This correction provides for the reduction of all differences between observed and theoretical frequencies by 0.6 in order to compensate for errors arising from the use of relatively small theoretical Irequencies; the correction was applied in every case involving only one degree of freedom. It was not applied in tables involving more than one degree of freedom. In Study III there were no theoretical frequencies less than one degree of freedom. In Study III there were no theoretical frequencies less than ten. The number of the Only ten tables contained theoretical frequencies less than ten. The number of the only the tables with d'a = 3; one in each of the tables with d'a = 3; one in each of the tables with d'a = 4. See Appendix B for statistically significant values of chi-square obtained in Study III, with associated degrees of freedom.

PART ONE
The Earlier Studies

## CHAPTER 2

# Main Findings of Studies I and II

IT SEEMS extraordinary that as late as 1934, when Study I was undertaken, there had not yet been a comprehensive and systematic investigation of the onset of stuttering. Case studies and a number of more or less general discussions concerning the matter had been published (10, 56, 68, 76, 77, 99, 110, 123), and Froeschels (84) in 1021 and Bluemel (15) in 1932 had reported that in certain cases they had noted an early or beginning phase during which what was referred to as stuttering appeared to consist mainly of a rather simple repetitiousness in speech. In presenting these clinical impressions, however, they seemed to assume, in accordance with prevailing custom, that stuttering begins in the speaker and originally appears, presumably at a definite point in time, in the form of a clinically significant disturbance of speech behavior, or failure of speech, or change in the speaker's habitual manner of speaking. In the meantime, there seems to have been no substantial documentation of such disturbance, or failure, or change in speech behavior in any indicated proportion of systematically investigated cases.

In the absence of intensive research, there was nevertheless a considerable body of opinion concerning the causes of stuttering. The alleged causes were viewed as the conditions under which stuttering, in the form of impaired speech, was said to begin, and as the supposedly distinctive characteristics, physical and emotional, of children said to manifest the speech disturbances regarded as stuttering. It was generally asserted that children classified as stuttering were deficient in motor coordination, or in emotional stability, or they were injured at birth or subsequently weakened by illness, or they were lacking in neuromuscular integration, or were deficient in cerebral dominance, or were in a state of biochemical imbalance, or they spoke faster than they thought or thought faster than they spoke, or they were nervous, or they had imitated others who stuttered, or they had

inherited stuttering-or some presumably essential but undesignated bodily characteristic - or they had been born with at least a predisposition to, or proneness for, the disorder, as stuttering was usually called. The onset of stuttering was asserted by various writers to occur mainly or usually or often at the beginning of speech, or in early childhood, or between five and eight years of age, or at the time of entering school, or during adolescence, or occasionally, particularly under emotional stress of some sort, in adult years. The onset was said to be associated with, or caused by, illnesses of various kinds, especially those involving high fevers, or injuries, or severe frights, or shock, or emotional insecurity, or conflicts with or rejection by or oversolicitousness of parents, or the birth of a new hahy brother or sister with consequent decrease in parental attentiveness and affection, or change of handedness, or various other circumstances (10, 14, 34.56, 68, 76, 77, 99, 110, 116, 123).

In some such terms one may sketch the backdrop against which the findings of the first phase of the research reported in this book were to be viewed. Against such a backdrop the findings appeared strange. They were decidedly at variance with expectations based on prevailing belief, in the mid-thirties, and they were by no means readily or quickly accepted and assimilated by the writer and his co-workers, or by others. Their acceptance in any significant sense necessitated a theoretical reorientation for which there was no clear precedent, and this, together with the occurrence of World War II, was the more significant part of the reason why the first study in this research program was not followed by the second investigation until roughly ten years had passed. During those ten years a sufficiently extensive theoretical reworking was accomplished to make possible the formulation of the problem and the investigative procedures employed in Study II.

The main findings of both Study I and Study II are presented in this chapter, and these will be followed in the succeeding chapters by a detailed presentation of the findings of Study III, which was a further refinement and extension of the two earlier investigations.

#### Study I

In Study I, as explained in the preceding chapter, a comparative investigation was made of two groups of children.\* The children in the experimental group were thought by their parents to be stutterers. while those in the control group were regarded by their parents as nonstutterers. The experimental group, thirty-two boys and fourteen girls, ranged in age from about two years, three months to nine years, \* See Johnson (62) for the full report of this investigation.

<sup>39</sup> 

three months, with a median age of four years, two months. The control group, thirty-three boys and thirteen girls, ranged in age from approximately two years, three months to nine years, ten months, with a median age of four years, five months. The two groups of children were relatively matched with respect to intelligence, the allegedly stuttering children ranging in I.Q. from 80 to 150, with a median of 114, and the allegedly nonstuttering children ranging in I.Q. from 95 to 158, with a median of 116. The groups were compared in ways indicated by the following summary of findings.

#### BIRTH AND EARLY DEVELOPMENT

In general, the two groups of children appeared to be quite similar with respect to conditions of birth, diseases and injuries, and indices of development, including speech development. For four of the forty-six experimental group children and for two of the forty-six control group children something reported as "definite birth injury" was noted, as follows:

For the two nonstutterers "birth injury" meant simply bruises about the head occasioned by the use of lorereps. For the four stutterers "birth injury" is to be interpreted as follows: Case 7, slight bruise on the forehead from forceps, brith otherwise normal. Case 18, use of forceps resulted in a mark on the temple which cleared in four weeks, and the affected side of the lace appeared paralyzed for one-half day following birth; birth was two weeks premature; there was some difficulty in initiating breathing. Case 28, forceps left apparently insignificant marks on the temples; two weeks postmature; generally normal birth otherwise. Case 34, breech delivery with forceps; some apparent injury to neck, mouth, arm, and shoulder; difficulty initiating breathing; slow pulse; difficulty initiating nursing reactions; later evidence of slight spasticity. This was the one case in whom birth nigury was probably serious; by the end of the study this child was no longer stuttering. (62, p. 48)

For the experimental group eighty-eight diseases and injuries, 1.9 per child, were reported up to the date of interview; for the control group the corresponding number was eighty-four, with a mean of 1.8 per child.

In only four cases was any kind of disease condition mentioned among the factors possibly associated in time with onest of stuttering; one case apported to have had infected tonsils at the time he began to stutter; nother case was said to have had a cold and a sore throat; a third was thought to have been weakened by pneumoniza shortly before stuttering began; and a fourth child was reported to have had "very mild measles" at about the time of onset of stuttering. In each of these cases, however, there was no clear functional relationship between the indicated condition and the onset of stuttering. (62, p. 49)

With respect to toilet training the control group subjects tended to be somewhat more advanced, but there appear to have been no group differences with regard to age of standing alone without support, walking, sitting up, creeping, teething, feeding self, dressing self, and savine first words and first sentences.

The incidence of "nervous habits" — thumb sucking, nail biting, and enuresis — in the experimental group children did not appear to be distinctive.

#### HANDEDNESS

There were no important differences between the two groups with regard to handedness. Thirty-six in each group were reported as being right-handed, and ten in each group as being either left-handed or ambidextrous. Twelve of the stutterers and fourteen of the monstuterers were judged to have undergone some degree of change in handedness, two stutterers and one nonstutterer from left- to right-handedness, two in each group from left-handedness to ambidexterity, and eight stutterers and eleven nonstutterers from ambidexterity to right-handedness. Moreover, changes in speech observed during the study did not appear related in any important manner to changes in handedness, or absence of such changes. Although there was a possible indication of more left-handedness among the blood relatives of the experimental group subjects, the handedness data of parents and siblings, for whom such data were relatively more reliable, were essentially similar for the two groups.

#### STUTTERING IN THE FAMILIES

Each of fifteen stutterers and four nonstutterers was said to have one or more stuttering relatives outside the immediate family. The fathers of one stutterer and two nonstutterers were stutterers, and the fathers of four stutterers were former stutterers. The mothers of two stutterers were stutterers and the mothers of three were former stutterers; the mother of one nonstutterer was also a former stutterer. Data concerning stuttering were obtained for fifty-eight siblings of stutterers and thirty-six siblings of nonstutterers. Of the stutterers siblings nine were stutterers (these nine included two who were among the forty-six subjects in the experimental group—these two were brothers, and so each is counted as a sibling of the other) and three were former stutterers; a sibling of one nonstutterer was also a stutterer.

ONSET AND DEVELOPMENT OF THE PROBLEM OF STUTTERING The essential findings concerning the onset of the stuttering prob-

lem, the relevant circumstances, and the course of severity of the

problem may be indicated in the following paragraphs from the full report of Study I:

Age of onset of the stuttering peaked markedly at three years, 50 per cent of the cases having onset, as reported, between the ages of two years, six months and three years, two months. The interval between first spoken words and onset of stuttering ranged from six months to eight years, the median interval being twenty-three months. During this interval the children presumably spoke normally. The speech phenomena originally diagnosed or labeled as stuttering consisted solely in forty-two cases, and chiefly in all forty-six cases, of effortless, brief repetitions of syllables (that is, parts of words), whole words, or phrases, repetitions of which the child was evidently "unaware." These phenomena would appear, on the basis of data reported by Davis [25], to be normal. This fact is to be related significantly perhaps to the further fact that in nearly all cases these repetitions were originally diagnosed as stuttering by laymen, usually the parents, The generally commonplace and undramatic conditions under which these apparently normal speech reactions were occurring when first regarded by the lay judges as stuttering further suggest that the lay judges were classifying normal speech behavior as stuttering. To the degree that this is to be taken as a fair statement, it is to be inferred that the onset of stuttering took place not only, if at all, in the child's mouth, as it were, but also, and perhaps solely, in the parent's ear. This, then, would indicate that at the moment of "onset" or original diagnosis it was not only the child, if at all, but also the parent - not only the speaker, if at all, but also the listener - who was the patient. To the degree that this is taken to be an acceptable statement, it requires that any theory of the onset of stuttering explain not only the behavior of the child, if this should be in question, but also, and perhaps solely, the behavior of the parent - not simply the behavior of the speaker, if at all, but also that of the listener - at the moment of onset.

At the close of the study, speech was judged to have improved in 85 per cent of the cases, and to be "normal or nearly normal" in 72 per cent. In general, this result was associated with a type of counseling of the parents designed to get them to regard their children as normal, to give major attention not to the child's speech repetitions and hesitancies, as such, but to the conditions affecting the youngster's speech, and to adjust downward their standards of speech and behavior generally, in order to reduce tensions, both for the child and for themselves, and to make it casier for the child to gain essential feelings of success and approval. (62, pp. 70-71)

#### Study II

Study II, as indicated in Chapter 1, involved a comparative investigation of fitty children, thirty-nine boys and eleven girls, with ages ranging from two years, two months to fourteen years, four months, who were regarded by their parents as stutterers, the experimental

group, and a control group of fifty children of like sex, age, and socioeconomic level of family who were regarded as nonstutterers by their parents. The two groups of children were compared, as were their mothers and fathers also, in the respects indicated by the following summary of findines.\*

#### INTERGROUP COMPARISON OF CHILDREN

A total of thirty-eight (18 per cent) of 210 [interview] items yielded intergroup differences significant at the 5 per cent level of confidence. They reveal that the stuttering children reportedly incurred more injuries, had more food dislikes, had more physical energy, had repeated more grades in school, and were more prefectionistic than the non-stuttering children. On twelve out of fifteen personality traits the stuttering children were rated significantly less favorably by their parents than were the nonstuttering children, the reverse being true on the other three traits. Likewise certain types of "undesirable" behavior were reported more commonly by the mothers of the stuttering children.

The nonstuttering children appeared to be slightly advanced over the stuttering children on three measures of speech development, and the stuttering children were judged by their parents to have been slower in speech development and less adequate in current vocabulary. The stuttering children were also reported to have had more talking done for them and to have been interrupted more than the nonstuttering children, although the incidence of quite talkstive members of the family was greater in the nonstuttering group and more correction of grammar was done in the nonstuttering families. The stuttering children reportedly were called upon to recite pieces more frequently than the nonstuttering things the proposed of the stuttering children reportedly were called upon to recite pieces.

more frequently than the nonstuttering children.

No significant differences were found in comparisons of reports of
the mothers' health, appetite, falls, injuries, shocks, and work history
during pregnancy, length of pregnancy, length of labor, position of the
baby at birth, use of instruments, birth injuries to child and mother,
birth weight, birth length, incidence of prematurity as determined by

birth weight, or incidence of defects noted at birth. . . .

No significant between group differences were found with regard to duration of breast feeding, parental ratings of children's muscular ecoordination in nine different activities (intergroup comparisons having been made on mothers' and fathers' responses separately), early hand preference, parental handling of early hand preference, incidence of change of handedness, present handedness, incidence of restraint of hands, or incidence of writing backwards.

No significant differences were found between the groups of children with regard to incidence of the five most commonly reported infectious childhood diseases; incidence of tonsillectomies, adenoidecto-

The rest of this section is composed wholly of passages written by Dr. Frederic L. Darley and previously published as part of a detailed report of Study II (24, pp. 74-approval.

mies, or total operations; parental ratings (by both mothers and fathers, separately) of the child's physical development status; incidence of physical defect, hearing difficulty, or necessity for glasses; or maternal ratings of appetite, digestion, and soundness of siego. . . .

No significant intergroup differences were found with regard to the amount of early habbling reported; the amount of verbal output currently, as well as between ages one and five; parental ratings of early need for speech ("Did the child usually get what he wanted without taking;"); use by parents of "baby talk"; incidence in the children of any difficulty with mouth, teeth, throat, or nose; [and] privileges of the children to falk at table.

[On 87 out of 11] items dealing with social development the two groups did not differ significantly.] A partial list of the many items on which the two groups appear essentially similar follows: number and kind of friends and relationships with them; twenty-one different personality traits; frequency of occurrence of twenty-nine different kinds of "undesirable" behavior and fears; relationships with siblings; amount of laughing at and teasing to which children are subjected; types and amounts of assigned home responsibilities; freedom of children to select their activities; preference for games; preference for and activity in other pastimes; adequacy of allowance; response to discipline and reprimand; self-evaluation of abilities; preference for concerning home tasks and schoolwork; and general preference for one narmot over the other.

#### INTERGROUP COMPARISON OF PARENTS

A total of seventy-seven (32 per cent) of 285 items yielded significant integroup differences. They show the experimental group parents to be older than the control group parents, somewhat better educated (the mothers tending to be better educated that the lathers), and to have smaller families. Although experimental group parents reported better school achievement and the mothers were more socially active than their control group counterparts, they were more socially active than their control group counterparts, they were more socially active than their control group counterparts, they were more socially active than their control group counterparts, they were more socially active than their control group counterparts, they were more tenders such as the state of the control group counterparts, and more about child development, and were less well satisfied with their children schotavor, intelligence, school achievement, and speech, acknowledging more frequent punishment in anger, and more frequent reprimanding of their children (although they expressed more dissatisfaction with their own strictness and adequacy as disciplinarians).

The experimental group families nevertheless were reportedly more harmonious than the control group families with regard to several common sources of parental friction. Experimental group parents as a whole were better satisfied with the lusband's employment than the control group parents, and they worried less about getting sick than did the control group parents. Greater incidence of all types of speech defects was reported in experimental group families, though the relative incidence of stuttering in the families did not differentiate the

two groups. As determined by Warner's Index of Status Characteristics, the fifty families in both groups (matched for socioeconomic level) were distributed in all five socioeconomic classes (upper through lower-lower), 80 per cent of the families belonging to the upper, upper-middle, and lower-middle classes. . . .

No significant intergroup differences were found with regard to parental ratings of how well-to-do the families were; regularity of income; judgments of the security of the fathers' jobs; judgments of the suitability of the fathers' employment in terms of their abilities; frequency of change of residence; or ownership of homes. The homes of the control group were found to be only slightly more crowded than those of the experimental group, with mean "overcrowdedness ratios" of 1.44 and 1.49 respectively (the ratio was calculated by dividing the number of rooms in the home by the number of persons residing in the home)....

No significant differences were found between the two groups of mothers, the two groups of fathers, or the two groups of parents (fathers and mothers combined) when a comparison was made of relative numbers falling into three categories; college graduates, high school graduates plus graduates with some college work, and those who had attained a level anywhere short of high school graduation. . . .

No significant intergroup differences were found with regard to parental evaluations of the importance of their education, amount of participation in extracurricular activities in high school or college, or incidence of membership in college social fraternities or sororities. . . ;

The two groups of families did not differ significantly in terms of the numbers of families in which the parents had similar or different church affiliations. . . . Significantly more mothers and fathers in the experimental group were church members (1 per cent level of confidence). However, no significant intergroup differences were found among either mothers or fathers with regard to the degree of religious adherence (e.g., frequency of church attendance), or to the conservatism or liberality of their religious beliefs (among Protestants)...

No significant differences were found in intergroup comparisons (mothers and fathers both separately and combined) of frequency with which they helped organize new community and social groups, frequency of holding public office; number of friends claimed; or judgments of care of appearance and ease in adjustment to new situ-

ations or friends . . .

No significant differences were found between the groups with regard to frequency of prior marriages (five parents in each group had been previously married); expression of enjoyment of evenings spent at home together, parental ratings (mothers and fathers separately) of the amount of quarreling, the seriousness of differences arising concerning seven topics (religion, discipline, ambition, employment of wife, politics, reading interest, and radio listening), and satisfaction with the existing marital relationship; frequency of maternal participation in decisions concerning finances, purchases of husband's clothes, and vacations; readiness to confide in mate; self-judgment of tenseness, irritability, easygoingness, and level of standards of conduct and neatness; judgments of irritability and standards of conduct of mate; frequency of claims that other parent had spoiled child, been too casygoing with child, worried too much, was away from home too much, or was with child too much; or self-judgments concerning fre-

quency of siding with child against mate. . . .

The fifty pairs of experimental group parents had a total of 111 children (mean = 2.92 children), while the fifty pairs of control group parents had a total of 141 children (mean = 2.82). Eleven of the stuttering children, were only children, as were five of the nonstuttering children; the difference is not statistically significant.\* However, when the families are grouped into those with one or two children and those with three or more, we find a statistically significant difference between experimental and control groups, with the control group families being larger (I per cent level of confidence). Significantly more control than experimental group parents also stated that they planned to have more children.

No significant intergroup differences were found with regard to whether the children were planned and wanted; the number of families in which both parents were currently (or during the children's infancy) working outside the home; average number of nights per week both parents were home with the children; amount of help the mothers had with housework; who managed the children most; incidence of persons other than immediate family living in the homes; expressions of liking for neighbors; preference [for living] in other neighborhoods; judgments of neighbors' treatment of children concerned; communality of family interests; frequency of family participation in three activities (picnics, auto rides, attending sports events); expressions of favor toward children's friends coming over to their house, of suitability of neighborhood children as companions for their children, and of wish that their children were more popular; amount of time that parents spent playing with children; or expressions of degree to which children interfered with or added to their parents' pleasure. A family-by-family comparison of the parents' estimates of how much they laughed indicates no significant pattern of interfamily dissimilarity in this regard. . . .

No significant integroup differences were found with reference to present health of the parents or their health during the early years of the children's speech development; attributing by mothers of personal aiments or wenknesses to the circumstances of the birth of the child concerned; number of illnesses of mothers during children's lifetime; self-ratings of amount of worry about self or child getting sick; ratings of appetite, digestion, and sleep of self and other parent; number of food dislikes; type of handedness, change of handedness, or opinions of handelness; twinship; self-ratings of current amount of physical energy frequency of smoking and use of alcohol; or family incidence of

physical handicaps. . . .

Ratings by the interviewers of the quality of speech of the parents

See, however, the statement on page 72 concerning the statistically significant difference obtained when the subjects investigated in Studies II and III were combined.

did not reveal a significant intergroup difference. . . . In twenty-six of the experimental group families at least one parent knew of at least one other stuttering relative, while the same was true in eighteen

of the control group families. . . .

No significant intergroup differences were found on items pertaining to estimates of current frequency of punishment; mother's statements concerning the frequency of punishment for twenty types of misbehavior; parental responsibility for punishment; opinions of the amount or method of school discipline and the strictness of the children's "best" teacher; or ratings of the other parent's strictness. The methods of discipline reportedly used were essentially the same in both groups, spanking, deprivation of privileges, and sending children to their rooms being the three most common methods, in that order, reported by both groups. . . .

#### PARENT INTRAGROUP COMPARISONS

A total of thirty-two (8 per cent) of 412 computations of chisquare (based on 313 interview items) yielded significant differences between the experimental group mothers and fathers; corresponding differences between the control group mothers and fathers were found on only seven of these thirty-two items. The differences obtained which are distinctive of the experimental group indicate that the experimental group mothers may be characterized as more self-depreciative, more irritable, more annoved by their children and their children's lack of neatness, and more concerned about their children's speech deviations, while being less easygoing and less satisfied with their husbands' employment than were their husbands. They more readily acknowledged having made mistakes in handling their children's speech problems, rated those speech problems as more severe, considered their children more sensitive about those problems, and considered their children to be more perfectionistic in speech than did their husbands. They also considered their children to be less happy, and evaluated parental differences concerning discipline to be more serious than did their husbands. [These parental differences are to be evaluated with reference to the fact that in over 90 per cent of the comparisons made the mothers and fathers were found to be essentially alike in the attitudes or reactions in question.]

#### INTRAFAMILY INTERGROUP COMPARISONS

A total of eleven (14 per cent) of seventy-six items analyzed to determine intergroup differences in degree of intrafamily agreement yielded significant differences. A review of the differences shows that the experimental group is distinguished from the control group by greater strictness on the part of the mothers than on the part of their husbands concerning their children's neatness, by higher maternal than paternal ratings of their children's attractiveness, and by lower maternal than paternal ratings of the amount of their children's bragging. At the same time the control group is distinguished from the experimental group by generally more disagreement on nine items (concerning ratings of four of their children's characteristics, the importance of parental differences on four subjects, and the excellence of the children's first school); by higher maternal than paternal ratings of their children's ability to concentrate, self-confidence, and amount of bragging; by less strictness on the part of the mothers than of the fathers concerning their children's neatness; and by lower maternal than paternal ratings of the attractiveness of their children. [The differences listed here are, like those rated in preceding sections, to be evaluated against the background of general similarity between the groups being compared.]

#### ANALYSIS OF PARENTS' TEST RESULTS

No significant intragroup or intergroup differences were found in the analysis of scores on the Iowa Scale of Attitude toward Stuttering. The results obtained on the Guillord Inventory of Factors STDCR show that there was a tendency for both the experimental and control groups of parents to be more sociable, more extravertive in thinking, more cheerful and optimistic, more even in disposition, and more happy-go-lucky, carefree, and impulsive than Guilford's normative group. Differences between the experimental and control group of parents are statistically significant on none of the five factors.

## ANALYSIS OF CHILDREN'S INTELLIGENCE AND PERSONALITY TEST RESULTS

The I.Q.'s of the experimental group children ranged from 54 to 162, distributed in all ranges of intelligence from "moron" to "very su-perior" in a manner not statistically significantly different from the

manner of distribution of I.Q.'s in the general population.

In none of the five distributions of scores ("Personal Inferiority," "Social Maladjustment," "Family Maladjustment," "Daydreaming," and total score) on the Rogers Test of Personality Adjustment were the two groups of children found to differ significantly. Comparison of group means for the four area scores and the total score reveals only negligible intergroup differences, and these mean scores fell in the "Low" or "Average" classifications (suggesting generally satisfactory adjustment) with the exception of the mean "Social Maladjustment' scores, both of which fell just within the "High" classification (sugesting a rather serious degree of maladjustment). There was a tendency (not statistically significant) for the stuttering children make higher area and total scores than the nonstuttering children, and more stuttering than nonstuttering children rated "High" in more

#### ANALYSIS OF DATA PERTAINING TO THE ONSET AND DEVELOPMENT OF STUTTERING

Mothers and fathers varied widely in their estimate of the age of their children at the onset of stuttering, the discrepancies varying from 1 to 108 months, with a median discrepancy of 10.50 months,

the mothers as a group reporting onset earlier than the fathers. The median ages of onset of stuttering as given by the mothers and fathers were 5 years, 75 months and 4 years, 75 months, respectively. Every child reportedly had a period of "normal" speech between the ages of speaking his first words and onset of "stuttering," the median interval being more than two and a half years. The median interval between ages of speaking sentences and onset of stuttering was more than one vear.

The child was in most cases first [thought to be] stuttering by one or both parents, in all other cases by a teacher, relative, or friend. In forty-seven of the fifty cases the diagnosing or labeling of this speech behavior as "stuttering" was done, according to the statement of at least one parent, by a layman not professionally trained in speech pathology, usually by the parents. The speaking situations which at first seemed to be characterized by more stuttering were largely described as situations involving tensions which might well make a person speak with less assurance and greater hesitancy. The sole type of speech reaction initially classified as stuttering by at least one parent of each of forty-one of the children consisted of repetitions of sounds, syllables, words, or phrases. In all but two cases the repetitions as described resemble the normal nonfluencies well known to character ize the speech of young children. Furthermore in about 90 per cent of the cases the parents agreed that the nonfluencies first regarded as stuttering were characterized by no muscular tension. In only three cases did both parents agree that the child from the first was aware of any difference in his speech. In all but two cases (in which cases the parents were interviewed within one month of onset) one or both parents had made numerous comments or suggestions to the children about their speech. There was much disagreement about the recent course of the stuttering. Forty-two children had come, following such home therapy as had been attempted, to show more tension, and thirty-eight had developed grimaces and bodily movements associated with their stuttering, according to at least one parent in each case.

As a group the mothers expressed great concern over the stuttering from onest. Both mothers and fathers rated the present stuttering a significantly more ewere than did the speech clinicians who examined the children. I examine the suspected causes of stuttering, only 10 per cent of the parents indicated that they considered the role of parents to be of causal importance in the development of stuttering, injuries, specific events, the child's personality type, and conflicts arising in other interpersonal relationships.

A general conclusion which may be drawn is that while the two groups of parents were markedly similar on the vast majority of items studied, there are area which appear to warrant closer investigation to determine their importance with regard to etiology and prognosis in stuttering. These independent parents is standards and expectations generally, and specifically with regard to speech, the early management of observed nonfluencies in the children's speech, parental sensitivities

#### Main Findings of Studies I and II

generally, and specifically with regard to speech deviations, and parental drive and dominance characteristics. (24, pp. 86-112, 147-152)

#### Implications

Two facts about Study I indicate most sharply the way in which it differed from Study II and the changes in research orientation that were worked out between these two investigations. The one concerns the nature of the investigative procedure employed in Study I: it centered around an interview that was to an important degree openended and loosely structured, although major lines of inquiry were outlined. The main reason for this was that in 1934 there was a relatively limited basis for precise decisions concerning the information to be sought. So far as decisions of this kind seemed justified, they were influenced chiefly by current and traditional views. This accounted for the second distinctive fact about Study I: the information sought had to do in the main with the speaker, the person designated as a stutterer, and only in a subordinate and relatively ambiguous fashion with anything else. Moreover, the objectives of the investigation were most clearly defined with regard to the physical facts about the speaker, such as conditions of birth, diseases and injuries, indices of motor and behavioral development, and handedness.

When one considers the preconceptions that were presumably operating and the relatively large mesh of the net, so to speak, that was used in searching for any facts that were not assumed to be central to the problem, it is not immediately clear why so much that was apparently not being looked for in Study I was found nevertheless. The explanation probably lies in one particular aspect of the interview: the informants were asked, with respect to each experimental group child, to specify the person who first decided the child was stuttering, and what exactly this person was responding to in the child's speech, and under what specific circumstances. It was not that some such questions as these had never before been put by anyone to the parents of children regarded as stutterers; this would seem incredible. What does appear to have been true is that such questions had never before been asked so pointedly, with quite as much insistence upon the pinpointing of times and places and upon detailed descriptions of the speech behavior involved. Moreover, it appears that never before had enough such questions been asked of enough informants soon enough after the alleged onset of stuttering to yield in any one investigation a sufficiently large mass of reasonably dependable data to bring into clear focus the sorts of findings obtained in Study I. Nor had anything like this been done previously in such

a way as to point up pertinent comparisons between allegedly stuttering children and a relevantly matched group of presumably nonstuttering children.

It oversimplifies and dramatizes the situation somewhat, and yet points up the essential fact, to say that we had not previously discovered the more crucial findings of Study I concerning the origins of the problem of stuttering in specific instances because we had not assumed they existed and so we had not gone hunting for them. As has been true over and over again in the history of scientific research, certain facts were found as soon as someone looked for them, even though to some degree inadvertently.

In this case the most important findings appeared to be that the problem referred to as stuttering concerned certain persons in addition to the speaker, if indeed it involved the speaker personally in any significant sense at all before it involved his listeners; that the problem was one which was originally diagnosed, or judged to have come into being, by laymen; that the speakers judged by these laymen to be stutterers were generally indistinguishable, on the basis of the criteria employed, from appropriately matched persons judged not to be stutterers; and that, so far as could be determined, the childhood speech behavior classified by these laymen as stuttering was not clearly different from speech behavior generally characteristic of children at the approximate age and developmental levels of the allegedly stuttering children.

As the writer and his students became increasingly familiar with these and the related findings, and more and more accustomed to thinking operationally about them, they were influenced to reformulate the problem of stuttering accordingly. They came to view it as a problem involving the learning and the exercise of specific perceptual and evaluative reactions by the listener, and the learning, as an apparent consequence, of corresponding perceptual, evaluative, and overt responses by the speaker, these learnings occurring within the framework provided by demonstrable patterns of interpersonal relationships. The settings defined by family constellations appeared to be of special significance. It became increasingly clear that further relevant information was to be gained by investigating the pertinent behavior of both speaker and listener, in relation not only to parent-child interactions but also to those of parent and parent, child and sibling, and family and community. In academic parlance, the writer "was led by the problem" to the experimental psychology of learning and perception, to cultural anthropology, and to the study of speech and language functions and relevant symbolizing and abstracting processes, particularly as these are to be considered within the frames of reference of general semantics, information theory, and the systematic study of the process of communication.

Meanwhile a considerable amount of relevant scientific work, much of it stimulated at least in part by the findings of Study I. served to clarify the problem of stuttering in some measure during the years between roughly 1935 and the beginning of Study II in 1948. The more pertinent research was that concerned with (1) possible relations between stuttering behavior and various aspects of personality development and adjustment, (2) conditions associated with variations in amount or severity of stuttering, and (3) the search, which yielded a steadily mounting accumulation of negative findings, for possible significant neurophysiological differences between stutterers and nonstutterers.\* Other related developments of special importance included the refinements of interviewing technique, particularly by Kinsey and his associates (67), which proved to be effectively adaptable to the requirements of the present research. The problem was further clarified by the fact that, following publication in abstracted form of Study I in 1942 (57), studies were made by LaFollette (71) and Moncur (84) of certain aspects of the relations between stuttering children and their parents. A study by Wood (192) of parental adjustments in relation to functional articulatory speech problems in children also yielded relevant information.

By 1948, then, it was possible to be relatively clear and definite about the specific information to be sought in the further investigation of the origins of stuttering, and so it proved possible to formulate the 846-item interview and to select the related procedures employed by Darley in Study II. The information to be sought was much more comprehensive than that covered in Study I and it concerned not only the physical characteristics of the speaker and the speech behavior originally regarded in each case as stuttering, but also the presumably relevant attitudes and adjustments of the parents and the related circumstances of family life, as well as the various other matters specified in the interview outline used in Study II and reproduced in large part in Appendix A.

Once the data obtained in Study II were assembled and sualyzed two general conclusions seemed in order. The first was that the data from Study II not only extended considerably but also confirmed

<sup>\*</sup> Historical and evaluative accounts of these and related lines of research during and before the period indicated may be found in "The Tune, the Place, and the Froblem," Chapter 1 of Stuttering in Children and Adults (60), and in "Stuttering," Chapter 5 of Speech Handicapped School Children, revised edition, 1856 (61).

essentially the findings of Study I. The second was that further research, involving a larger sampling of subjects and certain refinements of procedure, would be worthwhile. In his report of the second investigation, Darley made the following statement with regard to further study:

The . . . outcome of the present study suggests areas worthy of further investigation in an attempt to clarify what pattern of circumstances coupled with what constellation of parental characteristics and attitudes may lead to the development of the speech behavior and state of mind which we call stuttering . . . Further study would be more definitive and fruitful if the number of cases were materially increased, if information concerning onset of stuttering and subsequent developments were secured in more meticulous detail through more exhaustive interviewing, if the effect of memory lapse could be minimized by closely limiting the allowed interval of time from onset to date of interview, if data were secured in detail from control as well as from experimental group parents regarding observations and management of speech nonfluencies in their children, and if the data secured through interview were supplemented with data obtained through the administration to all parents of a personality test of wide scope and demonstrated validity. (24, pp. 145-46)

These suggestions were utilized, along with related considerations, in the planning of Study III. The experience gained in Study II and the continual weighing of the ever-growing body of data suggested refinements in the interview approach. Moreover, the trends with respect to theoretical orientation and ways of formulating the problem of stuttering that had developed before 1948 were carried forward after that date, with the gains in both complexity and clarification that generally accompany scientific investigation and the contemplation of its products. The continuing investigation of the speech of stutterers and nonstutterers, including the exploration of the presumably normal range of nonfluency in the speech of both children and adults (60), was instrumental in providing the basic method adopted in Study III for tape recording and analyzing samples of the speech of matched pairs of experimental and control group children. For reasons presented in Chapters 1 and 7, the decision was made to use the Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory in Study III. Other differences in theoretical orientation and procedure between Study III and the two earlier investigations will become apparent in the pages that follow, in which the findings of Study III are presented.



PART TWO
Study III

#### CHAPTER 3

## The Children

STUDY 111 involved 150 allegedly stuttering children and 150 allegedly nonstuttering children, matched for age, sex, and socioeconomic level of family. The mother and father of each child were interviewed separately. The methods employed in the 600 interviews and related investigative procedures have been described in Chapter 1.

In this investigation the interval between the onset of stuttering, as reported by the mothers, and the interview (813) \* ranged from less than I month to 39 months, with a mean of 17.5, a median of 17.5, and a 90th percentile of \$4 months. The mean interval, based on time of onset as reported by the fathers, was 18.2 months, and the median interval was 17 months. The characteristics of the informants, as reported by the interviewers, varied (this is indicated in 610), but in general they were judged to have responded adequately to the interviews. Only 10 of the 600 parents declined to answer all questions. These 10, as shown in 609, were reluctant to discuss certain of their own or their spouses' ideals, attitudes, and feelings; 2 fathers, 1 in each group, and 1 control group mother "refused," or preferred not, to discuss some aspects of their children's speech. There were no interruptions in 176 of the control group interviews and in 201 of those in the experimental group, and in only 3 cases, all in the control group, were there many or seriously interfering interruptions (612). Each of 202 interviews of control group parents and 275 interviews of parents in the experimental group was completed in one sitting; each of the other 33 interviews required two sessions (611).

Data obtained in both Study II and Study III are set forth in detail in the Summary Table in Appendix A. Significant chi-square values obtained in Study III, with associated degrees of freedom, are listed in Appendix B. In this chapter and the seven following the

This number refers to item No. 813 in Appendix A, the Summary Table. This method of referring to items in the Summary Table is used throughout the report of Study III. Wherever confusion might arise between these numbers and citations of bibliographic references, "It" will precede the latter.

main findings from Study III are presented, together with a number of statements comparing various aspects of these findings with those from the two previous investigations, and certain interpretive and explanatory comments.

The two groups of children investigated in Study III differed, as indicated earlier, in one crucial respect: those in the experimental group were regarded by their parents as stutterers while those in the control group were not so regarded by their parents. In order to determine as effectively as possible whether this crucial difference was associated with other differences, the factors of sex, age, and socioeconomic status of family were held relatively constant for the two groups, and they were compared with respect to a large number of other kinds of data

#### Conditions of Birth

The mean birth weight was 7.4 pounds for both groups of children in Study III (36). In Study II the experimental group mean was 7.3 and the control group mean was 7.2 pounds. It was found that five stuttering and five nonstuttering children in Study III would be considered prematurely born if all children weighing less than 5.5 pounds at birth were so regarded (see references 24, 62). According to the mothers, fifteen in the control group and four in the experimental group were considered premature by their attending physicians (see Table 2 and item 26 in the Summary Table) .

The experimental group mothers reported a mean duration of labor of 11.4 hours, with a standard deviation of 3.6 hours, as compared with a control group mean of 9.0 hours, and a standard deviation of \$3 hours (28). The t test of the difference between these means yielded a value of 5.88 which, with 286 degrees of freedom, was significant at the 1 per cent level. The median values for the experimental and control group mothers were 7.2 and 5.9 hours, respectively. In Study II the difference between the two groups with respect to length of labor was not statistically significant. "It was found that twelve stuttering and thirteen nonstuttering children were born after only four hours or less of labor, while five stuttering and six nonstuttering children were born after thirty or more hours of labor" (R24, p. 86). The findings from Study III are to be evaluated with reference also to the fact that in Study I the median values of duration of labor were seven hours for the experimental group and twelve hours for the control

For seventeen of the remaining items in Study III concerning birth 50

Table 8. Boults of Chi-Square Analysis of Responses to Rems Concerning Birth History on Which Nothers of Experimental and Control Group Children Differed Significantly\*

Item Direct	ion of Difference	Confidence	Remarks
What illnesses did the mother have during pregnancy? (10) Was the mother able to est regularly and retain food during most of the pregnancy? (80)	Illnesses reported by more experimental than control group mothers. More control than experimental group mothers reported they were able to eat and retain food	12 % 88 88 88	Distribution of fathers' responses un- favorable for computation of chi-square
What was the length of the pregnancy (25)  Was the child considered premature by	Agore control of the super- experimental mothers exactly 9 months Premature birth of child reported by more control than experimental mothers	%	Distribution of fathers' responses un- favorable for computation of chi-square
Was labor induced? (20)	Induced labor reported by more control than experimental group mothers	8	
Were there any other unusual circum- stances or conditions connected with the high? (50)	Such circumstances or conditions re- ported by more control than experi- mental group mothers	850	Fathers did not dufer againmany
many of the state of the state of	The state of the adversarion of corresponding data cell frequencies in each instance. A significant value of chiequan in	in each inst	ance. A significant value of chi-square in-

dicates that the rell frequencies are not independent; no specific direction of difference between the eategories employed is implied. In most cases, however, the direction of difference is clearly indicated by the data, and the reader is urged to evaluate particular statements coneerning the direction of difference in this and subsequent tables on the basis of the raw data in the Summary Table in Appendix A. See Appendix B for a complete list of statistically significant chi-square ralues represented in Tables 2 through 37, with associated degrees of ing, more experimental than control group mothers reported one or more unusual conditions associated with the birth of the child (the difference is significant at the 1 per cent level of confidence). Statements concerning the direction of difference reported for specific items in this and subsequent tables are not of statistical significance, but are based only on observation of the theoretical and observed

rom Study II, In that investigation no statistically significant differences were found with respect to these particular items, except for the ast one listed here, with respect to which, contrary to the present find-• See Darley (R24, p 86) for a discussion of correspon

histories the distributions of responses proved to be unfavorable for computation of chi-square. These items covered shocks, injuries, and falls experienced by the mother during pregnancy, injuries to the baby, twin births, presence of cyanosis at birth, difficulty initiating breathing, cord around neck, infant unusually quiet, pulse at birth slow or weak, jaundice of baby at birth, and convulsions during delivery. From inspection of the relevant items in the Summary Table, Nos. 18-51, it is apparent that in general the occurrence of these conditions or events was relatively rare in both groups and that there were no marked group differences.

For six of the remaining items chi-square values were statistically significant at or beyond the 5 per cent level, as shown in Table 2. More of the experimental group mothers reported illnesses, and more control group mothers reported that they were able to eat and retain food during pregnancy. The question as to the degree to which these responses constituted descriptive, as contrasted with self-projective or evaluative, reports is to be considered in light of the finding that the control group mothers reported more variation from the expected nine months in the duration of pregnancy, and that more control group mothers also reported premature deliveries, induced labor, and unusual circumstances or conditions connected with childbirth.

#### Physical Development

For five items concerning physical development statistically significant chi-square values were obtained, and these are summarized

\* Table 2 might lend some degree of plausibility to the tentative conjecture that there was generally more concern, or conflict, over the experience of giving birth on the part of the experimental group mothers, although, with the possible exception of length of labor (for which the group difference was significant in Study III but not in Study II, and for which the group difference was significant in Study 111 but not to that in Study II was in a direction opposite to that in Study III), the control group mothers seem to have had more substantial objective reasons for anniety as indicated by the details on length of pregnancy, induction of labor, and unusual circumstances connected with delivery. Moreover, somewhat more conflict on the part of the experimental group mothers about having children may be inferred from the fact that they had had fewer children, 348 to 412, than the control group mothers (723), and had more only children, 25 to 15 (725). Similar findings were obtained in Study II, in which control group mothers reported 5 only children and a total of 111 children and experimental group mothers reported 5 only children and a total of 111 children. Among other possibly related considerations is the fact that in both Studies II and III the control group mothers breast fed their babies for longer periods (56 and Table 3). Due caution in elaborating this line of conjecture is to be drawn from the essentially similar responses made by the two groups in both studies to such items as 54, "Was the child planned?" 55, "Was the child wanted by both of you." 58, "Did you or the other parent hold the child during bottle feeding?" and, in Study III but not in Study II, 727, "Do you expect to have any more children." It is posable that for certain readers additional data presented in the Summary Table might prove to be relevant to particular hypotheses with respect to this general question.

Table 3. Results of Chi-Square Analysis of Responses to Reme Concerning Physical Development on Which the Experimental and Control Group Children Differed Significantly

Item	Direction of Difference	Confidence	Remarks
How long was the baby breast	Control group mothers reported longer periods	260	
fedr (30) Rate your child's coordination in	More experimental than control group methers	1% Falbers did not	Falhers did not differ significantly
catching (82) Itate your child's coordination in	More control than experimental group fathers	1% Mothers did no	Mothers did not differ significantly
jumping (85) Rate your child's coordination in	rated their children's coordination as superior More control than experimental group fathers	5% Mothers did no	Mothers did not differ significantly
running (87) What was the child's original	rated their cluddren's coordination as superior More experimental than control group mothers	2% Fathers did not	Futhers did not differ significantly
hand preference for pencil or crayon? (98)	rated their children's original hand preferences to be very much right		
For the control group the mean	* For the control group the mean was 11.5 and the median 6.4 weeks; for the experimental group the mean was 6.0 and the median 2.3	rimental group the mean was	6.9 and the median 2.3

weeks. In Study II the means were 16.7 and 9.5 weeks for the control and experimental groups, respectively.

in Table 3. The control group children had better coordination in catching as rated by their mothers though not by their fathers, and in running and jumping as rated by their fathers though not by their mothers. The control group mothers reported their children were breast fed for longer periods than the experimental group mothers reported for their children. More experimental group youngsters, according to the mothers but not according to the fathers, very much preferred the right hand when starting to use a pencil or crayon.

There were no significant differences between the two groups of children, so far as either the fathers' or the mothers' answers were concerned, with respect to coordination in throwing (81), drawing and coloring (83), writing (84), cutting (86), in manipulating blocks, tinker toys, and beads, and in other tasks requiring manual desterity (88). There were also no significant differences between the two groups with regard to original hand preference in using a spoon (100); present hand preference in using a pencil, crayon, or spoon (97 and 101); and the number whose hands had ever been restrained (105).

The mean scores of the experimental and control groups of children on the Iowa Unimanual Handedness Questionnaire (788) were 1.4 and 1.3, respectively, and for both groups the median score was 1.6.

There were no significant group differences in the number of children in whom physical defects were noted at or shortly after birth (61), the number of children who were held during bottle feeding (68), the number who expedied during bottle feeding (68), the number who experienced feeding problems soon after birth (60), and the amount of crying shortly after birth (61). Nearly all answers by both groups of parents to "Has the child ever written backwards" were negative; approximately one third of the children in both groups had learned to write (107).

Two items, which concerned methods of bowel and bladder training, could not be categorized for computation of chi-square values (91 and 92). It is to be noted, however, that when the responses listed for 91, which has to do with methods of bowel control training, are divided into those which appear coercive and pumitive (responses numbered 4, 6, 7, and 10) and those which seem permissive and rewarding (those numbered 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 9, 11, and 12), it is seen that eighty-eight experimental and fifty-nine control group mothers reported coercive methods, while eighty control and forty-eight experimental group mothers reported permissive procedures. These group differences were significant at the 1 per cent level ( $\chi^2 = 1.2.81$ , d = 1). The same group differences, though less marked and not of statistical significance ( $\chi^2 = 1.79$ , d = 1), appear with respect to bladder control training (92): ninety-seven experimental and eighty-two control

Table 4, Mean Ages in Months at Which the Control and Experimental Group Children Accompilabel or Began Training on Certain Physical Achievements as Reported by Their Mothers

	12	Control Group		E	Experimental Group	иp	1	Significance
Item	z	Mean	SD.	z	Mean	SD.	Diff.	off
ļ	1	88.8	191	147	6.69	12.3	97	•
First 100th (65)	9	65.49	8.15	86	14.35	6.58	90.	•
Full fet of to pany freed (62)	91	7.53	67.7	1	7.56	3 03	8	•
	- 22	17	1.59	137	6 43	1.03	9	NS
Sitting up along (27)	9	16.01	6.19	150	18.25	2.15	187	SN
. 62	181	8030	7.87	11	80.08	7.99	92	SN
Voluntary control of Madden day (75)		05130	8.50	5	81.59	7.34	85	NS
		68.80	10.17	119	26.71	18 07	.18	NS
	130	15.36	5.19	180	14.81	60.9	.55	SN
	3	15.00	5.57	148	13.52	4 00	1.54	56
	148	13 06	3.83	Ξ	11.79	4.47	1.23	•
Replacing of bottle feeding by cup feeding (59) . 148	148	11.53	4.23	143	10.30	4.58	103	2%

F lest for equal variance was significant at or beyond the 10 per cent level, and I test was not computed. † Not significant.

group mothers indicated coercive methods (responses numbered 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 10, and 14), while forty-three control and thirty-four experimental group mothers reported permissive approaches (responses numbered 1, 2, 5, 9, 11, 12, and 13). It is to be noted that these figures are exclusive of responses given by fewer than three mothers in either group (see footnotes to 91 and 92).

Twelve developmental items for which t tests were computed are presented in Table 4. The mean ages of accomplishing the specified aspects of physical development were very similar for the experimental and control groups. For the experimental group the beginning of both bladder and bowel training was earlier, and cup feeding replaced bottle feeding sooner. Study II (R24) findings were essentially similar to these.\*

#### Health and Physical Status

For three items concerning health and physical status of the children chi-square values were statistically significant, and information with respect to these items is presented in Table 5. More experimental than control group children had sustained no injuries (153-156). More of the experimental group mothers stated that they felt their children item more easily than the average child (375). More of the control group parents reported that their children slept very well (170); at the same time, the mean number of hours each group was reported to sleep per night did not differ significantly. The median was 11 hours and the mean was 109 hours for both the experimental and control groups, with corresponding standard deviations of 1.16 and 1.02 hours (171). The numbers of children in the experimental and control groups who had undergone surgical operations were not significantly different.

The mean height of the experimental group children was 43.5 inches with a standard deviation of 4.5 inches, while the mean height of the control children was 42.9 inches with a standard deviation of 4.8 (185). The mean weights of the experimental and control children were 43.9 and 42.6 pounds, respectively, with corresponding standard deviations

See also items 113-113, having to do with age at which the parents believed a child hood have solved and daytune and nightline bladder control; the same by the control group parents are in general entire than those states by the control group parents are in general entire than those states by the control group parents are in separate enter than those states relation between the tendency for the data serve to suggest the question of a possible relation between the tendency for the data strengthened group parents to more a little faster than the central group parents to more a little faster to the data of the day and the day

Table 6. Results of Chi-Square Analysis of Responses to Rems Concerning Health and Physical Status on Which the Experimental and Control Group Children Differed Significantly

Item	Direction of Difference	Level of Confidence	Remarks
What injuries has the child sustained?	More experimental than control group mothers reported no injuries	12%	Fathers followed same trend, significant at 2% level
How well does the child sleep? (170)	More control than experimental group mothers rated their children as sleeping	%1	Fathers followed same trend, significant at 1% level
As compared with other children, how easily does your child get tired? (373)	very well More experimental than control group mothers reported their children get tired	3,5	Pathers did not differ significantly
	more easily than average child		

of 10 and 9.6 pounds (160). The group differences in height and weight were not statistically significant.

The information obtained in response to items 125 through 148, presented in detail in Appendix A, is summarized in Table 6. In Study

Table 5. Means and Standard Deviations of Total Number of Illnesses, Number of Elinesses Regarded as Serious, and Number of Illnesses Accompanied by Unusually High Fevers (103° and Over) That Occurred More Than One Month before Age of Onset of Stuttering (and Corresponding Age for Matched Subjects in Control Group), within One Month of Onset Age, within One Month after Onset Age, and since

	Con	tro	Group		Ex	erimer	stal Gro	up
N	No	٥.	Mean	S.D.	N	No.	Mean	5.1
erious illnesses 15	0 6	1	.41	.61	149	109	.73	1
Remote pre-onset 15		š	.23	.50	149	61	.41	2
Month before onset 13	0	3	.02	.14	149	7	.05	-
Month after onset 13	0	3	.02	.14	149	3	.02	
Since then 1	90 1	7	.11	.40	149	33	.25	
Ulnesses with high fever . 1	13 17	79	1.13	1.31	149	205	1.37	1
Remote pre-onset 1	18 1	05	.70	1.23	149	115	.77	1
Month before onset 1	47	1	.01	.08	149	8	.03	
Month after onset . 1	17	4	.03	.16	149	5	.03	
Since then 1	17	60	.40	.84	149	77	.51	1
All illnesses 1	47 3	37	2.65	.91	149	443	2.95	
Remote pre-onset 1	54 9	19	145	1.57	149	270	1.80	1
Month before onset ]	42	10	.07	.23	149	21	.14	
Month after onset	142	10	.07	28	149	13	.09	
Since then	147	158	1.05	1.40	149	156	104	_
Total illnesses, including undated	150	418	2.79	2.10	149	443	2 93	-

These include the illnesses summarized in 148C, which in turn include the undated illnesses summarized in 143A but not the responses presented in 148B (see the Sommary Table). The difference between mean total numbers of illnesses is nonsignificant, even though the distributions are considerably skewed and the value of t is inflated accordingly. Because of the character of the distributions, it tests were not computed for the other mean differences.

III questions 125 through 148 were addressed to both the fathers and the mothers, but it was found that from 5 to 25 per cent of the fathers were unable to give reasonably definite answers, particularly with reference to the time of specific illnesses in relation to the onset of stuttering, and for this reason analysis has been restricted to the apparently more trustworthy responses of the mothers. With respect to these, also, it is to be considered that recall was probably not completely dependable in all instances, and that due allowance is to be made for such indefiniteness as was evidenced in fixing the date of onset of stuttering in given cases (see pp. 117-125).

The illnesses reported by the control and experimental group mothers in Study III have been grouped in four periods according to date: those that occurred (I) more than one month before the onset of stuttering, (2) within one month before or at time of onset, (3) within one month after onset, and (4) since one month after onset. In addition, a classification was made of those illnesses reported by informants who could state the number of illnesses but could not date them (148A). The responses of these informants are listed as "undetermined" in even-numbered items 126-148, and the number of informants contributing these responses is to be found in 148A. Also, those informants who reported that illness had occurred, but could not give the number of illnesses or date them, were noted (148B). Moreover, illnesses that had been evaluated as severe were treated separately, as were also those accompanied by unusually high fever (103° and over). Finally, the total number of illnesses identified or dated was computed (148C).

Quantitative data are presented in Table 6. A few general statements concerning these data seem warranted. When all illnesses are considered, the average child in the experimental group was said to have had 2.95 and the average child in the control group 2.79 illnesses. Even though the distributions were skewed and exhibited heterogeneous variances, the t test indicated that the difference between these two means was nonsignificant. It is a relatively small absolute difference, of course, and such as it is, it is to be accounted for mainly by reference to the illnesses reported for the period that predated the onset of stuttering by more than one month. The experimental group mothers reported 270 illnesses, or an average of 1.80, and the control group mothers reported 219, or an average of 1.46, for that period. Differences in variance and extreme skewness argue against the use of t tests of subgroup mean differences. It is to be noted that for the presumably critical interval that extended from one month before to one month after the onset of stuttering, there were few illnesses. particularly serious ones, reported.

Examination of the odd-numbered items 125-147 in the Summary Table does not suggest that any particular disease was found more often to any noteworthy degree in either group. The esentially negative findings with respect to asthma (125 and 131) are of some interest perhaps in view of a few proviously published statements to the effect that asthma and other allergic conditions might be found to be associated with stuttering (R19, R125). (See also 774, in which data concerning allergies in the families of the two groups of children are summarized; these reveal no significant group differences.)

If there is any appreciable difference between the two groups it lies in the reported incidence of illness during the period more than one month before the parental concern over stuttering arose. If there is sufficient fact here to warrant speculation, perhaps the major question to be raised has to do with the degree to which the experimental group mothers were more inclined than the control group mothers to give attention to childhood indispositions and to recall them more readily. This possibility would appear to be suggested more or less by the fact that more control than experimental group mothers, 13 to 2, were unable to date illnesses (148A) or to give either the dates or the numbers of illnesses their children had had (148B), the difference in this case being 11 to 1. Another aspect of this matter to be considered is the reaction of the parents to the child's illness, real or fancied or exaggerated, and some measure of light is thrown on this by the responses to items 163 and 164. Significantly more experimental than control group parents reported that when their children had been ill they had been "spoiled and indulged excessively" and in general a greater degree of solicitousness on the part of the experimental group parents was suggested by the responses to 163. Moreover, significantly more control than experimental group mothers and fathers indicated that, after care for illness, their children needed no readjustment or readjusted immediately (164). These parental reports intimate that the data summarized in Table 6 reflect degrees of parental concern over, attention to, and memory of their children's illnesses as well as objective information concerning the illnesses as such.

The data here being reviewed are to be related to other information which indicates that the two groups of children were quite similar so far as their general health and physical development were con-

cerned

No significant differences between the two groups of children were found in frequency of colds (157), parental evaluations of height and weight (159 and 161), appetite (169), number of children sleeping alone (172), number having food dislikes (173), amount of energy possessed by the children as rated by parents (174), and the number for whom constipation was reported (442).

History of Speech Development and Speech Status

For three of the items concerning speech development and speech status chi-square values computed were statistically significant, as shown in Table 7. More experimental than control group children were rated by their mothers as "much slower than average" in speech acquisition (182). A peculiar and probably basic importance of this

Table 7. Results of Cal-Square Analysis of Responses to Rena Concerning History of Speech Development and Spe Status on Which Esperimental and Control Group Children Differed Spelicanity

Remarks	Pathers did not differ significantly	Fathers followed similar trend, signifi-	Mothers did not differ significantly	
Level of Confidence	2	8	원 해	
Direction of Difference	More experimental than control group mothers rated their children much slow- er than average		More control than experimental group (athers rated their children's chances to talk as "more than average"	
Item	are Jou consider the child to have been alow in Deginning to talk in comparison with other children? (188)	Frammar rach day? (852) As a child bearing to the terms	child have chances to talk as much as be wanted to? (188)	

group difference in parental evaluation is to be inferred from its relation to the relatively objective data obtained concerning speech development: there are no corresponding statistically significant differences between the two groups of children reflected in the mean ages, reported by mothers, at which they spoke their first words and sentences (180-181 and Table 8).\* Moreover the median and mean

Table 8. Median and Mean Ages, in Months, with Standard Deviations, at Which the Control and Experimental Group Children First Spoke Words and Sentences (180-181), as Reported by the Modiers, Exclusive of Uncertain

and Indefinite Responses

Item				Control	Group		Experimental Group			
			N	Median	Mean	SD.	N	Median	Mean	SD.
First words* . First sentences*			. 131	107	10.8	5 9 6 S	137 136	11.4	10.9	4.6 7.3

<sup>\*</sup> Differences between group means were not significant at the 5 per cent level.

values for both groups, as shown in Table 8, lie well within normal limits. Nor were any significant differences found between the ratings of the two groups with respect to amount of talking the children did between the ages of one and five years (183), children's vocabularies (355), children's grammar (356), current amount of talking done by the children (309), and amount of talking the children (309), and amount of talking the children great lowed to do at the dinner table (310) and when guests are present (312). It is also to be noted that the two groups of parents did not differ significantly in response to the question "How frequently did your child get what he wanted without talking, in comparison with other children?" (185).

As indicated in Table 7, the experimental group children had their grammar corrected less often than did those in the control group, according to both the fathers and mothers. More control than experimental group fathers (the difference for the mothers was not significant) rated their children's chances to talk, however, while they were learning to talk, as "more than average."

The experimental and control group parents were asked to state whether their children had had speech defects, including those other

As shown in Table 18, the experimental group mothers differed significantly from those in the control group in giving statics mean ages at which they thought a child should speak his first mounts and entraces. With higher standards are presented by these differing met experimental group mothers may have been more indicated to objectively group of the control group of the control group mothers are relatively above than it was

than stuttering. Their responses are summarized in 194. The problems involved in securing the closest possible approximation to objective data concerning speech impairments and their origins are discussed in considerable detail in Chapter 5. It seems well to point out here, however, certain features of the parents' responses to 194, "Has your child ever had a speech defect? What defect?" All the parents in the experimental group had met the criteria set forth in Chapter I; nevertheless, 11 of the fathers and 5 of the mothers gave a simple negative response to this question. Allowance must be made, of course, for the ambiguity of "speech defect" and equivalent terms as they are used. especially by laymen, and for the transient confusion that may have been experienced by some respondents in spite of the care exercised in making clear that stuttering was one of the speech problems, but not the only one, in which the investigators were interested in connection with this question. With these and other implied considerations noted. it is to be observed that aside from the 13 fathers and 21 mothers in the experimental group, as against none in the control group, who said their children had "stuttering and articulatory defect," and aside from the responses that referred to "stuttering" or "repetition, not called stuttering," and "hesitation, not called stuttering," there were actually more control group parents, 9 fathers and 11 mothers, than experimental group parents, 6 fathers and 2 mothers, who reported that their children had speech defects other than stuttering, such as "baby talk," "lisping," "other articulatory defect," "speech retardation," "inability to find right word," "articulatory problem and 'speech block' not called stuttering," and "nasal speech." It seems apparent, therefore, that the number of experimental group children who were said to have "speech defects" other than those classified as "stuttering" or as "stuttering and articulatory defect" was not appreciably different from the number of control group children who were said to have such defects. The numbers were absolutely small in both groups. It is to be noted, however, that when those reported as having "stuttering and articulatory defect" are included with those having other designated speech impairments aside from stuttering, the totals, as given by the mothers, are 11 children in the control group and 23 in the experimental group.

### Social Development

The items having to do with the children's social development for which significant chi-square values were obtained are indicated in Table 9. Due consideration is to be given to the question of the degree to which these ratings represent objective data concerning the chil-

	#ignil		Ē	nign.	ie.	Ē	in in	ځ	÷	Ļ	÷.	4
	liend.		tron!	trind,	Irend.	trend.	trend.	nificant	nificant	nifican	mifican	millear
marks	inilar		sandar	similar	aimitar	aimilar	similar	iller nig	iffer sig	liffer sig	liffer sig	Fathers did not differ significantly
ž		level o	llaned :	Bowed ;	level .	llowed level	lowel .	l not d	d not d	d not d	id not	ld not
	1	2 SS	120	2 28	2 E	2 12	250	ž.	Ť.	E.	Ē	E
		1 2	Path	Par Ja	Pathe	Pathe	Fath cant	Pathe	Yath T	Fath	Path	Fati
Irvel of	onlidence	<b>1</b> 00	2,0	8	8	55	<b>8</b>	%	%5	8	1%	4%
Which Experimental and Control Group Children Dillers	Direction of Difference Con	Control group mothers rated children	more favorably (less daymeraming)	more favorably (less playing alone) Control group mothers rated children	more favorably (feen nervousation)	occurred Control group nothers artel children	Control group nothers rated children	Control group mothers rated children	more favorably (less thumb suckurg) More central than experimental group mothers reported their children's friends	Grater frequency in control group ac-	Control group mothers rated children more favorably	Control group mothers rated children more favorably
Table	Ite		(390)	(408)	during the past month? (400	the past month? (418)	during the past month? (480)	the past month? (488)	during the past month? (458) Of what nex are most of 11 friends? (365)	How often does the child play	panions? (571) Compared with other children, dors your child play with o	dren? (372) How good a sense of lumor does your hild have? (370)
	Table 6. Reprint of Company Challetts Dillerts Company Challetts Dillerts Company Challetts Dillerts Company C	Table 9. Identia of Cuit and Control Group Children Directs of Jovel of Difference Confidence	Table 8, Results of Misch Taperinental and Control Group Children Difference  Nach Taperinental and Control Confedence  Them  Direction of Difference Confedence  The Confedence Confedence of Misch Children  Confedence Confedence of Confeden	Table 8. Results of Mich Tappeniental and Campi Group Children Distriction  Then Tapeniental and Campi Control Conference Confidence	Table 9. Retails of Market Interceptual and Control Group Challers Interview Confidence Them and the year child daydressel. Control group matters and children 3% to 1899 (1999) (1999) (2001)	Table 9. Retained St. St. Specialises and Composition Confidence of Heart Inc.  The Mark Transmission of Direction of Difference Confidence of Difference Confidence of Difference of the St. Specialise of the St. Speciali	Table 9. Remaining the Control Group Challers of The Control Group Challers of The Control Time The Control Challers of Difference Challers of The Control Challers of The Control Challers of The Control Challers of The Control Challers of Challer	The R tenth of the Propriental and Control Group Challers  Incernation and the Part of the Propriental and Control Group Challers  Incernation and the Part of the	The R tenth of the Propriental and Control Group Challers  Item  Item much date your child daydresse)  (169)  (199	Table 8. Remain Mach Emperimental and Control Group Cauliers of Them  The much does your child daydream!  The much does your child daydream!  The much does your child daydream!  The area has been pear child daydream!  The area has the area mount of the area of the area of the area of the area mounth (140)  The area of the thild area of the thild area of the think of the area of the thild are area mount of the child area for a property of the area of the child area for a property of the area of the child are area mount of the child are area mount of the child area for a property of the area of the child area for a property of the area of the child area for a property of the area of the child area for a property of the area of the child area for a property of the area of the child	The R Remained and Comments and Comments and Comments of Training March 1997 and the Marc	Table 8. Remained, Experimental and Composition Confidence  Them  The much they your child daydram!  The offer has been accounted during the offer has demaild (449).  The offer has the model of the during the offer has during the offer has demaild (449).  The offer has the model of the during the offer has during

11

" . c. . 1. Theselonnest on

	Asule 9 — continued					
Jiem	Direction of Difference	Level of Confidence	_	Remarks	}	
How cautious is your child about under- taking new things, going into different situations? (388)	Control group mothers rated children more favorably (less cautious)	%	Fathers did not differ significantly	differ nig	pificant	<u>.</u>
How readily does your child give up on hard tashs? (472)	Control group mothers rated children more favorably (give up less readily)	%9	Fathers did not differ significantly	differ sig	nificant	`
Compared with other children, how afraid is your child of strangers? (482)	Control group mothers rated children more favorably (less afraid)	95 94	Fathers followed similar trend, signifi- cant at 5% level	similar.	trend,	signifi-
How afraid in your child of the dark? (483)	Control group mothers rated children more favorably (less afraid)	2%	Fathers followed similar trend, signifi-	similar	trend,	eignig-
dren? (484)	Control group mothers rated children more favorably (less afraid)	2%1	Fathers followed	similar trend, signifi-	trend,	eigniß-
other children? (405)	Control group mothers rated children more favorably (laughed at less)	2%	Fathers fullowed similar trend, signifi- cant at 1% level	similar	trend,	որուն
(500)	More experimental mothers reported no Sunday school	2%1	Fathers followed cant at 1% level	similar trend, signifi-	trend,	signifi-
The time have petst (603)	More control group mothers indicated their children have pets	2%	Fathers followed	similar trend, signifi-	trend,	rigniß-
for forest your child respond to your corrections and suggestions? (524)	More experimental group mothers gave their children extreme ratings	1%	Fathers followed similar trend, signifi-	similar	trend,	eigniß-
corrections and suggestions of your husband (wife)? (525)	More experimental group mothers gave	28	Fathers followed similar trend, signifi- cant at 2% level	similar	trend,	signifi-
How often does your child make bids for attention? (632)	More control group mothers rated chil- dren favorably (less often)	88 84	Fathers followed similar trend, signifi- cant at 2% level	similar	trend,	eignifi-
(589)	More experimental group mothers said children prefer mothers	28	Fathers followed similar trend, signifi-	similar	trend,	eigniß-

		byttailann a see a		
		Table 8	Tarel of	Remarks
		Direction of Difference	Confidence	
Item			28	Fathers followed similar trend, signin-
The How o	quickly did	More control group mothers rated	;	cant at 1% level
the child readjust? (164)		ment)	5,5	Mothers did not differ significantly
How often thes your child a	9 t	Greater frequency in control bear, cording to fathers fathers rated chil-	88	Mothers did not differ significantly
How ally is your child? (587)	•	More control group many drea (assets)	%	Mothers did not differ significantly
How self-confident is your child? (391)	ild? (991)	More control group lattices direct dren favorably (more self-confident)	2%	Mothers did not differ significantly
How often has fighting occurred during the past month? (489)	red during	dren favorably (less fighting)	%*	Mothers did not differ significantly
How often has fealousy occurred during the past month? (450)	and during	dren favorably (less jealousy)	%5	Mothers did not differ significantly
How often has lying occurred during the past month? (430)	auring the	dren favorably (less lying) More control group fathers rated chil-	8%	Mothers did not differ significantly
How afraid is your child of dogst (\$80)	gsf (480)	dren favorably (less afraid)	86 84	Mothers did not differ significantly
How afraid is your child of decisions (481) How much freedom has the child in	child in	dren favorably (less afraid) More control group fathers rated children favorably (more freedom)	26.5	Mothers did not differ significantly
play time? (50%)	- 1 mar	More experimental group fathers gave	1%	Mothers did not differ significantly
How does the child adjust to lions and new friends? (513)	The same	children most favorable rating.	8%	Mothers did not differ significantly
Does the child seem to be a perfection ist about home tasks? (627)	perfection-	dren favorably (less perfectionistic) More control group fathers rated chil-	%8	Mothers did not differ significantly
lat about his neathers? (328)	2	tire tavorating the property		

cs

dren and the degree to which they reflect parental feelings, perceptual sets, and standards of judgment. The basic data are, of course, judgments about, rather than descriptions of, the indicated characteristics and behavior of the children. The responses represented in Table 9 were made by the parents, not the children. In addition, it is be appreciated that the parents made these evaluations eighteen months on the average after the reported onset of the problem of stattering; the ratings may have been affected, therefore, by the parents' concern over or dissatisfaction with their children's speech behavior, and the children may also have been affected during the average period of eighteen months by the dissatisfaction and concern of their parents.

These possibilities noted, the findings are to be summarized in the statement that on 35 of the 36 items in Table 0 the control group children were rated more favorably by their mothers or fathers, or both, than were the experimental group children by their parents. On one item, the experimental group fathers rated their children more favorably than the control group fathers rated theirs, and the two groups of mothers did not differ significantly. With respect to the other 35 items, control group responses that were more favorable, or less indicative of concern, discontent, or difficulty, or involved higher ratings were made by both mothers and fathers to 17 items, by the mothers only to 7 items, and by the fathers only to 11 items. On 7 items the two groups of fathers, and on 12 the two groups of mothers, did not differ significantly.

If relevant items represented in Tables 2, 3, 5, and 7 are added to the 36 in Table 9, a comparative analysis of responses to the total of 51 items involved yields the following results:

 For 45 items the control group responses were the more favorable, or less indicative of concern, discontent, or difficulty, or involved higher ratines

- a. For 13 items this was true for mothers only
- b. For 14 items this was true for fathers only
- c. For 18 items this was true for both mothers and fathers
- 2. For 6 items the experimental group responses were the more laworable, or less indicative of concern, discontent, or difficulty, or involved higher ratings
  - a. For 3 items this was true for mothers only
  - b. For 1 item this was true for fathers only
  - c. For 2 items this was true for both mothers and fathers
- 3. On 15 items the two groups of mothers did not differ signifi-

4. On 11 items the two groups of fathers did not differ significantly. The z value of the difference between 45 and 6 is significant at the 1 per cent level (z=5.43). The various subgroup totals were too small to warrant computation of significance values.

The two groups of parents did not differ significantly in rating their children with respect to the age of their children's friends (366), mischievousness (373), concentration (384), aggressiveness (385), carelessness (380), being picked on at school (383), popularity (394), fighting (397), laughing (400), respecting rights of others (401), bed wetting (449), playing with sex organs (414), hitting other children (420), crying at home (432), hurting pets (440), naul biting (444), temper tantrums (450), whining (454), teasing (458), bullying (460), disobedience (462), explaining away faults (471), athletic ability (476), reading (470), ease of crying (487), happiness (489), rivativ (491), amount teased (493), what teased about (496), masturbatic (511), stealing (456), evaluation of own abilities (526), child's perfectionism about own speech (530), other strong fears (485), organizations to which the children belonged (501), and parents' ambitions for their children (509).

So few parents reported each of the following that distributions were unfavorable for computation of chi-square values: sleepwiking (416), criping at school (484), face twitching (446), fainting (446), running away (452), stealing (512), children not popular (393), and children laughed at more than average (493). Responses to four items did not lend themselves well to grouping in a contingency table; these items were concerned with how the children's siblings treated them (490), what the children did when teased (497), why the children to be when they greeve up (509).

### School History

Twenty-nine of the control group and thirty-three of the experimental group children had entered the first grade (569), and there were no differences between the groups with respect to the ages at which they entered this grade or with respect to the present grade placement (572). Approximately 20 per cent of the children had learned to read, and the mean age of learning to read was 6.1 years for both groups (584).

Other school items proved to have distributions unfavorable for computation of chi-square tests of independence. The items (573-583 and 585-593) having to do with school attendance, grade retardation and acceleration, adjustment to school, grades or marks, and related matters were appropriate for too few subjects to warrant analysis of the data obtained by means of them.

# Stuttering and Other Speech Impairments in the Children's Families

Nine mothers (6 per cent) and eight fathers (5.3 per cent) in the control group and thirty-five mothers and thirty-five fathers (23.3 per cent in each case) in the experimental group gave affirmative responses to the question "Are there other stutterers in your family?" (325). The difference, for the fathers as well as the mothers, was significant at the I per cent level, according to chi-square analysis. The numbers of persons in the immediate families or among blood relatives reported as stutterers by the control group fathers and mothers and experimental group fathers and mothers, respectively, were 10, 9, 47, and 50.\* As these figures imply, the husband and wife pairs did not agree completely in their independently given reports of stutterers among relatives (see 325 in the Summary Table in Appendix A for an indication of the degree of agreement shown). Of the control group children, three had stuttering parents (all fathers) and according to the father but not the mother one had a stuttering sibling; of the children in the experimental group, nineteen had fathers and five had mothers who stuttered, while seven according to the fathers and nine according to the mothers had stuttering siblings.

Twenty-two mothers and eight fathers in the control group and forty-four mothers and thirty-two fathers in the experimental group indicated that there were other persons with "speech defects" in their immediate families (719), and while the group difference for both fathers and mothers was significant at the 1 per cent level, as indicated by the value of chi-square, it is to be considered that most of the "speech defects" were the cases of stuttering previously reported in response to 352. (See the footnote to 792.)

These findings would seem to suggest two possible hypotheses. Ac-These findings would seem to suggest two possible hypotheses. Acto cording to the one, the problem of stuttering "runs in families," to the extent that it does, because it is biologically or genetically inherited. According to the other hypothesis, it is a problem that is transmitted from one generation to the next, within families, because the problem is primarily a function of certain attitudes, or beliefs,

<sup>&</sup>quot;In Study II the corresponding totals were 7, 16, 27, and 30, In Study I also more statterers were reported in the families of the experimental than of the central group children (see Chapter 9). Studies by Wepman (RIV., 122) and by Wert, Nelson, and Berry (RIV80) have also indicated that persons classified as stutterers tend to have more relative also so classified than obe persons not classified as stutterers.

or evaluative orientations toward the speech of early childhood, and the problem may arise in a given case if the parental orientation toward childhood speech that is essential for the development of the problem is acquired in sufficient degree by the parents-from their parents. In discussing this question elsewhere the writer (R61) has said:

There are two main reasons, of course, why characteristics run in families. One is biological, genetic, hereditary in a physical sense of the word. We take for granted that this is the kind of reason which accounts for family resemblances in respect to hair color and texture, eye color, and other bodily features. The other reason is social - custom, tradition, training. For example, the Mormon religion, or the Methodist, or Buddhist, or any other tends to run in families. We understand, of course, that this is not due to heredity in a biological sense, but that it is rather a matter of family tradition, something taught by parents to their children, and passed along in this way from generation to generation. Thus we have family traditions with respect to food preferences and dislikes, occupations, literary tastes, political leanings, psychological reactions to illness, ethical and moral tenden-

cies, and attitudes, beliefs, and evaluations generally.

The reason why stuttering tends to run in families seems to be rather definitely a matter of tradition rather than genes. Parents who stutter, or have stuttered, or who have grown up with stuttering brothers and sisters, or parents, or uncles and aunts, or cousins - such parents when faced with the normally hesitant early speech of their own children may be expected, in some cases at least, to react some what differently from parents to whom stuttering means little more than an unfamiliar word they have seldom heard or used. And the way they react to the speech of their own children seems to have a great deal to do with determining whether or not their children will develop the self-consciousness about speech, the anxiety-tensions, that make for stuttering. What runs in families (in those cases in which something seems to) appears to be a background of experience with stuttering and therefore a kind of concern, a set of attitudes and a tendency to deal in certain ways with children who are just learning to talk, and with the normal imperfections in their speech. It seems a fair conclusion, and a generally useful one, that these attitudes and training policies in turn tend, to a limited extent, to lead to stuttering in the children of the families in which the attitudes and policies have become traditional.\*

In some such words the semantogenic hypothesis, as the writer (R-58) has termed it, may be at least roughly indicated. The relative merits of this and the genetic hypothesis may be more effectively weighed after all the data to be presented in this report have been considered.

<sup>\*</sup> For a more fully developed consideration of the possible reasons why the stutter ing problem tends to run in families see Johnson (R61, pp. 225-231).

### The Number of Children Who Were Twins

The question of a possible relation between stuttering and twinning has been raised by Berry (R6), Luchsinger (R75), Nelson, Hunter, and Walter (R87), Seeman (R100), and Wepman (R121, 122). In general, these investigators have reported data from which they conclude that more twins than non-twins stutter and that there is more stuttering in families in which twinning occurs than in families in which twinning does not occur. Seeman and Nelson, Hunter, and Walter conclude, in addition, that it is more often true of identical than of fraternal twins that both members of a pair stutter rather than one only. Luchsinger, however, did not confirm this, nor did Graf (R44) in a more recent study of 552 pairs of twins. Graf reported: "In one out of seven pairs of presumably identical twins both twins stuttered, while in two out of nine nonidentical pairs both twins stuttered. In two pairs, in each of which only one member stuttered, the information obtained did not permit a clear judgment as to whether they were identical or nonidentical."\* Graf found 21 persons, or 1.90 per cent of her total sample of 1,104, who stuttered. This is probably somewhat higher than the percentage of persons in the general population who stutter, but it is markedly below the figure, 20 per cent of 200 twin pairs, reported by Nelson, Hunter, and Walter. The percentage of school-age children who stutter has been reported by Blanton (R10), Milisen and Johnson (R82), Mills and Streit (R83), Root (R03), Wallin (R116), and Schindler (R96) as 0.72, 2.5, 1.5, 1.2, 0.7, and 0.55, respectively. The American Speech and Hearing Association's Committee on the Midcentury White House Conference on Children and Youth (Ro2) estimated that 0.7 per cent of school children stutter.

In Study III no child in the control group and only one in the experimental group was a twin. In Study II one nonstuttering child and two stuttering children were members of twin pairs. It was stated that one other experimental group child in Study II may have been a twin, and that supposedly a miscarriage at three months resulted in loss of the other member of the pair. Of the 200 stuttering children in both studies, then, three, or 1.5 per cent, were definitely members of twin pairs, and a fourth child may have been, and of the 200 nonstuttering children, one, or 0.5 per cent, was a twin. Graf (R44) found

In Study II the question of type of twin was not raised; in Study III the one child who was a twin could not be unequivocally classified as belonging to an identical or nonidentical pair because the other member of the pair was lost during pregnancy, although this fact would appear to constitute presumptive evidence that the members of this pair were nonidentical, See 37, in the Summary Table.

1.29 per cent of 85,680 school children surveyed by her to be twins. Hamlett (R48), in a study of United States census reports from 1922 to 1930, found that 1.13 per cent of whites and 1.42 per cent of Necroes were twins.

It is also to be noted that in Study III five parents, two fathers and three mothers, in the control group, and three parents, two fathers and one mother, in the experimental group, were twins.

The informants in Study III were asked whether there were any twins among their relatives; 49 (21 fathers and 28 mothers) in the control group and 40 (19 fathers and 21 mothers) in the experimental group reported relatives who were twins.\*

#### Rirth Order

Proportions of Only Children. Twenty-five subjects in the experimental group and 15 in the control group were only children; the difference is not statistically significant at the 5 per cent level of confidence; It is to be noted, however, that in Study II also there was a corresponding nonsignificant difference in the same direction, II of the 50 members of the stuttering group and 5 of the nonstuttering group being only children (see code "1" in 725). When the two samples of Study II and Study III are combined, there are \$6 only children out of 200 in the experimental groups and 20 out of 200 in the control groups, and the difference between these figures is statistically significant at the 5 per cent level of confidence. Relevant supporting data have also been reported in a study of 522 stutterers by Rotter (R94).

Position in Family. The order of birth of the children in the experimental and control groups in Study III is shown in 726. The data may be summarized by noting that in the control group of 150 children, 15 were only children as has been indicated, 41 were oldest, 53 youngest, and 41 were middle children (second, third, fourth, fifth, or sixth in order of birth) in their respective families; and for the experimental group the corresponding figures were 25 only children as Previously stated, 56 oldest, 45 youngest, and 24 middle children (second, third, or fourth in order of birth). It is to be considered that the oldest child in any family occupied the position of an only child until the arrival of a sibling, and, therefore, by combining the only

The detailed data from both studies are presented in 700. The data from Study II are not wholly comparable with those from Study III, because more types of relatives were included as indicated by responses \$2.48 in 790.

<sup>†</sup> See 728. Note that one child in the control group was an only child by virtue of the fact that a sibling had died at birth.

#### The Children

and oldest children in each group in Study III it is found that in the control group 56, or 37 per cent, and in the experimental group 81, or 54 per cent, of the children were, or for a time had been, only children. The difference between these two percentages is significant at the 2 per cent level, as indicated in Table 15.

### CHAPTER 4

# The Parents

In study the cach set of parents in the experimental group was placed in that group by virtue of the fact that a child of theirs had been accepted in the experimental group of children, according to the criteria set forth in Chapter 1. For each such child, another child of like sex, age, and socioeconomic level of family, who was not considered by his or her parents to be a stutterer, was selected for inclusion in the control group of children—and the parents of each such child became, by virtue of that fact, members of the control group of parents. The experimental and control groups of parents were compared with reference to many different dimensions and within each group the mothers were compared with the fathers in a variety of ways; the consequent findings are presented in this chapter.

#### Rasic Data

Table 10 summarizes information concerning the ages of the parents in the experimental and control groups at time of marriage (676), at time of birth of the child participating in the present study (8), and at date of interview (7). The control group mothers were, on the average, approximately nine months younger than the experimental group mothers on the date of interview, but this difference was not statistically significant at the 5 per cent level (t = 180; df = 299). The control group fathers were, on the average, approximately one year and five months younger than the experimental group fathers, and this difference was statistically significant at the 1 per cent level of confidence (t= 526; df = 295).

Table 11 summarizes information concerning the geographical areas of residence of the 600 parents. The two groups were much alike in this respect, and the bulk of the parents in both groups had been long-time residents of the midwestern section of the United States. There was no significant difference with respect to rural-urban background between either the two groups of fathers or the two groups of mothers (11).

Table 10. Mean Ages, in Years and Months, of Experimental and Control Groun Mothers and Fathers at Time of Present Marriage (676), at Birth of Child (8). and at Date of Interview (7)

	Control	Group	Experimen	tal Group
Item	Fathers (N = 150)	Mothers (N := 150)	Fathers (N = 150)	Mothers (N = 150)
At marriage			26 0	23:4
Mean	212	22.0	46	3.5
S.D	5.9	2.10	40	0.0
At birth of child				28:1
Mean	. 296	27:1	50-10	4:11
SD	5.2	49	5.7	4:11
At interview				53 0
Mean*	34.5	32 3	35.10	
SD	5.2	49	5 10	5:1

<sup>\*</sup>The difference between group means was significant at the 1 per cent level for fathers; nonsignificant for mothers Other differences between control and experimental group means were not significant, according to t test, at the 5 per cent level.

Table 11. Geographical Areas of Residence of Experimental and Control Group Mothers and Fathers (10)

	Experimen	tal Group	Control	Group	Total
Section of United States	Mothers	Fathers	Mothers	Pathers	
Midwestern Northeastern Southeastern Southern Southern Southern Vestern Other areas Total	137 6 0 2 . 0 3 2 150	139 4 0 2 0 2 3 150	131 6 3 2 0 3 2 150	150 5 0 2 1 0 2 150	550 21 3 8 1 8 9

# Socioeconomic Status and Education

The numbers of experimental and control group families falling within each of five socioeconomic classes, as defined by Warner, Meeker, and Eels (R117), are shown in 623, and for each group the range, mean, median, and 90th percentile of the index of status characteristics are presented in 622. The two groups were matched for socioeconomic status, and an attempt was made to match families not only with respect to socioeconomic class, as such, but also within the class with regard to index. The mean socioeconomic indexes were 45.5 and 45.3 for the experimental and control groups, respectively, with corresponding standard deviations of 10.7 and 10.4. Neither the variances nor the means differed significantly. Approximately 70 per 75

cent of the families in both groups were in the middle and upper classes, although only seven families were classified in the upper class, while 45 per cent were in the lower-middle class (623). In Study II 80 per cent of the families investigated were in the middle and upper

Warner does not indicate the proportion of families in the general population falling in each of his classes, but on the basis of such information as was available Darley expressed the opinion that his distribution of families in Study II was "markedly different from that of the general population, with a greater than normal sample from the upper-middle and lower-middle classes, and a smaller than normal sample from the lower classes" (R24, p. 97). Since the two groups of families were matched in both studies, the status of the experimental group families was the base of reference. It is to be kept in mind that in Study II most of the experimental group families were in some measure selected by virtue of the fact that they availed themselves of the clinical services of the University of Iowa. It is possible that the apparently somewhat lower mean socioeconomic status of the experimental group families in Study III was due to the fact that while all of them were served in or by the authority of university speech clinics, and were responsive to the appeals used or implied and the services made available to them in this investigation, relatively more of them than of the families in Study II may have been included because of the cooperation of professional workers, agencies, and organizations, and so may have been selected on a somewhat less restricted, or more nearly random, basis. Even so, in Study III, too, the distribution of the families in Warner's social classes appears definitely skewed, with relatively more of them in the middle and upper classes than is probably true for the general population. To the extent that this indication validly suggests that stuttering is a part of the price paid for civilization, it is of obvious theoretical and practical significance and invites further investigation.

For four additional items regarding the socioeconomic status and education of the parents there were statistically significant group differences, for either the mothers or fathers, or both, and these are summarized in Table 12. In general, compared with the experimental group, the control group parents had completed more formal education, rated more highly the degree to which the father's employment demonstrated and used his subilities, and rated their economic status more highly. More of the experimental group fathers gave living conditions as the main reason for moving whenever the family moved, and more of the centrol group fathers gave business reasons. The con-

Table 19. Results of Chi-Square Analysis of Responses to Rema Pertaining to Socioeconomic Status and Education of Parents on Which the Experimental and Control Groups Differed Significantly

Rem	Direction of Difference	Level of Confidence	Remarks
Level of education (13)	Control group mothers had completed more formal education than exper- imental group mothers	% *	Fathers followed similar trend, signifi- cant at 5% level
How well-to-do do you consider yourself to be now? (625)	Control group mothers rated themselves higher than experimental group mothers	%5	Pathers did not differ significantly
How well do you think your husband's present employment uses and demon- strates his abilities? (650)	Control group mothers rated the em- ployment of their husbands higher than experimental group mothers	<sub>2</sub>	l'athers (ollowed similar trend when rating own employment (1% level) and when estimating wives' rating of their (fathers') employment (1% level)
What was the main reason for moving whenever the family moved? (933)	More experimental group fathers gave living conditions as the main reason, whereas control group fathers tended to give business reasons	<u> </u>	Mathers did not differ significantly

trol group parents had attended school more years on the average than had the experimental group parents (13). The difference was significant at the 1 per cent level (t=2.71; df = 298) for the experimental and control group mothers, whose means were 12.0 and 13.4 years, respectively. Corresponding values for the fathers were 13.3 and 14.0 years, and the difference where these means was also significant at the 1 per cent level (t=3.33; df = 298).

The two groups did not differ with respect to regularity of employment (621), security of job (627), membership in college social societies (14), degree to which mothers liked their husbands' employment (629), frequency of change in residence (652), degree of pride in spouses' accomplishments and abilities (635), socioeconomic ratings of fathers (642), number of magazines subscribed to (645), number having adequate play space for children inside and outside, regardless of whether the house was owned or rented (649 and 650), and socioeconomic rating of the fathers of the parents during the parents' preschool years (805) and youth, 12-18 vears of age (812).

### Religion

Significantly more control than experimental group fathers and mothers were of the Protestant faith (15). There was no significant difference between the control and experimental group parents in reported amount of church attendance (17).

#### Social Adjustment and Attitudes

Fourteen items concerning social adjustments and attitudes of the parents were analyzed. For eight of them chi-square tests indicated statistically significant group differences, and these are presented in Table 13. The control group mothers and fathers were evaluated by the interviewers as having more social interests than the experimental group mothers and fathers. The control group mothers belonged to more organizations, gave higher ratings to the value of friendships, and participated more frequently in musical activities than did the experimental group mothers. The control group parents held more offices in organizations than did the experimental group parents. The esperimental group parents claimed to feel, however, that they could adjust to new situations and friends better than the control group parents thought they could parents thought they could

The two groups did not differ in frequency of attendance at movies (654), frequency of dancing (655), playing cards (656), vacations (650), or parties (601), or degree of concern over impressions made on others (668).

Table 15, Recults of Chi-Square Analysis of Responses to Rens Concerning Social Attitudes and Adjustments on Which the Experimental and Control Group Parents Differed Significantly

Item	Direction of Difference	Level of	Remarks
How many community organizations do you belong to? (632)	Control group mothers belonged to more organizations than experimental group mothers	1%	Fathers did not differ significantly
In how many organizations do you hold on office? (653)	Control group mothers held more offices in organizations than experimental group mothers	1%	Fathers followed similar trend, signifi- cant at 1% level
How frequently do you take part in musical activities? (657)	Control group mothers more frequently than experimental group mothers	1%	Fathers did not differ significantly
Interviewer's evaluation of informant's social interests (662)	Control group mothers rated as having more social interests than experimental group mothers	3%1	Fathers followed similar trend, signifi-
How important are your friendships to you? (668)	More important to control group mothers ers than to experimental group mothers	28	Fathers did not differ significantly
Now important are friendalips to your husband (wife)? (663)	More important to control group fathers (according to mothers) than to experi- mental group fathers	8%	Fathers did not differ significantly in rating their wives
How easily do you adjust to new situa- tions and new friends? (609)	More experimental than control group mothers said they have unusual poise and ability	2%1	Fathers followed similar trend, signifi- cant at 2% level
How eadly does your wife (husband) adjust to new situations and new friends?	More experimental than control group mothers and their spouses have unusual poise and ability	8	Fathers followed similar trend, signifi- cant at 2% level

..

Table 14. Tends of Chi-Square Analysis of Responses to Rems Conscring Marital Relationships on Table 14. Tends of Significantly and Control Group Parents Differed Significantly

80

	Remarks	The Ad not differ significantly	THE STATE OF THE S	Pathers did not differ significantly	Fathers did not differ significantly	Fathers did not differ significantly		Fathers did not differ significantly	Mothers did not differ significantly	
-	Level of	,	1%	2%	26%	. 18		88	26	
Current Control Group Parents	Which the Experimental and Officence Confidence		More control than experimental group	mothers were completely satisfied	Experimental group more tense selves as comparatively more tense	More experimental than control group mothers answered "yes"	Control group motners rated through more favorably than did experimental	group mothers More experimental than control group	mothers answered "yes"	more quarreling than control group
Table 14. Regults of	Which the	Item		Now well satisfied are you with your present marital relationship? (696)	As a general rule, how tense are you?	too demanding of the child? (711)	How do you think your married life	married friends? (710)	Do you consider the other parent to have spoiled the child? (709)	How much do you quarrel, as com- pared with your married friends? (679)

80 80 Experimental group fathers rated their Control group fathers confided more often than experimental group fathers

How readily do you confide in others?

How tense is your wife (husband)?

More experimental than control group wives as more tense than control group fathers rated their wives

Š

Mothers did not differ significantly

Mothers did not differ significantly

8

More experimental than control group

Do you consider the other parent to be too easygoing concerning the child? (710) Do you believe that the other parent

worries too much? (712)

fathers answered "yes" athers answered "yes"

- rating their husbands

Mothers did not differ significantly

- Mothers did not differ significantly i

Additional data concerning the adjustment patterns of the two groups of parents are to be found in Chapter 7, in which the analysis of responses to the Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory is presented.

#### Marital Relationships

Too few parents in either group had been previously married (671), or separated from their present spouses (678), and too few were dissatisfied with the way their spouses were rearing their children (694), to warrant statistical evaluation of responses to these items. Moreover, the usual moods of the parents as rated by their spouses could not be categorized into a contingency table suitable for chi-square analysis (721).

Ten items for which chi-square values indicated statistically significant group differences for either the mothers or fathers, or both, are summarized in Table 14. The differences for all these items indicated better marital status and relationship in the control than in the experimental group families. The experimental group respondents seemed to be more dissatisfied with the behavior of their spouses, including their behavior toward the child in question in each case, and they also reported more behavior which would be likely to create tense, undesirably emotional, and generally unfavorable home environments.

No significant group differences were found for the remaining similar items, which covered the degree to which the husbands and wives said they enjoyed being with each other (677); the degree of differences over religion (680), use of money (681), discipline of the children (682), amount of social life desired (683), kind of entertainment preferred (684), types of friends preferred (685), amount of ambition (686), attitudes toward husband's employment (687), attitudes toward wife's employment (688), feelings about in-laws (689), notions about how to spend vacations (690), preferred recreation (691), politics (692), and radio and television listening (693); how well satisfied each parent was with the other parent's participation in the rearing of the child in each case (694); degree to which they confided in each other (697); extent to which they were easygoing (701): standards of neatness (703 and 708); amount of time spent with child (518); degree to which each feels the other is inconsistent in handling the child (715); frequency with which one of the parents sides with the child against other parent (716 and 717); and desire to be single (718).

### Social Home Environment

Of the items concerning social home environment, there were three, dealing with the nature of the fathers' prolonged absences from home, that yielded distributions unfavorable for computation of chi-square values. Military service was the main reason for prolonged absence in both groups (750 and 751). Three items were evaluated by means of t tests. For five items, as responded to by the mothers, there were statistically significant chi-square values. The fathers differed on two additional items. Table 15 presents a summary of these seven items. As has already been observed, more of the experimental than control group children were oldest or only children. More of the experimental than control group mothers enjoyed the oldest child the most. Control group families more frequently went on picnics, according to the mothers but not the fathers. According to the fathers but not the mothers more of the experimental group families never played games together. The control group parents rated their neighbors as more friendly than did the experimental group, although the experimental group mothers reported that their neighbors treated their children more favorably than did the control group mothers. More experimental than control group fathers had been absent from home for six months or more, according to the fathers but not according to the mothers

The two groups were essentially similar with respect to the mean total amount of time the mothers and fathers, respectively, had been separated from their children (754 and 755). The median value was one month for all four subgroups; the means were greater than the medians, but the group means were not significantly different for either the fathers or mothers.

The mean numbers of children of the present marriages of the control and experimental group parents were 2.75 and 2.32, respectively, with standard deviations of 1.18 and 1.05 (725). The F test of variance was not significant, and the difference between the means was statistically significant at the 1 per cent level (f = 4.85; df = 2.985). Consideration is to be given, however, to the non-normal character of the two distributions.

The two groups of parents did not differ significantly in their answers to questions concerning intention to have more children (727), number of wives having outside employment (730), number of families in which both parents worked during the infancy or early years of the child's life (731), frequency with which both parents were home evenings (732), number of persons other than members of the immediate family who had lived in the home at any one time (735).

Table 15. Results of Chi-Square Analysis of Responses to Roma Concerning Social Home Environment on Which the Responses of the Esperimental and Control Group Parents Differed Significantly

Item Direction of Difference	Direction of Difference	Level of Confidence	Remarks
What is the birth order of the child? (726)	More experimental than control group children were oldest or only children according to mothers	%*	Question not asked of fathers
How friendly are your neighbors? (741)	Control group mothers rated their neighbors as more friendly than experi- mental group mothers rated theirs	%	Fathers followed similar trend, signifi-
Jiow do your neighbors usually treat your child? (742)	Experimental group mothers rated their neighbors more favorably than control group mothers rated theirs	% #	Pathers did not differ significantly
How often do you and your family go all together on picnica? (743)	More experimental than control group muthers answered "once a year or less"	1%	Fathers did not differ significantly
most? (599)	More experimental than control mothers answered "oldest child"	862	Fathers did not differ significantly
Now often do you and your family play parlor or card games together? (747)	More experimental than control group fathers answered "never"	ž.	Mothers did not differ significantly
iss the latter been absent from home for any extended period of time (six months or more)? (750)	More experimental than control group fathers answered "yes,"	%	Mothers did not differ significantly

length of time this maximum number had lived in the home (736); frequency with which the child met visitors in the home (737); degree of belief in having "child seen and not heard" (738 and 739); degree to which the parents liked their neighbors (740); how often the family had taken part in auto trips (744) and movies (745); amount of wholehearted laughing done by the parents (748 and 749); sex of the child enjoyed most (600); whether or not the child in question was enjoyed most (598); and whether the child in question was said by his or her parents to be the brightest (606), slowest (607), or the one of whom they demanded the most (608).

#### Family Health

For three items concerning family health the distributions were unfavorable for computation of chi-square values: nearly all children of both groups had good appetites (169), not very many children had many food dislikes (173), and only two fathers and three mothers in the control group and two fathers and four mothers in the experimental group reported persons with epilepsy in their families (772). Only one item yielded a significant chi-square value. The experimental group fathers rated themselves more highly than did the control group fathers with regard to the amount of energy they felt themselves to have (783); the difference was statistically significant at the 2 per cent level of confidence. The mothers did not differ on this item.

The two groups did not differ significantly with respect to the number of twins in the family (790), present health of the parents (756 and 758), number of times members of the family had been ill (759), total time covered by illnesses in the family (760), the places where ill persons in the family were taken care of (761), the persons who cared for them (762), what was done with the child during family illnesses (763), how much the parents worried about getting sick (764 and 765), the parents appetites (766 and 768), how well the parents slept (767 and 760), the parents' appetites (766 and 768), how well the parents slept (777) and allergies (774) in the families, and frequency with which the parents used alcohol (795 and 796).

#### Handedness

There were no group differences with regard to the number of parents who had had their own handedness changed (776). The mean scores of the four parental subgroups on the Brief Handedness Questionnaire (775) were 98, 93, 91, and 95 for the control group fathers and mothers and experimental group fathers and mothers, respectively. On the Iowa Unimanual Hand Usase Questionnaire (785) as mean score of 1.3 was made by both groups of fathers, and both groups of mothers scored a mean of 1.5. The median value for both groups of fathers was 1.5; the median of the control group mothers was 1.6 and that of the experimental group mothers 1.7. As has been stated in Chapter 3, the mean scores of the two groups of children on this questionnaire (788) were 1.3 and 1.4 for the control and exnerimental group children, respectively; for both groups the median was

The numbers of persons per family (the child's parents, siblings, uncles, aunts, and grandparents-blood relatives only) who were right-handed, left-handed, mixed as to handedness, or undetermined (784-787) are indicative of no appreciable group differences. The handedness of the informants' siblings and parents appeared to be very similar; 94 per cent of the siblings of the experimental group mothers and 92 per cent of those of the control group mothers, and 95 per cent of the siblings of both groups of fathers, were right-handed (777-770). Of the maternal grandmothers of the experimental group children, 97 per cent were right-handed, and of the maternal grandmothers of the control group children 92 per cent were right-handed; corresponding percentages for the paternal grandmothers were 93 and 96 (780). Of the maternal grandfathers of the experimental group children 93 per cent were right-handed, and of the maternal grandfathers of the control group children 93 per cent, the same proportion, were right-handed; corresponding percentages for the paternal grandfathers were 97 and 92 (781). The differences between the experimental and control groups were not significant for either the mothers or fathers for any of these items (777-781).

# Speech Attitudes and Characteristics

The parents' answers to thirty-one questions were involved in this section of the analysis. Two of these items yielded distributions unfavorable for computation of chi-square values: too few parents tried to prevent their children from coming in contact with stutterers (334), or compared them with stutterers (\$37).

The statistically significant chi-square values are summarized in Table 16. These items reflect the experimental group parents' greater concern, worry, and sensitivity about their children's speech. It is to be duly considered that the responses in question represent the attitudes of the two groups of parents, not before the problem of stuttering arose, but at the time of the interview, eighteen months on the average after the reported onset of the problem. The greater concern of the experimental group parents may well have been in some degree

Table 16. Results of Chi-Square Analysis of Responses to Resus Pertaining to Speech Atlitudes and Control Chemps Differed Significantly Control Chemps Differed Significantly	
- 1	-
ğ _	
5	
25	
쭚흲။	
3.5	•
G	,
Per	l
E S	l
tal to	۱
ponse	ĺ
Saper Saper	ļ
Sis of	I
福	į
1 2 2	į
es es	ĺ
5	Ì
ag i	
F 1	
10	į
1	,

ι,

Significantly	Remarks
Table 16. Results of Chi-Square Analysis of Responses to Heins Perfolung to Appeal of Confrontly Chamberlaides of Percult on Which the Experimental and Control Groups Differed Significantly	Level of Remarks

gnificantly	Remai	nce
Control Groups Differed S.	Level of	Confidence
sults of Chi-Square Analysis of Responses to ttems to the Differed Significantly ties of Parents on Which the Experimental and Control Groups Differed Significantly		Direction of Difference

i

Fathers followed similar trend, signifi-

13

cant at 1% level

similar trend, signifi-

Fathers followed cant at 1% level

% % 20 13 1% 23 28

More experimental than control group More experimental than control group More experimental than control group Experimental group mothers more con-Experimental group mothers rated hus-More experimental than control group More experimental than control group More control than experimental group athers accepted nonfluencies as part of mothers said spouses were ashamed

mothers answered "yes" mothers answered "yes"

Have you ever been concerned about Has your husband (wife) ever been concerned about the child's speech? Has any other relative ever been con-How concerned are you now about the How concerned is your husband (wife) How ashamed are you of the child's How ashamed is your wife (husband) of Describe your impression of what nor-

E

86

he child's speech? (190)

Fathers followed similar trend, signifisimilar trend, signifiPathers followed similar trend, signifi-

Fathers followed cant at 1% level

cerned than control group mothers answered "yes"

> cerned about the child's speech? (192) child's stuttering (nonfluency)? (321)

bands as more concerned mothers were ashaned

ant at 1% level

Pathers followed similar trend, signifi-Mothers followed similar trend, signifi-

ant at 5% level

cant at 1% level

ormal speech

the child's speech now? (524)

speech now? (323) DOW! (\$25)

mal speech is like (304)

Fathers did not differ significantly

ant at 1% level

Table 17. Besults of Chi-Square Analysis of Revpouses to Items Concerning Parental Attlindes and Standards Concerning Child Develorment on Which the Errerimental and

Control Group Parents Differed Significancy		
Direction of Difference	Level of Confidence	Remarks
More control than experimental group mothers answered "yes"	%1	Fathers followed similar trend, signifi- cant at 1% level
More control than experimental group mothers answered "yes"	261	Fathers answering for their wives followed similar trend, significant at 156 level
More experimental than control group mothers said norms were disturbing or not helpful	2,1	More experimental than control group fathers were not aware of norms, sig- nificant at 6% level
More experimental than control group mothers said children were "waited on constantly" or were "spoiled and induged excessively" when ill	1%	Fathers followed similar trend, signifi- cant at 1% level
More experimental than control group mothers wished the child were more alert.	8%	Fathern did not differ significantly
More experimental than control group mothers wished their children were dif- ferent, either more or less cautious	%9	Fathers did not differ significantly
Group k  Treetion -  Treetion	of Difference agreemental group "yes" a experimental group "yes" and a serimental group system of the propose o	الدعوم معا

a function of the problem, or an integral part of it. With this understood, then, it is to be noted that more experimental than control group parents indicated that they felt, and that they believed the spouses felt, some degree of shame over their children's speech. In describing "normal speech" more control than experimental group parents indicated that they accepted nonfluencies as part of normal speech (S64).

The interviewers judged more experimental than control group fathers, twenty-six and four, respectively, to have some kind of defective speech; it is to be noted that except for ten of the experimental group fathers for whom some degree of stuttering was reported, twelve of the twenty-six were said to have made minor articulation errors and four were recorted to have sooken with a foreign dislated (707).

No significant group differences were found with respect to the amount of speech the parents used during the interviews, as rated by the interviewers (793), the parents' reactions to stutterers they had known (330), the degree of importance attached by the parents to contact of the child with a stutterer (333), the amounts of reading the parents had done about stuttering (over 95 per cent in both groups of parents had read in material on stuttering only slightly or not at all; 75 per cent of the experimental group parents and 70 per cent of the control group parents had done no reading about the problem; \$38), the degree of concern the parents had felt over whether their own children might develop stuttering (340 and 341), the degree to which the parents had corrected each other's pronunciation (354), the amount of talking done by the parents or someone else for their children (186), the number of family members reported to be talkative (187), and the number of children who had had physical trouble with some part of the peripheral speech mechanism (193).

## Attitudes and Standards Concerning Children's Development

The few items in this category for which chi-square values were statistically significant are summarized in Table 17. More control than experimental group parents stated a belief in a relationship between handedness or change of handedness and the development of stuttering. The experimental group mothers had found developmental norms more disturbing and less helpful than control group mothers had, more experimental than control group parents indicated that their children were "waited on constantly" or "spoiled and induged excessively" when ill. More experimental than control group mothers wished their children were more altert and either more roless cautious.

Nonsignificant differences were obtained with respect to the proportions expressing a desire to have their children be more or less mischievous (374), cooperative (380), aggressive (386), self-confident (302), popular (396), and well-mannered (405).

Chi-square treatment did not reveal a significant difference between the two groups of parents with respect to the degree of satisfaction with the intelligence of their children (596), or with regard to their ways of comparing their own with neighborhood children in intelligence (597). With regard to encouraging or discouraging their children to play alone (508), and to desiring to have their children's friends come to their homes (360-370), the two groups were similar.

The following characteristics or problems were reported for insufficient numbers of children to warrant chi-square analyses: parents' concern over their children's sleeplessness (409), nightmares (411), bed wetting (413), hitting other children (427), fighting (429), jealousy (431), crying at school (435), lying (437), hurting pets (441), constipation (443), nail biting (445), face twitching (447), fainting (449), bullying (460), and disobedience (463). Other distributions were unfavorable for chi-square analysis because too few parents wanted their children to be more attractive (475), too few children showed left-handed preferences (96 and 100), too few parents had changed their children's handedness (102 and 103), too few children were reported to have written backwards (107), and too few parents had discouraged left-handedness (108 and 109). Another item that did not prove amenable to chi-square treatment was 58; the responses to this question may be summarized by saying that fourteen of the experimental group and nine of the control group did not hold the child during bottle feeding, according to the fathers, but the answers of the two groups of mothers differed only by one, and so far as the other coded responses to this item were concerned the two groups of parents were essentially alike.

The number of items pertaining to parents' attitudes and standards concerning the development of their children to which the responses of the experimental and control group informants were generally similar was so large that reference is to be made to the Summary Table for further and detailed negative findings.

Responses to eight items (112-119) pertaining to the parents' standards of child development are summarized in Table 18. The experimental group mothers indicated that they had consistently higher standards than the control group mothers, although the differences are not statistically significant for all eight items. The experimental group fathers followed a similar but somewhat less pronounced trend.

Table 19. Mean and Sharkard Drivitions, in Months, of the Ages at Which the Experimental and Control George Parette Released a Child Should Achieve Various Abilities (115-119)

	Control Group Parents Helia	oup Parent	Believed a	Control Group Parents Believed a Child Should Atmers	temera i				
5						Experimental		•	Significance
ю		-	Control Group	E.		Group		Diff.	Level of f
	Item			G	z	Mean	BD.		
		z	Micen	5				i	
				According to Mothers	Mothers				
			į		3.50	17.43	3 53	06.	2,0
	150 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · 150	120	1801	17.0		64.02	9.4	80.3	2%
	True long control 149	149	88 00	DO R	= =	27.31	0.80	288	•
	transition control (day)	1	30.18	8.30		10.4	17.18	5.58	•
	Jave Diagram (night) 148	148	43.10	19 05	2	10.10		4.00	1%
	Madder control (mbit)	140	. 18.33	7 03	150	18.7%			2
	Speak words		87.09	7.00	150	28.19	7.88	60.1	0/.
_	Speak sentences	2	01.00	01.01	150	38.77	13.38	1.73	S Z
_	Speak intelligibly	2 :	000		158	48.00	16.30	9.67	Z
-	Speak fluently 143	2	08.17	200					
				According to Fathers	Fathers				
		•	•	41.0	148	17.17	4.57	1.2%	•
-	Walk alone 148	2 :	00.01		140	95.97	10.0	2.76	1%
_	Have bowel control 141	Ξ.	21.6%		1	65.66	10.48	3.77	•
	•	£.	20.43	2	: 3	97.70	17.81	8.74	•
_	Have bladder control (night)	071	43.6	14.64	: :		202	60	•
-	Spenk words 147	. 147	05.50	21.0	:	20.01		2	NS
_	Speak sentences	14	30,78	10.56	2 :	20.00	86.61	91.6	13
	Sneak intelligibly 149	₽.	38.20	18.40	2	201	9	50.	52
	Speak flurndy 148	14	53.18	18.03	140	34.0€	18 00	1.00	2

. Plest for equal variance was statistically significant and t test was not computed.

Table 19, Results of Chi-Square Analysis of Responses to Rems Pertaining to the Parents Disciplinary Practices and Attitudes on Which the Experimental and Control Groups Differed Significantly

Item	Direction of Difference	Level of Confidence	Remarks
How frequently is the child punished?	Experimental group mothers reported a higher frequency than control group	2%	Fathers did not differ significantly
Is the child punished regularly for quar-	mothers  More experimental than control group  mothers answered "no"	%1	Fathers followed similar trend, signifi- cant at 5% level
To wan feel wour wife (husband) is too	More control than experimental group	2%	Fathers did not differ significantly
strict, too lax, or about right? (564) How much does it bother you when	mothers answered "about right"  More experimental than control group mothers were bothered a great deal	%	Fathers did not differ significantly
house? (568) Ilow well behaved is your child? (555)	More control than experimental group	£% 61	Fathers did not differ significantly
Is the child punished for talking back?	mothers rated child favorably  More control than experimental group [athers answered "yes"	86	Mothers did not differ significantly
(210)			

### Disciplinary Practices and Attitudes

Significant chi-square values for items concerning disciplinary practices and attitudes of the two groups of parents are presented in Table 19. In general, the experimental group parents seemed a bit less satisfied with their disciplinary relations with their children. More control than experimental group mothers felt that their children were well behaved. More control group mothers felt that their children were well will be the state of the s

The experimental group parents, especially the mothers, seemed not to have their attitudes toward punishment well integrated with their punishment practices. When asked how frequently their children were punished (536), the experimental group mothers reported higher frequencies than did the control group mothers, and yet for the only two specific questions in this connection for which differences were significant, it was the control group parents who more often reported giving punishment; more control group parents said their children were "punished regularly for quarreling with other children" (554), and more control group fathers said their children were punished for "talking back" (543). Moreover, the parents were asked whether or not their children were punished for each of eighteen activities (541-558), and their answers, summarized in 559 and in Table 20, indicate that the control group children were punished for a greater mean number of activities, three of the four mean differences shown in Table 20 being significant at the 5 or 1 per cent level.

Table 20. Means and Standard Deviations of Number of Activities (N = 18) for Which Children Were Punished, According to Mothers and Fathers of the Cristal and Fathers

Parent	_ c	ontrol Gro	up	1	Experimen Group	tal	Significance Level of t
	N	Mean	S.D.	N	Mean	S.D.	
Mothers All Punish	150	3.77	2 88	150	3.27	2.00	5%
group* .	. 137	4.13	2.51	124	5.93	2.33	NS
All Punish	150	4.04	2.75	150	3.24	3 04	1%
group.	135	4 19	2.52	122	3.93	2.90	5%

<sup>\*</sup> Exclusive of parents who reportedly punished their children for none of the 13 activities.

In any attempt to interpret this apparent discrepancy between feeling and performance on the part of the experimental group parents, as compared with the control group, due attention is to be given to the related finding of no significant differences between the groups as to the amount of punishment the parents gave their own children compared with what the parents believed to be the amount of punishment the average child receives (537); the frequency with which the children were reportedly punished between the ages of one and four years (538); the number of children punished for messing up their own rooms, for spilling, disobedience, interrupting conversations, lying, swearing, fighting with other children, being rude, destroying things, and getting dirty (541-558); the parents' ratings of their own strictness (561 and 563); how much fathers worry about spoiling their children (566 and 567); and the method of punishment reported as being most effective (540).

# Comparative Analysis of Evaluative Responses

A comparative analysis of the responses of the two groups of parents to 45 items in Tables 12-17 and 19 yielded the following results:

- 1. For 40 items the control group responses were the more favorable, or less indicative of concern, discontent, or difficulty, or involved higher ratings
  - a. For 18 items this was true for mothers only
  - b. For 8 items this was true for fathers only c. For 14 items this was true for both mothers and fathers
- 2. For 5 items the experimental group responses were the more favorable, or less indicative of concern, discontent, or difficulty, or involved higher ratings
  - a. For 1 item this was true for mothers only
  - b. For 1 item this was true for fathers only c. For 3 items this was true for both mothers and fathers
  - 3. On 8 items the two groups of mothers did not differ significantly
- 4. On 18 items the two groups of fathers did not differ significantly The difference between 40 and 5 was significant at the 1 per cent

level (z = 5.23). The subgroup totals were too small to warrant computation of significance values.

# Intragroup Comparisons of Mothers and Fathers

The general hypothesis that stuttering in children reflects tension in the home is probably widely held, at least - and perhaps nearly always - in the form of a vague hunch. It would seem that one of the

meaningful ways to test the validity of this notion lies in the sort of analysis that is now to be presented. This consisted of an investigation of the differences between the responses made independently by the mothers and fathers within the experimental and control groups, respectively. The analysis involved simple intragroup, as well as intergroup intragroup, comparisons, and the nature of these can be made clear most readily by presenting the results of the analysis.

Intragroup comparisons were made in order to determine the degree to which mothers and fathers agreed on various items. In Table 21 a breakdown is presented of the number of items selected for analysis, of chi-square tables examined, and of chi-square values computed. The discrepancy between the number of chi-square tables examined and chi-square values computed is due to small theoretical frequencies and patterns of categorization of responses to various items. More chi-square tables than items were examined because cross-comparisons were made on some items, including (1) comparison of mothers' and fathers' self-ratings, (2) comparison of mothers' and fathers' ratings of each other, (3) comparison of mother's rating of self and father's rating of mother, and (4) comparison of father's rating of self and mother's rating of father. A total of 987 chi-square values were computed in comparing fathers with mothers. Of these, 135 were statistically significant at or beyond the 5 per cent level. The statistically significant differences are summarized in Tables 99-37.

#### ITEMS ON WHICH BOTH GROUPS OF PARENTS DISAGREED

The statistically significant differences between husbands and wives were about equally divided between the experimental and control groups, and on many items both groups differed in the same direction. For example, both groups of fathers had had more education than the mothers; fathers rated their own employment as being more challenging than it was rated by the mothers; fathers belonged to more organizations than the mothers; fathers rated their wives' appearance as better than it was rated by the wives themselves; more fathers than mothers were more dissatisfied with the way fathers participated in the home training and discipline of the children than the fathers were with the way the mothers were rearing the children; mothers confided in the fathers more than the fathers confided in the mothers; mothers were more tense than their husbands (self-ratings); fathers were more easygoing than their wives (self-ratings); fathers rated their wives as more irritable than the mothers rated their husbands; mothers rated their own standards of conduct higher than they were

Table 21. Intragroup Comparisons Involving Evaluation of Differences between Fathers and Mothers within the Experimental and Control Groups

. Item 1	tems	Chi-Square Tables	Va	quare lues puted	Signi	are Values Scant Level
	ammed	Tables Examined	Exper- imental	Centrol	Exper- imental	Control
Birth history and						
early development						
of the child	29	29	27	23	0	4
Health and physical						
datus	2	2	1	1	0	0
Speech development						
of the child	19	19	18	18	0	0
Social development						
of the child	98	98	89	91	6	4
School history						
of the child	4	4	2	q	0	0
Basic data on parents	\$	2	1	1	0	0
Socioeconomic status,						
education, and physical						_
home environment	24	26	26	54	8	3
Religion	3	8	2	2	0	1
Parents' social attitudes					_	_
and adjustments	17	20	20	20		5 18
Marital relationship Social home	33	61	53	54	16	
environment , ,	27	32	31	29	1	1
Family health .	19	\$1	30	28	6	5
Parents' attitudes						
toward the child's						
development	94	101	70	63	12	15
Parents' speech attitudes					_	_
and characteristics . Parents disciplinary	37	46	45	20	6	2
attitudes and					_	1
practices	31	39	32	25	5	
Parents' attitudes						
toward the child's						
intelligence and			_	4	1	2
school record	8	9	7	i	ė	ĩ
Interview reactions	3	8	1	i	õ	ō
Handedness of family	2	2	1		•	
Age, circumstance, and						
nature of stuttering/			25	11	1	1
nonfluency at onset	23	29	13	••	•	
Subsequent						
development of		17	18	6	£	0
stuttering/nonfluency . Parents' attitudes	17	17	10	•		
toward stuttering/non-						
fluency	36	59	58	13	5	.0
Total		654	337	450	71	63

Table 82. Results of Chi-Square Arabysis of Revenues to Rema Concerning History of Birth and Early Development on Which the Mathers and Fathern Differed Significantly

Early Development on Themps		Lambol	Townships
Tems	Direction of Difference	Confidence	Confidence
	the state of the s	25	then for 2% Experimental group parents did not
Occurrence of falls during pregnancy More	control group mounts		differ significantly

Experimental group parents did not differ significantly parents did not Experimental group parents did not Experimental group parents did not Experimental group differ significantly differ significantly differ significantly 3 33 200 ŝ More control group mothers than fa-thers answered "yes" Control group mothers rated more chil-Control group fathers rated children Control group fathers rated children nore favorably than did control group dren at extremes than did control group more favorably than did control group thers reported mother in nothers athers How much did the child cry during the first few weeks or months of its life? Rate the child's coordination in catch-Were there any other unusual circum-Rate the child's coordination in runstances connected with the birth? (50) ning (87)

nothers

Table 22. Results of Chi-Square Analysis of Responses to Herns Pertaining to Social Development of Child on Which the Mothers and Pathers Differed Significantly

	differ	differ	differ	differ	differ	i dir.	t dif-	मुक्त	differ	
	ig	iot 1	Por	10t	30	2	E E	<u> </u>	not	
	P.F		did	Ē	Pj.	Ę	ata e	ata 6	Đị.	
Remarks	Control group parents did not differ significantly	parents	parents	parenta	parents	oup pare	oup pare	oup pare	parents	
	group	dnoug (ly	group	daoas	group 1)y	ntal gr	ntal gr	ntal gr	dnog	
	Control gro	Control group parents did not differ significantly	Control group parents did not differ significantly	Control group parents did not differ	Control group parents did not differ significantly	Experimental group parents ded not dif- fer significantly	Experimental group parents did not dif- fer significantly	Experimental group parents did not dif- fer significantly	Control group purents did not differ significantly	
Level of Confidence	8,5	89 81	%	25	5%	2,42	88	5% 5%	82	
Direction of Difference	Experimental group fathers rated chil- dren more favorably than did experi- mental group mothers	Less jealousy in children reported by experimental group mothers than by the fathers	More experimental group fathers than mothers rated children favorably	More experimental group fathers than mothers answered "ng"	Experimental group mothers did not rate children as favorably as their hus- hands thought they would	More control group fathers than mothers rated children favorably	More control group fathers than mothers rated children favorably	More control group fathers than mothers rated children favorably	More experimental group mothers than fathers reported additional fears	
Item	How well does your child play with other children? (372)	How often has jealousy occurred dur- ing the past month? (430)	How readly does your child give up on bard tasks as a rule? (472) Do son blink the child	picked on? (408)	rorrections and suggestions? (524)	How mell-renfilment is wesse think seen	How much does roue child lands? (400)	What strong fears does the child have	other than these concerned with speech?	

ing Socioeronomic Status, Physical Home

Significantly	Remarks	
s and Fathers Differen	Level of	Confidence
nare Analysis of Responses to Rems Conce	(tou)	Direction of Difference
Table 21. Results of Chi-Square Analysis of Responses to Rems Concerning Sections Differed Significantly	Environment, Educa	

red Significantly Remarks	Control group parents Johnson
dence	Contra

Control group parents did not differ significantly trend, significant at 1% level 23 23 Experimental group fathers had more education than experimental nothers

56 More experimental group mothers than fathers'

How well do you like present employ-nent (your husband's employment)?

Level of education (19)

How well do you think your present employment uses and demonstrates your of employment vs. fathers' evaluation of mothers' rating (639, 631) How proud are you of your abilities and accomplishments? (034)

shiftles (husband's abilities)? (630)

Mothers' evaluation of fathers' rating

The fathers' jobs were rated as challengemployment

ing by more fathers than mothers in the experimental group

Control group parents followed similar trend, significant at 1% level Control group parents followed similar trend, significant at 3% level

> Š More experimental group fathers thought their wives would evaluate their (the fathers') employment as challenging

بو ķ More experimental group mothers than han proved to be the case

Experimental group fathers thought the mothers felt more pride than the mothathers rated themselves unfavorably ers said they felt

Mothers thought the fathers felt more pride than the fathers said they felt

2

cignificantly

Control group parents did not differ

Control group parents did not differ sig-

nificantly

Control group parents did not differ Control group parents this not differ hignificantly rignificantly

ŗ,

More experimental group fathers than

grandfathers in upper class

Father's socioeconomic class vs. his father's (the grandfather's) present class How often do you attend church? (17)

(623, 642)

(634, 635) (634, 633)

Father's evaluation of own pride vs. mother's evaluation of father's pride

Mother's evaluation of own pride vs. father's evaluation of mother's pride Experimental group parents did not dif-fer significantly

ş

more frequently than control group fa-Control group mothers attended church

Table 28, Results of Chi-Square Analysis of Responses to Rema Pertaining to Social Attitudes and Attachements of Parameters with Technical Manufacture of Parameters and Mallian Trifficant Standards.

Item	Direction of Difference	Level of Confidence	Remarks
Number of organizations to which you belong (632)	Experimental group fathers belonged to more than experimental group mothers did	% 94	Control group parents followed similar trend, statistically significant at 1% level
Frequency of participation in musical activities (657)	Control group mothers participated more frequently than control group fathers did	2%	Experimental group parents did not differ significantly
How important are your friendships to	More important to control group mothers	<u>%</u> 1	Experimental group parents this not differ significantly
How careful are you of your general appearance in social situations? (608)	More control group fathers than mothers rated themselves at extremes (high or low)	χ <sup>0</sup> 61	Experimental group parents did not differ significantly
Mother's rating of own appearance vs. father's rating of mother's appearance (669, 867)	Experimental group fathers rated their wives more favorably than the wives rated themselves	261	Control group parents followed similar trend, significant at 1% level
How much do you care about the im- pressons you make on others? (668)	Experimental group mothers more sen- sitive than their husbands	1%	Control group parents did not differ significantly

.

1	١	imile i	
		Control group parents followed simils	level
	Remarks	parents	200 12 12
nrital		ol group	significan
ing to M	_	Sont	past
Table 84 Results of Chi-Square Analysis of Responses to Rens Pertaining to Marital Table 84 Results on Which Molders and Inthers Differed Significantly	Level of Confidence	: 1	
es to Iter		1	More experimental group mothers than
f Respon	w of Difference		p mothers
Analysis o	G 70		ntal grou
il-Square		Direct	experime
alts of Ch	diam'r.		1
e 26. Res	JIEGO		1
Tabl			1
		Item	
	ĺ		١

More experimental group mothers than How aerious are your differences over amount of social life? (683) flow serious are your differences over kinds of entertainment preferred? (691) Now serious are your differences over

Experimental group parents did not dif-Experimental group parents did not Control group parents followed similar

fer significantly

35 25

4 1

> More control group mothers than fa-thers reported no differences More control group mothers than fa-More experimental group mothers than fathers expressed dissatisfaction with fathers reported no differences thers reported no differences

Experimental group mothers confuled nore than fathers

> your mate is rearing the child (partici-How readily do you confide in your wife (husband)? (697) As a general rule, how tense are you? (699)

of the child)? (001) unbition? (686)

flow well satisfied are you with the way

Control group parents followed similar trend, significant at 1% level Control group parents followed similar Experimental group parents did not dif-

> % Ę

trend, significant at 1% level

trend, significant at 1% level

ķ

differ significantly

Esperimental group mothers were more tense than fathers

ξ. 6 25 Control group mothers were more ir-Experimental group fathers were more ritable than fathers

> As a rule, how irritable are you? (700) How enaygoing are you? (701)

š Experimental group fathers rated their wives as more tense than their wives easygoing than mothers rated them

ated them

Informant's rating of mate's irritability

Informant's rating of mate's tenvenced

Control group parents did not differ Control group parents followed similar trend, significant at 2% level Control group parents followed similar trend, significant at 2% level ter significantly significantly Ę wives as more irritable than their wives Experimental group fathers rated their

100

72
onlinu
1 92
Table

	TAURE 28 CONTRACT		
ltem.	Direction of Difference	Level of Confidence	Remarks
Informant's rating of mate's easygoing- ness (706)	Control group fathers rated their wives as less easygoing than their wives rated them	889	Experimental group parents did not dif- fer significantly
Informant's rating of mate's standards of conduct (797)	Experimental group fathers rated stand- ards of their wives higher than their wives rated their (the fathers) stand- ards	%	Control group parents did not differ significantly
Mother's rating of own tenseness vs. (a- ther's rating of her tenseness (690, 704)	Experimental group mothers rated them- selves as more tense than their hus- bands rated them	% <b>*</b>	Control group parents did not differ significantly
Father's rating of own irritability vs. mother's rating of his irritability (700, 705)	Control group fathers rated themselves as more irritable than their wives rated thera	1%	Experimental group parents did not dif- fer significantly
Father's rating of own easygoingness va. mother's rating of his easygoingness (701, 709)	Control group mothers rated fathers as more catygoing than fathers rated them- selves	84	Experimental group parents did not dif- fer significantly
Mother's rating of own standards of conduct vs. father's rating of mother's standards of conduct (702, 707)	Experimental group mothers rated them- selves higher than their husbands rated them	%1	Control group parents followed similar trend, significant at 2% level
Father's rating of own neatness vs. mother's rating of his neatness (703, 708)	Control group fathers rated themselves as less neat than they were rated by their wives	1%	Experimental group parents did not dif- fer significantly
Mother's rating of own neathers vs. fa- ther's rating of her neathers (703, 708)	Experimental group mothers rated them- selves as more neat than their husbands rated them	3%	Control group parents followed similar trend, significant at 1% level

Table 26 -- continued

Remarks	did not differ	Control group parties significantly	Control group parents did not unier significantly	Control group parents followed similar trend, significant at 1% level	Control group parents followed similar trend, significant at 5% level	Control group parents did not differ significantly	Experimental group parents did not differ significantly	e Social Home
Level of		1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	8.°c	
C Difference	Direction of	More experimental group fathers than	mothers answered "no"	mothers answered "yes"	fathers answered "yes".	mothers answered "yes"  Experimental group mothers did so more	Control group fathers wished this more	Ilome
	Lean		Do you consider the other parent to be too demanding of the child? (711)	Do you think the other parent wornes too much? (712)	Do you think the other parent is away from home too much? (713)	Do you consider the other parent to be with the child too much? (714)	against the other parent in the presence of the child? (716) How often do you wish you were single?	(318)

102

Home	
Table 27, Bredit of Chi-Square Analysis of Responses to Rens Corneling Social Home Environment on Which Molders and Palvert Differed Semicranity Transits	

Table 27. Results of Chi-Square Analysis of Regionws to Rems Concerning Social Home Processing Significantly Provisionment on Which Mothers and Pathers Differed Significantly	Analysis of Responses to I h Mothers and Fathers D	tens Concerning Soci	, Concerning Social Home ed Significantly
Item Direction of Difference Con	Direction of Difference	3.5	Remarks
er's ansuer to "As a general rule, More experi	the More experimental fathers said "no" 2%	S. S. S.	Control group parents did not differ significantly

	١,	Remarks	
Responses to Items Concerning Secret remaind Fathers Differed Significantly		Levelol	Confidence
Responses to		,	Gerence

25

More control mothers said "no" than the fathers predicted

Mother's answer to "As a general rule, do you feel a child should be seen and not heard?" vs. fallier's guess no to what

her answer would be (758, 750)

than the mothers predicted

Fallier's answer to "As a general rule, do you feel a child should be seen and not heard?" vs. mother's guess as to what his answer would be (738, 739)

Table 29, Beaults of Chi-Square Analysis of Reponses to Rens Pertaining to Family Health on Which Mothers and Fathers Differed Significantly

Иет	Direction of Difference	Level of Confidence	Remarks
How was your own health at the onset of the child's stuttering/nonfluency? (757)	More experimental group fathers than mothers were in good health	86 84	Control group parents did not differ significantly
How much do you worry about getting sick? (764)	Experimental group mothers worried more than fathers	1,%	Control group parents did not differ significantly
Mother's rating of own appetite vs. fa- ther's rating of her appetite (766, 769)	Control group mathers gave their appe- tiles better ratings than their husbands gave them	රූ ම	Experimental group parents did not dif- fer significantly
Father's rating of own alery vs. mother's rating of his sleep (767, 769)	Control group fathers rated their own sleep more favorably than their wives rated it	%1	Experimental group purents did not dif- fer significantly
Do you have many food dislikes? (770)	More experimental group fathers than mothers answered "yes"	821	Control group parents did not differ
How much physical energy do you be- lieve you have? (793)	Experimental group fathers rated their own curry higher than the mothers rated theirs	1%	Control group parents followed similar trend, significant at 1% level
How much physical energy do you be- lieve your mate has? (794)	Experimental group fathers have more carry than the mothers, according to their mate's rating	2%	Control group parents followed similar trend, significant at 1% level
Now often do you use alcohol? (795)	More experimental group mothers than fathers indicated no use of alcohol	2%	Control group parents followed similar trend, significant at 5% level

ntly	Remarks	Confidence	Till not differ
Items Pertaining in Land	Level of	Confidence	
Square Analysis of Responses to	A COLUMN	Direction of Difference	
Table 29. Results of Chi-Square Analysis of Responses to Hents Pathers Differed Significantly	toward Child a Lit		Ties.

anuy	Remarks	
Fathers Differed Signific	Level of	Confidence
of Chi-Square Analysis of Mothers and Fathers Differed Significantly	hild • Development of	Difference

Remarks		5% Control group parents did not differ	ificantly
Level of	Confidence	25.0	
Level of	Direction of Difference	Sin section	More experimental group lattices of

Remarks		and and did
Level of	onfidence	

.. v. ....... Attitudes

- nificantly

) ig I

- Control group parents followed rend, significant at 1% level rend, significant at 1% level

similar

- rend, significant at 1% level

More experimental group fathers than mothers had never thought of norms

nothers answered "never"

Experimental group mothers did so more Experimental group fathers rated children more favorably than the mothers frequently than the fathers did

- Control group parents followed similar

8 % 8 200 š

- Control group parents followed similar

Control group parents did not differ

ignificantly

Experimental group parents did not dif-Experimental group parents did not dif-

ler significantly fer significantly

8 5,3

> Control group mothers rated children less favorably than their husbands

thought they would

father's guess as to mother's rating Mother's rating of child's appearance vs.

(475, 474)

Control group fathers rated child more

hought they would

(avorably than mothers did

Control group parents did not differ Control group parents did not differ Experimental group parents not compared; distribution unsuitable for chi-

significantly ignificantly

55 29

More experimental group mothers than fathers were disturbed by this

Experimental group fathers rated children more favorably than mothers

> Pather's rating of how well child meets How attractive do you feel your child

reling? (425)

(ather's rating (467, 469)

How do you feel about the child's quarideal standards vs. mother's guess as to

How do you feel about the child's show-

ing off? (491)

More centrol group mothers than fa-thers were disturbed by this

quare analysis

their wives would discourage left-handdness than proved to be the case, ac-More experimental group fathers than

Mother's opinion of left-handedness vs. ather's estimate of mother's opinion How carefully have you studied the age norms for children? (180) Now helpful were these norms to you? How consistently did you compare your child's development with these norms? How did the child usually compare with the norms? (124)

108-100)

ording to the wives' responses

7	 Teves of
Table 29 continued	
Tal	

1		Table 29 continued		
11	Item	Direction of Difference	Level of Confidence	Remarks
114	Do you wish your child were a better	More control group fathers than mothers answered "better"	2%	Experimental group parents did not dif- fer significantly
o 11 5	or less good attracts (***).  Do you wish the child would play  more or less cuitally? (506)	More control group fathers answered "more"	2%	Experimental group parents did not dif- ter significantly
	Do you encourage or discourage or say nothing about your child's playing long? (508)	More experimental group mothers than fathers encouraged the child's playing alone	Ę.	Control group followed similar trend, significant at 1% level
	How much does the child irritate and annoy you? (514)	Experimental group fathers less an- noyed than mothers	%	Control group parents followed similar trend, significant at 1% level
	Father's rating of how much child ir- ritates and annoys him vs. mother's eness as to father's rating (514, 515)	Control group fathers less annoyed than their wives thought they were	%	Experimental group parents did not dif- fer significantly
	How often do you take time out to play with your child? (518)	Experimental group mothers took more time out than the fathers did	%	Control group parents did not differ sig- nificantly
	How often does your husband (wife) play with the child? (520)	Experimental group fathers credited mothers with more time than the moth- ers credited the fathers with	15 15	Control group parents followed similar trend, significant at 1% level
	Mother's rating of time out to play with child va. father's guess as to mother's rating (818, 680)	Control group mothers' estimates of time taken out were lower than their husbands thought they would be	2%	Experimental group parents did not dif- fer significantly
16	Father's answer to "How well do you like the child's companions to come to the home?" vs. mother's guess as to his answer (369, 370)	Experimental group fathers enjoyed this more than their wives thought they did	8.	Control group parents did not differ significantly
0.5	Mother's answer to "How well do you like the child's companions to come to the home?" vs. father's guess as to	Control group mothers enjoyed this more than their husbands thought they did	%	Experimental group parents did not dif- fer significantly

mother's answer (369, 370)

Table 50 Bestits of Cli-Square Analyzis of Response to Brem Pertaining to Squeet Attitudes and Chamacheridge of Parents on Which Fathers and Molhers Differed Spraifmonty	Remarks	Control group parents did not differ sig-
Pertaining to Siers Differed Si	Level of Confidence	200
Items of Moth		1
nates to hers as	erence	13
Respo	of Did	
dysia o	Direction of Difference	
are Any	Ä	
Zhi-Squ	Ï	
alts of 6		1
30. Resu	3	
Table 5	Them Direction of	.
	1	۱
	Ĭ	

Item			Control group parents did not differ sig-
Interviewer's rating of parent's speech (197)	More experimental group fathers than mothers were rated as defective in	e Sign	sie nifeanty
Interviewer's rating of amount of speech	specen Experimental group mothers were more	2%	Control group parents followed similar trend, significant at £% level
(708) Do you think about your child's stut- tering/speech when you go to beel? (337)	More experimental group mothers than fathers gave positive answers	81	Too few control group parents gave positive answers for chi-square compu- tation
Do you talk anxiously to neighbors about your child's speech? (300)	More experimental group mothers than fathers gave positive answers	ī.	Too lew control group parents gave positive answers for chi-square compu- tation
			Street Course Course Land Course Cour

rend, significant at 1% level

Experimental group fathers knew more than mothers did

Are there any cases of speech defects in your immediate family? (701) Do you talk anxiously to family and relatives about your child's speech? (361)

What other stutterers do you know by direct contact outside the immediate

family? (328)

Table 31, Results of Chi-Square Analysis of Responses to Remark Pertaining to Disciplinary

Attitudes and P.	Attitudes and Practices of Parents on Which Mothers and Fathers Dinered Ordingshing	athers Differed	Ognicana
Item	Direction of Difference	Level of Confidence	Remarks
Is the child punished for talking back?	More experimental group mothers than fathers answered "yes"	1%	Control group parents did not differ significantly
Is the child punished for not doing his chores (553)	More control group fathers than mothers answered "yes"	8	Experimental group parents did not dif- for significantly
Do you feel your bushand (wife) is too strict, lax, or about right? (504)	More experimental group mothers than fathers rated mate as too strict	) 당	Control group parents did not differ sig- nificantly
How well behaved do you think your child is? (335)	Experimental group fathers rated chil-	8	Control group parents did not differ sig- nificantly
	did		

108

- Johnson	Name of the last o	Control group parents not asked
To level of	Confidence	12
	Direction of Difference	Me assessmental erroup fathers than

es, and Nature of Stattering/Nonfluency at Onset	Remarks	
s, and Nature of	Levelof	Connector

Experimental group parents did not dif-

٦ ž.

More control group mothers than fath-More experimental group fathers than mothers answered "no"

> Did you accept the diagnosis of stutter-ing? (215) Did the child have trouble on words wattered throughout sentences? (851)

Table 33: Results of Chi-Square Analysis of Data Pertaining to Bevelopment of Stuttering/Non-fluency Pollowing Onset with Respect to Which Mothers and Fathers Differed Significantly

Remarks	Control group parents not asked
Level of Confidence	138
Direction of Difference	More experimental group fathers than molliers answered "never"
Nem	How soon after you noticed that he was attutering did the child begin to avoid speech situations? (250)

Control group parents not asked

93

More experimental group mothers than

Does the child have especially difficult

periods? (297)

fathers answered "yes"

Table 36. Results of Chr-Square Analysis of Responses to Rems Pertaining to Parental Attitudes Regarding Stuttering/Nonfluency on Which Mothers and Fathers Differed Significantly

Item	Direction of Difference	Level of	Remarks
		Connector	
How concerned were you about the child's stuttering/nonfluency when you were first aware of it? (262)	Experimental group mothers more con- cerned than fathers	ž.	Control group parents slid not differ significantly
Did anyone react to the child's stutter- ing/nonfluency? (276)	More experimental group mothers than fathers answered "yes"	%*	Too few control group parents answered "yes" for chi-square computation
Did you avert your gaze when the child was having "trouble"? (277)	More experimental group mothers than fathers answered "yes"	%s	Too few control group parents answered "yes" for chi-square computation
Did you look worried when the child was having "trouble"? (283)	More experimental group mothers than fathers answered "yes"	2%	Too few control group parents answered "yes" for chi-square computation
Who first said something to the child about the stuttering/nonfluency? (253)	Both experimental group parents designated themselves more often than either	82	Control group parents did not differ sig- nificantly

was designated by the spouse

Table 37, Results of Chi-Sq	Table 37. Results of Chi.Square Analysis of Response to Rons with Respect to Which Intergroup Intragroup Table 37. Results of Chi.Square Analysis of Respins to Respect to Which Intergence in Respect to Which Intergence in the Response to Management of Respect to Which Intergence Interpretation of Response Interpretat	p Intragroup gnificantly	
	us and on the Chi-Square  Direction of Difference (df == 2)	Chi-Square (df == 2)	Level of Confidence
How much did the child cry during the first few weeks of life? (61)	More control than experimental group parents agreed; experimental group mothers and children did more exying than fatherers and they did, control group mothers and children did less ers said they did, control group mothers and children did less	10.01	%9
How is your child's coordination in run-	trying than satisfy soil and young parents agreed; in con- More experimental than control group parents agreed; in con-	11.87	2%1
ning? (87) How casily does your child get tired? (373)	torgoup states agent states of the control that experiently group parents agreed; in experimental group parents are defined an getting tired more easily than did falters; in control group mothers raised children and the control to seally than this falter.	9.65	2%
How frequently did the child get what he wanted without talking? (185)	An getting the treatment of the control group parents agreed; in control group fathers said this occurred more often than mothers said to any	8.27	84 84
How much does your child explain away his faults and mistakes? (471)	More experimental than control group parents agreed; in control group mothers said children dad this more frequently than fathers and they did	9.76	2%
How much does your child read com- pared with other children? (470)	More experimental than control group parents agreed; in con- trol group mothers said children read more than fathers said they did	8:03	25.0

â
ŝ
uo:
ĭ
ġ
ė
겶
٠.

Item	Direction of Difference	(df = 2)	Confidence
How much is the child afraid of other children? (484)	More experimental than control group parents agreed; in con- trol group fathers rated children as more afraid than mothers rated them	6.55	2%
How much is the child laughed at by other children? (403)	More experimental than control group parents agreed; in con- trol group fathers said children were laughed at more often than mothers said they were	10.72	2%
How much freedom has the child in de- termining how he shall use his own play time? (502)	More experimental than control group parents agreed; in con- trol group mothers said their children had more freedom than the fathers said they had	92.10	2%1
Now serious are your differences over the use of money? (631)	More experimental than control group parents agreed; in control group fathers rated differences as more serious than mothers rated them	\$0 U	%
How serious are your differences over kind of entertainment? (684)	More experimental than control group parents agreed; in con- trol group fathers rated differences as more serious than mothers rated them	7.48	%5
How serious are your differences over recreation? (091)	More experimental than control group parents agreed; in both groups mothers rated differences as more serious than fathers rated them	16.38	201

rated by their husbands; mothers rated their own neatness higher than it was rated by their husbands; mothers considered fathers to be away from home more than the fathers considered the mothers were away from home; fathers more often considered the mothers to be with the children too much than the mothers considered fathers to be with the children too much; fathers appeared to have more physical energy than the mothers, according to both self-ratings and spouse ratings; more mothers than fathers used no alcohol; more fathers than mothers said they never studied child development norms, and more fathers than mothers said they had never thought of such norms; mothers compared their children with the norms more frequently than fathers did; more mothers than fathers encouraged their children to play alone; mothers were more annoyed or irritated by their children than fathers were; fathers thought the mothers took more time out to play with the children than the mothers thought the fathers did; mothers talked more than fathers during the interviews; the interviews with the mothers were interrupted more than were those with the fathers; and the fathers had known more stutterers outside the immediate family than the mothers had.

#### ITEMS ON WHICH THE PARENTS IN ONLY ONE GROUP DIFFERED SIGNIFICANTLY

Significant Experimental Group Differences. Experimental group fathers said the children played with other children better than the mothers said they did; more mothers than fathers said children were not jealous; more mothers than fathers said children gave up easily on hard tasks; more mothers than fathers said children felt picked on; mothers did not say children responded to suggestions or corrections as well as fathers thought the mothers would; mothers were not as proud of their own accomplishments and abilities as fathers thought they were; more mothers than fathers reported the children had strong fears other than those concerned with speech; more mothers than fathers were dissatisfied with the father's employment; more mothers than fathers rated themselves unfavorably with respect to pride in own accomplishments; fathers thought mothers felt more pride in their own accomplishments than the mothers said they felt; mothers were more sensitive than their husbands about the impressions they made; fathers rated their wives as more tense than their wives rated them; fathers rated their wives' standards of conduct higher than mothers rated their husbands' standards of conduct; mothers rated themselves as more tense than their husbands rated them.

More fathers than mothers thought spouse was too demanding of

the child; more fathers than mothers thought spouse worried too much; more mothers than fathers sided with the child against spouse in child's presence; more fathers said "No" than mothers predicted would say "No" in response to "As a general rule, do you feel a child should be seen and not heard?"; more fathers than mothers were in good health at the time of onset of the child's stuttering: the mothers worried about their health more than the fathers did; more fathers than mothers said they had food dislikes; more fathers said their wives would discourage left-handedness than proved to be the case, according to the wives' responses; fathers rated children more favorably than mothers did in indicating how they felt their children usually compared with "the norms"; more mothers than fathers were disturbed about the child's quarreling; fathers rated their children more favorably than their wives thought they would with respect to "how well the child meets ideal standards"; mothers took more time out than fathers did to play with the child; fathers enjoyed having the child's friends come to the home more than their wives thought they did. More fathers than mothers had speech defects, according to the interviewers, but, as has been noted, these did not amount to a very notable total proportion and, aside from stuttering and "minor articulatory defects," the speech "defects" were for the most part classifiable as foreign dialect, and there were only four cases of this.

More mothers than fathers said they thought about their child's speech while lying in bed, and talked to neighbors and relatives about the child's speech; more mothers than fathers said the child was punished for "talking back"; more mothers than fathers rated spouse as too strict; fathers rated the children's intelligence more favorably, in comparison with that of the neighbor children, than did the mothers, and more of them said the children were well behaved; more fathers than mothers said they did not accept the diagnosis of stuttering at first; more fathers than mothers said the child never avoided speaking situations; more mothers than fathers said the child did have especially difficult periods; more mothers than fathers were concerned about the child's speech when it was first thought that there was any thing wrong with it; and more mothers than fathers reacted to what they took to be the child's stuttering.

Significant Control Group Differences. More mothers than fathers reported that the mother had had falls during pregnancy and that there had been unusual circumstances about the child's birth; more mothers than fathers made extreme ratings of the amount of crying done by the child during the first weeks of life; fathers rated children's coordination in catching and running more favorably than the mothers

did; more fathers than mothers rated their children favorably with respect to shyness, self-confidence, and tendency to laugh; mothers said they attended church more often and took part in more musical activities than the fathers did; friendships were more important to the mothers than the fathers; more fathers than mothers gave themselves extremely high or low ratings on carefulness about their appearance in social situations; more mothers than fathers said they had no differences with their spouses over preferred kinds of entertainment or degree of ambition; mothers were more irritable than fathers, according to self-ratings; fathers rated their wives as more easygoing, however, than their wives rated them, and yet their wives rated them as more easygoing than the fathers rated themselves, and the fathers rated themselves as more irritable and less neat than they were rated by their wives.

Fathers wished they were single more frequently than mothers did; mothers answered "No" more often than fathers did to "As a general rule do you feel a child should be seen and not heard?"; mothers said their appetites were better than their husbands thought they were. and fathers said they slept better than their wives said they did; more mothers than fathers were disturbed by the child's showing off, but more fathers than mothers said they wished the children would play more quietly; fathers rated children's attractiveness more favorably than mothers did, and the mothers' ratings of their children's attractiveness were less favorable than the fathers thought they would be; more fathers than mothers wished their children were better athletes; the fathers were less annoyed by the children than the mothers thought they were; mothers enjoyed having their children's friends come to their homes more than the fathers thought they did; mothers said they took less time out to play with their children than the fathers said the mothers did; more fathers than mothers said there were no cases of defective speech in their families; more fathers than mothers said the children were punished for not doing their chores; mothers rated the children's intelligence more favorably than did the fathers; and more mothers than fathers said the children who were said to speak nonfluently "had trouble" on words scattered throughout the sentence

### Intergroup Intragroup Comparisons

In order to compare the groups more fully with regard to intragroup differences, ninety-two items were further analyzed. The responses to each of these items involved a rating quantitatively expressed in such a way that the responses of the two parents could be classified in one of three ways: (1) both parents gave the same rating, (2) the mother's rating was higher than the father's, and (3) the father's rating was higher than the mother's. The distributions of the responses into these three categories for the two groups were compared. One of the ninety-two items had a distribution unfavorable for the computation of a chi-square value: too few of the parents in both groups disagreed on how much the children were picked on. Chi-square values were significant for twelve of the other items, all of which are summarized in Table 37. There was greater agreement in the experimental than in the control group on ten of these twelve items, but the direction of the disagreement that was present in each case was of special interest. In Table 37 disagreement is indicated with respect to three items for the experimental group parents and in all three instances the mothers expressed less favorable evaluations of their children or more distress over designated differences with their spouses. By contrast, disagreement is indicated with respect to all twelve items for the control group parents, and in nine of the twelve instances the fathers expressed less favorable evaluations of the children or more distress over disagreements with their spouses.

A comparative analysis of the relevant items in Tables 22-37 yielded the following results:

1. Responses by the control group parents to 102 items were appropriate for analysis

a. On 33 items the mothers and fathers did not differ significantly

b. For 34 items the fathers' responses were the more favorable, or less indicative of concern, discontent, or difficulty, or involved higher ratings

c. For 35 items the mothers' responses were the more favorable, or less indicative of concern, discontent, or difficulty, or involved higher

ratings 2. Responses by the experimental group parents to 110 items were

appropriate for analysis a. On 43 items the mothers and fathers did not differ significantly

b. For 52 items the fathers' responses were the more favorable, or less indicative of concern, discontent, or difficulty, or involved higher

c. For 15 items the mothers' responses were the more favorable, ratings or less indicative of concern, discontent, or difficulty, or involved

The difference between the values, 33 and 34, for the control group higher ratings fathers and mothers was not statistically significant, while the difference between the values for the experimental group fathers and moth-

ers, 52 and 15, respectively, was significant at the 1 per cent level (z=4.58).

The over-all picture of disagreement within the experimental group was one of greater dissatisfaction on the part of the mothers than the fathers, while within the control group discontent appeared to be more or less equally shared by the fathers and mothers. If the sharing was not wholly equal, it was probably the fathers who were less screen. Comparatively speaking, whenever the tension of disagreement between parents was found, it tended in half—or even slightly more than half—of the instances, in the control group, to be representative of a conflict between a relatively contented mother and a discontented father, whereas in the experimental group it involved as a rule a conflict between a relatively contented father and a discontented mother.

### CHAPTER 5

# The Problem

 ${
m A_{ au}}$  what time in the child's life and under what circumstances did someone, in each experimental group case, arrive at the judgment that the child was stuttering? And who was the person that first arrived at this judgment? Data relevant to these questions are presented in this chapter.

## Time of Onset of the Problem of Stuttering

The five criteria, set forth in Chapter 2, that were used in accepting subjects for Study III were applied in each case in advance of the interview. During the interview each mother and father in the experimental group was asked, "Has the child ever had a speech defect? What defect?" (194) and, "Has the child ever stuttered?" (195). The ways in which the parents responded to these and related questions are of special interest in relation to basic theoretical considerations concerning the problem of stuttering.

While no control group parent indicated that his or her child stuttered, 5 mothers and 11 fathers in the experimental group, as has been indicated previously, gave a "No" response to 194, "Has the child ever had a speech defect?"; 3 mothers and 6 fathers gave the response "Repetition, not called stuttering"; 1 mother and 2 fathers identified the speech condition as "Inability to find the right word"; 2 fathers gave responses coded as "Hesitation, not called stuttering; child not called stutterer"; 1 father gave the response "Articulatory problem; 'speech block,' not called stuttering"; 139 mothers said their children stuttered, and 21 of these said they also had articulatory defects; 122 fathers stated that their children stuttered, and 13 of these also said

they had articulatory defects. The next question they were asked was (195) "Has the child ever stuttered?" and 144 of the experimental group mothers gave a "Yes" response, 2 said, "Yes, but not at present time," and 4 said, "No"; 136 fathers gave an unqualified "Yes," 2 said, "Yes, but not at present time," S gave a response coded as "?" (uncertain, indefinite), and 9

said "No." And when, next in the interview, they were asked (196), "How old was the child when he first began to stutter?" responses were given by all 150 of the experimental group mothers and by 141 of the fathers; only 3 of the 9 fathers who had given the "No" response to 195 failed, however, to state an age at which the child began to stutter, and three even gave a description, in response to 197, of "the situation in which the child stuttered the very first time." Indeed, in response to 217, in which the informants were asked to imitate what the child was doing in his speech when he first stuttered, only 1 of these 9 fathers gave a response coded "?" (can't recall, uncertain), and this father gave definite answers to all of items 198 through 212, which concern specific reactions which the child may have exhibited in the first situation, or the first one the informant could remember, in which the child stuttered. Moreover, this particular father, when asked (215) whether he accepted "the decision or diagnosis" that the child was stuttering when it was first made by someone, answered, "Yes." A check of the responses made to all items concerning the child's stuttering (196-349) by the 9 fathers who gave a "No" response to 195 revealed that each answered nearly all these questions one way or another. It seems clear that even these 9 fathers felt or assumed that in some way their children's speech was not satisfactory, but, together with some of the other parents, they were uncertain and inconsistent in their attempts to give verbal expression to their feelings and assumptions.

Reference is to be made again, in view of these figures, to criterion No. 3 on page 13, according to which a child was accepted into the experimental group, provided the other four criteria were met, if the child "was considered to be a stutterer by at least one parent." Stated positively, the application of this criterion meant that every child in the experimental group was included by virtue of the fact that either the child's mother or father, or, as was nearly always true, both, unequivocally wanted to have the child included, knowing that the basic reason for doing so was to investigate something that at least one of them—and, as it turned out, both of them in varying degrees—regarded as stuttering, and having been informed of the considerable amount of time and effort to be required of them.

The investigators were aware at the same time of conceivable extraneous motivations that might have prompted certain parents to attempt to have their children included in the experimental group. Of these, there are three that seem noteworthy. First, the parents may have been unusually conscientious and, upon learning about the investigation, may have regarded a speech examination for their child

as one of the stones not to leave unturned in providing him with "the best of care": due vigilance was exercised, and it seems quite impossible that any parents with only such motivation were included. It is practically certain beyond question that one or both-and in all or very nearly all cases both - of the parents of every child included in the experimental group had felt before learning of this investigation that the child was stuttering.

Second, certain parents may have wanted to be extremely cooperative and when, in a PTA meeting, for example, it was announced that this study was being carried on, they may have volunteered simply to be helpful. Again, due vigilance was exercised, and it is unquestionably certain that no parents were included in the experimental group for such a reason as this. An appeal to cooperativeness, as such, was made, of course, in seeking subjects for the control group.

Third, the parents may have been, in some degree consciously or unconsciously, seeking clinical help for themselves rather more than for their child; an aspect of this possibility is discussed in Chapter 7. There would seem to be significant implications of such a possibility, so far as the parental motivations in question may be demonstrated, with respect to the fundamental formulation of the problem of stuttering and with respect to the question of the person or persons whose problem it is in each case, particularly at moment of origin.

It is to be considered that in some cases the relevant evaluative reactions of the parents may have varied or wavered sufficiently, between the time when they were given an appointment and the day when they appeared for the interview, to account for some degree of the apparent inconsistency of their responses to 194-196. Finally, due consideration is to be given to differences among individual respondents with respect to their evaluational, or interpretive, or, in a more or less deep and comprehensive sense, their semantic reactions to the specific phrasings and intonations of the questions asked during the interview, as these were presented by the different interviewers, and as they were affected by the particular situations in which the questioning was carried on. Moreover, the complex self-reflexive effects on each respondent of his own reactions to the questions as they were successively presented are to be given appropriate weight in interpreting the apparent degree of consistency of the informants from any given part of the interview to any other. These various effects, so far as they were operative in individual cases, were presumably com-pounded by the ambiguity characteristic of the terms "stuttering" and "stutterer," and their equivalents, in common usages. Consideraable light would seem to be thrown on this aspect of the matter by

the variability of response to items 217, 218-232, 236, and 293, which were concerned in each instance with the speech behavior of the child to which the designation "stuttering" was applied (see Tables 38-47 and accompanying text).

Before examining the data with regard to time of onset of the problem of stuttering, it is also to be recalled that each mother and father in the control group was asked whether the child had a speech defect (194) and, as has been stated, in no instance did either parent of any control group child indicate that he was a stutterer. Each control group parent was also asked (195). "Does the child now show or has he, or she, ever shown any nonfluencies - repetitions, hesitations, etc .- in speech?" Sixty-six mothers and sixty-three fathers gave an unqualified "Yes" response to this question; nineteen mothers and eleven fathers gave the response "Yes, but not at present time"; two mothers and four fathers gave a response coded as "?" (uncertain, indefinite); and sixty-three mothers and seventy-two fathers gave "No" as an answer. When they were next asked (198), "How old was the child when you began to notice his speech in these respects?" definite responses were obtained from sixty-one mothers and fifty-six fathers. Examination of items 197 through 260 in the Summary Table in Appendix A shows, however, that approximately eighty-five mothers and seventy-five fathers answered these questions, thus indicating that they thought of their children as showing, or as having shown, nonfluencies in speech.

What has been said above concerning the variability of response tendencies characteristic of the experimental group parents, and the factors that might be related to this variability, is also to be said, in essence, with reference to the control group respondents. The additional comment is to be made, of course, that since it has been well established that all children "show nonfluencies in specth" (R18, 25, 30, 62, 78), the responses of the parents in the control group who gave a simple "No" answer to 195, and those who consistently declined to consider the succeeding questions through 260, are to be evaluated accordingly. The difference between the numbers of control group parents responding to 195 (and 196) and to succeeding questions may reasonably be assumed to be attributable, in some measure, to the ambiguity and the varied degree of functional significance of the term "nonfluencies in speech" for these respondents.

In 196 there is a summary of information given by both groups of parents in Study III with regard to the age of the child in each case at the time of "onset of stuttering" or, in the control group, the age of the child when the parents "began to notice the nonfluencies" in

his or her speech. The mean and median values, in months, for the responses of the mothers and fathers, respectively, in the experimental group were as follows: means, 42.4 and 41.2. medians, 40 and 37. Corresponding values, in months, for the mothers and fathers, respectively, in the control group were: means, 39.2 and 34.4, medians, 36 and 36. The difference between the group means for the fathers was significant at the 1 per cent level (t = 3.11; df = 194), and the difference between the means of the control group mothers and fathers was significant at the 5 per cent level (t = 1.99; df = 125). The differences in means for the two groups of mothers and for the mothers and fathers in the experimental group were not statistically significant. In evaluating the difference between the means of the experimental and control group fathers it should be kept in mind that there was a difference of only one month between the medians of the two groups. In general, it seems conservative to say that both groups of parents were reporting their reactions to something their children had done in speaking at an age period that was roughly the same for the two groups of children, this age period extending in the main from two to five years, with the crucial age being three to three and a half years for the majority of the cases.

In evaluating the means of the responses to 196, due consideration is to be given to the average discrepancy between the mothers' and fathers' reports of age of onset or, for the control group, age of the child's speech (814). Although the means of the mothers' and fathers' responses to 196 differed by only 1.3 months for the experimental group and 4.8 months for the control group, the mean discrepancy, pair by pair of parents (814), was 5.5 months for the experimental group and 10.7 months for the control group. This is to say that the mean discrepancies between the dates or ages given by the mothers and fathers, considered as spouse pairs, were greater, for both the experimental and control groups, than were the differences in means between the experimental and control group mothers and fathers, respectively.\*

This order of discrepancy is, in itself, of very considerable interest.

The greater mean discrepancy for the control group parents might be explained in candievable measure by reference to the probability that they were less encouraged about what they thought of a sonofamencie (or the equivalent) in their children's speech than were the experimental group parents about what they required a strategy for the equivalent) in the preche of their children. Being less encourage, they may say for the equivalent) in the speech of their children. Being less encourage they may will have been less decidive in their resettions and so less definite in their resettions will have been less decidive in their resettions may will be made of the larger of those reactions. Exemitally the same explanation may will be made of the larger standard deviations of the age designations made by the control group parents in revonce to 100.

It is to be noted that in Study II, in which the children investigated were older as a group than those in Study III, the mean ages of the experimental group children in the two studies being 8 years, 8 months, and 5 years, respectively, the mean discrepancy between the experimental group mothers' and fathers' reports of age of onset of stuttering was 15.3 months, even greater than the mean in Study III. Due consideration is to be given to the possibility, or probability, that the major factor operating to produce these discrepancies was memory, or the failures and vagaries of memory. The importance of this, so far as it is a valid interpretation, lies in the intimation that what was being remembered by these parents was difficult to place in time. Why might this have been so? Possibly for the reason that "the onset of stuttering" is an arrangement of words that seldom if ever is used to refer to a clear-cut event, or an unmistakable and marked change in the speech of a child. The data now being reviewed represent occurrences in the awarenesses, evaluative experiences, and memories of the informants as well as, or possibly instead of, occurrences in the neuromuscular activities of their children. This is to say that the data represent events in the receiving, sorting, and storing mechanisms of the listeners as well as, or instead of, occurrences in the coding and sending mechanisms of the speakers.

This view of what the data represent is further suggested by an other comparison. In Study II the interval between the onset of stuttering, as reported, and the interview was longer than it was in Study III. The relevant means were, for the mothers, 56.6 and 17.5 months, and for the fathers 50.1 and 18.2 months (813). It is also to be recalled that in Study I the median interval between the reported age of onset of stuttering and the first interview was 5 months and 18 days. Thus, it might be expected that recall of the onset would have been in general more difficult in Study II than in Study III or Study I. The probability that this was the case is indicated by two facts. The first is that the discrepancy between the reports of the age of onset given by pairs of mothers and fathers was, as already noted, greater in Study II than in Study III, the experimental group means and medians being, respectively, 15.3 and 10.5 months for Study II and 5.5 and 3.0 months for Study III. The second is that the onset was reported to have occurred later in Study II than in either Study I or Study III. The median age of onset reported in Study I was three years, or 36 months, and the means for Study III and Study II, respectively, were 42.4 and 46.2 months, as reported by the mothers, and 41.1 and 53 months, as reported by the fathers.

These figures indicate that the question of the age at which stutter-

ing begins was answered differently by mothers and fathers, and the answers given to it depended also in some measure on the length of the interval between the time when the event, whatever it may have been, occurred, and the time when the question was asked.

Moreover, the answer depended on the question that was asked. In 100 the informant was asked, "How old was the child (in months) when he first began to stutter?" The redundancy, in "first began," emphasizes that special care was being used to get the informant to recall the very beginnings of the problem. Even so, when, in the nequestion (107), the parent was asked to "describe the situation in which the child stuttered the very first time," only 26 mothers and 18 fathers claimed to recall "the very first time," or said they could recall but could not describe such a situation, and 30 fathers and 24 mothers responded simply by saying that they were not able to real "the very first" situation. The remaining 100 mothers and 102 fathers offered descriptions of what were presumably the first situations in which they could remember observing their children stutter, but these were not the first situations in which stuttering allegedly occurred.

Even those whose answers were unqualified by expressions of uncertainty gave responses that were for the most part ambiguous rather than descriptive of specific situations at designated times and place; most of them described "the situation in which the child stuttered the very first time" by saying, for example, "When he came in to tell something," or "Telling parent something," or "Asking parent something," ose footnote to 197). In a series of sixteen questions following 107 (198-213), the informants were asked to say whether in this "very first situation," the child was in a condition of frustration and bewilderment (200), or had just received punishment or scolding (202), and the like, and only 20 to 22 fathers and 26 to 31 mothers were able to give responses with reference to "the very first situation."

In 217, the same informants who had answered 106 in the ways indicated were asked to "imitate what the child was doing in his speech when he first stuttered—the very first time you noticed the child stuttering, or during the period when the stuttering still was the same suttering, or during the period when the stuttering still was the same as it had been the very first time it was noticed by anyone." Only four mothers and seven fathers gave responses that were coded "?" (uncertain, indefinite). There followed, then, a series of questions that were assumed to have the same temporal reference, each, one beginning with the words "When you first noticed that the child was stut-

<sup>&</sup>quot;The interviewer was instructed as follows: "If 'each' recall' is the response, ask for a description of the first situation the parent can recall in which he or she observed the child stutering."

tering . . ." and these were consistently answered by all the experimental group parents, with from five to ten giving responses that were coded "?" to each of these items. When, however, in 233, the informants were asked, "Had anyone, before the time stated in 196 ('How old was the child when he first began to stutter?"), thought that the child was stuttering?" six mothers and fourteen fathers gave a "Yes" answer, and one mother and three fathers gave responses that were coded "?".

Then, in 235, each of the experimental group parents was asked to "describe the first situation in which your child did something which you lelt at that time indicated he had a speech problem (you may or may not have called it stuttering)," and in 237 the question was asked "How old was the child at that time (in months)?" The mean age in months was, according to the mothers, 47.3 and, according to the fathers, 44.3. These means are to be compared with those based on the responses to 196, "How old was the child when he first began to stutter?" These means were, for the mothers, 42.4 and, for the fathers, 41.2 months. The differences between the group means were 4.9 months for the mothers and 2.2 months for the fathers. When the discrepancies for the individual informants were tabulated, the mean individual discrepancy was 5.2 months for the mothers and 4.8 months for the fathers (815). The same response was given to both questions, 196 and 237, by forty-nine fathers and fifty-three mothers, roughly one third of the informants; in thirty-three cases both parents gave exactly the same response to 196 while in thirty-one cases both parents gave, to the month, the same response to 237.

Allowing, then, for the indicated margin of ambiguity and indecisiveness, it would seem that whatever these parents were referring to in saying that their children were beginning to stutter, they did not consider it a "problem" until about five months later. Presumably, whatever the children were doing that the parents called "stuttering." it was not sufficiently grave or different from what children commonly do, or from what the parents assumed they should be doing, to be regarded, at first, as a "problem" in most cases. The responses to such questions as 243, "When stuttering was first noticed was it accompanied by any grimaces or bodily contortions?" or 247, "Did the very first stoppages seem to be unpleasant to the child?" are to be evaluated, of course, with due consideration of these various facts and implications, and of the demonstrated ambiguity of "the time of onset of stuttering," or "when stuttering was first noticed," or "when the child first began to stutter," or the equivalents of such expressions.

At what age, then, was the problem of stuttering found to have 151

begun? That is, in the average case how old was the child when someone first regarded something that he was presumably doing as stuttering? The answer to this question varied, as has been seen, according to the way in which it was worded, the person to whom it was addressed, and the time at which it was asked. With what would seem to be reasonable allowances for these sources of variation and for probable degrees of ambiguity, an appropriate answer appears to be that however or wherever, and in whatever form and for whom, the problem of stuttering had begun, it arose as a rule when the child involved was in the fourth year, probably nearer to the third than to the fourth birthday in most cases.

# The Persons for Whom the Problem of Stuttering Arose

In view of the considerable degree to which information about the origin of the problem of stuttering is influenced by the processes of perception, evaluation, memory, and recall of the persons who are, of necessity, the sources of this information, it is of basic importance that these persons be identified, so far as this can be done.

In Study III each informant was asked (214), "Who first decided that the child was stuttering (or the equivalent, for example, stammering, hesitating in his speech, impediment, 'something wrong,' etc.)?" Twelve experimental group fathers and eight mothers gave responses coded "?" (uncertain, can't recall). The responses of the remaining informants indicated that in only four cases was the original judgment, or "suspicion," or diagnosis made by some kind of professionally trained person - in two cases by a teacher, in one by a physician, and in one by a school nurse. In no case was the original judgment or diagnosis made by a specialist in speech disorders. In 134 cases, according to the fathers, and in 131, according to the mothers, the parents themselves, acting separately or together, were the first to decide that the child was stuttering. The original judgment was formed much more often by the mother than by the father, 92 to 12 according to the mothers and 79 to 17 as reported by the fathers. In the remaining cases other members of the family, particularly grandparents, made the original evaluation. These findings agree very closely with corresponding data from Studies I and II. In the control group in Study III 30 fathers and 17 mothers who answered 214 said they didn't know or couldn't recall who first gave attention to the child's speech nonfluencies, and all the others who answered indicated that the nonfluencies were first noticed by the parents, usually the mother, or some other member of the family. When asked (215) "Did you accept this decision or diagnosis

then?" 130 fathers and 140 mothers in the experimental group said "Yes," and, in response to 216, 135 fathers said their wives had accepted the decision and 129 mothers said their husbands had.

represent the ucusion and any moments are the beginning of the problem of stuttering was reported in nearly all cases to have been a judgment made of the child's speech, as preceived and evaluated by a member of the child's family, nearly always one or both of the parents, usually the mother, and in most cases without apparent disagreement between the parents concerning this judgment.

## The Circumstances under Which the Problem of Stuttering Arose

In light of the findings just presented, there is special interest in the information obtainable concerning the nature of the situations in which those who first decided that the child was stuttering made that crucial decision. For all practical purposes such information can only be obtained at first hand from persons who were present in the situations. In Study III each experimental group mother and father was asked, as indicated in 197, to describe the situation in which the child stuttered the very first time. Previous research and clinical experience had indicated abundantly the difficulty most parents have in recalling "the very first time" their children stuttered, or were thought to have suttered. The interviewers were instructed, therefore, to ask any parent who could not recall "the very first time" to describe "the first situation the parent can recall in which he or she observed the child stuttering." The responses are presented in detail in 197 and in the footnote to 197.

It is to be noted particularly that only eighteen fathers and twentysix mothers claimed to be able to recall "the situation in which the child stuttered the very first time." It is quite impossible to say how many of the relatively few who made this claim did, in fact, recall and describe the situation in which they first felt that their child was stuttering. As is indicated in the footnote to 197, as well as in the tabulation in 197 itself, the presumed "first situations" were not identified clearly in most cases. They were not described in the sort of detailed way in which specific situations, clearly recalled as such. might as a rule be described. The phrases used were such as "telling parent something," "when he came in to tell something," "asking for something at the table," "explaining something to parent," etc. More definite wordings were "reciting a piece at home," "talking about what had happened while riding in car after slight accident and while competing with others for the privilege of speaking," "after a scolding," and "upon meeting father at station after father's two-week absence and with mother present," this being one of the most clearly designated of the allegedly "first" situations. It is not certain, however, that even the less vague descriptions are to be accepted as referring to "first" situations.

It would seem to be particularly significant in this connection that in only fourteen cases did the mother and father - and it is to be recalled that they were interviewed independently-agree exactly in their responses to 197. The most striking of the discrepancies involved relatively descriptive accounts by one or both parents, showing that even those situations which were apparently more or less well recalled either were not "first" situations, or else, in such instances, the "first" situation in which the mother thought the child was stuttering was not the same as the one in which the father believed the problem first occurred. It is assumed that, in any case in which the informants claimed to be able to recall "the situation in which the child stuttered the very first time" (see the exact wording of 197 in the Summary Table), both informants would have given accounts of the same incident or situation had they been in agreement about the first occurrence of whatever they meant by "stuttering." It is not clear that this can be assumed if the informant, unable to recall the "first" situation, gave a description of a situation which was said to be the first one recalled in which the informant "observed the child stuttering" (see the wording of instructions to the interviewer, 197). Even in some such cases, however, it might be expected that the mother and father would have known they were in disagreement, if they were, concerning the "first" situation, and that they might have made some mention of the fact.

Additional light is thrown on the problems involved in securing valid evidence with respect to "the very first" occurrence of stuttering by a comparison of the responses discussed above and those made to 235, "Describe the first situation in which your child did something which you felt at that time indicated he had a speech problem (you may or may not have called it stuttering)." The purpose of this question was to explore the possibility that the parents felt, vaguely perhaps, that the child had a speech problem for some period of time before they arrived at the judgment that the child was stutteringor, on the contrary, that some period of time passed after the parents decided the child was stuttering before they evaluated whatever they regarded as stuttering as a problem. As it turned out, the findings indicated, as has been stated, that whatever the parents were referring to in saying that their children were beginning to stutter, they did not consider it a "problem" until about five months later, on the average. Over two thirds of the parents, 107 fathers and 104 mothers, could not recall or describe "the first situation" asked for in this question, or any "early" situation either, largely, it appears, because the feeling that their child "had a problem" was not associated for them with any specific situation, but was rather experienced as gradually growing conviction associated with the feeling that the child "should be getting over it," or with the realization that he would soon be starting to school, or with the belief that his speech was "getting worse," etc., or the parent was told by a teacher or doctor that the child had a speech problem. As in the case of 107, the respondents who could not recall "the first" situation—only fourteen claimed they could —were asked to describe the first situation they could recall in which they felt their child had a speech problem, and the few situations reported were for the most part vaguely indicated. In only six cases did both parents agree exactly in their descriptions.

Finally, although the situations described in response to 197 and 235 were, on the average, about five months apart, twenty-seven fathers and twenty-five mothers gave the same descriptions, as coded, in both cases. In view of the mean temporal discrepancy, these numbers appear to imply that the descriptions given were vague rather than specific in situational reference, and were indicative of the relative incapacity of the informants to recall precisely the beginning of the stuttering problem as they and their children were concerned with it.

The responses to 197 obtained in Study II were essentially like those in Study III. Similar data were also obtained in Study I. The control group parents in Study III, with only fifteen exceptions, said simply that they could not recall any situation of the sort called for.

In items 198 through 213 the parents were asked whether various specific conditions were present in the first situation in which the child was thought to stutter, and again those respondents who could not recall the "first" situation were asked to answer with reference to the first situation they could recall. Roughly 15 to 20 per cent of the informants claimed to be able to respond with reference to the "first" situation, and about the same percentage were unable to recall anything in particular about any specific situation. The items were concerned with the following conditions, and the number of fathers and of mothers who said that each was present in the "first" situation in which the child stuttered is indicated in each case:

Competing with someone else for the privilege of speaking; δ fathers, 11 mothers.

2. Difficulty in thinking of the right words; 10 fathers, 14 mothers.

- 3, Frustration or bewilderment; 8 fathers, 7 mothers.
- 4. Speaking to someone who was not listening; 5 fathers, 6 mothers.
- 5. Child had just received punishment or scolding; 1 father, 3 mothers.
- 6. Severe fright: 3 fathers, 4 mothers.
- 7. Arrival of new baby (within preceding week, month, or two to three months): S fathers. 8 mothers.
- 8. Child's realization of the mother's pregnancy (within preceding
- week); 2 fathers, no mothers. Child had been asking to do something not ordinarily allowed; I
- father, no mothers. 10. There had been changes in the child's physical environment (moving of furniture or actual changing of houses); 2 fathers, 10
- mothers 11. Child was trying unsuccessfully to say something before someone else took over the conversation: 5 fathers, 10 mothers.
  - 12. Child in state of excitement; 9 fathers, 10 mothers.
  - 13. Child was failing to make himself understood; 8 fathers, 9 mothers.
    - 14. Child was ill or fatigued; 4 fathers, 4 mothers.
    - 15. Child was in a hurry to tell something; 12 fathers, 17 mothers.
  - 16. Parent had just caught the child doing something of which he was made to feel ashamed; no fathers, 2 mothers.

The number giving "Yes" answers that referred to early but not the "first" situations ranged from no fathers and two mothers (severe fright) to seventy fathers and seventy-five mothers (hurry in speaking); the next highest numbers were thirty-four fathers and twentyfive mothers who reported excitement. The conditions most often said to be present in the situations recalled were hurry in speaking, excitement, difficulty in finding the right word, competing for the privilege of speaking, trying to "hold the floor" (208), and speaking to an unresponsive listener (201). All of these would seem to be rather commonplace conditions. The more unusual and presumably more serious conditions, such as severe fright, shame, punishment, conflict involving disobedience (206), and changes in environment were seldom reported. Frustration or bewilderment, illness or fatigue, and difficulty in making themselves understood, each reported by slightly less than 10 per cent of the respondents, were presumably intermediate between these two general classes of circumstances.

The great majority of the responses to all these items, except for 212 (hurry in speaking) as noted, were negative. And in presenting these items, it is to be recognized, the interviewer was in some measure

unavoidably "putting words in the respondent's mouth"; as the tabulations in the Summary Table indicate, there was much overlapping of responses, and this can probably best be interpreted as indicating that the respondents were answering these questions with reference not primarily to any specific situation in each instance, but to the child's speaking in general, as though the response had been initiated in each case with some such words as these: "Well, yes (or no), now that you ask that, it seems to me I do remember that sometimes (or never)..." In any event, there are differences between the informants' responses to these specific questions and their responses to 197 and 293, which called for their own unaided recall to be expressed in their own words.

One further observation is of interest, in view of the more or less popular notion that one of the causes of stuttering is imitation. Items 331-337 are generally relevant to this matter. The responses to these items indicate that, according to the informants, about one third of the children were in intimate or frequent contact with one or more stutterers (331); that between 15 and 20 per cent of the parents felt their children's stuttering resembled in detail that of some other stutterer with whom he was in frequent contact (332), but that only 10 per cent of the children were said to "know about" the stuttering of these other stutterers whose speech their own presumably resembled (335), and of these less than half, only seven, had found out about the stuttering of these other stutterers by actually hearing them talk (336); that only ten fathers and seven mothers compared their children with other stutterers even occasionally (337); and that while about one third of the parents said they suspected or believed the contact of the child with other stutterers might be important (333), only two fathers and six mothers said they had tried, even seldom or occasionally, to prevent contact of their child with other stutterers. Finally, although when asked, in 344, "What do you think caused your child's stuttering?" eight fathers and three mothers gave "imitation" as an answer, in their responses to 197 and 235 no mother or father included the child's imitation of a stutterer among the conditions or circumstances associated with the beginning of the problem. Without raising the basic question of what precisely might have been meant, or was in fact meant, by "imitation" in this general context as the various respondents used the term, it seems conservative to conclude that probably imitation, however defined in particular instances, was of very slight, if any, importance in relation to the onset of stuttering in the cases investigated in Study III.

In general, the data reviewed indicate that in the large majority

#### The Problem

of cases the problem of stuttering arose under conditions that the parents were able to remember only vaguely, if at all. Seldom was the onset of stuttering reported to have occurred under dramatic or memorable circumstances. On the contrary, in those few cases in which recall seemed comparatively clear, the situations which were said to have been the first in which the children were thought to stutter were essentially commonplace and uneventful or undisturbing, so far as could be determined. Such circumstances as those involving hurried speech and excitement were reported more often than any others, and they might be expected to occasion nonfluent speech in most, if not all, young children, as was indicated, in fact, by the responses of the control group parents to such items as 209 (excitement) and 212 (hurry). Indeed, the distributions of the control group responses to the items here reviewed were for the most part quite similar to those of the responses of the experimental group informants. This is to say that the conditions reportedly associated with the "first" stutterings of the experimental group children were, in general, the same as those associated with the "first" nonfluencies which the control group parents said they observed in the speech of their children. The major conclusion would seem to be that, generally speaking, the problem of stuttering was found to develop under quite ordinary circumstances.

### CHAPTER 6

# The Earlier and Later Stutterings

I uz data so far reviewed indicate that, in general, the beginning of the problem of stuttering was reported as a judgment or feeling concerning the child's speech, made or experienced by one or both parents when the child was speaking under essentially ordinary circumstances, which were approximately located in time during the child's only var of life, probably nearer the third birthday than the fourth. The next question to be investigated, then, has to do with the child's speech. What sort of speaking was the child doing sometime around his third birthday or perhaps a bit later, in some more or less common-place situation, when one or both of his parents decided he was stuttering?

#### Descriptions of First Stutterings

In the interview employed in Study III the first approach to a description of the first speech phenomena to be classified as stuttering was the request made of the informant, in 217, to "imitate and describe what the child was doing in his speech when he first stuttered—the very first time you noticed the child stuttering, or during the period when the stuttering still was the same as it had been the very first time it was noticed by anyone." This request was addressed also to the control group parents, with the understanding that they were to answer with respect to what they referred to as the first nonfluencies they had observed in the speech of their children. The imitations performed by the informants were recorded descriptively by the interviewers, and the informants' verbal responses were recorded as given. All responses are presented in detail in 217 in Appendix A, and they are summarized and analyzed in Tables 38–48.

Before scrutinizing these responses, it is well to recall, from previous sections of this report, that the burden of evidence is persuasive of the conclusion that very few parents were able to remember the first instances of whatever they had regarded as their children's stuttering. It would seem to be a conservative assumption that in response to 217 all, or nearly all, informants gave accounts, varying in clarity and validity, of something they asserted their children had done while speaking over a period of time. The periods covered by these accounts extended from a few days to a year or more, during which, either more or less continuously or from time to time, and in most cases with gradually increasing strength of conviction, the informants had entertained the feeling, or judgment, or suspicion that their children were stuttering, or beginning to stutter. So far as this view may seem acceptable on the basis of the data so far reviewed, it provides perspective for the examination of the responses that are presented in 217 and in Tables 38-43.

Two overshadowing facts emerge from examination of the various individual responses listed under 217. Doubtless the more important of these is that there is overlapping of the data for the experimental and control group children, in general the same kinds of nonfluency being reported for both groups. The extent of this overlapping would seem to be less significant than the fact that there was overlapping; the extent is indicated in Tables 38 and 39. The overlapping means, of course, that types of speech behavior referred to by the same presumably descriptive terms were classified as "stuttering" by some listeners but not by other listeners. The other, and of course related, fact is that the great majority of the experimental group children were repeating sounds or syllables, words, or phrases when they were first looked upon ne stufferers.

As shown in Table 38, according to the 143 fathers who gave definite answers, 121, or 85 per cent, of the children were performing such repetitions; according to the 146 mothers who answered unequivocally, the figure was 192, or 90 per cent. Moreover, 72 per cent of the fathers and 77 per cent of the mothers did not indicate that their children were doing anything else that they regarded as stuttering, with the exception of 6 children, as reported by the fathers, and 8, according to the mothers, who were also saying "uh uh" or "well uh" or the equivalent. It is of basic theoretical interest to compare these responses with

those of the control group parents who described what they called the "first" nonfluencies they noticed in their presumably normal-speaking, nonstuttering children. Of the 69 control group fathers who gave unequivocal responses, 79 per cent, and of the 80 mothers who answered equivocai responses, in per cent, and of the ou mothers who answered definitely, 61 per cent said their children's "first" nonfluencies consisted of repetitions of sounds or syllables, words, or phrases. Fortytwo per cent of the fathers and 35 per cent of the mothers did not reTaba Sa Perceiges of Coatol and Deprimental Group Fathers and Moldier, Wie Reported That the Child Was Performing Bach of the Legistry Special Rections (A) Num They First Thought the Child Nie Statistrue (197), (10) When They First Thought Included Special Rections (1999) (Cantrol Group Parents Answered Included Special Problem (1999), and (10) at the Time of Interview (1999) (Cantrol Group Parents Answered Included Special Was Northern to the First Time They Thought the Child's Special Was Northern to the First Time They Thought the Child's Special Was Northern to the First Time They Thought the Child's Special Was Northern to the First Time They Thought the Child's Special Was Northern to the First Time They Thought the Child's Special Was Northern to the First Time They are the Child and Time They Thought the Child and They are they are the Child and They are the Child

Complete Blocks"

with R	with Reference to the Little						Other Nontinency	niluency
			Repetition			Sound	Silent	Interjee- "
Group and Item	Combined Only	Onlyt	Syllable	Word	Phrase	Prolonga- tions	Prolonga- Intervals, tions Pauses	Lions
A (217) Control	52	3	** }	65	ន្តន	en 🖛	36 <del>1</del> 1	30 18
Nothers (N90) Experimental	55 52	S 55	2 5	<b>.</b> 82 :	<b>6</b> 0 0	52 52	r 81	# B
Mothers (N145) Mothers (N146) B (236)	8 8	# #	8 2	8 2		: 21 2	r 4	16
Experimental fathers (N134) 83 Experimental mothers (N134) 83 C (203) Fractimental fathers (N140)		ខ ខុ	និ ដដ	2 2 2	o 01 s	នន	**	임원
Experimental mothers (N146) 91 62 73	e value of N in e	ach subgr	oup rep-	†Child in combir	† Children for whom repetitions of any kind were report in combination with one or more other types of reaction.	repetitions of or more of	f any kind her types o	were reports f reaction.

<sup>†</sup>Children for whom the only reactions reported were repetitions (and interjections such as "uh uh," which are also usually repeated, provided they were combined with one or more of the categories of repetition - sound or syllable, word or phrase) panying text for a fuller explanation of column headings and for a discussion of the data. See Tables 39-47 for additional related data, certain" and "can't recall" responses being excluded. See the accomincluding significance levels of measures of group differences. :

ted, alone or

. .

Table 39. Significance Levels of Values of 2° for Differences between Indicated Pairs of Percentages Shown in Table 38 Other Nonfluency

								1	
!			Repetition			Sound	Silent	Interior	Complete
Differences	Combined	Only	Syllable	Word	Phrase	Prolonga- tions	Intervals, Pauses	tions	Blocks"
217 vs. 236† Experimental fathers	ŧs.	5% (217) §	SS:	SS	SS	SX	5% (836)	5% (436)	SN
Experimental mothers	SN .	5% (217)	S	S.	Š	n Z	(0cz) 0/.I	170 (22)	
Experimental fathers	. 5% (203)	SS	15 (203)	NS	SN	5% (203)	SN	SN	SS
Experimental mothers	NS.	1% (217)	1% (293)	5% (293)	SN	1% (293)	1% (203)	S	SS
Group means for \$17 Experimental fathers									
vs. control fathers	NS	1%(E)	1%(E)	SS	1% (C)	1%(E)	1%(C)	1% (C)	SS
experimental mothers	1%(E)	1% (E)	1% (E)	NS	1% (C)	5% (B)	1% (C)	1% (C)	SN
The formula used, as presented by Walker (R115, pp. 77-79), is	s presented by	Walker (R115,	pp. 77-79),	.=					
			!	Pt - Ps					

in which p = sample 1;  $N = M_1 + M_2$ ;  $N = M_2 + M_3$ ;  $N = M_3 + M_4$ ;  $P = (N_1P_1 + M_2)(N_1 + M_3) = (N_1P_2 + M_3)(N_1 + M_3)(N_2 + M_3) = (N_1P_3 + M_3)(N_1 + M_3) = (N_1P_3 + M_3)(N_2 + M_3) = (N_1P_3 + M_3)(N_1P_3 + M_3)(N_1P_3 + M_3) = (N_1P_3 + M_3)(N_1P_3 + M_3)(N_1P_3 + M_3) = (N_1P_3 + M_3)(N_1P_3 + M_3)(N_3P_3 + M_3)(N_1P_3 + M_3)(N$ V (PqN) / (N, N,)

INS = nonignificant. I'The number of the item, or the designating symbol of the group, for which the percentage value was higher is shown in parentheses. 135

port that their children were doing anything except repeating, plus interjecting extraneous sounds such as "uh uh" or "well uh" which was indicated by 21 fathers and 11 mothers. While the group differences with respect to these two categories of response were statistically significant, as indicated in Table 39 (with the exception of the proportions of fathers reporting combined repetitions), due attention is to be given to the extent of overlap between the two groups and to the fact that repetitions constituted the major category of the nonfluencies of the control group children, as reported by their parents. With respect to types of repetition, as shown in Table 30, syllable repetitions were reported for significantly more experimental group children, phraserepetitions were reported for significantly more control group children, and there was not a significant group difference with respect to word repetitions.

As indicated in Table 39, there was one additional type of nonfluency, prolongations of sounds, reported for significantly more experimental group children. Twenty-two fathers and eighteen mothers in the experimental group included prolongations in their descriptive accounts; for only eight children, however, did both the mother and father agree in reporting this kind of nonfluency. Significantly more control than experimental group children were reported by their parents to have exhibited silent intervals, or pauses, and interjections. Nonfluencies described as "silent intervals or pauses" were reported as having occurred in the speech of their children by 36 per cent of the fathers and 41 per cent of the mothers in the control group, and by only 7 per cent of the fathers and 3 per cent of the mothers in the experimental group. The interjection of extraneous sounds, such as "well" or "and uh" or "uh, uh, uh," was indicated by 30 per cent of the fathers and 21 per cent of the mothers in the control group, and by only 8 and 9 per cent of the fathers and mothers, respectively, in the experimental group. The values of z for all these group differences were significant at the 1 per cent level.\*

Table 38 indicates that there was generally high over-all agreement between the mothers and fathers within the two groups. In view of this fact, the disagreements between spouses in the experimental group were particularly striking with regard to such evaluations as "complete

<sup>\*</sup>Puese, or silent intervals, were not included among the categories of nonformed used in the analysis of tape-recorded speech amples of the control and experimental proup children (see Chapter 8), but interjectious were, and it is to be noted that by objective count the mean numbers of interjections per 100 spoken words were not significantly different for the two groups (see Tables 75 and 74, Chapter 8). The major natistically significant group differences observed in the tape-recorded speech sample by in the greater frequency of yillable and word repetitions and prolocoptions of

# The Earlier and Later Stutterings

blocks on first sound of word," "blocks on initial sound," "block before word (guttural sounds emitted)," and "repeated gasps." These were the closest and, with two ambiguous exceptions to be noted presently, the only definite approximations to an account of anything that could be referred to as a "breakdown in speech," or a "sudden failure of speech function," or a "neuromuscular disintegration," or a "spasm" (a term used by some writers even today to refer more or less indiscriminately to the reactions commonly called "stuttering"). No respondents in the control group and only 3 per cent of either the mothers or fathers in the experimental group reported "complete blocks," and the difference, as indicated in Table 39, was not statistically significant for either the fathers or the mothers. What seems more important than this, however, is the fact that only four fathers and five mothers, all in the experimental group, offered the indicated descriptions (see responses numbered 5, 28, 30, 33, 34, 36, 37, 51, and 67 in 217), and that, even though the terms employed would appear to signify some sort of conspicuous, dramatic, and distressing difficulty, it was, in every one of the nine cases, reported only by either the mother or the father, never by both. Since the words used, if employed seriously and thoughtfully, might ordinarily be taken to indicate grave deviations from ordinary speech behavior, deviations likely to disturb any parent confronted by them, the failure of one of the parents in each case to have observed or to have remembered being told by the other parent about them might be regarded as grounds for doubt about the descriptive care exercised by the informants who used these words. The word "block" as commonly used in this particular context, not only by laymen but often by professional workers as well, would appear to have no clearly distinctive meaning; it is not certain what the informants referred to Moreover, the same sorts of comment are to be made concerning the in using it.

two "ambiguous exceptions" referred to a few lines back, which were the responses numbered 11 and 35 in 217. The one, 11, was "Couldn't the responses numbered 11 and 35 in 217. The one, 11, was "Couldn't finish sentence — said 'ah, ah, ah,'" and the other, 35, was a bit more extensive: "Couldn't finish sentence, said, 'ah, ah, ah,' repetition of extensive: "Couldn't finish sentence," these descriptions seem to refer to nothing outside the finish sentence," these descriptions seem to refer to nothing outside the

range of common occurrence in childhood speech, and it would seem questionable that the words "couldn't finish sentence" are to be taken literally, since what they might or could mean descriptively, or extensionally, is not clear. "Couldn't," as distinguished from "didn't," is a judgmental rather than a descriptive term, and if the judgment which it expressed in these instances was derived from observation of the other speech behavior that was described, the judgment would ap-

Table 40. Percentages of Control and Experimental Group Fathers and Mothers Who Reported, in Response to Specific Questions, That When They "First Noticed That the Child Was Stuttering" He or She Was Repeating Whole Words (218), Repeating Syllables (221), and "Making Extraneous Sounds Such as 'Ah,' 'Er,' 'Well,' 'And (227), as Compared With Corresponding Percentages Who Reported, as Shown in Table 33, in Response to a General Question (217), That the Child Had Performed These Same Types of Reactions (Control Group Parents Answered with Reference

	Cc	ntrol	Group	,	Exp	erimen	tal Gr	onb
Type of Nonfluency and Item	Fath	ers	Moti	ners	Fat	bers	Mot	hers
•,,, .,	70	N	%	N	%	N	%	N
Combined repetitions, 217	79	69	61	50	85	143	90	14
	. 51	73 73 69	80 52 10	84 85 80	93 87 57	150 150 143 150	93 89 59 69	13 13 14 14
Yes responses, 221 Word repetitions, 217 Yes responses, 218 Interjections, 217 Yes responses, 227	. 59 . 57	75 69 75 69	8 41 47 31	85 80 84 80 85	65 49 59 8 85	143 150 143 150	50 63 9	1-1-1-1-1

Table 41. Significance Levels of Values of x\* for Intragroup Differences between Indicated Pairs of Percentages Shown in Tables 33 and 40

Differences	Control	Group	Experimen	
Dilicrences	F	M	F	M
A, combined repetitions, 217† vs. B, yes responses, 218 or 221 or 227 A, combined repetitions, 217 vs. B,	. NS	1% (B)‡	5% (B)	NS
yes responses, 218 or 221  Syllable repetitions (217 vs. 221)  Word repetitions (217 vs. 218)  Interjections (217 vs. 227)	370	NS NS NS 5% (827)	NS NS NS 1% (227)	12 (81 12 (81 12 (81

<sup>\*</sup> See the formula in the footnote to Table 39.

<sup>†</sup> Numbers refer to items so numbered in the Summary Table and represented in

The number of the item, or the designating symbol of the combination of items, for which the percentage value was higher is shown in parentheses.

pear to have been suspect, if not clearly invalid. However the responses may be interpreted, it is again to be noted that in neither of these two cases did both parents agree in reporting the behavior referred to. If one can be the child had been in any serious sense of the term, neuromuscularly unable to continue speaking, it would seem likely that this presumably alarming development would have been observed by the other parent also, or that it would have been made known to the other parent by the one who did observe it. What seems most probable in the light of general clinical experience is that such wordings as "the child just couldn't go on," or "couldn't say a word," or "had a complete block," are used loosely by parents and are seldom, if ever, employed by them in a spirit of scientific rigor.

Immediately following 217, items 218-232 required responses of "yes,"
"no," or "?" (uncertain, can't recall) with respect to whether or not
the child was doing various specific things when he was first thought
to be stuttering. Whereas in responding to 217 the informants were
to be stuttering. Whereas in responding to 217 the informants were
left to their own processes of association, recall, and verbal expression,
left to their own processes of association, recall, and verbal expression,
left to their own processes of association, in responding to 218-232 the
as well as their capacities for imitation, in responding to 218-232 the
as well as their capacities for imitation, in responding to 218-232 the
as well as their capacities for intention, in responses to 217, it is
of repetition foomed relatively very large in the responses to 217, it is
of repetition loomed relatively very large in the responses to 217, it is
of repetition was asked whether the child, when first thought to be
each parent was asked whether the child, when first thought to be
each parent was asked whether the child, when first thought to be
each parent was asked whether the child, when first thought to be
each parent was asked whether the child, when first thought to be
each parent was asked whether the child, when first thought to be
each parent was asked whether the child, when first thought to be
each parent was asked whether the child, when first thought to be
each parent was asked whether the child, when first thought to be
each parent was asked whether the child, when first thought to be
each parent was asked whether the child, when first thought to be
each parent was asked whether the child, when first thought to be
each parent was asked whether the child, when first thought to be
each parent was asked whether the child, when first thought to be
each parent was asked whether the child, when first thought
each parent was asked whether the child when the can't the capacities of the child when the capacities of the child when the capaci

There was a tendency, as shown in Table 40, for more informants, especially in the experimental group, to report the indicated types of especially in the experimental group, to report the indicated types of sendingenty in response to the specific questions asked in items 218, 221, and 227 than in response to the general sort of question asked in 221, Most of the differences, however, as shown in Table 41, were not significant at or beyond the 5 per cent level. Approximately two thirds and about three out of five said their children were repeating whole words (218). Although there was a tendency for more respondents to report these two types of repetition when asked about them specifically in 218 and 221 than when asked, in 217, a general question about what the child had been doing, the differences, as shown in Table 41, were not significant, except for the larger proportion of mothers, 63 were not significant, except for the larger proportion of mothers, 63

per cent, giving "yes" as the response to 218 as compared with 50 per cent who volunteered the report of word repetitions in response to 217.

Interjections were reported by significantly more control than experimental group fathers in response to both 217 and 227, and there was a significant group difference for the mothers also for 217 (Tables 39 and 42). In all four subgroups significantly more respondents reported interjections when asked about them specifically in 227 than when left to their own wordings in 217 (Tables 40 and 41). Whether in response to the open-ended question or the specific questions, significantly more experimental than control group parents reported repetitions of all sorts combined and more syllable repetitions. The experimental group mothers reported significantly more word repetitions in response to the specific question, 218, but not in response to the general question, 217; the fathers did not differ appreciably in response to either question, somewhat more than half in both groups reporting word repetitions (see Tables 39, 40, and 42). As has been stated. however, the fact that the specified group differences were or were not significant, with reference to a designated level of confidence, is not as crucial as the fact that the data for the two groups overlap. What is of major theoretical importance is the finding that essentially the same kinds of behavior were classified differently by different observers.

Although the findings that have just been presented add up to a rather large part of the answer to the question of what it was in the speech behavior of their children that the experimental group parents

Table 42. Significance Values of z\* for Intergroup Differences between Indicated Pairs of Percentages Shown in Tables 53 and 40

		Fath	ers			Mot	hers	
Item	Nu	mber				mber		Higher
	Con- trol	Experi- mental	z i Level	Ligher %	Con-	Experi- mental	Level	
Combined repetitions (yes responses, 218 or 221 or 227) †	. 75	150	1%	E	84	150	1%	£
Word and syllable repetitions (yes responses, 218 or 221) . Syllable repetitions, 217 Syllable repetitions, 221	. 75 . 69	100 143 150	1% 1% 1%	E E	85 80 83	150 148 150	1% 1% 1%	E E
Word repetitions, 217 Word repetitions, 218 Interjections, 217 Interjections, 227	. 69 . 73	143 150	NS NS 1%	c	80 84 80	146 150	NS 5% 1%	E

See the formula in the footnote to Table 39.

<sup>†</sup> Numbers refer to items so numbered in the Summary Table and represented in Tables 38 and 40.

Table 43. Roules of GibSquare Analysis of Responses to Hone Conventing Speech Repetants Associated with Onset of Stattering, or Nordinaviors, on Which the Esperiments and Control Group Farrist Biffered Significantly

	work I would be the state of th	red Significan	1,1	
	Direction of Difference	Level of Confidence	Remarks	
When the stuttering/aonfluencies were first noticed was the child repeating a whole world (215)	More experimental than control group mothers answered "yes,"	1	Fathers did not differ significantly	andy
When the stuttering/nonfluencies were first noticed was the child repealing a syllable? (221)	More experimental than control group mothers answered "yes"	%1	Fathers followed similar trend, signifi- cant at 1% level	d, signifi-
When the stuttering/nonfluencies were first noticed was the child prolonging a wound? (221)	More experimental than control group mothers answered "yea"	17,4	Fathers followed similar trend, signifi- cant at 1% level	l, signifi-
When the stuttering/nonfluencies were first noticed were there conspicuous ai- lent periods within the child's speech? (225)	More control than experimental group mothers greaterly "yes."	<b>%</b> 1	Fathers followed similar trend, signifi-	l, signifi-
When the atuttering/aonfluencies were first noticed was the thild doing what you describe on the first word of a sentence? (329)	More experimental than control group mothers answered "yes."	17. 24.	Falbers followed similar trend, signifi- eant at 5% level	1, ո՛գուն-
Have you ever noticed other children doing such things as your child was doing? (834)	More control than experimental group mothers answered "yes."	%1	l'athera followed similar trend, signifi-	i, sieniß-
At the time when stuttering/nonfluencies were first noticed, was the child using force or more effort than usual to feel his nords ont? (420)	More experimental than control group mothers reported force or effort	<b>1</b> %	rant at 1% fevel Fathers followed similar trend, signifi-	. signiff-
When you first noticed that the child was stuttering lawing nonlinearies was he or she making extraneous sounds such as "ah," "et," well." and? (827)	More control than experimental group fathers answered "yea"	251	Mathers did not differ significantly	wily

took to be stuttering, it is important to be particularly thorough in determining the degree to which the reports of these parents referred to tense or "blocked" speech, or to reactions by the child to his own speech that seemed indicative of emotional disturbance, or at least slight concern or uneasiness. The data obtained in response to 217 and presented in Table 38 point toward the general conclusion that for the most part there was no unusual tension in the speech behavior originally judged to be stuttering. In addition to 217, however, there were eight items in the interview designed to be especially serviceable in this connection. Two of these were relatively general. The first of this pair was 250: "At the time when stuttering was first noticed, was the child using force or more effort than usual 'to get his words out'? Was there more than usual muscular tension?" The other, 305, called for essentially the same kind of information, but with reference to the speech of the child at the time of interview: "When the child stutters does he make any grimaces or odd bodily movements, or does he seem to do anything else out of the ordinary?" Responses to these two items are summarized in Table 47 and group differences with respect to 250 are indicated in Table 43. The other six items dealt with specific types of reaction: 243, "When stuttering was first noticed was it accompanied by any grimaces or bodily contortions?" 214, "Did the child seem indifferent to his very first stoppages?" 245, "When the stuttering was first noticed, did the child seem to be aware of the fact that he was speaking in a different manner or doing something wrong?" 246, "Did the child show surprise or bewilderment after having had trouble on a word?" 247, "Did the very first stoppages seem to be unpleasant to the child?" 245, "Do you think the child felt irritated when the very first stoppages occurred?" A "yes" response to 244 and "no" responses to the other five ques-

tions may be classified as indicating "no tension or concern" reactions on the part of the child, and the responses to these items shown in the Summary Table are mostly of this type. The 1,800 responses made to these six questions by the experimental group parents and the 795 given by the control group parents who regarded the questions, with the exception of 243, as applying to their children are summarized in Tables 44 and 45.

These tables constitute one of the most impressive single arrays of evidence yielded by this investigation of the essential similarity of the speech of the control and experimental group children at the time, in each case, when the parents first felt that the child was stuttering or, in the control group, speaking nonfluently. On the basis of the data summarized in Tables 44 and 45, it may be stated that whatever it was in their children's speech that the experimental group parents had judged to be stuttering, it apparently involved essentially no less and no more tension and emotionality than that which somewhat more than half of the control group parents had regarded as repetitions and other sorts of nonfluencies, but not as stuttering or something abnormal, in the speech of their children.

The rest of the control group parents, about half of the fathers and somewhat over one third of the mothers, said they had not noticed any

Table 41. Percentages of Responses by Control and Experimental Group Fathers and Mothers to Items 243-248 That Were Indicative and Not Indicative of Unusual Muscular Tension or of Some Degree of Irritation, Perplexity, or Awareness of Speaking in Any Way "Different" or "Wrong" on the Part of the Child When the Child Was First Judged to be Stuttering (Experimental Group) or Was First

Thought to Be Speaking Nonfluently (Control Group)

2,000,000	Contro	l Group	Experimen	stal Group
Item	Fathers	Mothers (N = 84)	Fathers (N = 150)	Mothers (N = 150)
Percentage of responses indicative of tension and affective sensitivity	6.0	107	72	11.5
Percentage of responses	. 925	87.6	89.0	87.7
Percentage of "?" responses (uncertain, can't recall)	06	1.7	3.8	0.9

Item 243, "When stuttering was first noticed was it accompanied by any grimaces or bodily contortions?" was not addressed to the control group parents.

Table 45. Percentages of Control and Experimental Group Mothers and Tathers Who Gave Designated Numbers of Responses to Items 243-243 That Were Indicative of Caused Muscular Tension or of Some Degree of Concern, Irritation, Perplexity, or Caused Muscular Tension or of Some Degree of Concern, Irritation, Perplexity, or Caused Muscular Tension or of Some Degree of Concern, Irritation, Perplexity, or Caused Muscular Tension or of Some Degree of Concern, Irritation, Perplexity, or Caused Muscular Tension or of Some Degree of Concern, Irritation, Perplexity, or Caused Muscular Tension or of Some Degree of Concern, Irritation, Perplexity, or Caused Muscular Tension or of Some Degree of Concern, Irritation, Perplexity, or Caused Muscular Tension or of Some Degree of Concern, Irritation, Perplexity, or Caused Muscular Tension or of Some Degree of Concern, Irritation, Perplexity, or Caused Muscular Tension or of Some Degree of Concern, Irritation, Perplexity, or Caused Muscular Tension or of Some Degree of Concern, Irritation, Perplexity, or Caused Muscular Tension or of Some Degree of Concern, Irritation, Perplexity, or Caused Muscular Tension or of Some Degree of Concern, Irritation, Perplexity, or Caused Muscular Tension or of Some Degree of Concern, Irritation, Perplexity, or Caused Muscular Tension or of Some Degree of Concern, Irritation, Perplexity, or Caused Muscular Tension or of Some Degree of Concern, Irritation, Perplexity, or Caused Muscular Tension or of Some Degree of Concern, Irritation, Perplexity, or Caused Muscular Tension or October 1981 (1991). Awareness of Speaking in Any Way "Different" or "Wrong" on the Part of the Child Was First Judged to Be Stuttering (Experimental Group) or

dit to Be Speaking Nonfluently (Control Group)

Was First Thought to Be	Speaking No		Experimen	ital Group
Number of Reponses Indicative of Child's Tension or Concern	Fathers (N = 75)	Mothers (N = 84)	Fathers (N = 150)	Mothers (N = 150)
6*	00 00 1.3 . 53 . 20.0	1.2 5.6 0.0 10.7 11.9 72.6	1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 2.0 11.3 82.7	1.3 1.3 4.7 3.3 6.0 8.0 77.3

<sup>\*</sup> Computed only for experimental subgroups, since 213 was not addressed to the control group; all the remaining percentages involve only items 241-218.

nonfluencies in their children's speech. Yet, the control group parents who did report nonfluencies were evidently referring to the ordinary repetitions and hesitations generally characteristic in varying measure of the speech of young children, and so of the speech of the rest of the control group children, too. If these deductions are accepted, it follows that the control group parents who reported no nonfluencies had disregarded, or had not noticed, the common varieties of nonfluency in their children's speech that they might have observed and evaluated somehow. This means, then, that they were providing information, not about their children's speech, but about their own perception.

It would appear, then, that the 600 parents interviewed in Study III distributed themselves, with respect to perceptual set and evaluative orientation, into three groups: (1) those who did not notice, or did observe but disregarded, their children's nonfluencies; (2) those who noticed the repetitions and hesitations in their children's speech, but did not evaluate them as "stuttering," or as "problem"; and (3) those who noticed the repetitions and hesitations in the speech of their children, and did evaluate them as "stuttering," or as "abnormal," or as a "problem."

The fundamental importance of the practical and theoretical implications of the findings summarized in Tables 44 and 45 argued in favor of checking the reliability of the responses to 943-248. It was possible to do this in a simple but relatively adequate way by examining the degree of agreement between the responses made to each othese items and those made to 250 and 305. This was done by noting

Table 48. Percentages of Control and Experimental Group Fathers and Mothers Whore Reproses to 435-488 (Gonermed with Whether the Child Rectard in Specified Ways When Dolay What Farents Repeated as In First State of Specified Ways Farent Repeated as In First State of Specific Ways of Specific Ways of State of Specific (499) and When Stattering "Now," i.e., at and Recently prior to the Time of Interview (303).

		Control	Group			xperimen	tal Group	
Item	Fath	ers	Mot	hers	Fath	ers	Mot	
item	(N = 63)	SOS (N = 61)	620 (N = 63)	303 (N = 79)	250 (N = 94)	305 (N = 95)	250 (N = 96)	(N = 90)
213 216 217		97 87 97 97 93	94 84 99 96	90 84 96 94 87	93 97 98 97 99	88 92 91 96 93	93 94 95 97 96 96	91 93 94 89 89
Ave	Tage 93	93	93	90	97	95	9.5	90

# The Earlier and Later Stutterings

Table 47. Percentages of Control and Experimental Group Parents Reporting That the Child Was Manifesting "No Tension" When Ther First "Noticed Stuttering" (230) and/or When Child "Stutters Now," i.e., at or Recently prior to the Time of Interview (305) (Control Group Parents Answered with Reference to What

They Reported as the Nonfluencies of Their Children)

They		Control			Ex	periment	al Group	
Item and Response	Fath N=	ers	Mot! N=		Fath N =		Moth N=	ers 130
			N	56	N	%	N	%
	N	%						
No tension at onset (250)	63	84	59	70	91	65	93	69
No tension at interview (305)	62	83	79	91	96	61	90	60
No tension at onset a		87	58	99	63	67	65	69
No tension at intervi	ew 85	89	68	86	66	61	60	67
No tension at intervior none at onset Tension at onset Slight Moderate Excessive Tension	70 10 7 3 0	95 15 9 4 0	80 14 9 4 1	95 17 11 5	127 51 50 14 7	83 31 20 9 5	120 54 55 11 10	80 36 93 7
at interview . Tension at both one and interview .	. 11 et	14	5 3	6 5	51 28	19	29	11

the number of informants in each subgroup who had stated in response to 250 and to 305 that the child had exhibited "no force or tension" "when stuttering was first noticed" (250) and "now," at the time of interview (305), respectively, and then computing the percentage of that number who had given a "no tension or concern" response to each of 243-248. The resulting percentages, which may be interpreted essentially as measures of reliability of the parental reports of "no tension or concern," are shown in Table 46. For the control group they ranged from 84 to 100 per cent, with 16 out of 20 values being 90 or higher; for the experimental group the values ranged from 86 to 99, with 20 out of 24 being 90 or above. The average percentages of agreement were 90 or higher for all subgroups, the range extending from 00 to 97

Items 243-248 and 250 referred to the time when the parents first thought the child was beginning to stutter, and the average percentages of agreement for these items ranged from 93 to 99 for the experimental group parents. Item 305 referred to the time of interview, eighteen months later on the average, and so the percentages in Table

46 which pertain to that item may be interpreted as showing that 86 to 96 per cent of the experimental group children who were said to exhibit no tensions or concern in speaking at the time of the interview had also shown none when their parents had first regarded them as stutterers eighteen months before.

Tables 46 and 47 indicate that the respondents did not clearly differentiate between "time of onset" and "now" in answering questions 243-248, and so far as this was the case it would seem to be further evidence of the general unclearness of the parents' memories for the period of time covered by the questions concerning onset and early development of the speech problem. In Table 47 the parents' responses to 250 and 305 are compared.

Roughly two thirds of the experimental group parents who reported "no tension" in their children's speech at "onset" also reported "no tension" at the time of interview, and vice versa. In the meantime, while slightly less than two thirds of these parents reported "no tension" at "onset," and about the same proportion reported "no tension" at the time of interview, 85 per cent of the fathers and 80 per cent of the mothers reported "no tension" either at "onset" or at the time of interview. Likewise, although over one third of the experimental group parents reported tension in their children's speech at onset of the problem, and slightly more than that reported tension at the time of interview eighteen months later, only 19 per cent indicated tension both at onset and at the time of interview. Meanwhile, in the control group roughly one out of six informants reported that there was tension in what they referred to as the first nonfluencies in the speech of their children, and 14 per cent of the fathers and 6 per cent of the mothers reported tension at the time of interview; 3 to 4 per cent of the control group children were reported by their parents to have shown tension when they were first thought to be nonfluent and at the time of interview.

Responses to item 250 were coded to indicate degrees of tension as judged, and the resulting distributions of these responses are shown in Table 47. The proportion of control group parents, 13 per cent of the fathers and 17 per cent of the mothers, who asserted that their children were exhibiting tension in their "first" nonfluencies would seem to imply that the experimental group parents who reported tension in their children's "first" stuttering may have been referring to something more or less like certain of the nonfluencies of the control group children. This implication appears to be reinforced by the breakdown of the control and experimental group responses into those referring to slight, moderate, and excessive degrees of tension, as judged the control of the control of the control of the control and experimental group responses into those referring to slight, moderate, and excessive degrees of tension, as judged to

Only 5 per cent of the fathers and 7 per cent of the mothers in the experimental group reported "excessive tension" in their children's "first" stuttering, and roughly two thirds of those in both the experimental and control groups who reported tension stated that the tension was of slight degree.

These data would seem to reinforce the inference that the speech reactions under investigation were essentially commonplace, and this is further suggested by still another observation. In item 231 the parents were asked: "Have you noticed other children doing such things in their speech — that is, doing the sorts of things you have described and imitated as the things your child was doing when you first noticed he was stuttering?" (For the control group parents: "when you first noticed that he was speaking nonfluently?") Most of the control group parents to whom the question applied, 85 per cent of the fathers and 89 per cent of the mothers, gave affirmative replies. This might suggest a basis for the evident inclination of the control group parents to regard the nonfluencies in their own children's speech as normal. At the same time, only about half of the experimental group mothers and fathers said they had noticed other children "doing such things." In view of the descriptions they gave of what they had regarded as "stuttering" in their children's speech, this would appear to indicate that they were operating with conceptions of statistical normalcy which they had not checked closely against the realities of childhood speech. In several studies of nonfluency in the speech of representative and presumably normal two- to five-year-old children, the writer and his students have found the mean incidence of syllable, word, and phrase repetitions to be 40 instances per 1,000 words in free-play situations and 36 instances per 1,000 words in speech-testing situations (R62, pp. 187-180). The normal range of incidence is from about 15 to more than 100 instances per 1,000 running words. Moreover, the general character of these repetitions, with respect to degrees of tension and apparent concern - or, as is usually the case, evident freedom from tension and concern - associated with them, would appear to be essentially the same, with the possibility of a few exceptions, as the nature of the nonfluencies reported by both the control and experimental group parents in this study.

The fact that half of the experimental group parents said they had never noticed other children "doing such things" in their speech could have meant, therefore, that they did not have a very clear sense of norms. This might have been one of the important reasons why they norms. This might have been one of the important reasons why they took the nonfluencies of their own children to be unusual; they may took the nonnueners of their own contacts to be anasta; they may not have understood very clearly what is unusual and what is to be ex-

pected in the speech of preschool-age children under various kinds of conditions.

The findings indicate that the two groups of parents had made crucially different evaluations of essentially the same general kinds of nonfluent childhood speech. The experimental group parents had expressed their evaluations by deciding their children were stutterers and the control group parents had expressed their evaluations by continuing to regard the speech of their children as normal. In contemplating the possible theoretical implications of these findings, it is important to appreciate that among the nonfluencies which the control group parents regarded as normal there were some that they described as involving more than ordinary tension and various sorts of "emotional" reaction. In apparently about the same proportions, such nonfluencies were among those classified by the experimental group parents as stuttering. It is not that the children classified as stutterers never did anything except effortlessly repeat syllables, words, and phrases-even though this seems to be what they mainly did -but that the other things they did which involved tension and "emotionality" were also done reportedly by the control group children, who were not classified as stutterers for doing them.

The term "normal nonfluency" represents, according to the usages sampled in this study, a relatively broad category. It is to be considered that along with all else that it covered, the category included the types of nonfluency described as syllable repetition and sound prolongation, the ones reported, as shown in Table 39, for significantly more experimental than control group children. What seems particullarly noteworthy is that these types of nonfluency, especially the repetition of syllables, are evidently more likely to be classified as stuttering," at least by listeners within our culture.\* More information is needed concerning individual differences among listeners in perceiving and evaluating syllable repetitions in the speech of various sorts and ages of speakers under various kinds of circumstances. Apparently some listeners do not even perceive them, at least when produced by certain speakers in some situations; after all, a substantial proportion of the control group parents indicated that they had never heard repetitions in the speech of their children, and as was said above this would

<sup>\*</sup> Bochmler (R18) and Giolas and Williams (R35) have reported on the basis of laboratory studies that the repetition of syllables is regarded as "stuttering" relatively more often than are repetitions of words and phrases, Glasner and Rosenthal (R36) have reported that repetition (type not indicated) "was clearly the nonfluency most frequently associated with a diagnosis of stuttering and prolongation [of sounds] was noted least frequently" by their sample of 133 parents who "said that their children had stuttered at the contract of the c tered at some time."

#### The Earlier and Later Stutterings

seem to be an observation concerning their own perceptual reactions rather than the speech of their children, since it has been well established that repetition is a characteristic of the speech of children generally (189). The matter of individual differences among speakers in producing nonfluencies is to be most meaningfully investigated in relation to the question of individual differences among listeners—and the variability of specific listeners—in perceiving, as well as evaluating and classifying, nonfluencies in speech.

#### Reactions to the Speech Behavior That Was Regarded as First Stutterings

The interview items designed to explore the parents' reactions to what they took to be the stuttering of their children—or to their own feelings that their children were stuttering—are to be found particularly in the section of the interview that extends from 238 through 334. Most of the questions were also addressed to the control group parents, and again their answers were made with reference to what they had reported as the nonfluencies of their children.

In 239, the parents were asked, "How soon after it was first noticed did someone say something to the child about his stuttering?" In the control group approximately 80 per cent of the respondents indicated either that nothing had ever been said to the child about the non-fluencies, or else that they were uncertain about this. In the experimental group, however, two thirds of the fathers and three fourths of the mothers indicated that something had been said to the child about what was referred to as his stuttering, and of these about three fourths reported that something had been said either immediately or soon—that is, within one month. The difference between the control and experimental groups with respect to the number of children to whom something was said (293) was, for both the fathers and mothers, significant at the I per cent level, according to the obtained value of chisaure.

As shown in 299, it had nearly always been someone within the family, usually the parents and most frequently the mother, who had said something to the child. These persons had made a great variety of comments to the child, as indicated in 240, the most common of which were suggestions that he slow down, take it easy, and stop and start over. Such comments or suggestions were said to have been made as often as from 5 times a day to 25 times a month in roughly one third of the cases in the experimental group (246). All the parents in all four subgroups who responded to 235 by saying that nothing was ever said to the child were consistent in stating, in response to 239,

that no one had said anything to the child and in giving the response of "nothing" to 240, "What was first said to the child?" Moreover, all those who answered "nothing" to 240 also answered "never" when asked, in 211, how frequently "such comments were made."

In another series of four questions only the experimental group parents were asked when the child was told he was thought to have a speech defect (252); who told him (253); how the child was told, under what circumstances, and precisely what he was told (253); and what the child's own first reaction was to being informed that he was thought to have a speech defect (255). Scarcely one out of six fathers and about one out of three mothers indicated that the child had been told that he was thought to have a speech defect or difficulty (252). Most of those who were told were informed by their parents, usually in the form of a direct statement or in connection with an explanation of a planned visit to a doctor or speech correctionist. Most of the children had not appeared to be bothered by being told, according to their parents. Both the fathers and mothers were completely consistent in their responses to items 252, 253, and 255; all those who said the child had not been told he had a speech defect (252) gave corresponding answers to 253 and 255. So far as reliability may be equated with consistency, then, the responses to these items, as well as the responses to 238-211, as previously noted, were reliable.

The responses to these questions were spontaneous, or self-formulated by the informants. The parents were also asked, in a series of eight questions, 261-273, whether specific comments had been made to the child by anyone. The percentages of those control and experimental group fathers and mothers who had stated (240) that nothing was said to the child about his stuttering (control group: nonfluency) and who responded, consistently with this, to each of the items 261-273 by saying that the child had "never" been asked to talk slowly (264), or to think about what he was going to say (265), etc., are shown in Table 48. The consistency of response in both groups, as thus measured, was quite high, fourteen of the twenty percentages for the control group and thirteen of the twenty for the experimental group being above 90. There was some tendency for more of the informants to indicate in response to the specific questions than in response to the general one (210) that certain comments or reactions had been made. This was especially the case with respect to asking the child to slow down (264) and "saying the difficult words for" the child (270). Practically identical results were obtained when the "never" responses to 261-273 were compared with the "never" responses to 241, "How often were such comments made?" ("such comments" as those listed in 240).

Table 81. Results of Chf-Square Analysis of Responses to Rens Pertaining to Parents' Attitudes and Basedians towed Stutteries for Mentionery as Which the Experimental and

Reactions	Reactions toward Stuttering (or Nonfluency) or United in Layertmental Reactions toward Control Group Parents Differed Significantly	ntly	
Item	Direction of Difference	Level of Confidence	Remarks
How frequently was something said to the child about spreech? (241)	Something was said more often to ex- perimental than control group children, according to mothers	%	Fathers followed similar trend, signifi- cant at 2% level
How did you feel when you first felt	More control than experimental group mothers reported having felt no concern	.1%	Fathers followed similar trend, signifi- cant at 1% level
How did your wife (husband) feel? (203)	More control than experimental group fathers felt no concern, according to their wives	<u>ار</u>	Fathers' statements concerning their wives followed similar trend, signifi- cant at 1% level
Ifow often was the child told to slow down? (201)	Experimental group mothers reported higher frequencies than control group mothers	1%	Fathers followed similar trend, signifi- cant at 1% level
How often was the child told to stop and think about what he was going to and (1963)	Experimental group mothers reported higher frequencies than control group mothers	1%	Fathers followed similar trend, signifi- cant at 1% level
How often was the child told to stop and start over? (268)	Experimental group mothers reported higher frequencies than control group mothers	<u>بر</u>	Fathers followed similar trend, signifi- cant at 1% level
How often was the child told to relax and take it enty? (200)	Experimental group mothers reported higher frequencies than control group mothers	%	Fathers followed similar trend, signifi- cant at 1% level

79
ž
춯
ě
ĩ
4
9
ž

Tiem	Direction of Difference	Confidence	ď	Remarks		
fow often did you say the "difficult" rords for the child? (270)	Experimental group mothers reported higher frequencies than control group mothers	1%	Fathers followed similar trend, signifi- cant at 1% level	similar	trend,	signifi.
fow often was the child told to try to exp from stuttering/nonfluency? (273)	Experimental group mothers reported higher frequencies than control group mothers	8,1	Fathers followed similar trend, signifi- cant at 2% level	smilar	frend,	signiff.
low frequently were other similar sug- rations made? (275)	Experimental group mothers reported higher frequencies than control group mothers	£1	Fathers followed similar trend, signifi- cant at 1% level	similar	trend,	signifi-
Nd anyone react in any way to the act that the child seemed to be having ifficulty? (270)	More experimental than control group mothers answered "yes"	1%	Fathers followed similar trend, signifi- cant at 1% level	similar	trend,	signiff.
did you avert your gaze when the child sea "having trouble"? (277)	More experimental than control group mothers answered "yes"	1%	Fathers followed similar trend, signifi- cant at 1% level	similar	irend,	igniß.
And you register surprise when the child ran "having trouble"? (279)	More experimental than control group mothers answered "yes"	84 84	Distribution of fathers' responses un-	athers"	response	du s
ras "having trouble"? (283)	More experimental than control group mothers answered "yes"	<u>ئ</u>	Fathers followed similar trend, signifi-	similar	trend,	ignig.
he child was "having trouble"? (234)	More experimental than control group mothers answered "yes"	1%	Distribution of fathers' responses un-	athers'	respons Ursis	á
relp the child speak well? (274)	More experimental than control group mothers answered "yes."	ž,	Fathers followed similar trend, signifi- cant at 1% level	aimilar	trend	igniß.

than did the control group parents to what they looked upon as the

Development of the Stuttering Problem Subsequent to Onset

Both the control and experimental group parents were asked (285), "What has been the general course of the problem since you first noticed it?" In the experimental group roughly 30 per cent said the problem had become worse, nearly the same proportion said it had become better, and about one out of four stated that it had stayed about the same. The rest gave varying reports to the effect that it had got worse and then better or the reverse. Roughly two thirds of the mothers and half of the fathers, when asked specifically, in 290, whether there had been a time when they felt "the stuttering became more severe," answered, "Yes." Over two thirds of the mothers and about 40 per cent of the fathers in the experimental group reported, on the other hand, in response to 286, that after they had first noticed the stuttering there had been "a time when the speech improved greatly." Approximately one third of the mothers and one fourth of the fathers stated, in fact, in response to 288, that there had been a time when they felt "the stuttering had completely disappeared." In the control group the great majority of the parents said the problem had become better, stayed the same, or disappeared. Group differences are summarized in Table 52.

In 293 the experimental group parents were asked to describe and imitate "the present pattern of stuttering," just as they had been asked in 217 to describe and imitate "what the child was doing in his speech when he first stuttered," and, in 236, when they first felt the child had a speech problem. A breakdown of the responses to these items is given in Table 38, and significance values of certain differences among them are presented in Table 39. The degree of similarity among the three distributions of responses, in combination with the pattern of differences among them, is of very considerable interest. In general, the responses at the time of interview were more like those made with reference to the time when it was first felt that a problem existed (236) than those made with reference to the time of "first stuttering" (217). The shift in pattern of responses from 217 to 236 was in the direction of more fathers and mothers reporting silent intervals or pauses and interjections, and fewer reporting "repetitions only." To the degree that there was a further shift in pattern between the time when it was first thought that a problem existed (236) and the time of interview (293), it was in the direction of some additional increase in cases involving sound prolongations and a tendency for more mothers to re-

Table 52. Results of Chi-S Nonfluencies, on W	Table 92. Results of Chi-Square Analysis of Responses to Rena Concerning the Development of Stattering, or Nonfluencies, on Which the Control and Experimental Group Parents Differed Significantly	raing the Dev Parents Diffe	clopment of Stu red Significantly	tering, or		1
Item	Direction of Difference	Level of Confidence		Remarks		
What has been the general course of the stuttering/nonfluency since you first noticed it? (283)	More control than experimental group mothers said it was same or better	% 1	Fathers followed similar trend, signifi- cant at 1% level	el similar	trend,	signifi-
After you first noticed that the child was stattering/nonfluent was there ever a time when you felt the stattering/nonfluery had completely desappeared? (286)	More experimental than control group mothers answered "yes"	2%	Fathers followed similar trend, signifi- cant at 1% level	el similar	trend,	signifi-
After you first noticed that the child was stuttering/nonfluent was there ever a time when you felt the stuttering/non-fluency became more severe? (290)	More experimental than control group molliers answered "yes"	1%	Fathers followed similar trend, zignifi- cant at 1% level	sd similar ed	trend,	signi)(j.
What was the child's usual attitude toward being called upon to perform as a speaker for outsiders? (315)	More experimental than control group mothers reported unfavorable reactions ("refusal," for example)	%	Falliers did not differ significantly	t differ sig	miscant	
Now seristive was the child about the speech defect/nonfluency? (318)	More experimental than control group mothers rated children as being sensi- tive	<b>%</b> 1	Fathers followed similar trend, signifi- cant at 1% level	d similar	trend,	igniß.

port word repetition and for more of both mothers and fathers to report syllable repetition. On the other hand, the numbers of experimental group fathers reporting "repetitions only" and silent intervals or
pauses at the time of onset and at the time of interview were not significantly different. It is to be observed that even at the time of interview syllable and word repetitions were reported by much larger proportions of experimental group respondents than were "blocks," silent
intervals or pauses, interjections, or sound prolongations. The number of experimental group informants reporting phrase repetitions remained essentially constant (217, 236, 293), and markedly under the
number of control group informants reporting phrase repetitions (217).

The experimental group parents were asked how many times their children repeated each repeated word (219) or syllable (222) at the time of "onset," and at the time of interview they were asked (294), "How many repetitions are there as a rule now before the word is finally spoken?" The approximate mean that could be computed from the responses as coded was for each of these three items, roughly 3.5.

As was shown in Table 47, about the same proportions of experimental group parents reported that their children manifested tension in speaking at "onset" and at the time of interview, respectively (250 and 305). It is of interest, therefore, that about half the fathers and two fiths of the mothers stated (295) that their children were showing about the same degree of "force or effort" at the time of interview as "when stuttering was first noticed," while about one third of the fathers and two fiths of the mothers said their children were showing more tension and the remainder, roughly 15 per cent of all experimental group informants, said their children were speaking with less tension at the time of interview.

In response to 296, over half the fathers and two fifths of the mothers said their children made "no reaction," at the time of interview, when they had "a lot of trouble saying a word," and the others gave reports indicating varying degrees of tension and kinds of affective or evaluative reactions. Nearly three fifths of the mothers and about two fifths of the fathers said their children had "especially difficult periods." Over half of the parents reporting such periods indicated (298) that they were brief in that they occurred "at least once a day" or "two or three times a week," and the causes to which they were attributed by the larger proportions of respondents (299) were fatigue and excitement, presumably transient states.

In 300 the attempt was made to ascertain the conditions under which the informant had "personally observed the child stuttering more than usual." A large variety of responses was obtained; the most frequently given were "none," "don't know, can't say," "when excited." and "describing something; telling a story," these four responses being given by 91 mothers and 110 fathers. In 301 each informant was asked. "During the past month in what situations have you personally observed that the child has had little or no trouble speaking?" Again, a great variety of responses was obtained. The following six responses were given by a total of 92 mothers and 87 fathers: "none," "don't know, can't say," "when calm, relaxed," "when talking, playing with other children," "when alone with father or mother." and "when playing alone; talking to himself." Approximately similar distributions of responses were given to 302 and 303, in which the informants were asked to identify the persons to whom the child stuttered more and less than usual, respectively, during the month preceding the interview. To both questions over two thirds of the informants gave the response "none," Similarly, when asked (304) which topics of conversation had given the child the most trouble, over two thirds of the experimental group parents gave the response "none in particular."

In 305 the informants were asked whether, at the time of interview. their children when stuttering (control group; when speaking nonfluently) "make any grimaces or odd bodily movements, or . . . do anything else out of the ordinary." About three fifths of the experimental group parents said they did not, and the rest gave a great variety of answers only three of which however, were given by as many as three or more of either the fathers or mothers. About three fourths of those who reported such reactions evaluated them negatively but most of these made the claim, the validity of which was not readily ascertainable, that they "overtly ignored" these reactions of the child which

they said they disliked in some degree.

It is of interest that when asked (309), "How much does the child talk now as compared with other children?" over 90 per cent of both the experimental and control group parents said their children did an average amount of talking or more. About the same proportions stated that their children were permitted, at the dinner table (310) and when guests were present (312), to speak an average or greater than average amount. On the other hand, nearly 90 per cent of both groups said that their children were "taught to speak pieces" (313) and "called on to perform before outsiders" (314) about an average amount or less. The usual attitudes of the two groups of children toward requests to perform before outsiders (315) were reportedly more or less the same, with more of the experimental group children having "refused." as indicated in Table 52, and a few more of the control group children having exhibited shyness.

Since there would appear to be a reasonable doubt that most of the informants were clearly aware of "the average amount" of talking done by other children under the conditions in question, their responses might judiciously be interpreted as representing, in some measure, their wishes and ideals. Even so, the general similarity of the responses given by the experimental and control groups suggests that, even eighteen months, on the average, after onset of the problem the allegedly stuttering children had not yet experienced sufficient "difficulty" to become conditioned appreciably against speaking. These data also suggest that the parents, although concerned to the degrees that have been indicated, had not been sufficiently impressed by their children's manner of speaking to modify their policies, as acknowledged, toward their speech behavior, so far as the items under consideration might reflect these policies.

This general inference would appear to be supported by the sorts of reactions elicited by the Iowa Scale of Attitude toward Stuttering.\* This instrument samples the respondent's more or less general attitude toward stuttering. It was administered to the parents at the time of interview. As indicated in Table 53, the mean group differences were not statistically significant for either the fathers or mothers, nor were the means of the fathers and mothers within each group significantly different. These facts would seem to strengthen the implication of the

Table 53. Mean Scores of the Experimental and Control Group Fathers and Mothers on the Iowa Scale of Attitude toward Stattering

Item	Exper	imental	Group	Co	ntrol G	oup _		
	N	Mean	S.D.	N	Mean	SD.	Diff.	t
Mothers	146	1.91	47	149	1.56	.45	.05	NS NS
Difference	158	1.85 .06	.47	149	1,85 .01	.47	0.00	7.2
•		NS			NS			

rest of the relevant data to the effect that the experimental group parents, while sufficiently disturbed to seek clinical service, were not for the most part extreme in their reactions to whatever it was their children were doing, nor, presumably, were the children doing anything that was, to their parents, extraordinary in any gravely alarming sense.

One question (318) was addressed directly to the matter of the child's sensitivity about his so-called speech defect, or nonfuencies.

<sup>•</sup> This scale, with instructions for administration and scoring, and with a summary of normative data reported by Ammons and Johnson (1), is to be found in Johnson, Darley, and Sprinterschaft (61, pp. 197-146).

More experimental than control group parents, as shown in Table 52, reported that their children were sensitive. Half of the experimental group fathers and somewhat over one third of the mothers, however, said that their children were not sensitive and that the children apparently did not feel there was anything wrong with their speech. Nearly a third of the mothers and a fifth of the fathers expressed the judgment that their children were "mildly" to "very" sensitive, mostly "mildly," and somewhat less than a third of the experimental group parents gave the response that their children were not sensitive "but probably feel there is something wrong" with their speech.

#### Comparison of "Clinical" and "Nonclinical" Subgroups of Experimental Group Mothers

As indicated in 614, 47 of the 150 experimental group children were not considered by the interviewers to be stutterers in a clinically significant sense on the basis of their observations of the children at the time of interview. Ratings were not made in 7 cases. The interviewers agreed with the parents that the remaining 96 children were to be classified as stutterers, on the basis of such observations as the interviewers made of them, at the time of interview. These 47 "nonclinical" and 96 "clinical" experimental group children were treated, for purposes of the analysis presently to be described, as subgroups of the experimental group as a whole.

The mothers of these two subgroups of children were compared with respect to their responses to 136 items for which it had been possible to record responses in a single column of an IBM card. Nineteen of these had yielded distributions unfavorable for computation of chi-square values. Statistically significant chi-square values were obtained for 9 of the remaining 117 items, and these are presented in Table 54. The direction of the difference between the two subgroups was, for each of the 9 items, the same as it had been for the complete groups.

As indicated in Table 54, the experimental group mothers whose children's speech justified their being diagnosed as stutterers at the time of interview, according to the interviewers, differed significantly in the following ways from the experimental group mothers whose children's speech did not appear to the interviewers to justify the stuttering classification: they had given their children more attention when ill; more of them had told their children to stop speaking and start over, and to relax and take it easy in speaking; they rated their children as more sensitive about the speech problem; they had been more concerned about their children's stuttering; fewer of them had done some reading about stuttering before decoding that their children had

ignificant
Differed S
Mothers 1
dnoasqn
to Items on Which Experimental Subgroup Mothers Differed Significant
hich Exp
es to Items on Wh
s to Iter
suods.
T. M. 34. Results of Chi-Square Analyses of Re
i-Square
D to all
54. Resu
Š

hers Differed Signifi Remarks	
Table 31, Realis of Chi-Square Analyses of Responses to Rems on Which Experimental Subgroup Mothers Differed Significantly.  Lovel of Remarks	

1

		2%	
"Nover"	Bushered, treated	More "control" than "experimental"	Never Never
2000	supgroup morners	More "control"	modern modern

main study	Difference in
:	13
milwoun mothers answered, "Never"	"Control" subgroup mothers rated their

1% Differ	
"Control" subgroup mothers rated their	The state of the s
nt Nie	

	and designed	1	at house or the state of the same
12 about his	"Control" subgroup mothers rated their	29	Difference in same direction as found in
	children as less sensitive than "experi-		main study
	mental" subgroup mothers rated their		

speech defect? (318) take it cany? (209)

Difference in same direction as found in 2% "Experimental" subgroup mothers more

main study concerned than "control" subgroup moth-How concerned are you now about the child's stuttering? (322)

main study 56 More "control" than "experimental"

subgroup mothers had done some read-

Ind you read about stuttering before Have you ever consulted a speech expert about your child's speech? (859) your child began to stutter? (339)

Difference in same direction as found in Difference in same direction as found in nain study 128 More "experimental" than "control" subgroup mothers answered, "Yes"

2%

"control" than "experimental"

More

to stop and start over? (268)

At times when the child has been ill, how much attention did he get? (103) How often did you tell him (the child) How often did you tell him to relax and

Iran

Difference in same direction as found in

Table 54 - continued

Remarks	Difference in same direction as found in main study	Difference in same direction as found in main study
Level of Confidence	1	1% Di
Direction of Difference	More "control" than "experimental" 5% subgroup mothers said nothing	More "control" than "experimental" subgroup mothers completely salished
Item	Do you encourage or discourage or say nothing about the child's playing alone? (508)	How well satisfied are you with your present marital relationship? (696)

"The interviewers indicated by their ratings that they clearly regarded 47 of the 180 experimental group children not to be stutterers in a chinedly significant sense, and that they classified 36 of the children as stutterers at some level of severity. These 47 "nonclinical" and 96 "clinical" experimental group children were treated, for purposes of a special analysis of certain data represented by this table, as rubgroups of the experimental group as a whole. The data summarized in this table were obtained from the mothers of these children.

The statistically significant values of chi-square and associated degrees of freedom are as follows:

Summary Telde 1'tales of  Ren No. Chi-Square  559 4.88  559 8.49  509 8.49  509 8.49	
નું અજ્ઞલકા	
Numery Table Value of Item No. Ch.Square 113 100 00 400 400 500 100 00 500 500 500 500 500 500 50	

× ---

begun to stutter; and more of them had consulted a speech expert about the child's speech. Also, more of the "control" subgroup mothers had neither encouraged their children to play alone nor discouraged them. And finally, more of the "control" subgroup mothers were completely satisfied with their present marital relationship. These findings appear to reinforce the significance of the corresponding differences between the full experimental and control groups of mothers.

# Ratings of Severity of Stuttering at Time of Interview

The basic orientation of the experimental group parents seems to have been particularly well represented in the ratings they made of their children's alleged stuttering at the time of the interview (292). On a seven-point scale of severity, with 1 representing no stuttering, 4 stuttering of average severity, and 7 very severe stuttering, the mean ratings of the mothers and fathers were nearly identical, being 3.6 and 3.5, respectively.\* Each of these means, however, was significantly different, at the 1 per cent level, from the mean severity rating of 2.5 made by the interviewers (t=6.99 and 6.16, respectively; df=290 in both cases). These figures presumably indicate that the parents were evaluating their children's speech on the basis of motivations or with reference to standards that were different from those of the interviewers, and that were conducive to relatively more dissatisfaction with, or concern over, their children's speech than they would have been likely to feel on the basis of standards such as the interviewers' ratings implied.

#### Parents' Explanations of Stuttering

At the same time, most of the experimental group parents seemed cither not to be in all respects functionally aware of their own evaluative reactions, or not to be wholly or consistently inclined to acknowledge the possibility that they could be important in relation to their children's speech behavior. When asked, for example, "How do you think stuttering can be overcome?" the respondents gave a variety of specific answers, several of which are of special interest. These are the ones numbered 4, 5, 6, 10, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 20, and 22 under 345 in the Summary Table. They are answers that express or imply the general point of view that it is helpful to a so-called stuttering child to encouraged to do more speaking, to have the nonfluency in his speech disregarded, and to be loved and dealt with patiently and calmly, under home conditions likely to give the child a sense of security. These

°In Study II the experimental group mothers made a mean severity rating of 3.9 and the fathers made a mean rating of 3.4 of their children's stuttering.

Table 55, Numbers and Percelagus of Experimental and Control Group Fathers (F) and Mothers (M) Who Gave Designated Types of Reponse to 585 "What Do You Think Causes Stattering"; the Numbers and Percentages of Designated Responses to 544, "What Do You Think Caused Your Child's Statterings"; and the Numbers and Percentages of Experimental Group Parest With Append and Disagred with Thomselves in Responding to the Two Questions.

			I			1						l			l
					Exper	Experimental Group	Group								
ž		5	343		,	314		Same Response to 343 and 344	ame Resi	onse to		٥	ontrol G	roup, 94	87
i	[			_		Ĺ		1		М			L	_	_
	z	180	z	% N % N	N % N	z	200	z	%	z	8	z	N % N	Z	٤%
-	*	8.8	=	7.3	80	15	10	\$ (1)	8.8	8 (4)	61	2	9.9	22	6.6
ot	ş	25.5	25	34.6	S.	48	35	(6) 01	9 0	18 (6)	엄	7	29.3	28	33
•	8	18	2	908	œ	19	12.6	(9)	9.5	€	7.3	ទ	20.0	83	19.3
<b>.</b>	۰.	•	-	90	5,3	67	04	0	0	-	90	-	90	-	90
	<b>\$</b> 3	15.5	9	•	8	=	73	14 (5)	80	89	68	=	7.3	80	5.5
9	-	0.0	en	9.0	9.0	~	90	•	0	0	0	2	3,3	80	5.3
4	4	8	6	•	113	13	ž	01	7	3 (8)	98	6	ź	83	18
	Ŧ	27.3	23	28	908	85	§13	18 (2)	23	6	9	25	38	80	5.5
•	-	90	-	9											
91								9	4	6	9				
=								57 (23)	89	(18)	38				
2	:							90	53.3	75	9				

discrepancy between talking and thinking, 6, handedness, 7, parental policies, parent-chuld relationalip; 8, 1 ("Don't know," "Have no to the other); 13, wholly or partly different responses to 343 and 341. See the accompanying text for definitions of the designated categories \* R symbolizes the types of response, and these are, as numbered; 1, physical; 2, emotional, behavioral; 3, nervousness; 4, imitation; 5, des," etc.); 9, "multicausal"; 10, same pattern of two or more reponses to \$13 and \$15; 11, wholly same single responses to \$13 and 311; 12, parily same responses to 313 and 314 (the same single response to both questions, plus an additional response to one but not of response.

are represented in the percentages given both in row No. 12 and in shown in row No. 13, that 93, or 62 per cent, of the fathers and of the different; the numbers in parentheses are included in the totals and nothers gave responses to these two items that were partly or wholly

talf of all the parents, in the experimental group gave responses to

made a fuller response to one item than to the other. For example, the placed indicated a physical type of cause in answer to \$48 and also one of the emotional and behavioral type. As shown in row No. 19, if the numbers in parentheses are added to the corresponding numbers that 343 and 314 that were partly or wholly the same. This meuns, as

person represented in the parentheses beside which the dagger is are not in parentheses, a total of 80 fathers and 75 mothers, roughly

<sup>†</sup>Numbers in parentheses represent informants who agreed with themselves in giving the same type of response to 343 and 344 but who

particular responses were given by 85, or nearly three fifths, of the control group mothers, and only 32, or about one fifth, of the experimental group mothers, with the corresponding numbers of fathers being 53 and 33. It seems especially interesting that 27 control group mothers and only one experimental group mother said that the child should be given security, attention, and affection (No. 17) and that pressures should be removed and conflicts solved (No. 18). Also, three times as many control as experimental group mothers, 21 to 7, said that the stuttering should be ignored (No. 22).

It is of interest to consider these data in relation to the responses of both groups of parents to 343, "What do you think causes stuttering?" and those of the experimental group parents to 344, "What do you think caused your child's stuttering?" In Table 55 the distribution of these responses among several different categories is presented. The categories in Table 55 include these actual responses as recorded and coded by the interviewers:

- 1. Physical:
  - a. Shock to mother
  - b. Change of handedness c. Physically inferior
    - speech mechanism
  - d. Heredity
  - e. Mental deficiency
  - f. Birth injury
  - g. Hearing deficiency
  - h. Difference in other bodily functions
  - i. Some illness of child
  - j. Being tired
  - k Being tickled L Because he has cerebral
  - palsy, perhaps m. Polio
  - 2. Emotional, or Behavioral:
  - a. Shock to child
  - b. Inferiority complex c. Pressures of speaking
    - situations
    - d. Carelessness; lack of attention e. Excitement; emotional upset
    - f. Emotional disturbance
    - g. Excitement h. Fear

    - i. Emotional insecurity; being around someone who stutters i. Bad scare

- k. Too much competition with sibs for attention
- l. Frustration at the table -
- competing in talking 8. Nervousness:
- a. Nervousness
  - b. Being generally high-strung
- c. Form of pervous tension
- 4. Imitation: s Tmitation
- 5. Discrepancy between Talking
  - and Thinking: a. Thinks faster than can talk
  - b. Talks faster than can think
  - c. Inadequate vocabulary
  - d. Immaturity: wants to talk
    - faster than mind works and has inadequate vocabulary, so repeats until he finds the next word
  - e. Talking too fast
    - f. Not thinking before talking
    - g. Talking too fast; can't get one word out so keeps saying it until he can
- 6. Handedness:
  - a. Change of handedness
  - Left-handedness c. Lack of cerebral balance
  - 7. Parental Involvement:
    - a. Punishment of child

## The Earlier and Later Stutterings

f. Result of father's strictness:

got all tied up because tries so

b. Semantogenie or diagnosogenic factors c. Influenced by conditions in

e. Parents: associates

hard to say words home 8. "Have no idea" d. Parental overconcern s. ? ("Don't know." "Have no

Aside from category No. 8 ("have no idea," etc.), only extenory No. 7 contains responses which indicate recognition by the informant that the interaction between parents and children might be important in relation to the stuttering problem. The other six types of response anpear definitely to presuppose that "the cause," however designated, is to be found wholly within the physical or psychological makeun of the speaker. In terms of this distinction, 217, or 76 per cent, of the experimental group parents and 203, or 68 per cent, of those in the control group expressed, in response to \$48, some form of the helief that the cause of stuttering is to be found wholly within the body or "personality" or "hehavjor pattern" of the speaker. "Heredity," suggested by 8 control and 9 experimental group parents, was the most frequently proposed "cause" classified as physical, Excitement and "emotional upset" or "disturbance" constituted most of the responses classified as emotional or behavioral. The relatively high numbers who attributed stuttering to "nervousness" and the low frequencies of response in the categories of imitation and handedness are of special interest, as is the comparatively large number, especially in the experimental group, and particularly in response to \$44, who expressed, in a variety of forms, the general notion that stuttering is caused by talking faster than one can think, or thinking faster than one can talk, or talking too fast, or not thinking before speaking, or speaking with an inadequate vocabulary. Only 13, or 4 per cent, of the parents in the experimental group, compared with 49, or 16 per cent, of those in the control group may be assumed to have expressed a belief that "the cause" of stuttering is to be found in the interaction of the speaker and his listeners -- in this case. the child and his parents.

One hypothesis that is suggested by these figures is that such a belief was less attractive to the parents for whom it was a possible source of feelings of guilt, or chagrin, or self-reproach. This hypothesis might be considered by some observers to be indicated also by the fact that in response to 343, 68, or 23 per cent, of the experimental group parents professed to "have no idea" of what the cause of stuttering might be, while only 35, or 12 per cent, of the control group parents were motivated to make this response. It is to be observed, too, that although the "I have no idea" response was given by 68 experimental group par-

ents to the impersonal question, 343, and by 72 in reacting to the pointed question, 344, it was made by only 27 in response to both questions. This means that 113, 63 fathers and 50 mothers, were inconsistently "innocent," saying they had no notion of what "the cause" might be in answering one of the two questions, but then stating what they thought "the cause" to be in reply to the other question. These figures intimate, of course, that at least the 113 respondents who stated one time but not the other that they "had no idea" of what the cause might be were not very sure of the one positive opinion they did express.\* Interestingly enough, moreover, the hypothesis that the "I have no idea" response was in some measure self-defensive or self-protective might be inferred indirectly from the fact that more experimental group parents indicated in response to the more pointed question "What do you think caused your child's stuttering?" than in response to the more general and impersonal question "What do you think causes stuttering?" (13 per cent to 4 per cent) that they thought their policies and reactions as parents, and their relations with the child, could have had something to do with bringing about the problem of stuttering. Five gave this type of response to \$43 but not to \$44, while thirty gave the response to 344 but not to 343. That is, more parents denied or disregarded the proposition on a relatively abstract level than when it was clearly related to their own specific situations.

In the meantime, the comparatively few parents who felt there had been sufficient improvement in their children's speech to justify an answer to 340 ("How do you account for any improvement in the child's speech?") were largely those who were of the opinion that such improvement as had occurred was due to a calmer home atmosphere, to the children being more relaxed, and to the parents disregarding the speech repetitions and being more easygoing, attentive, and patient. Moreover, in indicating what they would do differently lift they were to meet the speech problem again (\$43), those experimental group parents who said they would do anything differently gave most frequently a type of response indicating awareness of the importance of their own negative or critical reactions to nonfluency in their children's speech, such as "would do nothing," "have more patience," "ignore it more at the start," and "mouldn't correct him."

Slightly more than half of the experimental group parents, however,

<sup>&</sup>quot;The main implication of this—and of the proportions of reproducts attributing statuting to "Mercity," "enrousess," "recitement," and thinking faster than one weaks or "speaking faster than one thinks—would seem to be that the data in Table as are incamentally anthropological or sociological, representing primarily "the folk mind," or "folk thinking," rather than riprovally reasoned conclusions drawn from sufficient and carefully gathered data by disciplined and independent observers.

indicated that if they had it to do over again (348) they would do "about the same." And, in response to \$47, "How well do you feel you have handled the speech problem?" only twenty fathers and twenty-two mothers said they had not done well or had not done enough; all the rest said they had done the best they knew how, or that they had done very well, the best possible, or moderately well.

### Follow-up Study

Twenty-eight and one-half months, on the average, after the original investigative interviews an attempt was made to gather certain follow-up data. Information was obtained from the parents of 118 experimental group children; 108 were interviewed in their homes, 4 were reached by telephone, and 6 by mail. Three sets of parents preferred not to be interviewed and 20 could not be located by means of the available facilities. Observations of the children's speech, in the 50 cases in which such observations were possible, were carried out informally by the interviewers, chiefly by conversing with the children; the data resulting from these observations are summarized in Tables 57 and 58.4.

The interview was conducted in each case in accordance with the following outline:

 Sometime ago you felt that your child (name) had a speech probiem, and at that time we interviewed you about it. Do you feel that he (she) has the same kind of speech problem now?

(1) Yes (2) No (3) ? (Write answer given.)

- 2. If yes, how would you rate it now as compared with the way it seemed to you at the time of our interview?
  - (1) Much worse now (2) Somewhat worse now (3) About the same now as it was then (4) Somewhat better now (5) Much better now
- In your own words, what did we recommend that you do about the problem at the time of our interview? (Write answer given.)
- Did you carry out these recommendations?
   Very thoroughly and consistently (2) Fairly well (3) Only
- slightly (4) No
- 5. After our interview were you given advice by any other speech clinic, or doctor, teacher, speech correctionist, or anyone else?
  - (1) Yes (2) No (3) ? (Write answer given.)

\*The mother was the sole or major informant in every case. There were two investigators, James Neelley and Martin Young: the former worked in the Iowa and Minnesota areas and the latter in Blinois. Both were experienced clinical and research workers and advanced graduate students in speech pathology at the University of Iowa-

All did not prove feasible to make a return visit for the purpose of observing the speech of any child who was away from home, attending school or summer camp, or for any other reason, at the time of the follow-up interview.

6. If yes, what in your own words was this advice? (Write answer given.)

7. Did you follow this advice?

(1) Very thoroughly and consistently (2) Fairly well (3) Only slightly (4) No

8. Why do you think your child's speech problem (1) is worse (2) is better (3) has not changed (4) has disappeared? (Write answer given.)

9. Interviewer's personal report of observations of the child:

(1) Did not observe child's speech.

(2) Observed child's speech:

(a) Do not regard child as a stutterer.

(b) Do not regard child as significantly nonfluent in speech. (c) Regard child as significantly nonfluent but not as showing the tension and anxiety reactions characteristic of

stuttering. (d) Regard child as a stutterer.

10. Interviewer's rating of the child's speech problem:

(1) No stuttering; speech normal (2) Very mild (3) Mild

(4) Average (5) Moderately severe (6) Severe (7) Very severe

Informant's rating of the child's speech problem:
 No stuttering; speech normal (2) Very mild (3) Mild

(4) Average (5) Moderately severe (6) Severe (7) Very severe As shown in Tables 56-59, about one third of the 118 experimental group children surveyed no longer had a stuttering problem, according to information obtained, at the time of the follow-up study. It is to be appreciated that "speech problem," the term used in the question which elicited this information, could be, but was not necessarily, equivalent, for any given respondent, to the term "stuttering" (see the responses made to 196 and 235, respectively, and the relevant discussion in the preceding and present chapters). Basic interest was in the presence or absence of a speech problem, regardless of the words used to refer to it, and, if a speech problem was present, it was important to determine, so far as possible, whether or not it was the "same" one that had been investigated at the time of first interview, Questions 9, 10, and 11 of the interview were of a different sort and were so worded as to provide for use of the term "stuttering" in making responses; the answers to these questions are summarized in Tables 57-59.

These distinctions noted, it is to be observed in Table 56 that the percentage of parents reporting "no problem" at the time of follow-up was significantly different for the Minnesota sample and the combined Iowa and Illinois samples, but the difference between the Iowa and Illinois samples was not significant. In the Iowa-Illinois sample 45 per cent and in the Minnesota sample 23 per cent of the parents reported "no problem." In the Minnesota area the interviewers worked in the Table 56. Data Obtained from Parents of 118 Experimental Group Children in Response to Follow-up Question No. 1: "Sometime Ago You Felt That your Child Had a Speech Problem, and at That Time We Interviewed You about 11. Do You Feel That He (She) Has the Sume Kind of Speech Problem Nov. 22"

			Res	ponse	
Sample*	N	Y	es	N	io
		No.	%	No.	98
Total	. 118	75	64	43	30
Iowa	47	27	57	20	45
Illinois	27	14	52	15	48
Iowa-Illinois	74	41	55	\$3	45
Minnesoto	4.0	4.6	27	10	94

<sup>\*</sup>The difference between the percentages who responded "No" in the Iowa and Illinois samples, respectively, was not significant (z = 1.01). The difference between the percentages who responded "No" in the combined Iowa-Illinois and Minnesota samples, respectively, was significant at the 5 per cent level (z = 2.5).

Table 57. Data Obtained from 113 Experimental Group Parents Who Indicated in Response to Follow-up Question No. 1 Whether or Not Their Children Still Had a Speech Problem (See Table 59), and from the 75 Who, Having Stated Their Children Still Did Hava Problem. Were Then Asked How They Would "Bate It Now as

Still Did Have a Problem, Were Then Asked How They Would "Rate It Now as Compared with the Way It Seemed to You at the Time of Our Interview"

Follow-up Rating	No.	(N = 118)
Much worse now	. 1	0.8
Somewhat worse now	3	2.5
About same now as then	10	8.5
Somewhat better now	15	11.0
Much better now	. 48	40.7
No problem now (see Table 56)	43	36.4
Much better plus "no problem"	. 91	77.1
Somewhat and much better plus "no problem" .	104	85.1

Table 58. Summary of Observations of Children's Speech by Interviewers in the Follow-up Study (See Table 59 for Fluency Ratings)

Item	Problem Group (N = 75)	No-Problem Group (N = 43)	Both Groups (N = 118)
Child's speech not observed by interviewer Child's speech observed and	40	27	67
judged by interviewer	53	16	51
Child not a stutterer	31	16	47
Not significantly nonfluent	. 22	16	88
Significantly nonfluent	. 9	0	9
Child a stutterer	4		4

Table 30. Data Derival from Italiuga Made by Interviewers and Experimental Group Moduers of Severity of Statteriug in the Speech of Experimental Group Californ at the Time of the Pollowenty Study, after an Average Interview Are Also Showny Indian Interview Are Also Showny (Many Value of Corresponding Italings Made at the Time of the Indian Interview Are Also Shown)

	Interviewers Malings	Kalings				No-Problem	ę.
			Proble	Problem Group		Group	
Ratings of Severity of Stuttering	Problem Group, A* (N = 31)	No-Problem Group, A (N = 16)	A (N = 3t)	I)* (N == 50)	, K	(N = 16)	(N II II)
1, None; speech normal 30	30	25	e. æ	-=			g = -
2. Very mid			=-	=-			
4. Average	e ¢	1	· - c	<b>n</b> 0	- •		• •
6. Severe	00	00				61.1	67.7
Mean	21.1	0.1	K.97	8.78		1.10	
Mean, A and B	DO:1	16.9	9 00	3.51	67. 67.	3.50	3,48
Mean, A and B, first				557		3,48	
Mean, total group of 118,					\$4.8		

A refers to those children whose speech was observed by the interviewers and B refers to those children by the interviewers at the time of the follow-up interview.

homes or in an office removed from a speech clinic, not as members of the staff of any speech clinic in the area, and necessarily, therefore, they did no remedial counseling of parents; in some cases general recommendations were made in consultations requested by some of the public school speech correctionists who were working with certain children in the experimental group. In the Iowa and Illinois areas the interviewers were either officially affiliated with the speech clinic in which they did the interviewing and were authorized, therefore, to do essential counseling, or they did the interviewing as part of a clinical program which included counseling of the experimental group parents by a duly authorized member of the clinic staff.

In general, counseling at the time of the original interview was restricted to that which could be done in the limited time available during the one day devoted in each case to the interview and related testing. It was of necessity, therefore, rather brief, although in nearly all cases relatively good rapport was established with the parents, and nearly all the experimental group parents were motivated to want advice and information. The interview itself may have had a therapeutic effect so far as it facilitated a more or less objective facing up to the facts which it was designed to bring into focus. In any case, the counseling that was provided consisted essentially of an attempt to help the parents recall and acknowledge such facts concerning the beginnings of the problem as they were able to remember and describe, to evaluate these facts by reference to research-based standards of child development and of the speech behavior known to be generally characteristic of young children, to recognize the apparent importance of relevant environmental circumstances, and to become effectively conscious of their own roles in the development of the problem so far as these could be indicated and interpreted.\* No speech therapy, as such, or any other type of therapy, was administered to the children themselves.

As a check on how the parents had interpreted what had been said to them, they were asked at the time of follow-up what had been recommended at the time of the first interview. Approximately 80 per cent of the responses indicated at least some degree of understanding of the suggestions that had been given. Such responses as the following, for example, were obtained: "spend more time with the child and listen to him more"; "read to the child"; "have the child talk more often, express himself"; "give the child more responsibility." For the most part the responses were brief or fragmentary, vague, and unde-

173

The kinds of information and recommendations given to these parents are fairly well indicated in Chapter 5, "Stuttering," and Appendix VII, "An Open Letter to the Mother of a Stuttering Child," in Speech Handicopped School Children (61), revised edition, 1986.

veloped, though of a kind that might be roughly evaluated by the phrase "so far, so good." A comparison of these responses with those made in the initial interview to 345, "How do you think stuttering can be overcome?" indicates that the counseling that had been done and the experience of the interview and its subsequent effects must have occasioned generally substantial changes in the relevant aspects of the thinking of most of the experimental group parents. Although the Problem and No-Problem subgroups gave generally similar accounts of the recommendations that they recalled having been made to them, it is noteworthy that about two thirds of the No-Problem group as compared with 40 per cent of the Problem group parents said they had carried out the recommendations "very thoroughly and consistently."

After the first interview, about 27 per cent of the Problem group and 20 per cent of the No-Problem group had been given advice by some other clinic, doctor, or teacher; these included mostly public school speech therapists and psychologists, together with a few psychiatrists and pediatricians. Most of those who had received such further help had been advised by the interviewers to seek additional counseling. The majority of those who had obtained additional advice said they had followed it "very thoroughly" or "fairly well."

The parents in the No-Problem group were asked for their opinions as to why their children no longer had the speech problem, and the most frequently given responses were these: "don't know," 8; "child outgrew it," 7; "child more mature," 6; "less criticism by the parents," 5; "parents ignored it," 4; "child has more confidence now," 3; "child given more attention, more chance to talk," 3; and "parental attitudes improved," 3. The Problem group parents gave essentially the same types of reason for the improvement of their children's speech, with fifteen saying they didn't know why the child had improved, eleven referring to the child's increased maturity, and ten to better parentchild relations. Of the ten mothers who said their children's speech had not changed, seven could give no reason, one blamed "poor parentchild relationship," one said the mother "was very busy," and one said the father had had a heart attack. Five parents who reported the problem to be worse gave a total of six responses when asked for their explanations. Three had no opinion, one attributed the greater severity of the problem to "poor parent-child relationship," one said the child had become "more aware of his speech," and one said, "It has become a stronger habit."

The counseling that was done at the time of the initial interview was carried out with due sensitivity to the possibility that the facts to be acknowledged by the parents concerned could be conducive to feelings

of guilt, self-accusation, and remorse, and that reactions to such feelings might include various forms and degrees of self-defensiveness, resentment, discouragement, conflict between the mother and father. various forms of rationalizing, rejection of the facts, and rejection of the counselor and the counseling and its premises and objectives. The degree to which such feelings and reactions occurred and the success with which the problems occasioned by them were handled, cannot be clearly indicated from the data that could be secured. Most of the narents who were counseled seemed to react more or less positively. and, while it is difficult to gauge the degree to which they understood operationally what was being said, it seems clear that the attitudes and opinions expressed by them in the follow-up interviews were considerably different from corresponding views and feelings evidenced in the initial interviews in response to such items as \$45, "How do you think stuttering can be overcome?": \$43 and \$44, which concerned the informant's opinions as to the causes of stuttering; \$46, "How do you account for any improvement in the child's speech?"; and \$18 and \$49, which were designed to explore the informant's evaluations of the ways in which they had handled the problem before seeking clinical service. All presumably relevant information considered, it seems reasonable to infer that one possible explanation of the fact that a larger proportion of the parents in the Iowa-Illinois sample than in the Minnesota sample reported that their children no longer had a speech problem at the time of the follow-up study was that it had been possible to provide more counseling for the Iowa-Illinois parents at the time of the first interview.

The parents who said that their children still had a speech problem were asked to rate its severity at the time of the follow-up study as compared with its severity at the time of the first interview. The results are shown in Table 57, and they would seem to have hopeful implications. Only 4, roughly 3 per cent, of the 118 were said to have more of a problem at time of follow-up; 10, or 8.5 per cent, were reported to be "about the same"; and the rest, 104, or 88 per cent, were reported either to have no problem or to be somewhat better or much better. Of the 75 who still had a problem, according to their mothers, 61, or 81 per cent, were said to be better, and 48, or 64 per cent, of the 75 were reported to be "much better."

These figures are to be compared with the data obtained in the intitial interview in response to those items designed to indicate the course of development of the problem from its inception to the time of interview, especially 285, "What has been the general course of the problem since you first noticed it?" (See the section of this chapter entitled

Table 60. Values of t and Chi-Square for Differences between Mean Values Shown in Table 59

Item t	Chi-Square (df)	Significance Level
Total group, follow-up:		
nterviewers vs mothers	33 54 (1)	1%
Problem group, follow-up:		
interviewers vs. mothers	51.89(1)	1%
Mothers, follow-up:	*****	1%
problem vs. no-problem group .	62 64 (1)	170
Mothers, total group:		1%
interview vs. follow-up 8.59 Interviewers, total group:		170
interviewers, total group:	47.87(1)	1%
Mothers, problem group:	11.01(1)	- 70
interview vs. follow-up 4.43		1%
Interviewers, problem group:		-74
interview vs. follow-up	262(1)	NS
Mothers, no-problem group:		
interview vs. follow-up	59 41 (1)	1%
Interviewers, no-problem group:		
interview vs. follow-up	14.89(1)	1%
Mothers, interview:		
problem vs no-problem group 0.41		NS
Fathers, interview:		NS
problem vs. no-problem group 0.56 Interviewers, interview:		No
problem vs. no-problem group 0.30		NS
Mothers, follow-up,		No
problem group: A vs. B 1.34		NS

"Development of the Stuttering Problem Subsequent to Onset.") In response to 285, about 30 per cent of the experimental group informants said the problem had become worse, roughly 30 per cent said it had become better, about 25 per cent stated that it had stayed about the same, and the rest gave varying answers indicating that the severity of the problem had fluctuated. In general, the amount of improvement for the group as a whole was evidently much greater after the initial interview than it had been before that time.

Notable improvement was also reflected in the severity ratings made at the time of initial interview and at the time of the follow-up study. Using a seven-point scale of severity, the mothers, as shown in Table 59, made a mean rating at follow-up of 2.19 for all children rated, 2.78 for the Problem children, and they gave the same children, and they gave the same children, corresponding mean ratings of 3.53, 3.57, and 3.48 at the time of initial interview. The interviewers rated 50 children at the time of follow-up, with resulting means of 1.06 for the total group, 1.15 for 34 Problem children, and 1.0 for 18 No-Problem children.

dren. At the time of initial interview the same children were given corresponding mean ratings of 2.57, 2.00, and 2.51. Differences between the mean ratings for both the mothers and the interviewers, at the time of follow-up and the time of initial interview, for all children rated at the time of follow-up, were statistically significant at the 1 per cent level.\*

Of the thirty Problem children rated by the interviewers, only four were judged by them to be classifiable as stutterers. As indicated in Table 58, the interviewers judged an additional nine, whom they did not believe to be "similar believe to be the similar believe to be believe to be similar believe to be the similar believe to be believe to be believe to be believe the believe to be similar believe and those not observed and those not observed by the interviewers were speaking somewhat better than those who were observed. It may reasonably be inferred that by the interviewers standards approximately 7 per cent of the experimental group children were classifiable as stutterers, an additional 15 per cent were "significantly nonfluent," and roughly three fourths presented normally fluent speech at the time of the follow-up study.

A search was made for differences between the children in the Problem and No-Problem groups, and the results are summarized in Table 61. Of thirteen comparisons nine yielded nonsignificant values. The Problem and No-Problem groups did not differ significantly with respect to proportions of males and females; length of the mean interval between the initial and follow-up interviews; mean ratings of severity of stuttering made by the parents and by the interviewers at the time of initial interview; parents' mean self-ratings, at the time of initial interview, of concern over the children's stuttering; parents' mean selfratings, at the time of initial interview, of how much they cared about what outsiders knew and thought about the children's speech; mothers' mean self-ratings, at the time of the initial interview, of how much they cared about the impression they made on others; or number of children in the family. The direction of difference for these items. as indicated in Table 61, may warrant some consideration, however. It is of particular interest that the two groups did not differ with regard to the severity ratings made at the time of the initial interview.

In addition to the differences so far noted with respect to the reactions to counseling of the parents in the Problem and No-Problem groups, and the differences in amount of counseling and corresponding differences in the proportions that reported no problem at follow-up in

groups, and the dimercines in absolute man problem at follow-up in "See Table 60 for results of tests of sensificance of differences between designated pairs of values shown in Table 59.

Tabe 61. Data Gorcerning Dispersated Group Differences Obtained in Pollow-up Study of 118 Experimental Group Children Reported by Their Parents (a) Still to Have a Stattering Problem or (b) No Longer to Have a Stattering Problem

ļ

	Significance Level	NS	ž.	ž.	NS	N.	NN S	NS S	ş	2
	Chi-Square (df)	0 27(1)						1 69(1)	ŝ	(i)
	-		3 06	3 60	190	0.30	0.56			
	No-Problem Group (N = 43)		30 13 55 63	11.95	29.20	2.51	** 8 ** 8 ** 8		25 ₹	25 2.
TE LIGHTING OF	Problem Group (N = 75)			92.17	. , 99,13	. 260	357		ស្ដី ដូ	
1. The Description of the Still to Have a Stuttering Frontin of (2)	Dy Lieu a secus (se		Sex (5) Male Male Male Man are, in months, at the time of the initial interview (1) G1.	Mean interval, in months, between onset and the initial interview (813)	Mean interval, in months, between the initial interview and follow-up	Mean severity rating by interviewers at the time of the initial interview (018)	Mean severity rating by parents at the time of the initial interview (202) Fathers Mothers	Parents' mean self-ratings, at the time of the initial interview, of concern over the child's tuttering (321) Pathers	Very much or moderately Müdly Not concerned	Mothers Very much or moderately 50 Midly 19 Not concerned 6

١

Table 61 - continued

Item	Problem No-Proble Group Group ( $N = 75$ ) ( $N = 45$ )	No-Problem Group (N = 43)		Chi-Square (df)	Significance
Parents' mean self-ratings, at the time of the initial interview, of how much they cored					
about what outsiders knew and thought about					
Fathers					1
Do care		¥		008(1)	SS
Do not care	05	8			
Do rare	15	2		0.77(1)	NS
Do not care	9	: 3			
Mothers' mean self-ratings, at the time of the	!	;			
initial interview, of how much they cared about					
Do care	:			3.00(1)	NS
Do not care	2 8	S			
Number of children in family (725)	:	a			
One or two	95	ě		1.51(1)	NS
Three or more	82	18			
Mean index of status characteristics (622)	. 49.35	44.42	67.6		į
Social class (693) 7		!	?	***************************************	%x :
Upper and middle	46	335		(1) 60'9	%1
-	33	80			

<sup>+</sup>The foil databations were for the problem group and no-problem group, respectively: upper class, 1 and 1; upper middle, 12 and 10; lover middle, 22 and 24; upper lover, 50 and 8; lover lover, 25 and 0. \* The lower the numerical value of this index, the higher the socioeconomic status indicated.

the Iowa-Illinois and Minnesota subgroups, there were four statistically significant differences between the two groups represented in Table 61, and these reflected three factors: age, length of the interval between the onset of the problem and the initial interview, and socioeconomic status. The No-Problem group had a lower mean age at the time of first interview, and the mean interval between onset of the problem and the first interview was significantly shorter for this group. These facts would seem to indicate that whenever parents feel that a stuttering problem exists, the sooner their concern is dealt with clinically, and the earlier in the life of the child this is done, the better. The difference with respect to socioeconomic status was almost wholly due to the comparatively larger number of Problem than of No-Problem group families in the upper lower class (see footnote, Table 61). Of the various possible implications of this difference, none seems unequivocally indicated. The difference does suggest the conjecture that while families in the middle or upper class are probably more likely than those of lower class status to develop the problem of stuttering, they are also more likely to contend with it effectively, given at least minimal appropriate counseling."

\* These findings are in substantial agreement with those obtained in Study I. See p. 35 and the footnote on p. 235.

# CHAPTER 7

# Parental Responses to the MMPI

Tuts chapter reports the findings of a comparative investigation of some aspects of the personalities of the experimental and control group parents by means of a self-report personality inventory, one of the most widely used approaches to personality measurement. Such inventories are composed of statements concerning attitude and over the chavior, to each of which the subject is instructed to respond by indicating whether it is "true" or "false" as applied to himself. Items such as the following are typically included in these inventories: When I get borred I like to stir up some excitement. While in trains, busse, etc., I often talk to strangers. I dislike to take a bath. I am afraid of the dark.

Early types of self-report inventories have been severely criticized for their rationale, the obviousness of the questions, and the consequently limited usefulness of the instrument. The authors of these early instruments assumed that respondents would be frank and truthful, and that a person answering "True" to the question about fear of the dark, for example, would, in fact, show such fear. There is considerable research evidence that such assumptions were unwarranted and that inventories developed on the basis of these assumptions should be used with the tumest caution, if at all.\*

Identification, measurement, and evaluation of the various facets of human personality are extremely complex and difficult (R2, 33). Much of the recent work in the behavioral sciences of psychology, so-

<sup>a</sup> See Anastasi (2, Chapter 20) and Ferguson (33) for summaries of such studies together with much general criticism.
NOTE: This shoules was presented by Leonard D. Goodstein and W. Grant Debletrom.

NOTE. This chapter was prepared by Leonard D. Goodstein and W. Grant Dahlstrom, who extend ascensively as consultant and clinical psychology in the program of research reported in this volume and were responsible for the aspect of the purgum presented in this volume and were responsible for the aspect of the purgum presented in this chapter. Leonard D. Goodstrin (PB D. Columbia (Intervinely) is associate professor of psychology and director of the University Counseling Service, University of Jones, W. Grant Dahlstrom (IB D. Loiversity of Minnesota), Jornardy on

ciology, and speech pathology has been devoted to the methodological problems involved in such work. One recent approach to the difficulties encountered in the use of the self-report inventory has involved the development of empirical personality scales. These empirically derived inventories treat the test items as standardized verbal stimuli, and regard the responses to these stimuli as useful information about the respondent with no assumption about the "truthfulness" of the content of the responses (R81). Thus, it is taken to be of interest that a person says he fears the dark, regardless of whether or not he would act fearfully in a darkened place. Inventories may be developed by determining whether there are behavioral differences between persons who do and do not admit a fear of the dark, for example. If it can be reliably demonstrated that there are such differences in verbal response to specified questions between clinically meaningful groups of persons, then we may assume that an inventory developed on the basis of these differences is a useful psychometric instrument. The Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory (MMPI), the instrument used in this study, is such an empirically derived inventory (R50).

The MMPI was developed by empirically demonstrating that differences in the responses elicited by means of it could be used to differentiate normal persons from a variety of types of psychiatric patients.† It was further shown that members of various psychiatric groups could be differentiated from each other on the basis of their test responses. As a result of the original standardization and subsequent research, the MMPI has been used in clinical settings to "assay those traits that are commonly characteristic of disabling psychological abnormality" (R50, p. 3). It has been successfully used also in the de-

scription and evaluation of normal persons.

#### The MMPI

The MMPI consists of 550 questions such as those listed above. The questions, all of which are to be answered as either "True," "False," or "Cannot say," cover a wide range of subject matter, from the physical health and fitness of the subject to his social, moral, and religious

the faculty of the University of Iowa, is associate professor of psychology. University of North Cassina. This chapter is, with eclionia adoptations, an extended and adoptations, and extended and adoptations of the state version of an article by the same author. "Some Personality Test Difference between Parsulo Stuttering and Nonstuttering Children," Journal of Committing Psychology, 20:525-570 (1289), and is reprinted as elitted with the permission of the authors and the American Psychological Association.

1 It is typical this except of this report to present a detailed account of the standarding of the permission of the permission

ration of the MMPI or of the subsequent research design with its clinical uncluders. A recent volume edited by Wetch and Dakhtrom (180) reprints many of the journal article reporting research with this instrument and includes a very comprehensive bibliography.

#### Parental Responses to the MMPI

attitudes. In the initial form of the test, each question was printed on a separate card and the subject was told to sort the questions into the three response categories. Another form is now also available in which the questions are printed in a test booklet and the subject indicates his responses on a separate answer sheet. The two forms are regarded as interchangeable. The test is virtually self-administering and is untimed; the time required by most subjects for completing it is about one hour.

It has become usual to score the MMPI routinely for four validity and nine clinical scales. There are a number of additional scales which can also be scored for evaluating additional psychological characteristics that may be of special interest to the investigator or clinician. While it is not possible here to give a complete description of the several MMPI scales and their interpretations, the following may serve as a brief introduction to the scales used in the present investigation.

#### THE VALIDITY SCALES

The validity scales of the MMPI are built-in checks on carelessness, misunderstanding, malingering, and the presence of such test-taking attitudes as overdelensiveness or overcriticalness. There are four such validity scales.

The Question Score (f). This score is determined by the total number of questions placed in the "Cannot say" category, in effect those the subject did not answer. High? scores affect the scores on all other scales and may invalidate the entire test.

The Lie Score (L). This score is based upon items which, if answered in the scored direction, indicate a naive attempt to place oneself in a favorable light, especially in regard to personal ethics and social conduct. The scored response is rarely true; an example is the response of "False" to "Once in a while I put off until tomorrow what I ought to do today." A high L score is indicative of a defensive attitude which may invalidate the remainder of the scores by keeping the clinical scores low.

The F Score. This score is determined from a set of items rarely answered in the scored direction, such as the response of "True" to "I have nightmares every few nights." Although responses in the scored direction do represent an admission of strange or socially undesirable behavior, such an admission does not represent the typical response of even definitely pathological persons. High F scores are, consequently, regarded as indicative of malingering or "faking bad," Occasionally carelessness in responding to the test items also results in a high F score. A high score on this score is usually interpreted as meaning that

the person is trying to place himself in an unfavorable light and may

The K Score. This score is also based upon a special set of items and reflects the subject's general test-taking attitudes in much the same way as the L and F scales do, but it represents an attempt to tap the more subtle aspects of these attitudes. High K scores are interpreted as indicating a defensiveness against admitting psychological weaknesses and may, in extreme cases, represent deliberate distortion in the favorable direction. High scores on this scale are obtained by responding "True" to items such as "I have never felt better in my life than I do now." The raw K score is used as a corrective or suppressor variable with five of the clinical scales (Hs, Pd, Pt, Sc, and Ma) and is used, in interpreting these scales, to correct for the presence of the indicated attitudes. This use of the K score has been shown to increase the discriminatory power of these scales in the crucial middle range of score values. The appropriate amount of the K score has been added in scoring these scales in the present study.

#### THE CLINICAL SCALES

While the names of the clinical scales are derived from the classical Kraepelinian nomenclature as modified by contemporary American psychiatric practice, high scores on these scales cannot and should not be directly translated into diagnostic statements. Considerable training, both in using the MMPI and in abnormal psychology, is required for interpretive competence. It should be noted also that high scores on the scales are not sufficient evidence of psychopathology to warrant definite diagnostic judgments and extreme caution must be used in test interpretation.

The Hypochondriatis Scale (IIs). This scale was developed by comparing the responses of normal persons to those of patients having many physical complaints with no demonstrable organic basis. Persons who score high on this scale tend to be unduly worried about illness and to have many generalized fears about their health. They are characteristically immature in their approach to life and demonstrate little insight into their nroblems.

The Depression Scale (D). This scale was derived from the responses of persons who suffer from feelings of depression; they are sad and unsure of themselves and their future, and are characterized by lack of self-confidence, tendencies to worry, narrow interests, and introversion. Within the normal limits, high scores on this scale reflect social shyness and sensitivity.

The Hysteria Scale (Hy). This scale was derived from the responses

#### Parental Responses to the MMPI

of persons who have developed conversion hysteria symptoms. While this scale is much like the Hs scale, it involves more culturally respectable symptomatology such as low back pains or functional heart disease, illnesses which often involve psychosomatic disturbances. Persons who score high on this scale tend to have little insight into their psychological difficulties and to be reluctant to face their difficulties directly. They show an overcompensatory rejection of the possibility that they may be neurotic. Within groups of normal persons high scores on this scale reflect irritability, lack of dependability, and desire for social annrowal.

The Psychopathic Deviate Scale (Pd). This scale was developed from studies of persons who did not conform to the mores of society and consequently came into direct conflict with the law. While superficially likable, they do not have deep emotional responses and do not seem to profit by experience. They most frequently get into difficulties by lying, stealing, alcohol or drug addiction, and sexual immorality.

The Interest Scale (Mf). This scale provides a measure of the masculinity or femininity of the subject's interest pattern. High scores indicate a deviation of the basic interest pattern in the direction of the opposite sex.

The Paranoia Scale (Pa). This scale was developed by contrasting the responses of normal persons with those of a group of nations characterized by suspiciousness, oversensitivity, and delusions. Persons making high scores on this scale tend to show undue interpersonal sensitivity which, in extreme degrees, may involve paranoid feelings about other people and feelings of being mistreated or threatend. High scores within the normal range reflect aloofness and to some degree arrogance. Persons making such scores are frequently seen as affected, emotionally cold, and somewhat contradictory in their attitudes.

The Psychasthenia Scale (Pt). This scale provides a measure of the similarity of the subject to psychiatric patients who are troubled by phobias or compulsive behavior. Persons socing high on this scale tend to be overly conscientious or excessively meticulous; high scores may also indicate worry, anxiety, and feelings of overcriticalness or of ruminative self-doubt.

The Schizophrenia Scale (Sc). This scale was derived by contrasting the responses of normal persons with those of patients with bizarre and unusual thoughts or behavior. Subjects who score high on this scale tend to be regarded as peculiar or withdrawn. They are likely to distort some aspect of the world around them and this tendency, in extreme degrees, may be indicative of schizophrenia. High scores within the normal range reflect apathy and seclusiveness, as well as serious

intellectual and esthetic interests. Persons making such scores may also be rather undependable and lacking in responsibility and maturity of judgment.

The Mania Scale (Ma). This scale affords a measure of the similarity of the subject to patients with marked overproductivity in thought and action. Those making high scores are persons who easily become interested in things and approach life with much zest. Such tendencies, when carried to extremes, may lead to irrational manie behavior antisocial acts. High scores within the normal range reflect egocentricity and social exhibitionism as well as energetic and enterprising modes of solving problems and dealing with others.

#### THE SPECIAL SCALES

As has been mentioned, a large number of additional scales can be scored from the 550 items. Four of these scales were used in the present study since it was thought, on an a priori basis, that these might represent variables important in relation to the onset of stuttering.

The Anriety Scale (A). This scale provides a measure of the manifest anxiety experienced by the subject. High scores result from the admission of feelings of tension, discomfort, worry, and a variety of physiological concomitants of anxiety, such as sweating or flushing (R108).

The Achievement Scale (Ae). This scale was developed by comparing the responses of high and low achievers in academic situations, with intelligence held constant. High scores indicate interest and motivational patterns typical of persons who do well in school and college work (R49).

The Dominance Scale (Do). This scale provides a measure of "strength" in face-to-face relationships. A high score indicates that the person is able to exert a personal influence on others. Persons making high scores on this scale are seen as forceful, strong, confident and sure of themselves (R43).

The Status Scale (St.). This scale affords a measure of the personal qualities and attitudes that are associated with social status, rather than social status as typically measured by social scientists. High scores indicate literary and esthetic interests, social poise and self-confidence, and persons making such scores are likely to be regarded as being "broadminded" and having positive opinions about their social environment (R41).

#### THE MMPI PROFILE

The T Scores. Each of the clinical scales is transformed, by the use of tables, into standard score equivalents called T scores; these are arbitrarily derived with the mean set at 50 and the standard deviation

#### Parental Responses to the MMPI

set at 10. The higher the score the greater the degree of abnormality. A T score of 70, two standard deviations above the mean, is made by only 5 per cent of presumably normal persons and is generally regarded as the cut-off score for identifying pathological deviations. The scores on the validity scales and the special scales are typically reported in raw score units.

Coding the Profile. Contemporary clinical use of the MMIPI emphasizes that the pattern of T scores rather than the individual T score is of chief importance in interpreting a profile. It has been suggested (R40, 110) that a "high point" coding system be used for analyzing the pattern. In such a system the MMIPI clinical scale having the highest T score is placed first in the code and the remainder of the clinical scales are then ranked in descending order according to the obtained T scores. The pattern is thus indicated without regard to the level of the score clevation for each scale. The absolute elevation of the profile, however, is indicated by inserting symbols in the code indicating those scores above 90, those between 80 and 80, those between 70 and 79, etc.

# Purpose of Present Investigation

The purpose of this investigation was to compare, by means of the MMPI, the relative psychological adjustment and personality characteristics of the parents in the experimental and control groups. The selection of these two groups of parents as well as the procedures used in matching the groups has been discussed in Chapter 1.

The MMPI has been used in a similar way by Williams (129) for evaluating parental adjustment in its relation to child behavior in a number of families in each of which there was a cerebral-palsied child. Grossman (45) has used the test in a study of a small group of parents of chronically stuttering and nonstuttering children.

#### Subjects and Procedures

The routine administration of the booklet form of the MMPI was part of the standard interview procedure that was followed with each parent who had agreed to participate in the over-all study. Usually one parent took the test while the other parent was being interviewed. If the tests were not completed during the full clinical session, arrangements were made to have the booklets and answer sheets picked up at some later time.

While it had been planned to secure an MMPI profile from each parent, this goal was not realized because of a number of uncontrollable factors such as lack of time and refusal of some subjects to cooperate. Profiles were, however, obtained from 224 of the 300 experimental

group parents and from 223 of the 300 control group parents in Study III. An inspection of the other data did not suggest that those parents who had failed to complete the MMPI were different in any obvious ways from those parents for whom profiles were secured.

All answer sheets were hand scored by the interviewers, in accordance with the usual scoring procedures, for the seventeen scales discussed above. All profiles were also coded by means of the high-point coding system which has been previously discussed. For ease in tabulation and statistical analysis the scores on the seventeen scales as well as the high-point codes were then coded by reference to a predetermined system and punched on standard IBM cards.

#### Findings

Four groups of 100 profiles each, obtained from the experimental group mothers and fathers and the control group mothers and fathers, respectively, were selected from the 447 available MMPI profiles by excluding the 447 profiles whose validity scale scores suggested the most significant degrees of dissimulation. The cutting score for exclusion was L scale raw score of 7 or higher, or F scale raw score of 17 or higher, or F scale raw score of 17 or higher, or K scale raw score of 28 or higher. The numbers of case excluded on the basis of these criteria were 17, 22, and 8 respectively; there were no obvious differences between the control and experimental group parents with respect to these validity criteria.

The means and standard deviations obtained on the seventeen MMPI scales for the experimental and control group parents, respectively, together with the significance levels of the differences between the experimental and control group means, are presented in Table 62. For case in communication the scores in Table 62 are reported in values based on the original distributions of scores rather than the coded score distributions. The reported values are, consequently, approximate rather than exact; all statistical tests, however, were based upon the more exact coded score values.

A prior comparison of the means of the experimental group mothers and fathers and of the control group mothers and fathers gave no notable evidence of any sex differences in the scores, and so the data from the two scres were combined. The only exception to this finding was for the MI scale on which both groups of fathers had significantly higher (p<01) mean T scores than the corresponding groups of mothers; there were, however, no significant differences between the experimental and control groups and therefore the data were combined in this case as well.

There were only three statistically significant mean differences be-

Table 62, Means and Standard Deviations\* of MMPI Scales for Parents of Allegedly Stuttering Children (Experimental Group) and Parents of Allegedly Nonstuttering Children (Control Group) and the Significance Levels of the Differences between the Means (N. = 200 in Each Cell)

Scalet	Experimental	Group Parents	Control Gr	oup Parents	
craiei	Mean	S.D.	Меал	SD.	r‡
?	6.5	7,0	5.8	4.6	NS
L.,	5.3	1.9	3.6	1.8	NS
г.	. 3,2	2.5	2.8	1.8	NS
к.,.	15.5	5,2	169	4.8	.0.5
IIs	51.6	8.7	53.0	7.4	N9
D	. 539	10.3	52.1	9.2	NS
Ily .	. 55.5	79	56.1	7.7	NS
Pa .	39.9	9.6	31.8	90	NS
Mrs	37.5	10.1	59.7	10.1	NS
Pa	528	7.8	523	6.8	NS
Pt .	. 530	80	51.0	7.7	NS
Se .	51.7	90	35 5	7.8	NS
Ma,	51.9	10.1	51.2	9.1	NS
Λ.,	14.5	7.6	118	8.9	.01
Ae .	169	29	108	86	NS
Do	17.5	55	8.81	35	.01
St .	21.4	30	22.5	+0	NS

\* These values are approximate, having been derived from the eaded scores.

† The scores on the clinical scales (Hs. D. Hy. Pd. Mt. Ps. Pt. Sc, and Ms) are in T-score units; all the others are expressed in raw score units. K-scale corrections have been included where appropriate.

2 The t tests for mean differences as well as the significance levels for these differences were based upon the more precise coded score values rather than the values reported in this table.

§ Both groups of fathers scored significantly higher (p < 01) than the corresponding or our property of mothers; there were, however, no significant differences between the experimental and control groups.

Table 53. The Number of Experimental and Control Group Mothers and Fathers Who Presented Their Highest Scores on Each of the MMPI Clinical Scales\*

			3	MPI	Chnica	al Scal	cs			Tota
Group	IIs	D	Hy	Pd	M	Pa	Pt	Sc	Ma	- Tota
Experimental mothers	•	13	18	12	13	12	8	G	12	100
Experimental fathers	3	17	16	8	23	4	à	4	19	100
Subtotal	9	30	31	20	38	16	13	19	30	200
Control mothers	3	18	27	22	6	7	7	5	5	100
Control fathers	1	10	17	18	27	4	â	8	13	100
Subtotal	4	28	41	40	33	īī	12	8	20	200
Grand tetal	13	58	78	60	71	27	23	18	80	100

Only the nine clinical scales were used in this analysis.

tween the experimental and the control group parents on the seventeen MMPI scales. The control parents had significantly higher mean scores on the K and Dominance (Do) scales (p < AS and p < AI), respectively) while the experimental parents had a significantly higher mean score on the Anxiety (A) scale (p < AII), none of the other mean differences were significant at an acceptable level of confidence.

The number of experimental and control group mothers and fathers who presented their highest scores on each of the MMPI clinical scales is shown in Table 63. The differences between the obtained frequencies were then analyzed by means of the chi-square test for a two-by-nine table. There were no significant differences between the experimental and control group fathers, between the experimental and control group mothers, or between the experimental and control group parents without regard to sex. There were, however, significant differences between the total male group and the total female group when the experimental and control groups when the sperimental and control groups were combined (p < 0.01). It may be seen in Table 62 that the MI and Ma scales occurred relatively more frequently in the initial position in the male codes while the Pa and Se scales were the more frequent initial points in the female codes.

The relative frequencies in the four groups of subjects of the secondary scale in the high-point code, that is, the clinical scale in each case with the second highest T-score value in the individual profiles, are presented in Table 64. The differences between the obtained frequencies were again analyzed by means of the chi-square test for a two-by-nine table. Again there were no differences between the experimental and control group fathers, the experimental and control group mothers, or the total experimental and the total control group. And again there were significant differences between the total male group and the total female group when the experimental and control groups and the total female group when the experimental and control groups

Table 64. The Number of Experimental and Control Group Mothers and Fathers Who Presented Their Second Righest Scores on Each of the MMPI Scales\*

Group			3	13tPI	Clinic	al Sca	les			Total
0.000	He	D	Пy	Pd	311	Pa	Pt	Sc	Ma	
Esperimental mothers Esperimental fathers Subtotal Control mothers Control fathers Subtotal	. 9 . 4 . 13 . 9 . 11	11 15 79 6 13	21 13 31 40 18	12 14 26 14 16 30	6 15 21 15 16	15 11 23 16 9	12 8 20 8 6	9 5 14 7 9	6 12 18 11 11	100 100 200 100 100
(mad total	21	43	72	36	30	46	34	50	40	400

Only the nine clinical scales were used in this analysis

were combined (p < .02). It may be seen in Table 61 that the D, MI, and Ma scales occurred relatively more frequently in the secondary position in the male codes while the Hs and Hy scales were the more frequent secondary scales in the female codes.

The number of parents in the two groups with one or more T scores above 70 on the clinical scales (the usual cutting score) was obtained in an effort to evaluate the relative frequency of "severe disturbance" in the two groups. There were forty-seven persons in the control group and forty-nine in the experimental group with one or more T scores above 70; by eliminating from consideration those cases in which both parents in the family had scores above 70; these numbers were reduced to forty-one and forty-two, respectively. An inspection of the high-point codes of these "severely disturbed" persons did not reveal any differences between the experimental and control groups although the sex differences that were discussed above (see Tables 63 and 64) were again present.

In an attempt to evaluate the differences in the severity of stuttering as a function of the "abnormality" of the MMPI scores, the 224 experimental group children for whom parental MMPI profiles were available were divided into two subgroups, those children who had one or both parents with one or more clinical scale T scores above 70 (the disturbed group) and those children for whom neither parent had a clinical scale T score above 70 (the nondisturbed group). Independent ratings by the interviewers of the experimental group children on the Iowa Scale for Rating the Severity of Stuttering (R64, pp. 129-136) were available for thirty-eight children whose parents were placed in the disturbed group and seventy-seven children whose parents were in the nondisturbed group. The mean rating for the children of the disturbed parents was 1.97 (S.D. = .97) while the mean rating for the children of the nondisturbed parents was \$.13 (S.D. = .71); the difference between these means is highly significant (t=6.4, p<.001) and indicates that the children of the nondisturbed parents were rated as being the more severe stutterers. (Since a rating of 1 on the Iowa Scale indicates normal, fluent speech, 7 represents extremely severe stuttering, and 4 an average degree of severity, a rating of 3 indicates a relatively mild degree of stuttering.)

# Discussion

The most striking finding of this portion of Study III was the failure to establish any major personality test differences between the experimental and control group parents. Although certain differences between the two groups of parents were statistically significant and pay-

chologically provocative, the over-all impression left by the results is that whatever important differences exist between these groups they are not clearly reflected in their MMPI scores. Certainly the small absolute differences between the means and the large amount of overlap between the two distributions of scores would prohibit the use of MMPI as an instrument for differentiating these groups in clinical

work.

The present results are in substantial agreement with those of Grossman (45) although she interprets her results somewhat differently. Grossman had secured MMPI profiles from twenty-one married couples whose school-age children were receiving speech therapy for stuttering and a matched control group of twenty-one couples. She compared the mean scores of the two groups on the four validity and nine clinical scales of the MMPI; while only one of these thirteen comparisons was statistically significant (the stutterers' parents were higher on the F scale), Grossman concluded that the stutterers' parents respond to the MMPI more "atypically" than the nonstutterers' parents. Since twelve of her thirteen differences were not statistically significant and all the differences were small in absolute magnitude, her conclusions do not seem to be instified by her data.

It is essential to note that not only are the two sets of parents in the present study very similar to each other in their mean MMPI profiles but they are also very similar to the original Minnesota standardization group of presumably normal subjects (R50, 120). The highest clinical scale mean for either group is 56.1 for the control parents on the Hy scale; this represents a deviation of only 0.6 of a standard deviation from the expected mean score of 50.0 for a group of psychiatrically normal persons. The highest clinical scale mean for the experimental group is 55.5, also on the Hy scale. These are the largest differences from the expected normal mean scores and all the other obtained means are, of course, even closer to the expected value of 50.0. While some of these differences may be of statistical significance, they lie within the normal limits and are not, in all probability, psychologically important in a clinical sense. It is more reasonable to ascribe such small differences to sampling factors than to any "real" differences between experimental and control groups or between the persons studied in the present investigation and the Minnesota standardization group. These differences certainly do not provide a sound basis for regarding either the control or the experimental group of parents as psychiatrically abnormal or more maladjusted than adult persons in general.

The absence of evidence of abnormality in these mean profiles is even more strikingly brought home when they are compared with the mean profiles of various neurotic and psychotic groups and of college students receiving psychological counseling (Rize). Each of the latter groups have characteristic mean profiles with very marked peaks and valleys differing greatly from the flat mean profiles obtained from these parents. Such comparisons strongly support the contention that these are, for the most part, essentially normal persons who differ little, if at all, from the Minnesota group of normal adults who comprised the standardization sample.

While the present results offer no evidence for concluding that these two groups of parents are very different from each other or from normal persons generally, it should be recalled that three of the seventeen mean differences, although absolutely quite small and within the normal range, were statistically significant and deserve further comment. On the K scale the control group parents' menu was significantly higher than that of the experimental group parents. This would indicate that the control group parents were somewhat more defensive, less likely to admit psychological defects or problems. These control group parents had not been asked, as had the experimental group parents to admit as part of the selection procedure that they regarded their children as deviant, and certainly might be expected to be less open and more defensive in such a clinical situation.

The finding that the control group mean on the dominance (Do) scale was significantly higher than that of the experimental group, surgesting greater dominance in face-to-face personal relationships, is rather difficult to interpret and fit into the other findings and conclusions about the onset of stuttering. Little is known about the interpretation and usefulness of the Do scale as it is a recent addition to the MMPI scales and perhaps any more elaborate interpretation of this result should be postponed until additional information about the Do scale is available.

The experimental group parents scored significantly higher on the Anxiety (A) scale than did the control group parents. Certainly the admission of greater tension and anxiety on the part of the stutterers' parents may be regarded as an important finding, but great care should be exercised in relating this finding to the onset of stuttering. The heightened anxiety on the part of the experimental group parents may be an important etiological factor in the development of stuttering, or it may be a subsequent reaction by these parents to what they regarded as the development of stuttering by their children. The present data do not permit a resolution of these alternative interpretations.

Perhaps the best evidence that the experimental group of parents

was essentially normal from a psychiatric point of view was the finding that the "deviant" group of experimental group parents, those with one or more T scores above 70, had children with generally less severe stuttering, as rated, than that of the children whose parents were in the nondeviant experimental group. On the other hand, the MMPI profiles of the parents of the more severe stutterers were almost uniformly within normal limits and gave no indication of severe psychological pathology of any sort. It may be that these deviant parents volunteered for participation because they were concerned not so much with nonfluencies in their children's speech, as with their own problems; they may have regarded participation in the experiment as one way of receiving help with these more general problems, rather than as an opportunity to discuss their children's speech. Such an interpretation would indicate a need for caution on the part of the speech therapist when he does find a presumably stuttering child's parent with a deviant MMPI profile.

Following the conclusion of this study it seemed worthwhile to crossvalidate these results with a group of presumably typical speech clinic patients. This was done by selecting from the files of the Iowa Speech Clinic the case records of fifty chronically stuttering children for whom the MMPI profiles of both parents were available. These 100 profiles were then compared with the mean profiles given in Table 61 (R 40). Only three significant mean differences between the cross-validation group and the two original groups were found, with all the differences in the direction of more "normal" responses for the cross-validation group, and with all group means lying within 0.5 S.D. of the theoretical mean value of 50. The cross-validation group was significantly lower on the Ma scale than either of the original groups and significantly lower on the Pd scale than the original control group parents. Comparisons of the high-point codes of the cross-validation parents and the two original groups revealed no significant differences between the three groups. The children of the cross-validation parents with one or more T scores above 70 were independently rated as having the same severity of stuttering as the children of the parents with no clinical scale scores above 70. These results were interpreted as indicating that the responses of the cross-validation group were not significantly different from those of the two earlier groups and as lending additional support to the prior conclusions that the etiology of stuttering is not related to severe psychopathology on the part of the stutterers' parents.

The MMPI results are in general agreement with those of the overall investigation in that they do not support the notion that the par-

#### Parental Responses to the MMPI

ents who regard their children as stutterers are very different in their behavior and attitudes from the parents who judge their children to be monstutterers. Rather, these results support the suggestion that those parental group differences that are important in the etiology of stuttering are rather limited and probably related quite specifically to certain evaluations and reactions of the parents to the nonfluency aspects of the speech of their children in particular speech situations, or under particular circumstances.

# Analysis of Recorded Speech Samples

 ${
m I}_{
m T}$  is difficult, as was indicated in Chapters 5 and 6, to obtain, after the fact, a descriptive account of the speaking done by a child at the very first moment, or during the first hours, or even the first weeks or months, when the parents or others began to suspect or judge or take for granted that the way in which he was talking was "not right" and that, as they told themselves, he was stuttering. It is difficult to obtain such an account because the words of the informants are not descriptive exclusively of the child and the child's speech. On the contrary, their statements appear to be considerably, or even in some instances solely, projective of their own inner states, and are more or less elaborately influenced by the vagaries and compulsions of memory, the rigidity of habitual language patterns, a relative lack of appreciation of the need for reliably descriptive statements and of what is involved in achieving them, plus varying intensities of anxiety, guilt, regret, and resentment, and associated self-defensiveness, wishful thinking, and obfuscating hopefulness or depression. The definite and imposing fact is that, in consequence, it is extraordinarily hard - indeed, often impossible-to obtain, after any considerable intervening period, substantially objective and detailed information about the way a child was talking at the time when someone entertained the first faint stirrings of the judgment that he was beginning to stutter.

There would appear to be only two possible methods of securing information about the speaking that a child was doing at the moment when he was first regarded as a stutterer, or the equivalent. One of these methods involves the subsequent gathering of testimony or reports from observers, including the speaker himself, or from informants who were not observers. As has been implied, it is to be stressed that the memories out of which the informants speak are more or less clear or blurred, abundant or sketchy, dependable or distorted by self-projection, and this holds whether the informants qualify as firsthand

observers or only as relayers of what they presumably have been told by others who supposedly were observers. It is particularly important to note that practically never is the alleged stutterer himself qualified as a firsthand observer. Nearly without exception, in the writer's experience at least, the stutterer himself is unable to recall from his own clear memory the events involved in the beginnings of his speech problem, and so the statement he is prepared to make about those beginnings has, in general, the status of a self-interested account of family legend. In the present investigation the speakers under scrutiny were relatively young children, and it was not feasible to question the concerning what has been termed the onset of their stuttering. The informants in this study were the mothers and fathers of the speakers under investigation, and the data supplied by them have been examined in the preceding chapters.

The second of the two methods available for ascertaining the facts about the speech of a person at the first instant when he is judged to be stuttering is that of making a sound film or a tape or other type of recording of the speaking done by him at that instant. It would be ideal to compare such a recording with recorded samples of the same person's speech obtained previously and subsequently under similar and dissimilar circumstances in real life situations as well as in laboratory or contrived settings. It would also be part of the ideal procedure to record, preferably on sound film, the real life situations in which the person produces these samples of his speech, including especially the verbal and nonverbal reactions of his parents, siblings, or other persons present in these situations.

This brief description of the ideal procedure serves the basic purpose of making us aware of the fact that the data to be obtained by means of it do not exist - except possibly in fragmentary form in an isolated instance here or there, and even this would seem to be extremely unlikely - and if any fragments of such data do exist they are, to the best of the writer's knowledge, unanalyzed, uninterpreted, and essentially unknown in any scientifically meaningful sense. Nor are such data likely to be secured in any substantial amounts, if at all. Even so, efforts to approximate as closely as possible the indicated ideal type of speech sampling and investigation are to be encouraged. Indeed, in this and in the previously published book Stuttering in Children and Adults (62), and in other relevant publications, a considerable body of information is to be found concerning the aspects of speech that are relevant to the present purposes, and concerning the conditions that affect these aspects of speech, including the ways in which they are evaluated and reacted to by listeners, such as parents and

others more or less interested or disinterested in the speaker and his speech. More data of this general sort probably will be gathered, and a vigilant and sustained endeavor to obtain, as early as possible in presumably suitable cases, tape recordings of the speech of individual children, and of the relevant descriptive and evaluative statements of their parents, together with systematic observations of the accompanying behavior of both child and parents in each case, should continue to yield an increasingly illuminating body of information concerning the period more or less closely subsequent to the moment of inception of the problem called stuttering.

It seems unrealistic, however, to expect that very often, if ever, a tape recorder will be luckily located and running at the precise instant when a particular parent first makes the judgment that his or her child is stuttering. To the extent that the kind of data such a recorder would preserve are not to be come by, the question as to what they would be like, if obtained, may be answered as well as it can be only by disciplined inference from the data that have been and will be gathered by available means under accessible conditions.

#### The Problem and Subjects

In the spirit represented by these comments, an attempt was made in the present study to obtain a tape recording of a sample of the speech of each experimental and control group child for whom this was possible, and it proved to be possible for eighty-nine of the matched pairs of children. Of these matched pairs, sixty-eight were boys and twenty-one were girls. Age data are summarized in Table 65; the mean age was approximately five years for all four of the subgroups, and the ages ranged from roughly two and one-half to a bit over eight years.

In keeping with the preceding discussion, it is to be recognized, and duly taken into account in making interpretations of findings, that what was recorded was not, in any case, the speech that was originally judged by someone to be stuttering. The only available information about that speech has been presented and discussed in Chapters 5 and 6. The data about to be presented were derived from tape-recorded samples of children's speech obtained from about one month to over three years, and in the average case approximately a year and a half, after the children had first been regarded as stutterers (see Table 60).

It a mable recording was obtained for one child of a matched pair but not for the other, the one obtained was not included in the analysis. Lack of facilities, particularly for those subjects stoded in their homes, lack of time, quality of recording, and failure of the children to cooperate adequately were the principle reasons why mable recording were not obtained in all reasons.

# Recorded Speech Samples

Table 65. Ranges, Means, and Standard Deviations of Ages in Months of Male and Female Subjects in the Experimental and Control Groups from Whom Tape-Recorded Speech Samples Were Obtained

Group	N	Bange	Mean	\$.D.
Experimental males .	68	29-91	62.2	15.58
Experimental females	. 21	32-96	59.8	18.77
Control males	. 68	30-104	62.4	25.03
Control females .	81	80-101	0.00	17.90

Table 60. Means, Standard Deviations, and Ranges of the Difference in Months, for Male and Female Experimental Group Subjects, between the Age at Onset of Stuttering as Reported by the Mothers and Fathers and the Age at the Time When Speech Samples Were Tone-Recorded

Informant	Mean	N	S.D.	Range
	Male	Subjects		
Mother	18.57	68	10 55	1-39
Father	1968	63	12.57	0-50
	Femal	e Subjects		
Mother	18 14	21	22.73	2-59
Father .	. 1467	21	10 05	<b>5</b> -37

It is to be duly considered, therefore, that these speech samples may reflect changes in the speech behavior of the experimental group children associated with the fact that, in contrast to the control group children, they had been speaking, for the indicated periods of time, under conditions occasioned by the negative evaluations made of them and their speech by their dominant authority figures and, in most cases, by others also. Moreover, these negative evaluations had presumably been adopted in varying degrees by the children themselves.

So Iar as the speech of the two groups of children was similar just prior to and at the moment when this negative evaluation of the speech of the experimental group subjects was first made, the data here presented constitute the findings of an "undeliberated experiment." In this experiment, so far as it may be so designated, two groups of children, matched with respect to age, sex, and socioeconomic status of family, and with speech as much alike as it may be judged to have been, were subjected at a particular point in time to contrasting conditions. The speech of those in the control group continued presumably to be as positively evaluated and as fully accepted by their parents as it had been, whereas the speech of those in the experimental group was, at and after a given moment, regarded variously by their parents as a source of concern and distress, evaluated more or less negatively.

and reacted to nonverbally by means of postures, facial expressions, bodily tensions, etc., or verbally in the form of suggestions or urgings to speak more slowly, or more smoothly, or to relax and take it easy to to stop and think, or take a breath, and the like. After a median interval of approximately eighteen months, then, the speech of the control and experimental group subjects was sampled, analyzed with respect to degree of nonfluency, and compared.

In any interpretation of the demonstrated differences between the two groups with respect to nonfluency, it would seem necessary to give due consideration, based on all relevant data presented in this book, to three crucial questions: (1) What might most dependably be said as to the degree of similarity between the two groups with regard to speech nonfluency just before and during the first moments of the "experiment"? (2) To what degree and in what respects were the two groups subjected to dissimilar conditions during the "experiment"?

(3) Were there factors other than the ones indicated in the various sections of this book that affected the two groups differently during the "experiment"?

Such answers as one may be able or inclined to give to these questions will presumably govern, in large measure, the explanation that one will make, or accept, of the findings presently to be described.

# Speech Recording Procedure

The tape recordings of the speech of the experimental group subjects were made either in their homes or in the speech clinics of the several universities cooperating in this study, depending on convenience to the subject. The control group subjects were recorded, with few exceptions, in their homes. A portable tape recorder was used at a tape speed of 3.75 or 7.5 inches per second.\*

According to the original plan of the study, the parents of each child where to be present in the room during the making of the speech recording, but it proved necessary in some cases for one or both parents to be interviewed during this time. The speech samples were recorded, therefore, with or without one or both parents present.

In each case, after essential preliminaries and the achievement of adequate rapport, a recording was made of the child's responses to the

<sup>\*</sup>The procedure used in collecting and analyzing the data presented in this chapter was an adaptation of methods developed by the writer and his associates in previous investigations of nonfinency in the speech of presumably processative prechool children and collect-age male and female statterers and constituters; Rill, 23, 50, 60, 69, 79, Mach of the persons work on the nonfinency of children's speech has been summarized in Chapter 5 of Stattering in Châldren and Adults: Thirty Years of Research at the University of Igen (28).

#### Recorded Speech Samples

Children's Apperception Test (CAT) by Bellak (5). In order to obtain adequate speech samples from very young or very reticent children, the CAT was administered with certain departures from the standard procedure, mainly in the form of promptings and suggestions made by the interviewer concerning possible card content. It did not prove possible to secure responses to all the picture cards in all cases; measures of the size of samples obtained are presented in Table 67. Size of

Table 67. Mean Number of Words and Related Measures of Size of Speech Sample for Male and Female Experimental and Control Group Subjects

Group	N	Range	Mean	SD.	Median	90th Percentile
Experimental males	GS	31-1.138	499 S	293 0	432.5	933.7
Experimental females	21	116-1,296	356 0	331.6	389 0	1.156 4
Control males	. 63	65-1,229	4753	234 1	4455	909 7
Control females	21	211-2.044	325.5	383.3	402.7	8214

sample was defined in each case in terms of number of words spoken. In computing this number, interjected sounds or words were not counted, and each word repeated singly or in a phrase was counted only once. For example, the sentence "He went went to town" contains four, not six, words. In a nonfluency of the revision type only the words in the final revised form of the utterance were counted.\*

The type of speech obtained was essentially propositional, extemporaneous, unrehearsed, unmemorized, and consisted mainly of the child's comments about the actions or the stories represented by the CAT pictures, as he perceived and interpreted them. No attempt was made to conceal the recording equipment.

# Analysis of Speech Samples

The recorded speech samples were transcribed and analyzed according to the procedure, described below, developed by the writer and his co-workers (Ro0) in their studies of speech fluency of college-age male and female stutterers and nonstutterers. The features of speech identified in the evaluation of the samples were those thought to represent the various aspects of speech nonfluency, with the exception of pauce

\*It is to be noted that this method of counting words yields a smaller total number of words for a given speech sample than the method used in previously politheir studies of nondeneror (R69). The present method results, therefore, in a correspondingly higher count of nondeneries per bundred, or per thousand, words, a fact shade into account in comparing the findings of the prevent study with those of entire investigations (R18, 23, 50, 62, 78). See the section that follows immediately for definitions of revision and other types of nonflowers.

time. This measure was not used because of the practical difficulty of deciding whether or not given pauses were part of meaningful or expressive speech. The following types of speech behavior were counted as nonfluencies:

- 1. Interjections of syllables, sounds, words, or phrases. Determination was made of the frequency of extraneous sounds such as "uh,"
  "er," and "hmmm" and extraneous words such as "well" which were
  distinct from sounds and words associated with the fluent or meaningful text, or with other categories of nonfluency. The number of times
  each interjection occurred within each instance of its occurrence was
  tabulated as the number of units, and the number of instances of interjection was also determined. "Uh" and "uh uh uh," for example,
  were each counted as one instance of interjection, and the number of
  units in the first instance was recorded as one and the number of units
  in the second instance as three.
  - 2. Repetition of sounds or syllables. Repetitions of a part of a word were placed in this category. Within each repetition instance the number of times the sound or syllable was repeated was counted as the number of units; "r-run" is one unit of repetition, "r-r-run" two units. No distinction was made between sounds and syllables: "B-boy," "ba-ba-baty," and "abou-about" are examples of sound and syllable repetition.
  - 3. Repetition of words. Repetitions of whole words, including one-syllable words, were placed in this category. Both the number of instances and the number of repetition units within each instance were counted. "I-I-I" was recorded as one instance involving two units of word repetition and "going going" was counted as one instance of word repetition involving one unit. If a word was repeated for emphasis, as in "very, very clear," it was not counted as a nonfluency.
    - 4. Repetition of phrases. Repetitions of more than one word, or of one word and part of a second word, were classified as phrase repetitions, provided no modification or revision of the content resulted from the repetition. "I was I was I was " and "He was g- was going" are examples in this category, the former involving two units and the latter one unit of repetition.
    - 5. Revisions. Changes in the content or grammatical form of a phrase, or in the pronunciation of a word, were counted as instances of revision. "I was—I am going" is an example in this category.
    - 6. Incomplete phrases. An incomplete phrase is one in which the thought or content is not completed and which is not an instance of phrase repetition. "I was—and after she got there, he came" contains an example of an incomplete phrase. Incomplete phrases caused by in-

terruptions made by the interviewer or parents were not considered nonfluencies.

- 7. Broken words. This category includes words not completely pronounced and not classifiable in any other category. It also includes nonfluencies in which the normal or customary rhythm of the word is broken or disturbed so that the flow of speech is definitely affected. "I was g-(pause)-oing home" is an example of a broken word.
- Prolonged sounds. This category included any unduly prolonged sound. If a sound was prolonged twice it was counted both as a prolonged sound and as a repetition of a sound.

Measures of speaking time or of rate were not feasible, because the speech of the interviewer and of the parents was intermingled with that of the child in such a way as to preclude a valid estimate of the child's speaking rate.

The analysis of each tape-recorded speech sample was made from a verbatim transcript while listening to a playback of the recording. Relistening, when necessary, was continued until the observer was essentially certain that be had spelieved an accurate perception.

#### Derived Measures

Two types of index were derived from the counts made of the number of units and instances of nonfluency. The first of these is a frequency index, the number of instances of nonfluency per 100 words. This value was computed for each of the eight categories of nonfluency and for all eight categories combined. The second type of index, the number of units per instance of nonfluency, was computed for each of the first four categories - interjections, sound and syllable repetition, word repetition, and phrase repetition. With respect to interjections, as previously explained, "uh," for example, is counted as one unit and "uh uh" as two; it takes only one production of an interjection to constitute a unit. One unit of repetition, however, is made up of two productions of the sound or syllable or word or phrase involved, two units are made up of three productions, etc. Thus, "boy boy" is one unit of word repetition, "boy boy boy" are two units, etc. For each subject, and for each of the four indicated categories of nonfluency, the total number of units was divided by the total number of instances to determine the average number of units of nonfluency per instance, which may be regarded as an index of extent of nonfluency.

# Reliability of the Nonfluency Counts

The method of counting nonfluencies used in this study is the same as that employed in related investigations included in a program of re-

search, of which the present study is a part, concerned with speech nonfluency at childhood and adult age levels (R60). The reliability of this method has been determined by using tape recordings of adult female stutterers. These were recordings of each subject's response to card number 10 of the Thematic Apperception Test (R60), description of present or future job, and oral reading of a 300-word passage. Two independent observers listened to twelve such tape recordings picked at random from a larger collection. Four of these were recordings of job descriptions, four of TAT responses, and four of oral reading.

Pearson product moment coefficients of correlation between the counts of instances and units of nonfluency in the various categories made by the two observers are given in Table 68.

Table 68, Pearson Product Moment Coefficients of Correlation between Counts of Units and Instances of Eight Types of Nonfluency Made Independently by Two Observers in Analyzing Twicks Samples of Recorded Speech

				Coefficients o	l Correlation
Nonfluency Category		L	nsta	nber of ances of afluency	Number of Units of Nonfluency
Interjections				.98	.99
Repeated sound and syllable					.77
Word repetition					.96
Phrase repetition					.96
Revisions				.94	
Incomplete phrases					
Broken words		 		.93	
Prolonged sounds ,					

With one possible exception, that for the number of units of repeated sounds and syllables, the values in Table 68 indicate levels of reliability that are relatively high. They are of an order comparable with those previously obtained in analyzing samples of the speech of both children and adults (RIR, 25, 60). It is assumed that these measures are indicative of the substantial reliability of the data presented in the following sections of this report.

#### Findings

The data presented in Tables 69 to 80 are pertinent to three basic questions:

1. What are the distributions of the nonfluency measures employed for children, as represented by the samples described, classified as stutterers and nonstutterers, respectively?

- 2. What group differences in the nonfluency measures used are demonstrable after a mean period of approximately eighteen months during which the two groups of children spoke under presumably different conditions, as previously indicated?
- 3. Do the various distributions of nonfluency measures for the two groups overlap, and, if so, to what degree, and with what apparent theoretical implications?

#### NORMATIVE DATA

Frequency Indexes. The data were analyzed separately for the two sexes, and for the experimental and control groups. Decile values of the frequency index determinations for the various groups are presented in Tables 69 and 70. The means and standard deviations for each of the groups are presented in Tables 71 and 72. There were no statistically significant sex differences in the control group (Table 71), and there were no notable sex differences in the experimental group (Table 72).

For each of the eight nonfluency measures one or more of the subjects in both groups achieved a frequency index of 0.00, representing no nonfluencies, with the exception of the category of interjections for the female experimental subgroup. No child in either group, however, proved to be perfectly fluent; the lowest index for all categories of nonfluency combined was that of a boy in the control group who scored 0.60, the equivalent of six instances of nonfluency per 1.00 words. For the male subjects the first, fifth, and ninth decile values of the frequency index for all categories of nonfluency combined were as follows: experimental group, 6.48, 13.02, and 34.43; control group, 2.05, 7.14, and 13.76. For the female subjects the corresponding decile values were as follows: experimental group, 5.68, 10.31, and 32.03; control group, 2.05, 2.01, and 13.52.

Tables 69 and 70 may be used as normative reference tables in evaluating appropriately derived measures of the nonfluency of children within the indicated sex, age, and socioeconomic groups. They serve to emphasize that nonfluencies occur in the speech of children generally, and that their distribution is dimensional rather than categorical. There are no "natural" lines of demarcation between "normal" and "abnormal" degrees of nonfluency. The data summarized in Tables 69

<sup>•</sup> In the experimental group (Table 72) there was a significant set difference for the causery of broken words, the female group baring the higher man. This difference, however, is to be considered with high care scores of 361 and 736, respectively, for the scores of 361 and 736, respectively, for this category compared with a range from 0 to .91 for the scores of all other subjects in both experimental groups.

Indexe of Nonfluency (Nomber of Monfluencies per Hundred World) for Each of the Indiand for the Male Experimental (N=68) and Control (N=68) Group Subjects

Table 69 Hangs and Decile Distribution of the Prequency Indexes of Nontucing, Communication of the Decimental (N = 68) and Control (N = 69) where Table 69 Hangs and Decile Distribution of the Prequency Combined for the Male Experimental (N = 68) and Control (N = 69) where	n Preque	Combine	10 to	Male F	perime	ntal (N=	= 68) and	Control	11	diam's fo	
Eight Nonfluency Categories and for All C	auc.Bour.					Deciles				1	Highest
	lean red			-	-	*	-	,	æ	<b>a</b>	
Pu	, iet	-	,	,		İ					
Chord							;	94.40	60.0	7.10	15 25
		92		183	5.13	2,83	3.1	200	2 2	8.40	19.71
:	2 1	3 8	25	1.80	1.03	0.9	2.71	r n	5	2	
:	90.	9							2	19.00	36 63
		1	ě	02.6	11.0	3.13	4 04	5.70			0.10
Sound and Summer of the second	Ę	2 8	3 8	3	9	.03	8	.79	į.	1.01	
	80.	8	Ę								00 71
					5	404	9 88	5.30	6.11	2 20	000
	90	8	1 60	3	3	2	117	1.48	1.73	6,03	4.02
	3	0.5	18:	<b>9</b> .	20.	6.					
	3	1					•	9	83	1.08	7.07
		8	82	40	,87	20,	2.3	1.00	1		91
:	8	3 8	5	0	9	74.	9	98.	Š,		
:	8	3	3	1						-	:
			!	•	94	80.	1.36	1.79	9.18 8.18	8.78	-
	8	ę	ę	S.	3 :		2	1.81	30	9.00	7.17
	00	ફ	ę.	7	2						
						1	5	ş	9	503	6
		5	0	8	00.	8	3			80	1.65
:	Ę	2	8	8	8	8	8	5	ş	9	
	3	2							:		2
			8	5	5	00	8	8	9	ę	
	8	9	3	3		8	5	0	8	1	30.1
	00	8	S	S	3	3	ŝ	ì			
										6	10.60
	5	5	8	8	£.	ģ	.6	92.	8	2	
:	3 8	8	8	00	8	8	8	2	ž.	ğ	Ś
:	S.	ş									
				10.74	10 77	18.69	1461	55.15	27.15	3143	46.5
:	930	20	200				8.55	9.73	1081	13.70	18.8
:	8	603	3	3	3.00	:					
ı						ĺ					

Table 70. Itange and Deelic Distribution of the Frequency Indexes of Nonfuency (Number of Nonfuencies per Hundred Weath) for Each of the Eigh Nonfuency Caregivies and for All Categories Cambined for the Fenale Experimental (N = 21) and Control (N = 21) Group Subjects

į

Nonfinence

Index   Inde				1	l	İ		
[ [ [ [ [ [ [ [ [ [ [ [ [ [ [ [ [ [ [	<b>e</b> k	•	٥	0	-	œ	9	Index
# 44 ## 64 44 44 44 44 44 44 44 44 44 44 44 44	1.77	2.38 8.24	3.38	4.93	5.91	6.03	8.64	14.60
4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4		01 1.52	1.62	3,33	3 97	6.51	8.46	15.99
2 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 2		23 213	666	67 6	4.49	9	2	
### 48 ### 88 88 88 88 88 88 88	윩	90 .20	25.	120	Z,	100	14	609
	-		5,45	47.0	7 CO		;	
	8	.51 .78	r	191	1.48	1 07	6 G1	3 12
000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 00	21.	.38	S	69	88.	1.30	913	118
38 38 38 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39	S.		Ŧ.	.50	25	101	1.30	2.31
98 00 00 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<b>3</b> 4.		1 10	1.30	1 70		0 20	4
06 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 0	15:	.88 121	1 58	1.46	1.93	20.5	23.5	3.80
00' 00'	8		8	5	6	8	á	į
00' 00	8	00.	8	÷	6	8	1.03	7.7
00' 00	00.		8	8	2	;	;	
	00	90	8	3 8	5 5	R 51	3 4	27.5
00' 00'	8		15	101	1.46	1 20	9	į
80 Bo:	S.	00 00	8	8	=	3	i si	g &
689	8 23	921 11.38	16.31	17.27	17 17	18 79	89.04	10 10
*			2 01	6.87	8.30	200	18.58	00.00

Table 71. Mean Differences, with t Values, between Nonfluency Frequency Indexes (Number of Nonfluencies per Hundred Words) of Male (N = 68) and Female (N = 21) Control Group Subjects

Nonfluency Category and Group	Mean	S.D.	Diff.	S.E.	i*
Interjections					
М	3.13	3 01			
F	3.45	2 95	.32	.81	.40
Sound and syllable repetition					
М		.54			
F		1,30	.92	.20	1.10
Word repetitions					
М	107	.87			
F	1.14	.96	.07	22	.52
Phrase repetitions					
М	61	.59			
F		.62	.03	.15	.20
Revisions					
М	1.43	1.17			
F	1.39	.79	.05	.96	.19
Incomplete phrases					
М	23	.39			
F		.47	.05	.10	.50
Broken words					
М		.17			
F	10	.17	.06	.043	1.40
Prolonged sounds					
м	16	.23			
F	14	.52	.02	.10	.01
Total (task index)					
м	7.28	4.38			
F	7.90	1.78	.62	.99	.6

None of the t scores is significant at the 5 per cent level.

Table 72. Mean Differences, with t Values, between Nonfluency Frequency Indexes (Number of Nonfluencies per Handred Words) of Male (N = 63) and Female (N = 41) Experimental Group Subjects

Nonfluency Category and Group	Mean	S.D.	Diff.	S.E.	ŧ
Interjections					
M .	3.62	3.11			
F	441	3 01	.82	.78	1.05
Sound and syllable repetition	ons.				
м	5.41	6.49			
F	3 93	4 26	1.51	1.50	1.01
Word repetitions					
М	4 28	3.21			
Γ.	3 65	278	.63	.78	.91
Phrase repetitions					
M	1.14	1.01			
F	.81	.91	.30	-21	1.21
Revisions					
M	1.30	1.01			
F	1.30	.97	.00		
Incomplete phrases					
м.	.31	.53			
F .	.22	.51	.12	.14	.80
Broken words					
м .	.12	.26			2 52*
F .	.63	1.77	.51	.92	5 25.
Prolonged sounds					
M .	. 1.67	3.25			-58
F	1.21	1.80	.43	.74	,58
All categories					
M	17.91	10.87		214	.78
F	16 25	4.56	1 66	¥ 14	.78

<sup>\*</sup>Significant at the 5 per cent level; t .05 (df = 87) = 1.99.

Table 73. Mean Differences, with 1 Values, between Nonfluency Frequency Indexes (Number of Nonfluencies per Hundred Words) of Male Experimental (N=68) and Control (N=68) Group Subjects

Nonfluency Category Mean and Group	Diff.	SE.	
Interjections			
E 362			
C 3.13	.49	.53	.92
Sound and syllable repetitions			
E 5.44			
C	4 83	.79	6.11*
Word repetitions			
E 4.28			
_C 107	5.21	.41	7.85*
Phrase repetitions			
E 1.14			
_C	.43	.14	3.79
Revisions			
E 1.30			.68
C 1.43	.15	.19	.00
Incomplete phrases E			
E		.80	.14
Broken words	.11	.80	.1.9
E			
C	.08	.04	2,11
Prolonged sounds	108	.04	2.11
E 1.67			
C	1.51	.39	3.87*
All categories	1-01	.00	0,01
E 17.91			
C 7,28	10.63	1.43	7.43

<sup>\*</sup> Significant at the 1 per cent level; t .01 (df = 134) = 2.62. † Significant at the 5 per cent level; t .05 (df = 134) = 1.98.

Table 74. Mean Differences, with t Values, between Nonfluency Frequency Indexes (Number of Nonfluencies per Hundred Words) of Female Experimental (N = 21) and Control (N = 21) Group Subjects

Nonfluency Category and Group	Mean	Diff	SE.	t
Interjections				
E .	4 41			
С.	3 43	.69	1.10	.00
Sound and syllable repetitio	ns			
r	3.93			
<b>c</b> .	.83	3.10	.98	3.16*
Word repetitions				
E	3.65			
c	1.11	2.51	66	5.80*
Phrase repetitions				
E .	.81			
C	.38	.26	.93	101
Revisions				
E	1.30			
С	1.38	,08	.23	.99
Incomplete phrases				
E	.92			
С	.93	.06	.15	.40
Broken words				
Γ	63			
С.	.10	.53	.40	1.33
Prolonged sounds				
E	1.61			
Ċ	.14	1.10	.41	2 68†
Total (task index)				
E	16 25			
c	7 00	8.33	1 06	7.85*

Table 75. Average Percentage of the Nonfluencies Classified in Each Category for the Experimental and Control Group Male and Female Subjects

Nonfluency	Experime	ntal Group	Control Group	
Category	Male	<b>Female</b>	Male	Female
Interjections	50'5	27.3	43 0	43 7
Sound and syllable repetitions	30 4	415	8 4	10.3
Word repetitions	23 9	65.2	167	16 4
Phrase repetitions	64	37	8 4	7.3
	7.3	80	196	17.5
Revisions	1.9	1.5	3 2	5.3
Incomplete phrases	1.7	5.9	14	1.3
Broken words Prolonged sounds	9.3	7.6	19	1.8

Significant at the 1 per cent level; £ .01 (df = 40) = 2.71. † Significant at the 5 per cent level; £ .05 (df = 40) = 2.02.

Table 73. Mean Differences, with t Values, between Nonfluency Frequency Indexes (Number of Nonfluencies per Hundred Words) of Male Experimental (N=68) and Control (N=68) Group Subjects

Nonfluency Category and Group		Mean	Diff.	SE.	t
Interjections					
E		3 62			
C	٠.	3.13	.49	.53	.99
Sound and syllable repetitions					
E					
C		.61	4.83	.79	6.11*
Word repetitions					
E	٠.	4.28			
C		1.07	3.21	.41	7.83*
Phrase repetitions					
E		1.14			
C		.61	.53	.14	5.79
Revisions					
E					
_C		1.43	.13	.19	.68
Incomplete phrases					
E		.31			
_C	٠.	.23	.11	.80	.14
Broken words					
<u>E</u>		.12			
_c		40,	.08	.04	2.11
Prolonged sounds					
E					
All categories	٠.	.16	1.51	.59	3.87
E					
C	٠.	7.28	10.63	1.43	7.45

Significant at the 1 per cent level; t .01 (df = 134) = 2 62.
 † Significant at the 5 per cent level; t .05 (df = 134) = 1.98.

Table 74, Mean Differences, with t Values, between Nonfluency Frequency Indexes (Number of Nonfluencies per Hundred Words) of Female Experimental (N = 21) and Control (N = 21) Group Subjects

Nonfluency Category and Group	Menn	Diff.	S.E.	t
Interjections				
E	1.11			
C	3 45	.99	1.10	.90
Sound and syllable repetitio	ns			
E .	3 93			3.16
C	.53	3 10	.99	3.16
Word repetitions				
E	3 63			3,80*
C	114	251	.66	3,50
Phrase repetitions				
E .	.81			1.01
C	.58	.26	.25	1.00
Revisions				
E	1 30			.23
C	1.58	.08	.28	.13
Incomplete phrases				
E	.02		.15	.40
C	.28	.06	.13	.40
Broken words				
E	.63		.40	1 33
C	.10	33	.40	1 33
Prolonged sounds				
E .	191		.41	2 68
C	.14	1 10	.41	200,
Total (task index)				
Ε	16 25		1.06	7.88*
C	7 90	8.33	120	- 1.00

Significant at the 1 per cent level; t 01 (df = 40) = 9.71.

Table 75 Average Percentage of the Nonfluencies Classified in Each Category for the Experimental and Control Group Male and Female Subjects

	Experimental Group		Control Group	
Nonfluency Category	Male	Female	Male	Female
		273	43 0	43 7
Interjections	20 2 50 4	54.6	84	10.5
Sound and syllable repetitions	23 9	22.5	14.7	14.4
Word repetitions	64	5.7	84	75
Phrase repetitions -	. 73	80	19.6	17.5
Revisions		1.5	32	3.5
Incomplete phrases	19	8.0	1.4	1.3
Broken words Prolonged sounds	.7 93	7.8	1.9	1.8

<sup>†</sup> Significant at the 5 per cent level; t .05 (df = 40) = 203.

Table 78, Mean Differences, with t Values, between Indexes of Extent of Nonfluency (See Table 78) for Experimental Male and Female Subjects

Nonfluency Category and Group	N	Mean	Diff.	S.E.	t
Interjections					
м.	61	1.21			
M F	21	1.21	.00		
Sound and syllable					
repetitions					
м.	G.	1.47		••	2.17*
F.	20	1.73	.26	.12	2.17
Word repetitions					
м	67	1 33			
F	20	1.20	.01	.06	.63
Phrase repetitions					
M .	59	1 07			.20
r.	18	1.08	.01	.05	.20

Significant at the 5 per cent level; t .03 (df =82) = 2 00.

Table 79. Mean Differences, with t Values, between Indexes of Extent of Nonfluency (See Table 26) for Male Experimental and Control Subjects

Nonfluency Category and Group	N	Mean	Diff.	S.E.	t
Interjections					
Е.	61	1.21		0.5	2 08*
C	63	1.11	.10	us .	200
Sound and syllable					
repetitions					
E	61	1.47		.08	5 06t
č	51	1.08	.39	.08	3 00;
Word repetitions					
E	67	1.33			5 90t
č · · ·	62	1.10	.23	.01	2 101
Phrase repetitions					
E	59	1 67			
č.	50	1.01	.0\$	.03	.97

Significant at the 5 per cent level, t .05 (df = 125) = 1.98.

<sup>†</sup> Significant at the 1 per cent level; t .01 (df = 113 or 127) = 2.62.

Table 80 Mean Differences with & Values between Indexes of Extent of Nonfluence (See Table 76) for Female Experimental and Control Subjects

Nonfluency Category N and Group N	Mean	Diff.	SE.	t
Interjections				
E21	1.21			
C 20	1.06	.15	.07	201.
Sound and syllable				
repetitions				
E 20	1.73			
C 19	1.10	.63	.13	5 00
Word repetitions				
E 20	1.29			
C 20	1.11	.18	.07	261
Phrase repetitions			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
E 18	1.08			
C 13	1.00	.08	.03	2,762

<sup>†</sup> Significant at the 1 per cent level; t .01 (df = 37) = 2.70.

and 70 serve to focus attention in a crucial sense on the problems involved in defining "stuttering" in terms of nonfluency.

Differential Frequencies of Types of Nonfluency, The mean proportion of nonfluencies classified in each category was computed separately for the experimental and control group males and females (Table 75). Approximately three fourths of the nonfluencies of the experimental group male subjects were sound and syllable repetitions (30.4 per cent), word repetitions (23.9 per cent), and interjections (20.2 per cent). Nearly all of the remainder were distributed among the categories of prolonged sounds, revisions, and phrase repetitions. The findings for the female subjects in the experimental group were similar, a difference being the greater proportion of interjections than of either sound and syllable or word repetitions. Slightly more than three fourths of the nonfluencies of both the male and female subjects in the control group were interjections (male, 43 per cent; female, 43.7 per cent), revisions (male, 19.6 per cent; female, 17.5 per cent), and word repetitions (male, 14.7 per cent; female, 14.4 per cent). Sound and syllable repetitions were fourth in frequency for the control group and phrase repetitions were fifth.

Index of Extent of Nonfluency. The group ranges, means, and standard deviations of the index of extent of nonfluency (mean number of units per instance of nonfluency) are presented in Table 76. As shown in Tables 77 and 78, there were no significant sex differences with respect to this measure, except for a difference significant at the 5 per

Significant at the I per cent level; f .01 (df = 22) = 2.76.

cent level between the means of the male and female experimental group subjects for sound and syllable repetitions, the females having the higher mean. The means of the experimental group, including both male and female subjects, ranged between 1.07 and 1.73 units of repetition per instance, seven of the eight values falling between 1.07 and 1.47. The range of individual values for the experimental group extended from 1.0 to 3.4. For the control group the eight means ranged from 1.00 to 1.11, the individual values ranging from 1.0 to 2.0. (Values of 1.0, 2.0, and 3.0, respectively, would represent such word repetitions, for example, as the following: "blue blue," "blue blue blue." "blue blue blue." (Children's speech, as represented by these data, is characterized by repetitions that are relatively limited in extent.

#### GROUP DIFFERENCES

Frequency Indexes. Results of t tests of the significance of the differences between group frequency index means are summarized in Tables 73 and 74. Differences significant at the 1 per cent level were obtained between the frequency index means of male experimental and control group subjects for the categories of sound and syllable repetition, word repetition, phrhase repetition, prolonged sounds, and for all categories combined (Table 73). The difference between the corresponding means for the category of broken words was significant at the 5 per cent level. In all these comparisons the experimental group means are higher. Nonsignificant mean differences were obtained for the categories of interjections, revisions, and incomplete phrases.

Differences between the means of female experimental and control group subjects were significant at the 1 per cent level for the following categories: sound and syllable repetitions, word repetitions, and all categories combined (Table 74). The difference between the means for prolonged sounds was significant at the 5 per cent level. The experimental group means were higher in all these comparisons. Nonsignificant emen differences were obtained for interjections, phrase repetitions, revisions, incomplete phrases and broken words.

Index of Extent of Nonfluency. The mean indexes of extent of non-fluency for the male experimental and control group subjects differ sig-nificantly at the 1 per cent level for the categories of sound and syllable repetitions and word repetitions and at the 5 per cent level for interjections (Table 79). The experimental group mean was higher in each case. The differences between the corresponding means of the female experimental and control group subjects were significant at the 1 per cent level for sound and syllable repetitions and phrase repetitions and

at the 5 per cent level for interjections and word repetitions, the experimental group means being higher (Table 80).

The small magnitudes of the mean values involved, and the correspondingly limited extent of the differences between them, serve to raise a question concerning the functional significance of the differences. This question, in its most general form, is of concern in all instances in which mathematically derived statements of the significance of a finding, such as the difference between two means, is to be distinguished from the medical or educational or clinical or practical significance of the finding. The mean differences shown in Tables 76, 79, and 80 are to be viewed within the perspective which this consideration provides, since none of them is as much as one unit of repetition in magnitude, the largest being only 0.63 of one unit, less than the full difference between "bl-blue" and "bl-bl-blue." The statistically significant difference between the means of the male experimental and control group subjects for extent of word repetitions was 0.23, about one fourth of the whole difference between "blue blue" and "blue blue blue."

#### OVERLAP OF GROUP DISTRIBUTIONS

Frequency Indexes. In view of the traditional and current tendency to equate the term "stuttering" with such other terms as "disorder in the rhythm of speech" or "disturbance of fluency" or "nonfluent speech," and to refer to some generally unspecified portion or aspect of childhood nonfluency as "primary stuttering," it is of particular interest to consider the degree to which the distributions of nonfluency measures for the "stuttering" and "nonstuttering" children were found to overlan.

The overlapping of the group distributions indicated in Tables 69 and 70 points up the importance of the consideration that "stuttering" and "nonstutering" are names for judgments made by listeners of the vocal and phonetic productions made by speakers. The degree of nonfluency of the speaker is evidently but one of the factors determining whether the one or the other judgment will be made of his speech by a given listener. The findings of this study and of the related investigations referred to suggest that most, and possibly all, very young children speak with sufficient nonfluency or fluency to be classified as "stutterers" or as "normal speakers" by appropriately motivated parents, or other listeners.

It is to be observed (Table 69, bottom two rows) that the most nonfluent male "nonstutterer" in the present study was less fluent than nearly two thirds of the male "stutterers," and the most nonfluent female "nonstutterer" (Table 70, bottom two rows) was less fluent than over four fifths of the female "stutterers." Twenty per cent of the males regarded as "nonstutterers" were more nonfluent than 30 per cent of the males who were judged to be "stutterers," and essentially the same statement is to be made concerning the two female groups.

Like comparisons may be made with respect to the various types of nonfluency represented in Tables 69 and 70. The overlapping is nearly complete for the categories of interjections, revisions incomplete phrases, and broken words; it is to be said that, on practical grounds. these kinds of nonfluency do not serve to differentiate the two groups. The two groups are not as well differentiated with regard to phrase repetitions and prolonged sounds as they are in repetitions of words and parts of words, particularly the latter. Even in frequency of renetition of sounds and syllables (parts of words), with respect to which there was the greatest mean difference between the experimental and control groups, the most nonfluent male "nonstutterer" repeated more than did 40 per cent of the male "stutterers," and approximately 20 per cent of the males regarded as "nonstutterers" presented more sound or syllable repetitions than did 20 per cent of the males judged as "stutterers." As to word repetitions, the most nonfluent male "nonstutterer" showed more than did approximately two thirds of the male "stutterers," and 20 per cent of the males classified by their parents as "nonstutterers" performed more repetitions of words than did 20 per cent of the males whose parents thought of them as "stutterers." Corresponding statements of about the same sort are to be made concerning the two female groups.

Index of Extent of Nonfluency. The overlapping between the experimental and control groups in index of extent of nonfluency is of special interest in view of current usage of the term "primary stuttering" (R15. 113). Presumably this term is usually intended to refer to something distinctively different from that which the term "normal nonfluency" or the equivalent is meant to designate. Meanwhile, the terms seem to be generally employed without clear and consistent reference to any explicit and unambiguous referential or operational definition of "primary stuttering" in contradistinction to "normal nonfluency." There does seem to be evident at times an attempt to differentiate repetitions of syllables or words that are relatively extended - that are. in the terminology of this report, made up of many units-from those that are brief, or comprised of few units, the latter to be regarded presumably as "normal" and the more extended repetitions as "primary stuttering." The data presented in Tables 76, 79, and 80 would seem to be indicative of a relatively limited extensional, or empirical, basis

of such a distinction. Although the group mean differences in index of extent of nonfluency (Tables 79 and 80) are statistically significant at the 1 or 5 per cent level, none of them, as has been pointed out, is as large as one whole unit, the mean values themselves are uniformly small, and the group overlap, as indicated by the ranges, means, and stand-ad deviations, is considerable for both the male and female subjects. Indeed, most of the range values are quite similar for the compared groups. A valid assertion of difference between "primary stuttering" and "normal nonfluency," or between "clinically significant" and "clinically nonsignificant" extents or amounts of nonfluency, would seem to presuppose differences dependably perceivable by most listeners. The data presented in Tables 76–80 are to be weighed with respect to the degree to which they probably do or do not represent such readily perceptible differences.\*

#### Concluding Note

In evaluating the data presented in this chapter, it is to be duly considered, as has been stated, that they were obtained in an "undeliberated experiment" in which two groups of children, matched with respect to age, sex, and socioeconomic status of family, were subjected to two different conditions during a mean period of approximately eighteen months extending between mean age levels of about three and a half to five years. At the beginning of this period the speech of the two groups of children was presumably more or less similar, so far as can be determined from the data presented in Chapters 5 and 6. During the period of eighteen months the experimental group children were subjected to varying degrees of negatively evaluative reactions to their speech by listeners who, as parents, served also as their major authority figures, while the control group children spoke under conditions involving presumably as much positive evaluation of their speaking as they had experienced previously. At the end of the "experimental period" samples of the speech of the children were tape-recorded and analyzed, with findings as reported.

From these findings it is to be inferred that the question of whether or not a given child is or is not stuttering at any given moment cannot be answered by measuring or observing the nonfluency of his

Attention is also called to the relevance of other material in preceding sections of this chapter and in the other chapters of this book to the evaluation of other proposed or conceivable extensional or operational distinctions between "primary stuttering" and "nonraminational confinency," or generally between chincally "significant" and "nonramident" types or degrees of nonfinence, who as those based on the presence or absence of "excessive tension," or of "nontant" and "abnormal" frequencies of occurrence of specific trees of nonfinence.

speech. There is no agreed-upon procedure for determining an agreedupon amount of one or more types of nonfluency that may be accepted as constituting stuttering. The study here reported serves to clarify the problem of defining and diagnosing stuttering in the basic sense that it demonstrates the essential lack of synonymity between the words "nonfluency" and "stuttering." This lack of synonymity appears to be due to a fundamental difference between the respective levels of generality on which the two words are customarily used:

The term "nonfluency" turns out to be a word that is definable in more specific terms such as "word repetition" or "prolongation of sounds," which can be employed with a comparatively high degree of reliability in making descriptive statements about samples of speech. It is to be inferred that "stuttering," however, in apparently nearly all its usages, names or indicates a judgment made by a listener in evaluating the vocal, phonetic, and linguistic productions of a speaker. The one term, therefore, serves to name what the speaker does; the other serves to name what the listener does. To put it a bit differently, "nonfluency" is generally employed to indicate an aspect of the speaker's performance: "stuttering," as customarily used, indicates an aspect of the listener's evaluative reaction to that performance. According to the rules of meaningful discourse, therefore, the one term may not be indiscriminately substituted for the other. The question of whether and to what degree a speaker is stuttering may not be answered by making the observations that are required to answer the question of whether and to what degree a speaker is performing nonfluently. This is to be appreciated more readily if the first of these two questions (whether and to what degree a speaker is stuttering) is reworded to read: whether a speaker is judged to be stuttering, and by whom, or by what proportion of any given sample of listeners, and with what degree of severity as rated by those who judge him to be stuttering.

On the basis of the data presented in this chapter, together with those in Chapters 5 and 6, it is to be concluded that the question of whether "the onset of stuttering" has occurred or is occurring is not to be answered by observing the speaker only. The problem that we call "stuttering" involves not only what the speaker does but also what the listener does about it. As shown in Chapters 5 and 6, in most cases when the listener first became concerned about what the speaker was doing, the speaker was apparently doing something essentially ordinary, something commonly done also by the speakers in the control group. It would seem to be very important, however, to appreciate the fact that in any case in which the speaker may have been doing something out of the ordinary, something involving excessive repeti-something out of the ordinary, something involving excessive repeti-

tion, for example, or unusual muscular tension, it had to be classified by someone as "stuttering," or "a problem," or "something wrong," or "something to worry about," if it were not to be for all practical purposes disregarded. The data indicate that such speech performances by the control group speakers were disregarded, or "taken in stride," and not classified as "stuttering" or the equivalent by the listeners concerned. When first regarded as a "stutterer," a given child may have been speaking with statistically significantly more nonfluencies, and more muscular tension, than the average child in the control group, and yet have been doing something that did not distinguish him from other children in the control group, or something that would be exrected of "the ordinary child" under extraordinary circumstances.

The fundamental consideration is that, regardless of how ordinary or extraordinary a speaker's performance may be in a statistical sense, the alternative ways in which it may be evaluated and classified by the listeners, including the speaker himself of course, range from "stuttering," or "something to be concerned about," through "peculiar," or "unusual," to "nothing to worry about," or "understandable under the circumstances," and include the possibility that it could go unnoticed or be essentially disregarded if noticed. It is to be noted particularly that these alternatives were available to the listeners concerned with the speakers in the experimental and control groups whose speech was sampled in this study after the approximately eighteen months during which the speakers in the experimental group had spoken under the "extraordinary circumstances" occasioned by the evaluative reactions of their listeners to their speech. The data presented in this chapter reflect, therefore, not only the nonfluencies in the samples of speech obtained from the speakers, but also the perceptual and evaluative reactions of the listeners who had classified the speakers as "stutterers" and "nonstutterers." respectively.

PART THREE

Summary and Conclusions

### CHAPTER 9

# Summary

This is a report of a series of three investigations, conducted between 1934 and 1957, that were concerned with the onset of the problem of stuttering. A total of 246 children judged by their parents to be stutterers, and their parents-the experimental groups-and 216 children judged by their parents to be nonstutterers, and their parents -the control groups - were studied by means of interview, clinical observation, and test procedures. In Study I each of the experimental and control groups included 46 children and their parents; the ages of the children ranged approximately between two and nine years, and each experimental group child was matched with a control group child in sex, age, and intelligence level. In Study II each group consisted of 50 children and their parents, with the approximate ages of the children extending from two to fourteen years, and with each pair of children matched for sex, age, and socioeconomic level of family. In Study III each group included 150 children and their parents; the children were roughly two to eight years of age, and they were matched, as in Study II, on the basis of sex, age, and socioeconomic level of family.

The interviews comprised 846 items in Study II and 818 in Study III, and each mother and father was interviewed separately. The interview items used in Study III and corresponding items employed in Study II, together with the coding of the responses and a summary of the interview data obtained are presented in the Summary Table in Appendix A. The interview used in Study I was less fully structured than those employed in Studies II and III: it has been described in an earlier publication (R62, pp. 37-71) and in Chapter 1.

Chapter I contains a detailed statement of the problem together with a description of the subjects included in the three studies and of the investigative procedures employed. The findings of Studies I and II, which have been reported in full elsewhere (R62, pp. 57-153), are abstracted in Chapter 2. The findings of Study III, which have been presented in detail in Chapters 8-8, are summarized in the following sections.

# Findings of Study III

## THE CHILDREN

In general, the two groups of children in Study III were more simiin general, the two groups of canada in Scaly 111 were more similar than different. Data concerning birth and early physical developfor than unicense. Just concerning the and early physical development were not significantly different for the two groups, save in few ment were and significantly and seem to have been some and seemingly minor respects. There did seem to have been some and seemingly minor topics. And seem to nave been some tendency, however, for the experimental group mothers to experience tendency, nowever, not the experimental group mothers to experience more concern over the birth of their children, although with the posmore concern over the same canners, atmough with the possible, but ambiguous, exception of length of labor, the control group nothers evidently had more substantial objective reasons for anxiety mothers evidency had above substantial objective reasons for anxiety as indicated by the greater numbers of them who reported shorter or as indicated of the state of pregnancy, induced labor, and various longer than Boltzman transfer associated with delivery.

With respect to height and weight and other basic health data the With respect to negations, although more may have been made groups were essentially similar, although more may have been made groups were experimental, armough more may have been made of the illnesses of the experimental group children by their parents, of the unresses of the especially their mothers. The two groups did not differ significantly especian, with regard to the numbers of illnesses, injuries, and surrical opera-

tions they had had.

ons the months and statistically significant differences between the two groups of children with regard to the mean ages, as reported by the groups of a which they met various specified criteria of development, mothers, including the ages at which they spoke their first words and sentences. They were essentially alike also in other aspects of speech development and speech behavior. There were no significant group differences with respect to handedness.

The experimental group children were, however, rated somewhat less favorably than were the control group children by their respective parents, particularly with reference to social development. That is, there was a tendency for the experimental group parents to make ratings of their children that were somewhat less favorable than relevant objective data appeared to warrant. For example, more experimental than control group children were rated by their mothers as "much slower than average" in acquiring speech, but, as has been indicated, there were no corresponding group differences reflected in the mean ages, reported by the mothers, at which the children's first words and sentences were spoken. Again, fewer experimental than control group mothers rated their children as sleeping very well, but the mean number of hours each group was reported to sleep per night did not differ significantly.

The experimental group children appeared to have been subjected to somewhat more pressure with respect to various aspects of trainingThe mean age at which they started toilet training, for example, and the mean age at which they replaced bottle feeding by cup feeding were significantly earlier. Moreover, more experimental than control group mothers reported the use of coercive or punitive, rather than permissive or rewarding, methods of bowel control training. At the same time there was some evidence that the experimental group children were "fussed over" and worried about somewhat more than were the control group children.

More experimental than control group children had stuttering siblings, parents, or other relatives, Nine mothers (6 per cent) and eight fathers (5.3 per cent) in the control group and thirty-five mothers and thirty-five fathers (23.3 per cent in each case) in the experimental group reported stutterers in the immediate families or among blood relatives. As was stated in Chapter 3, these findings may be explained by either of two hypotheses. According to the one, stuttering, or some as yet undemonstrated physical characteristic responsible for it, is biologically inherited. According to the other, the problem "runs in families," to the degree that it does, because it is chiefly a function of certain attitudes toward childhood speech, and it is these attitudes that are passed on from generation to generation. To the extent that the latter explanation is valid, it implies that in families which have ever been invaded by the relevant attitudes the children's speech behavior is more likely than it would be otherwise to be affected adversely.

In Study II no child in the control group and only one in the experimental group was a win. Five parents in the control group and three in the experimental group were twins; forty-nine parents in the control group and forty in the experimental group reported relatives who were twins.

A significantly greater proportion of the experimental group, 54 per cent, than of the control group, 57 per cent, were either the only or the oldest children in their families, and there is a possibility that for this reason they were subjected to correspondingly more parental concern.

It is to be stressed that the total mass of information concerning the two groups of children presents a complex picture, and any extended interpretation of it is to be attempted with due care. What there is conservatively to be said is that, in general, such relevant group differences as were found reflected chiefly parental and family influences and evaluations. The two groups of children themselves appeared to be essentially similar.

#### THE PARENTS

With evident exceptions to be noted, the two groups of parents appeared to be more alike than different. There were almost no significant differences between the two groups with respect to family health, including incidence of such conditions as diabetes, allergies, and epilepsy, and there were no differences so far as handedness was concerned. In their responses to a large majority of the interview items the two parental groups did not differ to a statistically significant degree. Moreover, data obtained by means of the Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory, reported in Chapter 7, did not serve to differentiate notably the experimental and control group parents.

There was a tendency, however, for the experimental group parents, particularly the mothers, to exhibit in certain of their interview responses somewhat more discontent and less satisfaction with their children, their spouses, their circumstances, and themselves. The blurred quality, together with the apparent importance, of these group differences is peculiarly apparent in the data concerning age, for example. The experimental group parents tended to be a bit older, on the average; they had postponed marriage and put off having children a bit longer than had the control group parents. They had also had significantly fewer children and more only children. The question arises as to whether these group differences might be related to the possible tendency noted in Chapter 3 for the experimental group mothers to experience somewhat more anxiety concerning childbirth, to discontinue breast feeding earlier, and to make more frequent use of coercive or punitive methods of toilet training. In considering this question, however, the impressive degree of similiarity between the two groups is to be kept in mind.

Although the two groups were matched for socioeconomic level, the experimental group mothers rated themselves lower than the control group mothers rated themselves with respect to how well-to-do they were—but the fathers did not differ significantly in their corresponding self-ratings. Again, fewer experimental than control group mothers were completely satisfied with their marital relations, while the two groups of fathers did not differ significantly, but both the mothers and fathers in the experimental group rated their neighbors as less friendly than the control group parents rated their neighbors. There were also certain evidences ol less family sharing of experiences and less leisure-time companionship in the experimental group.

The child development standards of the experimental group parents, especially the mothers, were higher in certain respects than those of the control group parents. As shown in Table 18, they expected children to walk, talk, and achieve toilet training at earlier ages than the control group parents did. It is of special interest that more control than experimental group parents accepted nonfluencies as characteristic of normal speech. More control than experimental group mothers felt their children were well behaved, and regarded their husbands as neither too strict nor too lax with the children. There was, moreover, a possibly important discrepancy between the attitudes and practices of the experimental group parents where punishment was concerned. By means of a rating scale they expressed the feeling that they punished their children more frequently than the control group parents felt they punished their children, and yet in checking a list of eighteen specific activities they indicated that, compared with the control group parents, they did not, in fact, punish their children for as many of these particities.\*

When there was disagreement between parents in responses to items it tended to be the mother in the experimental group and, less decidedly, the father in the control group who expressed the greater dissatisfaction. Moreover, in instances of parental disagreement in the control group the responses that expressed the more favorable evaluations, or the least concern, were made about equally by the mothers and fahers, whereas in the experimental group such responses were made over three times more often by the fathers than by the mothers. The temptation is strong to conclude, therefore, that it is better, or less bad, for a child to have a somewhat unserene father, or even two parents who are companions in discontent, than a disenchanted mother—if he must be allotted one or another of these dismal alternatives.

When these observations are placed in perspective against the background of all the other data presented in this report, there would seem to be two main general findings to be emphasized. The most definite one appears to be that the experimental group parents operated with more demanding expectations regarding the fluency of their children's speech. A second conclusion is that the experimental group parents were somewhat more dissatisfied with their children and with each other, had higher standards of child development in its various aspects, were in general rather more discontented, and seemed more inclined to think, feel, and behave in ways calculated to make for tension in the home. They seemed to be somewhat more perfectionistic and striving than the control group parents.

<sup>\*</sup>It is conceivable that this discrepancy reflects a more or less unconscious, or vaguely acknowledged, enne of guilt on the part of the experimental group parents who, navare of being motivated to punish their children because of feelings of distilifaction with them, traded to atome for these feelings by refraining, to a greater degree than they otherwise would have. from the overt act of punishment.

## CIRCUMSTANCES OF ONSET OF THE PROBLEM OF STUTTERING

The problem of stuttering arose, in the great majority of cases, under conditions that the parents were able to remember only vaguely or not at all, even though the interval between inception of the problem and the interview was in all cases not more than approximately three years and was only about eighteen months in the average case. Only about 15 per cent of the experimental group parents claimed to be able to recall "the very first time" the child stuttered, and not all of these were able to describe "the situation in which the child stuttered the very first time." The few informants whose memories seemed relatively clear indicated that the situations in which the children were first thought to be stuttering were commonplace, as did those also, about two thirds of the parents, who claimed to be able to describe situations in which, they said, their children stuttered "during the period when the stuttering was the same as it had been the very first time it was noticed by anyone." In fact, the conditions reportedly associated with the "first" stutterings of the experimental group children were in the main the same as those associated with the "first" nonfluencies which the control group parents said they observed in their children's speech. In very few cases was the onset of the problem of stuttering reported to have occurred in temporal association with illness or injury, shock or fright, as a consequence of "imitation," or under any other unusual, or memorable, or dramatic circumstance.

## AGE OF THE CHILD AT ONSET OF THE PROBLEM OF STUTTERING

The problem of stuttering arose as a rule when the child concerned was in the fourth per of life, probably nearer the third than the fourth birthday in most cases. Those control group parents who said they had observed nonfluencies in their children's speech reported that they first noticed them, in the median case, when the child was thirty-six months, or three years, of age. Evidently both groups of parents were reporting their observations of or reactions to their children's speech during an age period that was roughly the same for both groups of children. This period may be broadly designated for nearly all cases as extending from the second to the fifth birthday. For the large majority of the experimental group cases it extended from the second to the fourth birthday, encompassing the third and fourth years of life, the modal year being the fourth.

#### THE FIRST OR EARLY STUTTERINGS

Two major facts are indicated by comparison of the responses of the two groups of parents to questions concerning their children's

speech nonfluency. The more important is that in general the same kinds of nonfluency were reported for both groups; the extent of overlapping is indicated in Tables 38 and 39. As the overlapping shows. types of speech behavior referred to by the same presumably descriptive terms were classified as "stuttering" by some listeners but not by other listeners. The other, and related, fact is that the great majority of the experimental group children were repeating sounds or syllables, words, or phrases when they were first looked upon as stutterers. According to the fathers 85 per cent, and according to the mothers 90 per cent, of the experimental group children were performing such repetitions when first thought to be stuttering, Moreover, approximately three fourths of the parents did not indicate that the children were doing anything else that they regarded as stuttering, with the exception of a few who were also saying "uh uh" or "well uh" or the equivalent. The overlap between the groups with respect to this repetitive type of nonfluency is indicated by the fact that of the sixtynine control group fathers who gave unequivocal responses, 79 per cent, and of the eighty mothers who answered definitely. 61 per cent said their children's "first" nonfluencies consisted of repetitions of sounds or syllables, words, or phrases. Roughly two fifths of the control group parents did not report that their children were doing anvthing except repeating, plus interjecting extraneous sounds such as "uh uh" or "well uh" which was indicated also by twenty-one fathers and eleven mothers.

There were interesting group differences with reference to the various kinds of repetition. Sound or syllable repetitions were reported for significantly more experimental group children, phrase repetitions were reported for significantly more control group children, and there was not a significant group difference for word repetitions.

Prolongations of sounds were reported for significantly more experimental group children; for only eight children, however, did both parents agree in reporting them. Significantly more control than experimental group children were said by their parents to have exhibited silent intervals, or pauses, and interjections such as "well," "and uh," and the like.

Only four fathers and five mothers in the experimental group reported anything that could be classified as "complete blocks" and in no case, moreover, did both parents agree in making such a report. According to the parents' descriptions, there was not much effortfulness or tension or emotional distress in the speech behavior originally classified as stuttering. The experimental group parents made responses that were similar to those made by the parents in the control

group to a series of six items designed to yield information concerning tension and reactions of the child indicative of "awareness of something wrong," and feelings of "bewilderment," "unpleasantness," "irritation," or "indifference" associated with the "first stuttering" - or "first nonfluencies." In general, about the same degrees of tension and evident emotionality seemed to be associated with nonfluencies that certain experimental group parents regarded as "stuttering" as were associated with other nonfluencies that certain control group parents disregarded or accepted as "all right" or perhaps as "to be expected under the circumstances."

In evaluating these data, it is to be taken into account that if there was error in the reports obtained from the experimental group parents, it is probable that it was due in most cases to a confusion in memory of relatively recent observations of the child's speech with observations presumably made of the "first" speech reactions regarded as stutterings. As has been suggested in Chapter 5, it is conservative to assume that all or nearly all informants gave accounts covering an extended period of weeks or months, during which they had felt or suspected from time to time, or perhaps continuously but with varying degrees of conviction, that their children were beginning to stutter. Once the parents had decided the child was beginning to stutter (217), about five months passed, in the average case, before they arrived at the judgment that the child had a "speech problem" (236). The problem "came on gradually" —and the evident meanings and implications of this would seem to be of considerable theoretical significance.

'In general, the beginning of the problem was reported as a perceptual and judgmental reaction to the child's speech, nearly always by one or both of the parents, usually the mother. So far as the mothers and fathers included in Study III were representative, the findings suggest that roughly half of the parents in the general population are so oriented perceptually that they do not hear the repetitions and other nonfluencies in the speech of their children; nearly all of the remaining half report that they hear them but take for granted that they are normal or acceptable and do not constitute a problem; while the remainder, approximately 1 per cent or fewer, are so oriented perceptually and evaluationally that they hear the repetitions and other nonfluencies and classify them as abnormal, or as stuttering, and as undesirable.\*

It is to be considered that in Study III no children were included in the control group who had ever been regarded by their parents as stutterers, and so the control group parents are not representative of all mothers and fathers in the general population who have ever thought of their children as stutterers, particularly those who have held this conviction, or suspicion, momentarily or briefly, vaguely, or with insufficient

With respect to perceptual and evaluational set in this particular sense, then, there appear to be very considerable differences among parents, and further investigation of what determines their preceptual and judgmental orientations to the speech of their children is in order.

#### THE LATER STUTTERINGS

Once the problem of stuttering had arisen it was reported to have varied considerably. Roughly a third of the experimental group parents stated at the time of the initial interview that the problem had become worse since its onset, about a third said that it had become less marked, voughly a fourth that it had stayed about the same, and the rest indicated it had got worse and then better, or the reverse. While a majority said there had been a time when they felt "the stuttering became more severe," a majority also said there had been "a time when the speech improved greatly"—indeed, about one third of the mothers and one fourth of the fathers stated that there had been a time when they felt for a while that "the stuttering had completely disappeared." In the control group the great majority of the parents said "the problem" had become better, stayed the same, or disappeared.

In general, after the experimental group parents began to feel that their children were stuttering, they tended to become, within limits, increasingly concerned about their children's speech and procecupied with their feelings about it. Moreover, in most cases they reacted in more or less overt ways. Mainly they urged the child to "slow down," "relax," and "take it easy," but the variety of ways in which they expressed, both verbally and nonverbally, their negative evaluations of the child's nonfluencies was considerable. It would appear that these reactions, verbal and nonverbal, colored the relations between the parents and their children, and while in most cases the effects seemed to be subtle, and some children were not affected in any clearly discernible ways, it appeared that in most cases the first slow unrings of a vicious circle had taken place by the time of the initial interview.

As reported in Chapter 8, the speech of the experimental group children, while presumably essentially similar to that of the control group children at the time of onset of the problem, was significantly

concern to motivate them to seek clinical service. Data reported by Glazner and Rosenthal (30), information communicated to the writer by Deats Williams concerning research in progress with Shich he is associated, and data obtained from the control groups in Study I and Study II, as indicated in Chapter 2, suggest that considerably more than I per cent of children are considered by their parents for varying periods of time, in varied senses, and with differing degrees of conviction and of concern, to be tutterers.

more nonfluent than was that of those in the control group at the time of the interview, according to counts of instances of eight different types of nonfluency in tane-recorded samples of the speech of eighty-nine matched pairs of children. The difference was greatest with respect to repetitions, particularly sound or syllable repetitions. Certain types of nonfluency, however, such as revisions, broken words, and incomplete phrases, did not serve to differentiate the two groups. Moreover, considerable proportions of those who had come to be regarded as stutterers snoke with fewer nonfluencies of various types and of all types combined than corresponding proportions of those who were taken to be normal speakers. The two groups overlapped considerably also in the specific measure of the extent of repetition -that is, the number of units of repetition per instance of it ("th-ththe" would be an example of one instance of sound or syllable repetition made up of two units of repetition). These data serve to raise a formidable question concerning the possibility of an operationally meaningful distinction in terms of nonfluency, as such, between stuttering, including so-called primary stuttering, and what would appear to be the kinds and degrees of nonfluency generally characteristic of the speech of childhood, and to some degree of adult speech.

As was suggested in Chapter 8, the group differences and similarities revealed by this analysis of the recorded speech samples may be evaluated as the findings of an "undeliberated experiment." in which the two groups of children, whose speech behavior was presumably similar, were subjected during the fourth year of life, on the average, to two different conditions. The parents of the control group children and other listeners continued to react to the fluency of their speech with essential acceptance and approval, while the experimental group children were subjected to the experience of having their speech evaluated as "too hesistant and nonfluent" and as "stuttering" and disapproved more or less accordingly by their parents and other significant insteners. After an average period of eighteen months the speech of each child in the two groups was sampled and analyzed, with the results as indicated.

There were many signs of a tendency on the part of the experimental group parents to place comparatively high value on fluency in the speech of their children and to evaluate nonfluency accordingly. This would seem to be suggested, for example, in the ratings made by them of the severity of their children's alleged stuttering at the time of interview, after an average interval of about eighteen months following the beginnings of their concern about their children's speech. On a seven-point scale of severity, with 1 representing no stuttering.

4 stuttering of average severity, and 7 very severe stuttering, the mean ratings of the mothers and fathers were 8.6 and 3.5, respectively, and each of these means was significantly different at the 1 per cent level from the mean severity rating of 2.5 made by the interviewers.

The experimental group parents appeared to take for granted that the cause of the problem lay solely within the child and that it consisted of a fault in either the body or the personality of the child. In deed, only 4 per cent of them expressed the belief that stuttering might be a problem shared by speaker and listener, and that the problem might arise as a function of the interaction between the child and his parents. At the same time, most of those who felt that their children's speech had improved appreciably since the onset of the problem were of the opinion that the improvement had been due to the bringing about of a calmer home atmosphere, increasing disregard of the nonfluencies, and a tendency for the parents to become more casycoing, attentive to the child and natient with him.

#### Findings of the Follow-up Study

This opinion would appear to be borne out in some measure by the findings of a follow-up study carried out nearly two and a half years, on the average, after the interview. Follow-up data were obtainable from the mothers of 118 of the experimental group children, and the interviewers were able to observe and rate the speech of 50 of the children, who were representative of the experimental group as a whole in respect to rated severity of the problem at the time of the initial interview. According to the mothers, about one third of the 118 children surveyed no longer had a stuttering problem at the time of the follow-up study; 88 per cent were reported either to have no problem or to be somewhat or much better than at the time of the initial interview. Of the 75 who still had a problem according to their mothers, 81 per cent were said to be better.

Improvement was also indicated in the mean severity rating of 2.10 made by the mothers at the time of the follow-up as compared with a mean rating of 3.83 for the same children made at the time of the initial interview. The children rated by the interviewers at follow-up were given a mean severity rating of 1.00, as compared with a mean interviewer rating of 2.57 for the same children at the time of the initial interview. In general, the amount of improvement for the group as a whole was evidently much greater after the initial interview than it had been before that time.

Moreover, the 118 children in the follow-up sample were divided into two groups, the 43 who no longer were said by their mothers to

have a stuttering problem and the 75 who allegedly still had such a problem, and certain data for these two groups were compared. The children in the No-Problem group were younger on the average at the time of the first interview, the mean interval between onset of the problem and initial interview was shorter for this group, and relatively fewer of the families in the No-Problem group were in the upper lower class (see footnote, Table 60). Severity ratings made by the mothers at the time of the initial interview and at the follow-up vielded means, respectively, of 3.57 and 2.78 for the Problem group and 3.48 and 1.19 for the No-Problem group children. Corresponding means of the interviewer ratings were 2.60 and 1.15 for the Problem group and 2.51 and 1.0 (no stuttering) for the No-Problem group. (Indeed, the interviewers considered only about 7 per cent of the children at the time of the follow-up to be stutterers and an additional 15 per cent to be "significantly nonfluent" but not to be "showing the tension and auxiety reactions characteristic of stuttering.") All the compared mean differences between severity ratings made by the mothers and the interviewers, respectively, at the time of the initial interview and at the follow-up were statistically significant at the I per cent level (see Table 59) .

It is also to be reported that among the 118 children in the followup sample there were 44 who were drawn from a geographical area in which the original investigative interviewing was done under conditions that did not permit counseling of the experimental group parents, whereas such counseling was provided for the parents of the other 74 children in the survey sample. At the time of the follow-up 23 per cent of the non-counseled cases and 45 per cent of the counseled cases reported no problem: the difference between these percentages was significant at the 5 per cent level. The counseling given at the time of the initial interview was brief, confined to the little time available during a single day devoted to interviewing and testing. The interview itself may, of course, have had some degree of therapeutic effect. In any case, such counseling as was done was designed to focus attention on relevant factual information, and to facilitate the parents' evaluation of their relevant policies and practices in light of the evident facts concerning their own child. The parents were assisted in becoming sware of their own roles in the development of the problem so far as these could be ascertained or appreciated. They were also given information having to do generally with child behavior and development. No speech therapy, as such, or any other type of therapy was administered by the investigators to the children themselves.

These positive findings of the follow-up study would appear to im-

#### Summary

ply that whenever parents feel that a stuttering problem is beginning to develop, the sooner their concern is dealt with, and the earlier in the child's life this is done, the better. Even brief counseling of the sort indicated is apparently considerably effective.\*

"These findings are in essential agreement with those obtained in Study I, a followup investigation was not included in Study II. In Study I, after a median period of two
years and four months, following the initial examination, twenty-five, or 34 per cent, of
the forty-six following the commental group were evaluated by all judges as "normal." As most best not all judges or
mal." An additional cipit were regarded as "normal" by some but not all judges or
were evaluated by all judges as "nearly normal." Thus, 72 per cent of the children were
included in three two categories, and in 39 cases, or 35 per cent of the total group, some
degree of improvement or elimination of the problem was reported. "In general, this
result was associated with a type of counseling of the parents designed to get them to
rescribe their children as normal, to give major attention not to the duffel's speech pertitions and hesitancies, as such, but to the conditions affecting the youngster's speech,
and to adjust downward their standards of speech and behavior generally, in order to
reduce tensions, both for the child and for themselves, and to make it easier for the
child to gain executial feelings of success and approval" (1662; pp. 63-20).

## CHAPTER 10

## Conclusions

The findings of the research reported in Chapters 2-8 and summarized in Chapter 9 are to be considered in relation to the investigative procedures described in Chapter 1. So far as these findings are reliable and representative of data definitive of the problem under investigation, they appear to warrant or suggest the following interpretive comments.

#### A General Interaction Hypothesis

The point of origin of the problem of stuttering in a given instance is to be observed, or reported, as a perceptual and judgmental reaction of a listener to something done by a speaker. From its beginning, therefore, the problem involves an interaction of at least two persons, a speaker and a listener. (There may be more than one listener, of course.) At the moment of onset of the problem the speaker is typically a child between two and four years of age, most probably a little over three years old, and the listener is nearly always one of the child's parents, usually the mother. The essential interaction between these two persons is one in which the listener evaluates something done by the speaker as "stuttering," or the equivalent. Then, having decided that the speaker is "stuttering," the listener classifies the speaker as a "stutterer." Moreover, there appears to be a mutually reinforcing interaction between the listener's judgment that the speaker "is a stutterer" and the listener's readiness not only to perceive certain presumably relevant features of the speaker's performance but also to evaluate them as "stuttering." This is to say, in terms of parent and child, the more the mother thinks of her child as a "stutterer" the more attention she gives to what she regards as the child's "stuttering," and also the more readily she regards it as "stuttering"; and the more attention she gives to what she takes to be the child's "stuttering," the more she thinks of her child as a "stutterer." and

There is an interaction also between the primary listener, the one who first decides that the child "is stuttering" and that he "is a stutterer," and the child's other listeners. The conviction of the mother, for example, that the child "is a stutterer" is generally accepted by the father, with the consequence that he too then attends to the supposedly relevant aspects of the child's speech and evaluates them as "stuttering." The mother, taking this as confirmation of her original judgment, strengthens her conviction that the child "is a stutterer." The greater the number of other listeners who agree with her, and so with each other, the stronger the reinforcement of the conviction of the mother, and of all the others as well, that the child "is a stutterer," and so the greater the inclination or perceptual readiness of all concerned to notice whatever the child does in speaking that they have come to think of as "stuttering."

Not only is there an interaction between the perceptual and evaluative processes of each listener, and among those of the various listeners, but there is also an interaction between the speaker and each listener or each group or set of listeners. Again, in terms of parent and child, the child is responsive to the mother's reactions to his speech, and the mother, in turn, reacts to the ways in which the child responds to her reactions. The mother's posture, facial expression, tone of voice, or words and pointedly expressive actions may be interpreted by the child as indicating some degree of disapproval of some unidentified or more or less clearly designated aspect of his speech. If so, the child's reaction may range from rejection of the mother's reaction, through indifference to it, to acceptance of it as valid and with some degree of concern over it. If the child feels concern or insecurity in response to the mother's reaction to his speech, he may respond by speaking less, or more hesitantly and nonfluently, or with decreased apontaneity and expressiveness, or with increased muscular tension. The increased tension or effort is presumably motivated by a desire to "do better" or to "be careful not to make mistakes in sneaking" or to "keep from stuttering." To the increasing hesitancy, nonfluency, and tension in the child's speech the mother reacts, in turn. with increasing dissatisfaction with the way the youngster is speaking. a growing doubt of the child's basic ability to speak "normally," and gradually deepening anxiety and general distress accordingly. The child, in consequence, speaks still more hesitantly, with greater tension, and so on. This interaction affects, in ways that range from subtle to gross, the general relation between mother and child, and the resulting changes in this general relation further affect the specific interaction that centers around the child's speech and the mother's reactions to it, per se. There is also to be considered, of course, everything in the way of neurophysiological and psychological background

that is brought to this particular interaction by the mother, by the child, and by both together as a function of their comprehensive past and continuing relations with one another and with other persons.

There is, finally, an interaction between the perceptual and evaluative reactions of the speaker to his own speech, on the one hand, and, on the other, what he does in a motor and neuronuscular sense. The basic feelings of doubt and concern about his ability to speak acceptably, which the speaking child adopts from his mother and father and other important listeners, are expressed in some degree of increase in hesitancy, nonfluency, and muscular tension in speaking, as noted above. These consequences of doubt and concern serve, then, to reinforce not only the disturbed, and disturbing, perceptual and evaluative reaction tendencies of the child's listeners, but those of the child as well. Moreover, the degree to which his own speaking may be regarded meaningfully as being in part a function of the degree to which his nernets and other significant listeners notice and respond to them

It is to be appreciated that these particular patterns of interaction are to be abstracted in any given case from a complex, self-reflexive, and ever-changing field of events which may never be completely described. Each of the indicated patterns is to be understood in relation to the others; they are to be thought of as interacting among themselves, and, all together, with various situational and social or cultural contexts.

#### Three Major Variables

By giving attention to three particular variables, the problem may be clarified considerably in any specific instance. The first of these variables is the listener's sensitivity to the speaker's nonfluency—that is, the strength of his set or readiness to perceive it and of his tendency to evaluate it unfavorably, and so to be concerned about it and to classify it as "stuttering" or in some essentially similar disturbing manner. The second is the speaker's degree of nonfluency as objectively determined. The third is the speaker's sensitivity to his own nonfluency, and to the listener's evaluative reactions to his nonfluency. Each of these variables is affected not only by the other two but also by certain other factors.

In general, it may be hypothesized that if the listener's sensitivity to the speaker's nonfluency is sufficiently intene, the problem of stuttering can arise for the listener, even though the speaker is insersitive to the listener's reactions to his speech and is, moveover, speaking within the range of fluency, even the more fluent segments of that

range, for the relevant population of speakers. Conceivably, the problem of stuttering can develop for the apeaker, on the other hand, provided he is sufficiently sensitive to his own nonfluency, in the absence of any corresponding sensitivity on the part of the listener, or provided the speaker is sufficiently responsive to the listener's reactions to his nonfluency, even though those reactions are slight or unremarkable. In such a case, moreover, the amount of nonfluency displayed by the speaker can presumably vary from very little to very much.

The relation of the speaker's degree of nonfluency to the other two variables, and so to the probability that the problem of stuttering will arise in a given case, appears to be complex. Certain children who are relatively very nonfluent are not regarded as stutterers. For example, the speech of many cerebral palsied children is markedly labored, hesitant, and tense, but evidently is evaluated by the listeners concerned as "normal" or "to be expected in view of the child's condition." Most children whose speech is temporarily disrupted by clearly justified fright or embarrassment, or by disorienting physical injury, or by bewilderment due to reasons that are readily appreciated by the listeners, are not assumed to be stuttering, even though the nonfluency of their speech may be extreme. It is of particular interest in this connection that the findings of this research show that children are not thought to be stuttering until they are a little past three years old. on the average, and are rarely regarded as stutterers before the age of about two and a half years. Meanwhile, they are generally more nonfluent at earlier area than they are when, at three or four years of age, or even later, most of them are first classified by their parents or other listeners as stutterers. Indeed, even those control group parents who said that they had noticed nonfluencies in the speech of their children indicated that they had not observed them until the child was about three years old in the average case. All this would seem to imply that if the listener regards the child as "too young to know how to talk" or "too young to talk well," he is not likely to give attention to the child's nonfluencies, and it is improbable, moreover, that if he does notice them he will think of them as "stuttering."

Not only the age but also the sex of the child seems to influence the listener's perceptual and evaluative disposition. The data presented in Chapter 8 show that boys and girls were essentially similar in both the experimental and control groups with respect to nonfluency, as such. Earlier studies of nonfluency in children (R18) have also revealed relatively small, if any, sex differences in various measures of speech fluency. The basic observation to be made is that there is an impressive discrepancy between the magnitude of the difference in the

relative proportions of boys and girls who come to be classified as stutterers, on the one hand, and, on the other, the comparatively slight differences between boys and girls in speech nonfluency. The ratio of males to females classified as stutterers varies around a general mean of approximately four to one, according to Schuell (97, 98), the ratio tending to be larger at older than at younger age levels. In Study II of the present research program the ratio was nearly four to one; in Study III, involving younger subjects, the ratio was about two and a half to one. Schuell's findings suggest that in our culture parental attitudes and practices tend to be different for male and female children, especially at early age levels, in ways that probably are reflected in parental evaluations and reactions to the speech of the children. One laboratory study somewhat relevant to this general issue has been reported by Bloodstein and Smith (12). They used recorded speech judged to be relatively ambiguous as to the sex of the child from whom the speech sample was obtained in each case. Two groups of listeners - one group told the speakers were boys and the other told they were girls - classified slightly but not significantly more "boys" than "girls" as stutterers. Male listeners judged more of the speakers to be stutterers than did female listeners. In this study the perception of speech was investigated in isolation from the family and social contexts by which it would ordinarily be affected, and the data are to be evaluated accordingly. Further research is to be done on the sex of the child as a factor affecting the perceptual and evaluational orientation of the parent to the child, particularly to the fluency of the child's speech.

It is to be considered, moreover, that there was, as reported in Chapter 8, considerable overlap of the distributions of measures of nonfluency used in analyzing the tane-recorded samples of speech of the experimental and control group children. That is to say, differences in amount of nonfluency, as such, did not necessarily serve to differentiate a child who had been classified as a stutterer from one who was regarded as a nonstutterer. The interview data presented in Chapters 5 and 6 also showed that on the basis of nonfluency alone the children regarded by their parents as stutterers could not, at the time of onset of the problem, be well differentiated from those who were regarded by their parents as nonstutterers. This is not to say that the experimental group children were remarkably fluent, or were "doing nothing," when first classified as "stutterers," or that the children in the control group were very nonfluent, or were in a "stuttering phase" through which all children presumably must pass. It is to say that the overlap or similarity between the two groups so far as nonfluency is concerned was considerable. It is to say also that, although relatively simple repetitions were most frequently reported for both groups, other types of nonfluency, including those associated with varying degrees of tension and evident emotionality, were also reported for both groups.

So far as the third variable is concerned, that of the speaker's sensitivity to his own nonfluency, and to the listener's reactions to his nonfluency, it is to be reported, first of all, that while it is conceivable that the problem of stuttering could arise for the speaker before it does for the listener by virtue of the speaker's perceptual and evaluative reactions to his own nonfluency, no instances of this were found, so far as is known, in the research here reported. As to whether the experimental group children were appreciably more sensitive than those in the control group to listeners' reactions to their nonfluencies, the data obtained do not provide any clear indication. Some of the control group parents apparently did not observe and so did not react at all to their children's nonfluencies; the rest of the control group parents did perceive the nonfluencies in their children's speech but apparently made no important issue of them. It is not possible to make a clear estimate of how the control group children would have responded had their parents evaluated and reacted to their speech nonfluencies as did the experimental group parents to the nonfluencies in their children's speech. As was indicated in Chapter 4, however, significant differences between experimental and control group responses to relevant interview items appeared to reflect differences, not between the two groups of children, but between the respective attitudes and practices of the two groups of parents. On the basis of this general finding, it cannot be definitely assumed that the experimental group children were more sensitive than were those in the control group to their own nonfluencies or to comparable listener reactions to their nonfluencies.

The most defensible tentative conclusion would appear to be that at the point of origin of the problem of stuttering the most crucial single factor to be considered is that of the listener's sensitivity to the speaker's nonfluencies, his inclination to evaluate them as undesirable and distressing, and particularly his tendency to classify them specifically as "stuttering." It seems reasonable to assume that, for any given listener, the more nonfluent the speaker, as this may be objectively determined, the more likely the listener is to perceive the nonfluencies and, it may be, to evaluate them negatively and regard them as "stuttering." At the same time, it is to be appreciated that degrees and kinds of nonfluency that are so evaluated by one listener.

are not by another listener. Again, it may seem reasonable to suppose that the more sensitive a given speaker is to his own nonfluencies or to the reactions of other listeners to them, the more likely he is to develop the problem of stuttering for himself, but it is also to be duly considered that amounts of nonfluency and listener reactions to them that are disturbing to one speaker are not to another. The speaker reacts to his own speech as a listener, and it is his sensitivity as a listener to his own nonfluencies, as well as his degree of speech nonfluency, as such, that determines whether he makes an issue of his nonfluencies and creates a problem around them. Moreover, it may well be hypothesized that his perceptual and evaluative reactions to his own nonfluency are patterned to a significant degree after those of his other listeners, especially the ones who are his dominant authority figures.

#### Factors Related to the Major Variables

The problem of stuttering may be formulated more fully by further discussion of the factors related to the major variables involved in the basic interactions.

# FACTORS RELATED TO THE LISTENER'S SENSITIVITY TO THE SPEAKER'S NONFLUENCY

The degree of the listener's sensitivity to the nonfluency of the speaker appears to be some function of the strength of each of several factors and of their pattern of interrelationship. Among these several factors are the following.

Amount of the Speaker's Nonfluency. As has been suggested, it would seem reasonable to hypothesize that the more nonfluent a speaker is, according to objective determination, the more probable it is that a given listener will perceive his nonfluencies. It is less certain, and yet it would seem to be more or less likely, that the greater the speaker's nonfluency the more inclined a given listener would be to evaluate a specific instance of his nonfluency as "stuttering," and to classify the speaker as a "stutterer." The stimulus value for any particular listener of the sheer amount or frequency of nonfluency is not to be gauged, however, without taking into account other factors such as those to be discussed presently. Moreover, it is to be repeated and duly stressed that there are great individual differences among listeners; amounts of nonfluency to which one listener is very sensitive are not even perceived by other listeners; and what one classifies as "stuttering" (or as "primary stuttering") another evaluates as "normal" or "ordinary." The question of the speaker's nonfluency in

relation to the origin of the problem of stuttering is still essentially open and additional rigorous investigation is needed.

Types of Nonfluency, Bochmler (10) found that sound or syllable repetitions were classified by laboratory observers as stuttering more often than were other kinds of nonfluency; revisions and interjections were judged to be stuttering less often than were other nonfluencies. Williams and Kent (128) reported that their laboratory observers, in judging nonfluencies to be stuttered or nonstuttered, classified syllable repetitions and prolongations primarily as stuttered, and revisions primarily as nonstuttered. In a second study, concerned with the reactions of kindergarten and second-grade children to two different types of nonfluency employed systematically by teachers in telling stories, Giolas and Williams (35) found that syllable repetitions appeared to be less acceptable to the children than were interjections of vowel sounds such as "ân."

In a correlational study of ratings of severity of stuttering and computed frequencies of five different types of nonfluency, in fifty taperecorded 200-word samples of the speech of adult male stutterers, Young (134) found that frequency of syllable repetitions and of sound prolongations correlated more highly than did other nonfluency measures with ratings of severity of stuttering. Frequencies of interjections, word and phrase repetitions (considered in combination as word-phrase repetitions), and revisions were not significantly related to ratings of stuttering severity. Young also found a relatively high correlation between rated severity of stuttering and time required to sneak the 200 words.

Bochmler (16) also reported that the degree of agreement among judges in classifying nonfluencies, drawn from the speech samples of both stutterers and nonstutterers, as stuttering was greater for non-fluencies rated severe than for those rated as mild or moderate.

In the present research the experimental group parents were asked to describe the nonfluencies in the child's speech which they first regarded as "stuttering," and the parents in the control group were asked whether their children had shown these same types of nonfluency. As has been stated in Chapter 6, syllable repetitions were reported for significantly more experimental group children, phrase repetitions were reported or significantly more control group children, and there was no significant group difference with respect to word repetition. Prolongations of sounds were also reported for significantly more experimental group children, but for only 8 of the 160 children did both parents agree in reporting this type of nonfluency. The most important finding, however, was that there was impressive simi-

larity between the two groups of children in the information provided by their parents concerning the nonfluencies in their speech; the data summarized in Tables 38 and 39 in Chapter 6 are particularly relevant.

While the probability that any given type of nonfluency will be perceived would seem to depend more or less on its relative frequency of occurrence and its conspicuousness (duration, amount of muscular tension or effort involved, and elaborateness of associated movements or mannerisms, if any), available data seem to indicate that syllable repetitions and sound prolongations are more likely than are other varieties of nonfluency to be noticed and to be evaluated as "stuttering" by a given listener. Again, however, individual differences among listeners are to be duly considered.

The Listener's Perceptual Sct. The influence of the perceptual set of the listener has been investigated in two ways. Tuthill (112), Boehmler (16), and Bloodstein, Jaeger, and Tureen (18) employed groups of listeners who differed in professional training and in familiarity or personal experience with the stuttering problem. Williams and Kent (128) approached the matter by giving laboratory judges varied sets of instructions to be followed in evaluating nonfluencies in speech samples.

Tuthill (112) asked different groups of judges-laymen, speech correctionists, and stutterers—to listen to phonograph and sound film recordings of the speech of clinically classified stutterers and speakers generally regarded as nonstutterers. The judges were instructed to mark, on mimeographed copies of the material, the words they judged as stuttered. The stutterers marked the most words as stuttered, the speech correctionists the next highest number, and the laymen, who were college freshmen without training in speech pathology, marked the smallest number. The average stutterer evaluated approximately 40 per cent more words as stuttered than the average layman. It is of incidental interest that the three groups of listeners showed about the same degree of disagreement in marking specific words as stuttered or not stuttered, and, regardless of whether they both saw and heard or only heard the speakers recorded on sound film, the disagreement was impressive. As computed, the obtained agreement among members of a group of listeners amounted on the average to only about 37 per cent of maximum or perfect agreement.

Bothmler (10) used two groups of speech correctionists who differed in the fact that they had been professionally trained at two different institutions, and one group of lay judges consisting of college students with no training in speech pathology. He asked his judges to classify as an example of either "stuttering" or "nonstuttering" each of 801 nonfluencies, 402 selected from tape-recorded samples of the speech of clinically diagnosed stutterers and 402 of comparable severity, as rated, from samples of the speech of persons generally acknowledged to be normal speakers. Bochmier found statistically significant group differences in the number of nonfluencies classified as stuttering. One of the groups of speech correctionists considered significantly more of the nonfluencies to be stuttering than did the other, and both of these groups classified significantly more of the nonfluencies to stuttering than did the law judges.

Bioodstein, Jaeger, and Turcen (13) recorded twelve two-minute samples of the speech of young children. Some of the children had been brought to a speech clinic by their parents hecause they regarded them as stutterers, and the others were regarded by their parents as normal speakers. The recorded speech samples were presented to listeners who were parents (not of the children from whom the samples were obtained). Some of the parents had come to regard their own children as stutterers and the others considered their children to be nonstutterers. They were asked to classify the recorded speech samples as stuttered or nonstuttered. Parents who had previously come to consider their own children as stutterers judged more of the speech samples as stuttered than did the parents who thought of their children as pormal pseakers.

Williams and Kent (128) used two groups of listeners consisting of college undergraduates untrained in speech pathology. These listeners were told that they would hear a recorded speech given by a person who stuttered. Actually what they heard was a carefully contrived sample of speech containing fifty-two speech interruptions distributed by design among six different kinds of nonfluency. Williams and Kent played their contrived speech recording three times for each group of listeners. Group I was told, first, to mark on mimeographed copies each stuttered interruption in the speech. On new copies they were then asked to mark all interruptions. Finally, again on new mimeographed copies, they were told to mark the normal interruptions. The subjects in Group II were instructed first to mark normal interruptions, second to mark all interruptions, and third to mark stuttered interruption interruptions.

The authors stated, "The group of subjects instructed to mark stated interruptions first marked more interruptions as stuttered than they subsequently marked as normal. Conversely, the group instructed to mark normal interruptions first marked more as normal than they subsequently marked as stuttered."

Of particular interest was the inconsistency or confusion of the

listeners. As the investigators explained, "Under instruction to mark stuttered interruptions, a subject might mark a particular interruption as 'stuttered', and later under instruction to mark normal interruptions, might judge the same interruption to be 'normal.' "The investigators found that under instructions to mark stuttered interruptions, subjects in both groups tended to have the fewest inconsistent responses on syllable repetitions and prolongations and the most on revisions. When instructed to mark normal interruptions, they made the fewest inconsistent responses on revisions and the most on syllable repetitions and prolongations. The indicated confusion was observed, however, in degrees varying from pronounced to relatively slight for all six types of nonfluency.

The authors, referring to the fact that syllable repetition is the type of nonfluency most likely to be thought of by listeners as "stuttering," raise the fundamental question of whether a child repeats syllables because he is evaluated as a "stutterer" or is considered to be a "stutterer" because he repeats syllables. They offer this answer: "On the basis of this study, it is suggested that the cause and effect relationship may work to a degree in both directions. Apparently, he could become known as a 'stutterer' because he repeats syllables. Once, however, he is identified as a 'stutterer,' then his word and phrase repetitions, interjections and even revisions, to a certain extent, also come to be considered as 'stuttering,' seemingly for no other reason than because he is now shought of as a 'stutterer.'"

In a footnote, Williams and Kent then make this observation: "One of the objectives in counseling the parents of a child who is considered to be 'stuttering' is to help them re-evaluate some of the child's speech interruptions. It has proved profitable to the present authors, at least, first to acquaint the parents with the concept of normal speech and then to ask them to pay particular attention to and to keep track of the normal interruptions in their child's speaking behavior. Often, the parents, when faced with the task of listening for and noting normal interruptions, not only become more interested in what constitutes a normal interruption, but the special classifying more of the interruptions as 'normal.' As a consequence, the number of interruptions reacted to as 'stuttering' is reduced."

In this connection it is to be recalled that in Study III during the period between the time when the parents had begun to think of the child as a "stutterer" and the time of the interview, there was a general tendency for the parents to feel that the child was doing more "stuttering," and there appeared to be some evidence that the child was responding to the resulting interaction with his parents by sneak.

ing with more hesitation and tension. Then at the time of the interview some counseling was provided in most cases. One of the objectives of this counseling was to influence the parents to evaluate the child's nonfluencies more favorably or acceptably, to pay more attention to the circumstances under which the child was speaking more and less nonfluently, and to acknowledge valid justification for regarding the nonfluencies as normal under the circumstances. Although the amount of such counseling was very limited, the data obtained in the follow-up study indicated that to a marked degree the trend had been reversed and that in nearly 90 per cent of the cases the problem was no longer present or had decreased rather than increased in severity since the time of the interview. In general, the other findings also reported in Chapters 5 and 6 suggest that whether or not particular nonfluencies are evaluated by a given listener as "stuttering" depends, in part, on whether the listener's perceptual set has been conditioned by the judgment that the child "is a stutterer."

Much significant further study is to be done on the variable of the listener's perceptual set and the factors functionally related to it.

The Listener's General Level of Aspiration, Sense of Frustration. and Frustration Tolerance. The data presented in Chapters 3 and 4 suggest that by using an appropriately gray language one may indicate that there was a somewhat greater tendency for the experimental than for the control group parents to approach perfectionism in the standards by which they evaluated their children, themselves, each other, and their circumstances. There seemed to be a corresponding degree of difference between the two groups in their sense of frustration in attempting to achieve and maintain their standards, with consequent differences in expression of dissatisfaction and discontent. It is a matter of inference, with an undetermined measure of justification. that the parents' general perfectionism was positively related to their degree of perfectionism in reacting specifically to their children's sneech nonfluencies. It is to be conjectured also that their general sense of frustration and their tolerance of frustration were reflected more or less in their tendency to feel and to be distressed by frustration in trying to get their children to speak more fluently.\*

It may be that a related consideration is implied in the group difference reported in Chapter 4 in terms of evaluational differences between spouse pairs. Such differences in the control group represented an essentially equal sharing of discontent by the mothers and fathers, whereas in the experimental group the greater degree of discontent

\* For extended discussions of the problem of frustration see Dollard, Miller, Doob, Mowrer, and Sears (29) and Johnson (38, Chapter 1).

was expressed three times more often by the mothers than by the fathers. So far as it may be assumed that this reflects more "tension in the home" for the experimental group, the generalization may be ventured, again with an undetermined degree of validity, that such "tension" may not only influence the child to speak more hesitantly but also predispose the parent, as listener, to evaluate the nonfluencies in the child's speech as undesirable or unacceptable and to classify them as "suttering."

Another possibly related consideration is suggested by the fact that a search in Studies II and III for families in which there had been a comparatively recent development of the stuttering problem yielded samples in which a disproportionately high number of the families were classifiable in the middle or upper social classes (see Chapters 2 and 4). There may be a tendency for standards of speech and of other aspects of child behavior to be higher in these classes than on the lower levels, and if so the parents in the middle and upper social classes may be correspondingly more inclined to make the perceptual and evaluational reactions which result in the classification of a child as a "stutterer." More specifically, perhaps, parents who are upwardly mobile in their social class orientation may be particularly motivated to make such reactions.

to make such reactions."

Degree of Listener's Concern about Stuttering as a Family Problem. A somewhat greater proportion of speakers classified as stutterers than of those not so classified report that there are other stutterers in their families. In Study III, for example, a little less than 25 per cent of the children in the experimental group, as agains 5 to 6 per cent of those in the control group, were reported to have siblings, parents, or other relatives who were said to be stutterers. Other relevant findings suggest that parents who knew the problem of stuttering personally, or as it affected other members of the family, were correspondingly apprehensive about the possibility that their children might "be stutterers" comehow "develop stuttering." To the extent that this was true, it is to be interred that they were inclined accordingly to perceive the nonfluencies in their children's speech and to think of them as "stuttering."

The study by Bloodstein, Jaeger, and Tureen (18), previously mentioned, indicated that parents who are accustomed to thinking about the problem of stuttering are more inclined than those who are not to cvaluate speech as stuttered. Tuthil's (112) finding, also previously mentioned, that stutterers identified more words as stuttered than

See Morgenstern (85) for a report of relevant data; and see Johnson et al. (61, pp. 221-225) for pertinent discussion of these data.

laymen did in listening to the same recorded speech samples, and the finding of both Tuthill and Boehmler (16) that speech correctionists who were familiar with the stuttering problem perceived more words as stuttered than lay listeners did, suggest that prococupation with stuttering increases the listener's readiness to classify nonfluencies as stuttering. Presumably listeners who stutter on who have stutteres in their families would tend to be more preoccupied with the problem than others.

The tendency for the problem of stuttering "to run in families" needs to be investigated more thoroughly. More information is required concerning the magnitude of the tendency and the variations in it from sample to sample. Specific families should be intensively investigated with attention to the issues in question. Particularly rigorous study needs to be made of the perceptual and evaluational sets which the presence of the problem in a family tends to foster.

The Listener's Sense of Fluency Norms. In general, the parents investigated in this program of research did not appear to have much information about speech fluency norms. This is not to be wondered at in view of the fact that such information is not generally available. The responses made by the two groups of parents when asked to describe their impressions "of what normal speech is like" are especially interesting in this connection (see 364 in the Summary Table, Appendix A). Roughly half of the control group parents, but only one sixth of those in the experimental group, indicated that they considered nonfluencies to be "normal if not excessive," Approximately two thirds of the experimental group parents either did not regard nonfluencies as permissible or else they considered them permissible only if occasional or minimal. On the basis of these and other data it is to be inferred that neither group of parents in Study III had more than meager knowledge about the fluency aspects of childhood speech. and the assumptions of the parents in the experimental group were particularly unrealistic. In view of their evident expectations it is to be assumed that they were more or less predisposed perceptually to notice the hesitations and repetitions in their children's speech and to find them unacceptable. The one sixth of the control group parents who expressed the attitude that nonfluencies are not permissible are neculiarly interesting: the fact that they had not regarded their children as stutterers indicates presumably that either they had not perceived their nonfluencies or else they "did not mean much" by their responses to 264.

General experience in the counseling of parents concerning the fluency aspects of their children's speech, together with the findings of

the follow-up investigation in Study III, indicates that most parents welcome information about the nonfluency to be expected in child-hood speech and make effective use of such information in achieving a more realistic acceptance of their own children's speech behavior. It would appear to be highly desirable to get information of this kind into the hands of all varents,\*

The Listener's Recognition of Factors Contributing to Nonfluency. Examination of dictionaries and textbooks and observation of common usage indicates that "stuttering" is generally defined as a disorder or disturbance in the rhythm of verbal expression, or as hesitant, repetitious, or otherwise nonfluent speech. The findings of the present research serve, of course, to point up the unsatisfactoriness of such a definition. It is to be considered, moreover, that under many different circumstances even extremely dysrhythmic or nonfluent speech is not looked upon as stuttering. After all, hesitant, blocked, repetitive, or labored speech is conspicuously characteristic of certain persons affected by cerebral palsy, aphasia, extreme fatigue, intoxication, certain states induced by drugs, disorienting emotional stress or trauma, physiological shock, and other comparable conditions. Apparently the major reason why the disrupted and nonfluent speech of such persons is not as a rule evaluated as "stuttering" is that the listeners recognize the contributing factors and are inclined, therefore, to regard the nonfluency as "normal" or "to be expected under the circumstances."

It has previously been noted that listeners generally accept, or do not hear or disregard, the nonfluency of children who are considered to be "too young to talk well." Exceedingly few listeners would regard a child as a "stutterer" because his speech was temporarily greatly disturbed by intense fright or shame or rage. Nonfluency that is thought to be understandable or to be expected under the circumstances is not generally classified as stuttering. A crucial consideration is that some circumstances that are conducive to hesitant and nonfluent speech are readily recognized by most persons, while others are subtle and difficult to detect or to anoreciate.

This consideration is crucial because the conclusion that a child "is stuttering" seems to be reached as a rule by a process of elimination. That is, if the listener believes the child has an understandable reason for speaking hesitantly, unsmoothly, or even with considerable muscular tension, he seems to assume there is "nothing wrong with

<sup>\*</sup> Much of the relevant information so far published is to be found in Chapter 8 of the present volume and Chapter 5 of Stuttering in Children and Adults (62). The writer and his associates have completed further studies of nonfluency in the speech of both children and adults and the results are being prepared for publication.

the speech." This is very possibly a major reason why it has been, and in many instances still is, difficult to secure adequate speech services for children with cerebral palsy, mental retardation, or other conditions that affect speech more or less conspicuously. Professionally trained persons as well as laymen appear to reason that something other than speech is of primary importance in such cases, and that until other things are attended to it is essentially normal and to be expected that the speech will be as it is. As a consequence they tend to make no issue of the speech, as such, and so to do nothing about it.

What is true in such cases appears also to be generally true of certain instances of the ordinary nonlinency of childhood. That is to say, if a child, speaking under conditions of fright, lack of vocabulary, or excitement, speaks repetitiously or hesitantly, the listener is likely to be accepting of the nonfluent speech if he is observant of the conditions, attributing it to "good reasons." The listener's reaction in such a case may be thought of as involving an "of course" attitude—"of course a child would be nonfluent under such circumstances." It is when the listener cannot discern or simply overlooks the relevant conditions and so "can see no reason" for the nonfluency that he is most likely to evaluate it as "abnormal" or as something the child "should not do," and to classify it as "stuttering" if this word is one with which he is sufficiently motivated to use.

The conditions conducive to nonfluency which are most likely to be unsuspected and overlooked by a listening parent would seem to be those which the parent creates by the very act of attending to the fluency aspects of the child's speech and judging it as unacceptable in some degree because it is "not as fluent as it should be." As the anthropologist Ruth Benedict phrased it, "It is difficult to be conscious of the eyes through which one looks." A parent who is not very familiar with the facts of childhood speech fluency, who is also not given to observing the sorts of ordinary, as well as unusual, conditions under which children speak more and less smoothly, and who assumes, in addition that it is not normal for a child to repeat sounds and words or to be positiont in other ways - such a parent, happening to notice that her child is speaking nonfluently under what seem to her to be ordinary circumstances, may very well conclude that the child is nonfluent "for no reason" and that, therefore, there must be "something wrong with his speech." Moreover, if to the circumstances which the parent creates by her judgment and reaction the child responds by speaking with increased hesitancy and apparent unsureness, it is not likely that the parent will be perceptive of her own role in the

transaction. It is probable that she will, accordingly, simply assume that the child's speech "is getting worse."

From these considerations a peculiarly fundamental inference is to be hopefully but warily drawn—in the form of the tentative conjecture that if listeners were always to recognize and understand the circumstances functionally related to nonfluency in speech—or if, like the Bannock and Shoshone Indians (R58) they were to take for granted that it is normal under any circumstances—they would never evaluate it as "stuttering." Given this happy eventuality, the problem of speech fluency per se, so far as it may be a problem in particular instances, would remain, but the problem called "stuttering" presumably would not

The Listener's Language Behavior. As was noted in Chapter 5, the parents in the experimental group and those in the control group symbolized in crucially different ways the apparently similar speech nonfluencies of their respective children. The difference may be stated essentially by saying that the control group parents made statements that were primarily descriptive of their children's speech, whereas the experimental group parents made statements that were primarily indicative of their own feelings and judgments about their children's speech. That is, the control group parents said their children repeated sounds and words, hesitated in speaking, and the like. The experimental group parents, however, said their children stuttered. Now, "repeating sounds and words," for example, is a description of something being done by the speaker, but "stuttering" is a name for a general classification made by a listener of whatever the speaker may be doing. "The speaker is repeating words" is for all practical purposes a statement about the behavior of the speaker. "The speaker is stuttering," however, is essentially a statement about the behavior of the listener in judging the speaker's behavior.

Lack of awareness of this distinction enables the listener to take for granted that he is talking about the speaker when he is, in fact, talking about binness. This amounts to confusion concerning what is being abstracted and involves a failure to distinguish one level of abstracting (judgment) from another (description). The listener in making this mistake may be said to project his judgment into the speaker, and so to conclude that the speaker is not only repeating words but also "is stuttering."

So long as the parent does not make the judgment that the child "is stuttering" (or an equivalent evaluation) this confusion of levels of abstracting, with attendant unconscious projection of judgment, does not occur. It need not occur, moreover, regardless of the specific terms used by the parent in talking about the child's speech, so long as the parent is sufficiently conscious of her own abstracting to be aware of the difference between making a statement about what the child is doing in speaking and a statement about what she herself is doing in perceiving and evaluating the child's speech behavior. From such observations as these it follows that a fundamental objective of the counseling of parents is that of helping them to cultivate an effective awareness of the difference between descriptions of speech and judgments made of it, and, so far as may seem required and feasible, an appreciation of the general principle that perception is affected by the language used in reporting and evaluating what is perceived.\*

"Accident." A thorough and thoughtful reading of the preceding chanters would seem to suggest quite strongly that in any relatively full answer to the question of why some parents decide that their children "are stuttering" a place must be reserved for "chance" or "accident." In exercising care not to explain more than actually happened. due attention is to be given to the possibility, if not indeed the probahility, that many of the parents had no very good reasons or strong motivations for paving attention to the nonfluency of their children's speech or for classifying it as "stuttering." The chance reading of a magazine article, a casual conversation with a stranger on a train, a flecting memory of a schoolmate who stuttered - such minor things can undoubtedly influence the way a parent attends for a moment to a child's tottering attempt to negotiate the high, tight wire of spoken language at the fatefully transitional age of three. Once the parent's attention is directed, by whatever turn of chance, to the child's besitations and renetitions, she may have any one of thousands of specific reasons for "making a problem" of them. The specific reason for concern is probably no more important as a rule than the specific reason for giving attention to the nonfluencies in the first place. What is important is that, for whatever reason, the parent did attend to the nonfluencies, did evaluate them as undesirable, did classify them as "stuttering," and did react accordingly, and in so doing contributed to an interaction in which the child spoke less smoothly and the parent did

The question of the interaction between the process of symbolization and not only perception but also what we seem containty to mean by thought, feeling, and over behavior is impressively comprehensive and genfound. From a veat literature reference to be selected as relatively perithent to the present considerations are those of Carroll (20), Koreyboli (70), Russein and Batteon (80), Hayakawa (31), Lee (73), Happoort (60), Alterson, Jackson, Hilder, and Twa change in the view of the property of the control of the property in the property of the control of the preadry language behavior and his so-called stuttering reactions.

not feel as well about the child's speech as she otherwise would have, and so the child spoke even less smoothly, the mother felt still worse about it, and so on.

#### FACTORS RELATED TO THE SPEAKER'S NONFLUENCY

Much of what is to be said about the speaker's nonfluency and the factors related to it has been stated or implied in the preceding pages. Essential reference has been made to the factors of age and sex of the speaker and to the effect on fluency of a disturbing interaction between the speaker and the listener. Various organic factors have been mentioned. Further relevant discussion will now be presented.

The Speaker's Neurophysiological State. In discussing the listener's recognition of factors contributing to nonfluency, reference was made to such organic conditions as cerebral palsy, aphasia, fatigue, intoxication, certain states produced by drugs, and physiological shock. It is generally recognized that markedly hesitant, blocked, repetitive, or labored speech is commonly associated with these and other comparable conditions. Meanwhile, there appears to have been little investigation of the precise relation between these and other neurophysiological states, including those induced under laboratory conditions, and the various aspects of speech fluency and nonfluency. per se.

There have been, however, many studies designed to determine whether significant neurophysiological differences between persons classified as stutterers and those classified otherwise are demonstrable. Two reviews of some 150 relevant physiological and biochemical studies have been made by Hill (53, 54). The findings of these studies do not appear to identify stutterers as a physiologically distinctive group. They do, however, contribute to the description of certain aspects of the behavior regarded as stuttering. In general, according to Hill, so far as the data serve to distinguish this behavior from the resting state, silence, or speech behavior that is presumably normal, they are indicative of the kinds and degrees of physiological and biochemical reactions associated with the emotionality and struggle ordinarily experienced in contending with threatening, frustrating, or distressing situations. The data may also be said to resemble those characteristic of startle responses and of muscular exertion as observed in human subjects generally. In summing up, Hill said, "An agent in the form of an inner condition . . . is still as distant from discovery as it was four thousand years ago."

The writer has discussed the pertinent issues and data elsewhere (61, pp. 225-260), with the essential conclusion that, to date, no distinctive neurophysiological differences between persons classified as

stutterers and those not so classified have been unequivocally demonstrated. The findings of the present research program do not suggest that such differences exist.\*

Meanwhile, the scientific investigation of factors, organic and functional, related to fluency and nonfluency in speech, aside from consideration of their relevance to the problem of stuttering, would appear to deserve much more attention than it has so far received.

Conflict Experienced by the Speaker, All who speak understand that conflict in any of its many forms tends to be conducive to besitant and nonfluent utterance. Conflict may involve necessity of a choice among alternative ways of wording or phrasing or, for bilingual or polylingual speakers, a choice of language. A sense of conflict may arise for the speaker from lack of either essential information or vocabulary. as well as from difficulty in making himself understood because of impaired voice or articulation, unresponsiveness of the listener, or other reasons. Davis (25) reported that the preschool children whose speech she sampled did more repeating of syllables, words, and phrases when attempting to speak while in conflict with their teachers or other children. Findings presented in Chapters 2, 5, and 6 indicate that children tend to be more nonfluent in talking under conditions of conflict involved in speech competition, as at the dinner table of a talkative family, for example, Any conflict present in a speech situation would appear to be heightened by pressure of time or by excitement. factors mentioned by many of the parents in both the experimental and control groups in identifying conditions under which they considered their children to be nonfluent.+

Doubtless the most important kind of conflict, with reference to the problem under consideration, is that which develops as a consequence of parental concern over the child's repetitions and hesitations in speech. To the degree that the child senses the parent's distress over the way he is talking, he appears to react as though in a varying but generally increasing state of conflict over whether to speak at all, or

\*A comprehensive and critical review of the relevant voluminous experimental and theoretical literature would inordinately extend the present discussion and carry it considerably affeld, Among the pertinent references in addition to those indicated are Berry and Eisenson (7), Eusenson (31), Travis (110), Van Riper (113), and West (124, 125).

The most thoroughly developed conflict theory of stuttring is that of Shedan (109, 109). Other systematic discussions of conflict in relation to the stuttering problem, as sitting pulsed more or less clearly from the problem of nonfluency per se, are those of Travis (211), Yan Rupe (123), Winchen (196), 201), Johnson and Knott (83, 66), and Johnson (61), Bloodstein (11) has presented an extended consideration of factors productive of nonfluency in the speech of children, and he has also discussed the problem of stuttering in terms of the speaker's doubt of his ability to speak as well as he feels he must and of his first of not disong.

whether to continue speaking once begun. The inference of inner conflict is drawn mainly from observations and informants' reports of a tendency of the child to talk a bit less and somewhat more hesitantly, and eventually to talk with some degree of apparent effort or muscular stain.

In this connection, available relevant data and general clinical experience serve to raise the question of possible motivational differences between syllable repetition and the repetition of whole words and phrases. This question is important because syllable repetition is evidently the type of nonfluency most likely to be classified as stuttering by most listeners. A possible hypothesis is that syllable repetition represents a more highly developed conflict between the child's drive to speak, on the one hand, and, on the other, the effect of his doubt that he can speak acceptably together with his anticipatory concern over the possible consequences of failure to do so. With a little less conflict, he can, we may suppose, attempt a whole word at least before stopping to begin again, but as his sense of conflict increases he is motivated to stop before he has completed an entire word. The very act of starting to say the word serves to reactivate the doubt and so the anxiety about being able to go on satisfactorily, and the child is immediately motivated to discontinue in order to avoid the anticipated failure. The awareness that he has stopped, however, reactivates his anxiety about not going on, since this also constitutes failure, and so he immediately begins again, only to stop again for the same reason as before, and once more to begin, again for the same reason as before, and so on until, as a rule, he finally continues speaking long enough to say the word-unless he reconciles his conflicting tendencies by neither stopping altogether nor going back to the beginning again, but rather continuing in a sort of stalemate by prolonging the sound produced in beginning to say the word. It will be recalled that sound prolongation is apparently the only other type of nonfluency that is evaluated as "stuttering" with distinctive frequency. It may be suspected that the listener tends to sense empathically the speaker's more intense conflict involved in repeating syllables and in prolonging sounds, and so to conclude more readily than in reacting to other forms of hesitation and repetition that the speaker is "having difficulty."

This hypothesis requires extended development and refinement, and considerable ingenuity would seem to be called for in designing an adequate operational test of its validity. Meanwhile it may be expected to serve an essential purpose in stimulating further exploration of the intriguing problem that centers around the differences between

syllable repetition and sound prolongation, which are most often evaluated as "stuttering," and other kinds of nonfluency more commonlyregarded as "normal."

FACTORS RELATED TO THE SPEAKER'S SENSITIVITY TO HIS OWN NONFLUENCY AND TO THE LISTENER'S REACTION TO IT

A speaker is sensitive to his own nonfluency partly as his own listener and also by virtue of kinesthetic feedback.\* (He may respond to his speech visually, too, of course, if he performs before a mirror.) To a considerable degree, therefore, he is affected by the same factors as are his other listeners so far as sensitivity to the nonfluencies in his speech are concerned. Previous discussion of the indicated factors covers, therefore, many aspects of the matter under consideration, but there are some additional observations to be suggested.

The Speaker's Reactions to His Own Nonfusing. The tolerance of presumably normal speakers for their own nonfluency under ordinary conditions of speaking seems not to have been recognized as a problem to be investigated, and there is a consequent lack of laboratory data concerning it. Meanwhile, the fact that such speakers do not appear to "struggle against" their nonfluencies, but perform them with a freedom from avoidant tensions, would seem to imply that they are essentially tolerant of them.† Even stutterers are apparently tolerant of any nonfluencies in their speech which they do not evaluate as "stuttering." Persons who have aphasia or cerebral palsy or comparable conditions sometimes show impatience with their difficulties in speech formulation and production, but the great majority of them seem most of the time to accept their speech even when it is extremely falling and laborat.

The production of disturbances in speech by delay in auditory feedback has been reported by many workers including Lee (72), Black (8, 9), Fairbanks and Guttman (32), Atkinson (3), Tiffany and Ifanley (109), and Spilka (106). Available data on speakers' reactions to nonfluencies and other modifications of speech behavior induced by delayed auditory feedback are limited to the more or less informal ex-

\*Van Riper and Irwin (114) have presented a systematic discussion of authory and kinesthetic feedback with particular reference to implications for speech correction.

<sup>4</sup> An interesting related problem concerns the tendency of preakers to correct their mistakes, Individual differences in this tendency, and variations in its relation to kind of mistakes, situational conditions, and other factors, appear to warrant extended in-vertigation. Faithenks and Guttmin (39) reported that their young soldt male subject did not correct most of the errors they made in speaking under conditions of authory feedback, with time delays of [0, 16, 0, 18, and 30] second. They found some tendency to correct errors, however, as evidence of the condition of authory feedback of the condition of the c

pression of feelings by laboratory subjects and a few observations reported by some of the investigators. The experience is commonly described by laboratory subjects as annoying, unpleasant, and upsetting, or intriguing, interesting, and challenging. Fairbanks and Guttman, as has been stated, reported some indication of attempts to resist or avoid mistakes or disturbances in speech by their subjects. Black and Atkinson demonstrated that the reduced rate of speaking effected by delay of auditory feedback persisted for periods as long as five minutes in continued reading after the condition of delayed feedback was eliminated, suggesting substantial speaker reaction to the induced disturbance. Spilks attempted to relate amount of disturbance produced by delay of auditory feedback to a variety of measured personality variables, and the results, while somewhat too complex to permit an unambiguous summary relevant to the present context, suggest leads for further investigation, Findings of studies reported to date indicate that the speech disturbances induced by delayed auditory feedback, together with the reactions of the speakers to these disturbances, add up to a total effect that is essentially different from the speech behavior generally regarded as stuttering in any significant clinical sense.\* The differences, as well as such similarities as there may be, appear to warrant further study.

In general, under usual conditions speakers appear to be for practical purposes tolerant of their own nonfluencies, regardless of type or frequency, provided they do not evaluate them as "stuttering" or the equivalent. It is conceivable, nevertheless, that a speaker, even a young child, might feel frustrated by his nonfluency, particularly if it is extreme, and in reacting to the frustration speak still more hesitantly and even tensely. Through this experience he might also become increasingly attentive to his nonfluencies and more apprehensive of them, evaluating them as unacceptable or as "stuttering," and reacting accordingly with avoidant tensions. In some such way a speaker might conceivably create the problem of stuttering for himself by

\*Part of the basis for this statement is the observation of the writer and other persons classified as stutterns that under conditions of delayed auditory feedback the experience recognized by such speakers as the own stuttering is virtuely instituted, and that the speech disturbance indeved by the oblayed feedback is something distinctively different from it. Moreover, in 18th of writer directed and point of the condition of t

virtue of his intolerance of the nonfluencies in his own speech — and it is an incidental rather than a fundamental observation that any such onset of stuttering seems to be extraordinarily rare. The writer cannot be sure he has ever encountered this sort of origin of the problem, and the present research seems not to have revealed any cases of this kind. According to the findings of this investigation, and related clinical experience, the crucial evaluations are made originally by one or more listeners, and the speaker learns inadvertently from them to regard the nonfluencies in his speech as undesirable and as "stuttering," and then he comes to react to them intolerantly and avoidantly. Regardless of the type or amount of nonfluency, however, it seems clear that it is the evaluation made of it that determines whether either the listener or the speaker, or both, will "make a problem of it"

The Speaker's Sensitivity to the Listener's Reactions to Ilis Nonfluency. Once the parents have begun to show concern over the child's nonfluencies in speaking, the development of the problem for the child would seem to depend, in a significant sense, on his reaction to their concern. The assumption might be ventured that the more sensitive the child is to his parents' reactions to his speech, or the more insecure he feels, or the more dependent he is for his sense of security on his parents' approval of him, the more likely he is to respond to their concern by speaking less, or more hesitantly or cautiously, and with increased conflict over whether, when, and how to speak—the more likely he is to speak, that is, more hesitantly and nonfluently. Further development presumably depends on the parental reaction to the increased nonfluency, and the child's reaction in turn, and so on.

The findings from the present investigation that are relevant to this consideration do not, as has been previously pointed out, unequivocally suggest that the experimental group children were significantly different from those in the control group in being more sensitive to parental reactions. In Study II the Rogers Test of Personality Adjustment did not yield statistically significant differences between the experimental and control group children to whom it was administered. In both Study II and Study III certain of the parents' ratings show that the experimental group children were evaluated less favorably than were those in the control group with respect to certain aspects of social development.\* These ratings represent the evaluations of the parents and the degree to which they reflect the social maturity of

Relevant information about the two groups of children in Study III that was obtained from their parents, primarily in the form of ratings, is presented in Chapter 3, and much of it is summarized in Table 9. Comparable information obtained in Study III is to be found in Chapter 9.

the children in an objective sense is not clearly apparent. They were made, moreover, eighteen months on the average after the stuttering problem was said to have begun and so they presumably reflect in each case the cumulative effects of the interaction of parent and child which the problem involved. The comparative lack of differences between the two groups of children on items involving relatively objective determinations, the degree of overlapping of the groups on parental ratings which did differ significantly, the large number of such ratings that did not show significant differences between the groups, and the marked general improvement of the experimental group children noted in the follow-up phase of Study III suggest caution in concluding that the two groups of children were basically or objectively different in a significant sense with regard to social and emotional development. On the contrary, these findings and considerations indicate that the development of the problem of stuttering in the experimental group families is probably not to be accounted for to any very important extent, if at all, on the basis of a distinctive kind or degree of sensitivity of the experimental group children to their parents' concern over their speech.

It is an important relevant consideration that studies designed to explore personality differences between persons classified as stutterers and nonstutterers, respectively, at both childhood and adult age levels, have yielded no clear evidence of such differences. Shechan and Zelen (103) found some tendency for stutterers, as compared with control group subjects, to operate, on the tests used, with somewhat lower levels of aspiration, presumably indicative of an inclination to defend themselves against a possible sense of failure. Boland (17), Dahlstrom and Craven (23), and others, including the writer (56), have noted a moderate tendency for stutterers, compared with nonstutterers, to be somewhat withdrawing in certain social situations and to be slightly more discouraged, particularly in regard to tasks or situations involving speech. Such tendencies, so far as they are demonstrable, are understandable as reactions - essentially normal emotional reactions - to the experience of stuttering and of being regarded as a stutterer. With such exceptions, the findings of pertinent studies have been essentially negative. On the basis of a review of twenty studies involving the use of projective tests, Sheehan (104) stated that "no dynamic differences appear between adults who stutter and adults who do not-even by the best tools modern clinical psychology has developed to measure such differences. Moreover, no consistent pattern emerges for the stutterer." Goodstein (39) concluded a comprehensive review of investigations of personality variables in relation to stuttering by saying that children regarded as stutterers have not been shown to be "neurotic or severely maladjusted," and that "there is no general support for the notion that adult stutterers are severely maladjusted or even consistently different from anyone else."

### Summary of Conclusions

The program of research reported here has been concerned with this basic question: In what form, at what time, under what conditions, and for whom does the problem of stuttering arise?

The most prevalent answer to this question has been that the problem arises in the form of distinctively disordered speech, at some time between the beginning of speech and adolescence or even adulthood, under unusual conditions such as those of illness, injury, shock, fright, or other neurophysiological or emotional disturbance, and that it arises, therefore, as a problem of and for the speaker.

The research reported in this book appears meanwhile to have yielded an essentially different sort of answer. The data indicate that in the cases investigated the problem was to be described, at its point of origin, not primarily by reference to nonfluent speech, as such, but by reference mainly to an interaction between a listener and a speaker in which the listener made a distinctive perceptual and judgmental reaction to the speaker's nonfluencies. The speaker was characteristically a child between three and four years of age, and the listener was nearly always one of the child's parents, usually the mother. The nonfluencies, as reported, ranged from slight and decidedly ordinary to complex and unusual, and were in general impressively similar to those repetitions, hesitations, and other imperfections of fluency that were reported as having occurred in the speech of a control group of children of comparable age who were not regarded as stutterers. With few if any significant exceptions, the circumstances under which the parents first regarded these nonfluencies as stuttering appeared to be ordinary and unremarkable. What appears to have been crucial was the fact that the parents were motivated to evaluate the nonfluencies as unacceptable, or distressing, to classify them as "stuttering" and to react, nonverbally as a rule but verbally in some cases, to them and to the child accordingly.

On this basis and in this sense, it is to be said that stuttering arose as a problem that involved the interaction of listener and speaker—that is, of the speaking—thid and those others, chiefly the child's authority figures, his parents primarily, who listened and reacted evaluatively to his speech. The data indicate that by virtue of this interaction the child tended to acquire from his parents and other important of the child that the confidence of the control of the child sended to acquire from his parents and other impor-

tant listeners the sorts of perceptual and evaluative reaction to his own speech behavior, and to himself as a speaker, which served to inhibit and disrupt his speech reactions in various forms and to varying degrees.

The problem, then, while remaining primarily perceptual for the speaker as well as for his listeners, came also, and significantly, to involve disruption of overt verbal expression in a neuromuscular sense. To recapitulate, characteristically it began primarily as a problem of and for one or more listeners and, through a process of interaction of the listeners' disturbed evaluations and the speaker's responsiveness to them, it became, most fundamentally, a perceptual and evaluative problem of and for the listener who was at the same time the speaker, as well as for his other listeners also. In due course, evidently by virtue of the speaker's disruptive perceptual and evaluative reactions to his own speech behavior, the problem came to involve disturbances of speech, in an overt expressive sense.

It seems necessary to conclude, therefore, that the listener does more than the speaker to set in motion the interactions essential to the creation of the stuttering problem. The speaker's sensitivity to his own nonfluency and to the listener's reactions to it appears to be, like the amount of the speaker's nonfluency per se, decidedly less important in relation to the origin of the problem than is the listener's readiness to attend to the nonfluencies of the speaker and to evaluate them as "stuttering."

Once the crucial interactions have been initiated, however, the speaker's contribution to them and their cumulative effects tends to become more substantial. The speaker's reactions to those nonfluencies in his speech that he takes to be "stuttering" become increasingly important in relation to the problem as a whole. A conspicuous part of the reason for this is that these reactions tend to effect an increase in the frequency and relative complexity of such negatively evaluated nonfluencies. In the bargain the speaker's namifest sensitivity to the listener's reactions to his speech reinforces those reactions, and is, in turn, intensified by them. Moreover, the factors which affect the listener's sensitivity to the speaker's nonfluencies, once they have come to be regarded as "stuttering," affect also the sensitivity of the speaker's nonfluencies, once they have come to be regarded as "stuttering," affect also the sensitivity of the speaker's not bem.

It is to be considered especially that the language behavior of the speaker presumably determines to an important degree his beliefs and feelings about speech and particularly the things he does in speaking that he experiences as "stuttering." He shares with his listeners, of course, the basic language structure and usages characteristic of the culture by which they are generally influenced. It follows that the comments previously made in this chapter about the language behavior of the listener are to be applied essentially to that of the speaker as well. The speaker tends to compound the problem by failing to distinguish statements he makes that are descriptive of what he does in speaking from those that are expressive of his evaluations and judgments of what he does. That is, for example, instead of saying descriptively, "I held my breath before I said my name." he tends to say, with implied reference to inferred entities and forces over which he apparently feels he has no control, "My breath stonned." or "I held my breath because I stuttered." or "I had trouble." or "The word wouldn't come out." or "I am a stutterer and I can't say my name without stuttering," or, with deceptive simplicity, "I stuttered." In other words, he tends to confuse inferential statements about what he is and what he has, and what there is to contend with, with descriptive statements about what he does. To paraphrase what was said previously about the language behavior of the listener, it is to the advantage of the speaker to be sufficiently conscious of his own abstracting to appreciate the difference between making a statement about what he does as a speaker and a statement about what he does as a listener in evaluating and judging what he does as a speaker.

The findings and their evident implications strongly suggest that the basic rehabilitative need of the speaker, at whatever age level, so far as he may have developed the indicated disruptive perceptual and evaluative reactions to his own speech, is for a reorientation of relevant attitudes, feelings, beliefs, and language behavior tendencies. In any case in which the speaking child has not yet assimilated to a crucial degree the relevant feelings and response patterns of his significant listeners, it is the listeners themselves, as has been emphasized, who appear to require a reorientation of their basic perceptual and evaluative reactions to the child's speech behavior and to the child as a speaker. They need essential information about the fluency aspects of speech development and the factors affecting it, particularly the factors centering in the ways in which they themselves evaluate and react to the nonfluencies in the child's speech. As the speaker becomes a more and more active and important participant in the interactions involved in the problem, he too comes to require appropriate information and counseling, particularly designed to effect a reorientation of relevant percentual and evaluative reactions and of the related modes of language behavior. In either case the indicated changes are to be attempted with due consideration of the pattern of interpersonal relations that constitute their context.

The limits within which implied preventive and rehabilitative principles and procedures may be developed or adapted are relatively broad. The writer and his associates are currently engaged in studies designed to explore and evaluate specific clinical approaches to the problem of stuttering which findings of the present and related research serve to suggest. The nature of the data on the onset of the problem that have been reported in this volume, and the findings of the follow-up investigations, appear to warrant increased attention to preventive measures involving parent education and the dissemination of relevant information to physicians, teachers, child psychologist, family counselors and the general public. It appears possible that through such measures a reduction in the incidence of the problem of stuttering might be brought about in the years ahead.

Finally it is to be considered that the findings here reported, while specific to the problem of stuttering, may suggest leads for investigation of other problems, particularly those of child behavior such as "nervousness," "hostility," "shyness," and other forms of "emotional disturbance," as well as difficulties in learning to read, problems associated with toilet training and eating behavior, and reaction tendencies that tend to culminate in delinquency in its various forms. Intensive study of the origin and early development of such problems in specific cases, within the frame of reference provided by a general interactional theory of the sort outlined in the preceding pages, would seem to be suggested by due contemplation of the data and the inter-

pretative considerations presented in this report.

References and Index	

# References

- Ammons, R. A., and W. Johnson "Studies in the Psychology of Stuttering: XVIII.
  The Construction and Application of a Test of Attitude toward Stuttering."
  Journal of Speech Disorders, 9:39-40 (1914)
   Anastasi, A. Psychological Testing, New York: The Macmillan Company, 1954.
- Atkinson, C. J. "Adaptation to Delayed Side-Tone," Journal of Speech and Hearing Disorders, 18:386-301 (1933).
- 4. Bateson, G., D. D. Jackson, J. Haley, and J. H. Weakland, "Toward a Theory
- of Schizophrenia," Behavioral Science, 1-251-261 (1956).

  3. Bellak, L. The Thematic Apperception Test and the Children's Apperception Test in Clinical Use. New York: Grune and Stratton. 1954
- 6. Berry, M. F. "A Common Denominator in Twinning and Stuttering," Journal
- of Speech Disorders, 3:31-57 (1938).

  7. Berry, M. F., and J. Eisenson. Speech Disorders. New York: Appleton-Century-Crofts, 1936.
- Black, J. W. "The Effect of Delayed Side-Tone upon Vocal Rate and Intensity," Journal of Speech and Hearing Disorders, 19:56-60 (1931).
- 9. Black, J. W. "The Persistence of Effects of Delayed Side-Tone," Journal of Speech and Hearing Disorders, 20.05-68 (1955).
- Blanton, S. "A Survey of Speech Defects," Journal of Educational Psychology, 7:581-592 (1916).
- Bloodstein, O. "Stuttering as an Anticipatory-Struggle Reaction," in Stattering: A Symposium, ed. by Jon Essenson. New York: Harper and Brothers, 1988.
   Bloodstein, O., and S. M. Smith, "A Study of the Dugnossis of Stuttering with
- Special Reference to the Sex Ratio," Journal of Speech and Hearing Disorders, 19.459-466 (1934).

  33. Disordatela, O., W. Jaeger, and J. Turcen. "A Study of the Diagnosis of Stuttering
- by Parents of Stutterers and Nonstutterers," Journal of Speech and Rearing Disorders, 17 305-315 (1952).
- Bluemel, C. S. Stammering and Cognate Defects of Speech. New York: Stechert, 1913.
- Bluemel, C. S "Primary and Secondary Stattering," Proceedings of the American Speech Correction Association, 291-102 (1932).
   Boelmier, R. M. "Listener Responses to Non-Fluencies," Journal of Speech and
- Hearing Research, 1:132-141 (1958).

  17. Boland, J. L. "A Comparison of Stutterers and Nonstutterers on Several Measures
- of Anxiety," Unpublished Ph.D. thesis, University of Michigan, 1952.

  18. Brancom, M. E., Jeannette Hughes, and Eloise Tupper Oxtoby. "Studies of Nonfiguency in the Speech of Preschool Children," in Stuttering in Children and
  - Adults, ed. by Wendell Johnson, assisted by Ralph Leutenegger. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 1935.

    19. Card, R. E. "A Study of Allergy in Relation to Stuttering." Journal of Speech Disorders, 4,243-250 (1855).

- Carroll, J. B., ed. Language, Thought, and Reality: Selected Writings of Besismin Lee Whorf, New York: John Wiley and Sons and Cambridge: The Technology Press of the Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 1956.
- 1000gy 1778 of the Massachusetts mattered of Artimorphy 1850.
  11 Cherry, C., and B. M. Soyers, "Experiments upon the Total Inhibition of Stammering by External Control, and Some Clinical Results," Journal of Psychotomatic Research, 1233–164 (1955).
- Committee on Mideentury White House Conference, "Speech Disorders and Speech Correction," Journal of Speech and Hearing Disorders, 17:129-157 (1932)
   Dahlstrom, W. G., and D. D. Crasen. "The Minnesota Multiphasic Personality
  - Dahlstrom, W. G., and D. D. Craven. "The Minnesota Multiphasic Personanty Inventory and Stuttering Phenomena in Young Adults," American Psychologist, 7-341 (1932).
- Darley, F. L. "The Relationship of Parental Attitudes and Adjustments to the Development of Stuttering," Chapter 8 in Stuttering in Children and Adults, et by Wendell Johnson, Minneapolis: University of Minneato Fress, 1933.
- Davis, D. M. "The Relation of Repetitions in the Speech of Young Children to Certain Measures of Language Maturity and Situational Pactors," Part I, Journal of Society Disorder, 4:505-318 (1959)
- Davison, A. H. "The Relationship between Unimanual and Bimanual Handedness," Journal of Experimental Psychology, 38 276-283 (1918).
- Despert, J. L. "Stuttering: A Clinical Study," American Journal of Orthopsychiatry, 13.517-524 (1913).
- Despert, J. L. "Psychosomatic Study of Fifty Stuttering Children," American Journal of Orthopsychiatry, 16.100-115 (1946).
- Dollard, J., N. E. Miller, L. W. Doob, O. H. Mowrer, and R. R. Sears. Frustration and Aggression. New Haven: Yale University Press, 1939.
- Egland, G. O. "Repetitions and Prolongations in the Speech of Stuttering and Nonstuttering Children," in Stuttering in Children and Adults, ed by Wendell Johnson, Minneapolus University of Munescola Press, 1935.
- Eisenson, J. "A Perseverative Theory of Stuttering," in Stuttering: A Symposium, ed. by Jon Eisenson, New York: Harper and Brothers, 1938.
  - Fairbanks, G., and N. Guttman. "Effects of Delayed Auditory Feedback upon Articulation." Journal of Speech and Heaving Research, 1:19-22 (1958).
- 33. Ferguson, L. W. Personality Measurement. New York: McGraw-Hill Book Company, 1952.
- Froeschels, E. "Beitrage zur Symptomatologie des Stotterns," Monatschi. f. Ohrenheilk, vol. 53 (1921).
- Giolas, T. G., and D. E. Williams. "Children's Reactions to Nonfluencies in Adult Speech," Journal of Speech and Hearing Research, 186-93 (1958).
- Speech, Journal of Speech and Hearing Research, 1.86-93 (1958).

  So. Glasner, P. J., and D. Rosenthal. "Parental Diagnosis of Stuttering in Young
- Children," Journal of Speech and Hearing Disorders, 22 283-295 (1957).

  57. Glamer, P. J., and F. D. Vermlyea. "An Investigation of the Definition and Use of the Diagnosis, Primary Stuttering," Journal of Speech and Hearing Disorders.
- 18 161-167 (1833).

  SS. Goodstein, L. D. "MMPI Profiles of Stutterers' Parents: A Follow-up Study."
- Journal of Speech and Hearing Disorders, 21.430-435 (1956).

  39. Goodstein, L. D. "Functional Speech Disorders and Personality: A Survey of the
- Research," Journal of Speech and Hearing Research, 1:859-876 (1939).

  40. Goodstein, L. D., and W. G. Dahlstrom, "Some Personality Test Differences between Farents of Stuttering and Nonstuttering Children," Journal of Consulting
- Prychology, 20:565-570 (1936).
  41. Gough, H. G. "A New Dimension of Status. I: Development of a Personality
- Scale, American Sociological Review, 13 401-409 (1948).
  42. Gough, H. G. "The Construction of a Personality Scale to Predict Academic
- Achievement," Journal of Applied Psychology, 57:561-565 (1983).

  43. Gough, H. G., H. McClosky, and P. E. Mechl. "A Personality Scale for Dominance," Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology, 46 300-366 (1931).

- Graf, O. I. "Incidence of Stuttering among Twins," in Stuttering in Children and Adults, ed. by Wendell Johnson, Minnespolis: University of Minnesota Press, 1855.
- Grossman, D. J. "A Study of the Parents of the Stuttering and Nonstuttering Children Using the MMPI and the Minnesota Scale of Parents Opinion." Unpublished MA. thesis, University of Wiscosia, 1931.
- Guilford, J. P. Manual of Directions and Norms, Revised Edition, An Inventory of Factors STDCR. Beverly Hills, Calif.: Sheridan Supply Company, 1940.
- 47. Guilford, J. P. Psychometric Methods. New York: McGraw-Hill Book Company, 1954.
- Hamlett, G. W. D. "Human Twinning in the United States: Racial Frequencies, Sex Ratios, and Geographical Variations." Ocnetics, 20 250-259 (1935).
- Hathaway, S. R. "A Coding System for MMPI Profiles," Journal of Consulting Psychology, 11:531-537 (1947).
- Hathaway, S. R. and J. C. McKinley. Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory: Manual (Revised). New York: Psychological Corporation, 1931.
- . 51. Hayakawa, S. I. Language in Thought and Action. New York: Harcourt, Brace, 1949.
- Hill, A. S. "The Use of an Objective Type of Case Study in the Analysis and Prognosis of Pupil Maladjustment Problems," Educational Administration and
- Supervision, 21 611-618 (1935).

  St Illi, H. "Stuttering: I. A Critical Review and Evaluation of Biochemical Investi-
- gations," Journal of Speech Dirorders, 9.245-261 (1944).
  54. Hill, H. "Stuttering: II. A Review and Integration of Physiological Data," Journal
- of Speech Disorders, 9:289-324 (1944). 35. Hood, P. N., K. H. Shank, and D. B. Williamson, "Environmental Factors in Relation to the Speech of Cerebral Palsied Children," Journal of Speech and Heaning
- Disorders, 13.525-351 (1948).

  56 Johnson, W. The Influence of Stuttering on the Personality. University of Iowa Studies in Child Welfare, Vol. 5. No. 5. Iowa City, Iowa: University of Iowa, 1932
- Studies in Child Wellare, Vol. 5, No. 5 fown City, Iowa: University of Iowa, 1932 57. Johnson, W., et al. "A Study of the Onset and Early Development of Stuttering." Journal of Speech Disorders, 7:251-257 (1942).
- Johnson, W. People in Quandaries: The Semantics of Personal Adjustment New York: Harper and Brothers, 1946.
- Johnson, W. "Perceptual and Evaluational Factors in Stuttering." Chapter 27 in Handbook of Speech Pathology, ed. by Lee Edward Travis, New York: Appleton-Century-Crofts, 1935. Published also in Folio Phoniatrica, 8:211-233 (1936).
   Johnson, W. et al. "Speech Fluency Norms." unpublished report of research car-
- ried out at the State University of Iowa under a grant from the Louis W. and Maud Hill Family Foundation, St. Paul, Minnesota
- Johnson, W., et al. Speech Handicapped School Children. New York: Harper and Brothers. 1948; revised edition, 1956.
- Johnson, W., ed., assisted by Ralph Leutenegger. Stattering in Children and Adults: Thirty Years of Research at the University of Ioua. Minnesopolis University of Minnesota Press, 1955.
- 63 Johnson, W. "The Six Men and the Stuttering," in Stattering: A Symposium, ed. by Jon Eisenson, New York: Harper and Brothers, 1938
- Johnson, W., F. L. Darley, and D. C. Spriestersbach. Diagnostic Manual in Speech Correction. New York: Harper and Brothers, 1932.
- Johnson, W., and J. R. Knott, "The Moment of Stuttering," Journal of Genetic Psychology, 43 475-480 (1936).
   Johnson, W., and J. R. Knott. "A Systematic Approach to the Psychology of
- Stuttering," in Stuttering in Children and Adults, ed. by Wendell Johnson, assisted by Ralph Leutenegger. Minneapolis' University of Munesota Press, 1935.

  67. Kinsey, A. C., W. B. Pomeroy, and C. E. Martin Sexual Behavior in the Human
- Male, Philadelphia: W. B. Saunders Company, 1948.
   Klingbeil, G. M. "The Historical Background of the Modern Speech Clinic." Journal of Speech Desorders. 4,115-132 (1939).

- Kools, J. A. "Speech Nonfluencies of Stuttering and Nonstuttering Children." Unpublished M.A. thesis, University of Iowa, 1936.
- published M.A. Ibess, University of 1088, 1950.
  70. Korzybki, A. Science and Sanity: An Introduction to Non-Aristotelian Systems and General Semantics. Lancaster: Science Press, 1953; Lakeville, Conn.: Institute
- of General Semantics, fourth edition, 1938.
  71. LaFollette, A. C. "A Study of the Parental Environments of Stuttering Children."
  Lipoblished Ph.D. dissertation, Luvrenity of Denver, 1948.
- Unphotished rall, disertation, University of Denver, 1950.

  72. Lee, B. S. "Artificial Stutter," Journal of Speech and Hearing Disorders, 18: 52-52 (1951)
- 73. Lee, I. J. Language Habits in Human Affairs. New York: Harper and Brothers, 1941.
- 1941.
  74. Lewis, D., and C. J. Burke. "The Use and Misuse of the Chi-Square Test," Prychological Bulletin, 46-433-459 (1949).
- Luchsinger, H. "Die Sprache und Stimme von ein-und Zweieitgen Zwillingen in Beziehung zur Motorik und zum Erbeharacter," Julius Klaus, Stiftung für Vettbungsforschung, Sozialanthropologie und Rassen-hygiene, Archiv., Zurich, 15 15
- (1919).
  75. McDorell, E. D. Educational and Emotional Adjustments of Stattering Children.
  Columbia University Contributions to Education, No. 314. New York: Teachers
  College, 1928.
- College, 1923.
  7. Makeen, G. H. "A Study of 1,000 Cases of Stammering with Special Reference to the Etiology and Treatment of the Affection," Therapeutical Gasette, 82:585-590 (1914).
  - Mann, M. B. "Nonfluencies in the Oral Reading of Stutterers and Nonstatterers of Elementary School Age," in Stattering in Children and Adults, ed. by Weedell Johnson, Minnespolis: University of Minnescota Press, 1955.
  - Mariast, J. A., and C. Hutton. "Effects of Auditory Masking upon the Speech of Stutterers." Journal of Speech and Hearing Disorders, 22:285-889 (1957).
  - 80. Mast, V. R. "Level of Aspiration as a Method of Studying the Personality of Adult Stutterers," Speech Monographs, 19-196 (1952).
  - 81. Meehl, P. E. "The Dynamics of Structured Personality Tests," Journal of Clinical
  - Psychology, 1:796-503 (1945).
    82. Milisen, R. L. and W. Johnson. "A Comparative Study of Stutterers, Former Stutterers and Normal Speakers Whose Handedness Has Been Changed," Archives of Speech, 1:61-85 (1936).
  - 83. Mills, A. W., and H. Streit, "Report of a Speech Survey, Holyake, Massachusetts,"

    Journal of Speech Disorders, 7:161-167 (1942).
  - 84. Moncur, J. P. "Parental Domination in Stuttering," Journal of Speech and Hearing Disorders, 17:155-155 (1982).
    - Morgenstern, J. J. "Psychological and Social Factors in Children's Stammering." Ph.D. thesis, University of Edinburgh, 1933.
  - 86. Murray, H. A. Thematic Apperception Test. Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard University Press, 1913.
  - Nelson, S. F., N. Hunter, and M. Walter. "Stuttering in Twin Types," Journal of Speech Disorders, 10:335-343 (1945).
  - Farusynski, T. F. "A Study of the Speech Behavior of Stutterers under the Influence of Circumstances Created by Inhibiting Auditory and Visual Sensation. Unpublished MA Assist Monthly Principles.
  - Unpublished M.A. thesis, Marquette University, 1931.

    89. Pflaum, G. R. R. "The Voice Training of the Orators in Antiquity up to the Time
    - of Quintilian." Unpublished Ph.D. thesis, Cornell University, 1924.

      90. Rapaport, A. Operational Philosophy. New York: Harper and Brothers, 1933.
  - 91. Rogers, C. R. A Test of Personality Adjustment: Manual of Directions. New York: Association Fress, 1951. 92. Rogers, C. R. Measuring Personality Adjustment in Children Nine to Thirteen
  - Fears of Age. New York: Teachers College, Columbia University, 1951.

    93. Root, A. R. "A Survey of Speech Defectives in the Public Elementary Schools of South Dekota," Elementary School Journal, 26:331-351 [1920].

94. Rotter, J. B. "Studies in the Psychology of Stuttering: XI. Stuttering in Relation to the Position in the Family," Journal of Speech Disorders, 4:143-148 (1939). 93. Ruesch, J., and G. Bateson. Communication: The Social Matrix of Psychiatry.

New York: W. W. Norton, 1951.

96. Schindler, M. D. "A Study of Educational Adjustments of Stuttering and Nonstuttering Children," in Stuttering in Children and Adults, ed. by Wendell Johnson, Minneapolis: University of Minneaota Press, 1955. 97. Schuell, H. "Sex Differences in Relation to Stuttering: Part I," Journal of Speech

Disorders, 11:277-298 (1946).

98. Schuell, H. "Sex Differences in Relation to Stuttering Part II," Journal of Speech Disorders, 12:23-28 (1947) 99. Scripture, E. W. Stuttering and Lisping. New York: The Macmillan Company,

1912. 100. Seeman, M. "The Significance of Twin Pathology for the Investigation of Speech

- Disorders," Archiv. für die Gesamte Phonetik, 1 (Part II) :58 (1939). 101. Shane, M. L. S. "Effect on Stuttering of Alteration in Auditory Feedback," in Stuttering in Children and Adults, ed. by Wendell Johnson, assisted by Ralph
- Leutenegger, Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 1935. 102. Sheehan, J. G. "An Integration of Psychotherapy and Speech Therapy through a Conflict Theory of Stuttering," Journal of Speech and Hearing Disorders, 19:474-
- 482 (1954). 103. Sheehan, J. G., and S. Zelen. "Levels of Aspiration in Stutterers and Nonstut-
- terers," Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology, 51.83-86 (1955). 104. Sheehan, J. G. "Projection Studies of Stuttering," Journal of Speech and Hearing
- Disorders, 23:18-25 (1958). 105. Sheehan, J. G. "Conflict Theory of Stuttering," in Stuttering: A Sumposium, ed.
- by Jon Eisenson, New York: Harper and Brothers, 1958. 106. Spilka, B. "Relationships between Certain Aspects of Personality and Some Vocal Effects of Delayed Speech Feedback," Journal of Speech and Hearing Disorders,
- 19,491-503 (1954). 107. Steer, M. D. "The General Intelligence of College Stutterers." School and Society, 44,862-864 (1936).
- 108. Taylor, J. A. "A Personality Scale of Manifest Anxiety," Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology, 48:285-290 (1953).
- 100. Tiffany, W. R., and C. N. Hanley. "Adaptation to Delayed Sidetone," Journal of Speech and Hearing Disorders, 21:161-172 (1956).
- 110. Travis, L. E. Speech Pathology. New York: D. Appleton and Company, 1931. 111. Travis, L. E., ed. Handbook of Speech Pathology. New York: Appleton Century-
- Crofts, 1956. 119. Tuthill, C. E. "A Quantitative Study of Extensional Meaning with Special Reference to Stuttering," Speech Monographs, 13 81-98 (1916).
- 115. Van Riper, C. Speech Correction: Pranciples and Methods, New York: Prentice-Hall, 1954.
- 114. Van Riper, C., and J. V. Irwin, Voice and Articulation. Englewood Cliffs, N.J., Prentice-Hall, 1958.
- 113. Walker, H. M., and Joseph Lev. Statistical Inference. New York: Henry Holt and Company, 1953.
- 116. Wallin, J. E. "A Census of Speech Defectives among 89.057 Public-School Pupils - a Preliminary Report," School and Society, 3-215-214 (1915). 117, Warner, W. L., M. Meeker, and K. Eels. Social Class in America. Chicago: Sci-
- ence Research Associates, 1919 118. Wechsler, D. The Measurement of Adult Intelligence. Baltimore: Williams and
- Wilkins Company, 1944. 119. Welsh, G. S. "An Extension of Hathaway's MMPI Profile Coding System," Journal of Consulting Psychology, 12 515-541 (1948).

190 Welsh, G. S. and W. G. Dahlstrom. Basic MMPI Readings in Psychology and Medicine, Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 1957.

- 121. Wepman, J. M. "Is Stuttering Inherited?" Proceedings of the American Speech Correction Association, 5:39 (1933).
- 122. Wepman, J. M. "Familial Incidence in Stammering," Journal of Speech Diorders, 4:199-204 (1939).
- West, R., ed. A Symposium on Stuttering. Madison, Wisc.: College Typing Company, 1931.
- West, R. "An Agnostic's Speculations about Stuttering," in Stattering: A Symposium, ed. by Jon Eisenson. New York: Harper and Brothers, 1953.
- ponum, ed. by Jon Eisenson. New 10rk: Harper and Brothers, 1850.

  123. West, R., M. Ansberry, and A. Carr. The Rehabilitation of Speech. New York:

  Harver and Brothers, third edition, 1937.
- 126. West, R., S. Nelson, and M. Berry. "The Heredity of Stuttering." Quarterly Journal of Speech, 25:23-30 (1939).
- Journal of Speech, 22:75-90 (1939).

  17. Williams, D. E. "A Point of View about 'Stuttering,' " Journal of Speech and Hearing Disorders, 22:350-337 (1957).
- Williams, D. E., and L. R. Kent. "Listener Evaluations of Speech Interruptions," Journal of Speech and Hearing Research, 1:123-131 (1938).
   Williams, J. T. "A Study of the Parents of Cerebral Palsied and Non-Cerebral
- Williams, J. T. "A Study of the Parents of Cerebral Paised and Non-resisty of Palsied Children Using the MMPI." Unpublished M.A. thesis, University of Wisconnin, 1931.
- Wischner, G. J. "Stuttering and Learning: A Preliminary Theoretical Formulation," Journal of Speech and Hearing Disorders, 15:324-335 (1950).
- Wischner, G. J. "An Experimental Approach to Expectancy and Anxiety in Stattering Behavior," Journal of Speech and Hearing Disorders, 17:139-154 (1952).
- Wood, K. S. "Perental Maladjustment and Functional Articulatory Defects in Children," Journal of Speech Disorders, 11:e35-275 (1946).
   Yates, F. "Contingency Tables Involving Small Numbers and the z<sup>4</sup> Test," Sop-
- Yates, F. "Contingency Tables Involving Small Numbers and the X Test, plement, Journal of the Royal Statistical Society, 1:217-235 (1934).
- Young, M. A. "Correlational Analysis of Speech Nonfinencies and Rated Severity of Stuttering." Unpublished M.A. thesis, University of Iowa, 1938.

# Index

Children's Apperception Test, 201

Abstracting, 44: confusion of levels of, 252-"Clinical" and "nonclinical" subgroups of experimental group mothers, 161-164 "Accident," in relation to onset of stutter-"Complete blocks," 131, 135, 137-159 ing, 253 Coplen S. 19 Age, chronological: of children, 8, 9, 10, 35, Counseling of parents, 35, 173-175, 210-217, 36; of parents, 74-75 249-230, 233, 263-264 Alcohol, use of, 84, 103 Craven, D. D., 260 Allergies, 84, 226 Ammons, R. A., 95n Dahlstrom, W. G., 181n, 182n, 260 Anastasi, A., 181n Darley, F. L., 9, 17n, 20, 23n, 26n, 27, 36n, Atkinson, C. J. 237 45, 46, 51n, 78, 160n Attitudes of parents. toward speech, 85-83, 106; toward child development, 88-91, Data analysis, 23-27 Davis, D. M., 35, 253 104-101 Davison, A. II., 23n Auditory feedback, delayed, 257-259 Demetrius of Phalerum, 4n Demosthenes, 4 Bannock Indians, 252 Despert, J. L. Sn Bateson, G., 253n Development: speech, \$4, \$6, \$7, 60-63, 90, Bellak, L., 201 224; physical, 31, 52-56, 224; social, 57, Benedict, R. 231 63-63, 224; expectations of parents, 90 Berry, M., 69n, 71, 255n Diabetes, 84, 920 Birth: conditions of, 33, 36, 50-52, 96, 224; Diagnosogenic factors, 167, and passim injuries at, 33, 36, 32; duration of labor. Disciplinary practices and attitudes, 37, 40. 36, 50; length at, 36; weight at, 36, 50; 91-93, 100, 106, 107, 227 order of, 39, 72-73, 83, 925 Diseases, see Health Black, J W. 257 Dollard, J., 247n Minodstein, O. 210, 211, 215, 218, 255n Doob. L. W. 217n Bluemel, C. S., 31 Drever, J., 3n Boehmler, R. M., 149n, 243, 244, 245, Dwyer, S., 16 219 Boland, J. L., 260 Education of parents, 57, 59, 75-78, 98 Breast feeding, 36, 52n, 53 Ecls. K., 9, 13, 73 Brown, S. F., 17n Esemenn, J. 253n Burke, C. J . 26, 27n Epslepsy, 84, 226 Evaluative responses of parents, compara-Carroll, J. B., 253n tive analysis of, 67-68, 93, 115-116 Case history, projection in, 7, 119-124 pereim, 136-140 paseim, 144, 149-149, 161-Fairbanks, G., 257, 237n, 259 169 passim, 196-199, 919-920, 936-961 Family line data speech disorders, \$4, 57-District 59, 40 69-70, 168n. 925; handedness, 54, Cherry, C., 259n 59. 81-83, twinning, 59. 72, 81, \$25

Child development, parents' attitudes to-

ward, 89-91, 104-105

Feedback: delayed auditory, 237-259; kinesthetic, 257 Feeding problems, 36, 54, 60, 84 Ferguson, L. W., 181n Fisher, R. A., 27

Floyd, M. L., 16 Fluency norms, listener's sense of, 147-149, 219-220, 249-230 Folk thinking, 4

Folk thinking, 4 Follow-up studies, 35, 169-180, 233-235, 247 Froeschels, E., 31

Frustration tolerance of listener, 217-218 Geographical distribution of families, 14-15,

74-75 Giolas, T. G., 148n, 243 Glamer, P. J., 148n

Goodstein, L. D., 181n, 260 Graf, O. I., 71 Grossman, D. J., 187, 192 Guilford, J. P., 21, 27

Guilford Inventory of Factors STDCR, 41 Guttman, N., 257, 257n, 258

Haley, J., 253n Hamlett, G. W. D., 72 Handedness, 23–24, 34, 38, 39, 55, 54, 84–

33, 87, 89, 106, 107, 108n, 224 Hanley, C. N., 257 Hayakawa, S. I., 255n Health: of children, 33, 36, 37, 59, 42, 56-

60, 224; of family, 39, 84, 103, 226 Height of children, 55, 224 Heredity, see Family line data Higinbotham, R., 19 Hill, H., 254

Hood, P. N., 8n Hunter, N., 71 Hutton, C., 258n

Illnesses, 33-54, 56, 42, 58-60, 84, 224 Imitation, 130, 168, 167, 163n, 223 Information theory, 45 Injuries, in relation to onset of stuttering.

33, 36, 57, 224 Intelligence, 8n, 9, 22, 24, 33, 41, 89, 108 Interaction theory of stuttering, 6, 35, 236-264: general statement of, 236-239; major

voi: general statement of, 236-238; major variables in, 238-242 Intergroup intragroup comparisons, 40-41, 110-111, 114-116

Interview: procedures, 15-92; outline, 21 Iowa Scale of Attitude toward Stuttering, 24, 25, 41, 160, 191 Irwin, J. V., 257n

Jackson, D. D , 253n Jacger, W., 244, 245, 243 Kent, L. R., 243, 244, 245, 246 Kinsey, A. C., 9, 17, 45 Knott, J. R., 255n Korzybski, A., 253n Krumbacker, A., 4n

Lafoliette, A. C., 8n, 45 Language behavior: of listener, 252-255; of

Language behavior: of listener, 232-233; o speaker, 202-204 Lee, B. S., 257 Lee, L. J., 255a Level of aspiration of listener, 247-248

Lewis, D., 26, 27a Luchsinger, II., 71

Maraist, J. A., 938n Marital relationships, 57, 58, 81, 100-102, 927

Martin, C. E., 17 Mecker, M., 9, 13, 75 Milisen, R. L., 71 Miller, N. E., 247n Mills, A. W., 71 Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inven-

Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Investory, 46, 181-195, 226
Moncur, J. P., 8n, 45
Morgenstern, J. J., 8n, 249

Mowrer, O. H., 247n Neelley, J., 169n

Nelson, S., 69n, 71
"Nervousness," 54, 64, 168, 167, 168n
Nonfluency: parents first observations of,
117, 120-121; as reported for control
group children, 152-149; tension association of the second second control of the second second control of the second second control of the second second control of the s

ated with, 140-145; normal incidence of, 147-149, 203-214; measures of, 201-204; overlap of group measures of, 216-218; attitudes of parents toward, 238-254; relation to differences in sex, 239-240; not regarded as stuttering, 239-240, 230-257; speaker's sensitivity to, 241-242, 257-259; listener's sensitivity to, 212-254. types of, 243; judgments of and training in speech pathology, 244-245; parents laboratory judgments of, 255; judgments of and instructions, 215-246; factors contributing to, 250-252, 254-257; relation of drugs to, 250, 254; in relation to neurophysiological factors, 254-255; in relation to conflict, 255-257; syllable repetition as special type of, 256-257; speaker's tolerance of, 257-259; in relation to delayed

auditory feedback, 257-259. See also Stuttering Nonstutterer, definition of. 10-13 Only children, 39, 72-73, 223 Oppenheimer, R., 6 Overcrowdedness ratio, 53

Paruznski, T. F., 258n Perfectionism: of parents, 35, 37-41, 78, 89. 93-114 passim, 226-227; of children, 36.

Personality: testa, 24, 25; test data, 36, 41, 191-195 passim, 226, 259, 260-261; ratings and evaluations, 37, 39-43 passen, 66-68, 73-84 passim, 88-89, 93-116 passim, 224, 226-227, 239; theory, 236-266 passim

Pflaum, G. R. R., 4n

Physical development, \$4, 32-56, 224 Physical handicans or impairments, 35, 39,

82. 54 Plutarch, 4n

Pomeroy, W. B., 17 Position in family, see Birth, order of "Primary stuttering." 918-918, 918n, 942 Projection, see Case history Projective tests, 260

Punishment, see Disciplinary practices and attitudes

Rapaport, A., 255n

Reading: learning of, 68; amount done by parents about stuttering, 88 Recorded speech samples, 196-220, 232 Recreation of families, 39, 78-84 parsim Religion, 38, 65, 78, 98 Rogers, C. R., 24

Rogers Test of Personality Adjustment, 41,

Root, A. R., 71 Rosenthal, D., 148n Ruesch, J., 258n Russell, E. L., 16

Savers. B. M., 258n Schindler, M. D., 71 School adjustments, 38, 68 Schuell, H., 10, 240 Sears, R. R., 247n

Seeman, M., 71 Semantics, general, 45 Semantogenic factors, 70, 167, and passess Sex of subjects, 8, 9, 10, 35 Shane, M. L. S , 258n

Shank, K. II., 80 Sheehan, J. G., 255n, 260 Shirley, H. F., 16 Shoshone Indians, 252 Shyness, 64, 65, 66, 97

Sidetone, 257-250 Smith. S. M., 210 Social adjustment of parents, \$3, 78-81, 99, 226-227 Social development of children, 37, 63-63,

221, and passin Social home environment, 82-81, 102

Socio-conomic status, 8, 9, 38, 75-78, 98, 228, 243

"Spasm." 137

Speech: problems other than stuttering, 11. 62-63; development of, \$6, \$7, 60-63, 90, 225 incidence of disorders in families, 37-38, 40, 69-70; attitude of parents toward, 39-40, 106, of parents, 106; recorded samples of, 196-220, 232. See also

Nonfluency, Stuttenns Sndka, B., 257, 258 Spriestersbach, D. C., 17n, 23n, 24n, 160n

Streit, II., 71

Stutterer, definition of, 10-13 Stuttering theories of, 4-6, 31-52, 236-264 passem; interaction theory of, 6, 35, 236-264; incidence in families, 34, 69-70, 225;

age of onset, \$5, 41-42, 117-124, 228; circumstances of onset, \$5, 125-131, 228. 261-262; follow-up data, 35, 169-180, 233-235; nature at onset, 35, 42, 108, 132-149. 229-230, 261-262; persons for whom problem first arose, 53, 42, 125-126; development of, 42, 109, 156-161, 231-235; on set in relation to speech development, 42, 60-63, 90, 224; parents' explanations of, 42, 87, 164-169; ratings of severity of, 42, 161, 170-190; research approaches to, 44-46; twinning, 71-72; parents' reading about, 88; parents' attitudes toward, 106, 108, 109, 160, 258-254; discrepancies in parents' reports of, 117-125, 127-129; time when first regarded as a problem, 124-125; imitation, 130, 166, 167, 168n, 228, Lension associated with, 140-145, 236; parents' reactions at onset, 149-156, 251; children's reactions following onset, 157-161: problems of determining nature at onset, 196-200; relation to nonfluency, 217-220, 240-247, 250-252, and socioeconomic status, 243; and "accident," 253; and neurophysiological factors, 254-255 and conflict. 255-257; and delayed auditory feedback, 257-259; and personality. 239-261; and language behavior of speaker, 262-264; therapy, 263-264; similarity to other problems of child behavior, 264

Surgical operations, 36-57, 56, 224 Syllable repetition, explanation of, 256-257

Tension in the home 93-114 passim, 227; associated with nonfluency, 140-145

Thumb sucking, 34, 64 Tiffany, W. R., 237 Toilet training, 34, 54-56, 90, 225 Travis, L. E., 235a Turen, J., 244, 245, 248 Tuthill, C. E., 248, 249, 249 Twinning, 59, 72, 84, 225

Van Riper, C., 17, 233n, 257n

Wallin, J. E., 71 Walter, M., 71

Warner, M. L., 9, 12, 13, 33, 75, 76
Warner's Index of Status Characteristics,
38

Weakland, J. II., 253n

Weight of children, 56, 221 Welsh, G. S., 187n Wepman, J. M., 69n, 71

West, R., 69n, 2550 White House Conference on Children and Youth, Midcentury, 71

Williams, D. E., 1430, 243, 244, 245, 246, 2550 Williams, J. T., 187 Williamson, D. B., 80

Williams, J. T., 187 Williamson, D. B., 8n Wischner, G. J., 255n Wood, K. S., 8n, 17, 45

Young, M., 169n, 413 Zelen, S., 460

#### AFFERDIX A

#### Explanatory Note to the Surrary Table

The data from Study II and Study III, with exceptions noted, are presented in the following Summary Table. The main purposes of making the findings available in this detailed fashion are to allow essential reference to them in the body of the report and to encourage further evaluation of them and continued development of their implications, not only by students of the stuttering problem but also by child psychologists, cultural anthropologists, medical investigators, and others interested in the broad area of child development and family life.

With a view to the economical and effective use of space, certain deletions have been made. For example, data such as those obtained by means of the Minnesots Multiphasic Personality Inventory (see item 615) have not been included in the table because they have been presented comprehensively in Chapter 7. Certain questions elicited responses that were highly varied and difficult to classify; some of these responses, such as those to items 94, 217, 236, appeared to be sufficiently important to warrant their being reported in full, while others are included in partial or condensed form (e.g., items 720 and 721), with explanatory footnotes. A few items elicited information that proved, in either Study II or Study III or both, to be too fragmentary to justify presentation (see the footnote to items 573-583 and 587-595, for example), or to consist of lists or details summarized elsewhere in the table or in the text (e.g., items 616, 647, and 651), or that were used in deriving certain scores or indexes to be found in the table (see, for example, the footnote to items 617-621). For such items essential explanation is provided in footnotes.

The data from Study II have been included in the Summary Table only to the extent that they were comparable with those from Study III. Codings for Study II

that are different from codings used for corresponding questions in Study III are

set off by a dagger (†) preceding the number, as in item 55. The items are numbered consecutively throughout the table; after the colon following each item number in the first column of the table the IEM card and column number is also given. The deletion of a few items from the interview after the coding system had been set up is indicated by corresponding gaps in the IBM mumbering. Single asterisks designate items for which responses were not punched for IBM processing but are on file in the University of Iowa Speech Clinic. Responses to most of these items are summarized in this table. Double asterisks designate items that are operational, such as case number; responses to these were punched on IPM cards. Some items, such as No. 4, child's age, were presented only to one parent and responses are summarized accordingly in the corresponding column; F refers to fathers, M to mothers. The musbers in the columns are either computed values, as for item No. 4, or numbers of informants giving each indicated response, as for item No. 5. With occasional exceptions, only those responses to any question are listed that were reported by or for three or more of the respondents represented in one or more columns of the table; indication is given in a footnote of the number of responses reported by or for one or both parents in only one or two cases in either the control or experimental group, or in both, in either Study II or Study III, and it is to be understood that the two parents in any case may or may not have agreed in their responses (e.g., footnotes to items 16 and 51).

For any item with respect to which each respondent gave one and only one response the total number of responses entered in each column of the table is 50 for Study II and 150 for Study III. For any item with respect to which all informants responded and one or more respondents gave more than one answer the column totals affected are correspondingly greater than 50 for Study II or 150 for Study III. Column totals less than 50 for Study II or 150 for Study III are accountable by reference to the rule, stated above, that, with few exceptions, only those responses to any question are listed that were reported by or for three or more of the respondents represented in one or more columns, or on the basis of one or another of the following explanations: (a) the item did not apply to certain respondents, for reasons that are to be ascertained by examining

CONNECT TAILS. Interprise data obtained in two separates investigations from nothers (F) of 200 altereally and interpretations of the second o

stuttering westigation Study II an	westering children trepressed second getter of mally. We ablighted was marked in choices 2 and are reported westering the 30 second in choices 2 and are reported westering in a Stand I not described in Chapter 1. The findings of They III are presented and discussed in Chapter 1. The findings of They III are presented and discussed in Chapter 1. The findings of They III are presented and discussed in Chapter 1. The findings of They III are presented and discussed in Chapter 1.	of the findings of St.	aubjects and inventing II are sumeral	there 3-9 of this volum	olume.
Item No. 1 IIM Card and Column No.	Item; or Coestion and Resymnan Codings; or Computed Values	Control Etudy 11 Oroup (N = 50)	Experimental Group (n = 50)	Control Group (n = 150)	Experimental Group (N = 150)
1142-5 20-6-7 1146-7 5148	Coss numbers  Co	244 255 254 254 254 254 254 254 254 254	\$ 4 4 8 8 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	8814 8617 818 818 818 818 818 818 818 818 818 8	880 860 860 8610 8610 87 84
71410-13	March to the and worth when the state of the	25110 2316 5418 5718 3311 3610 3715 4317 50 50	2411 22110 5511 5312 4018 3716 4010 3714 6916 4715 50 50	25:2 22:11 50:3 1654 34:5 32:3 51:5 38:5 150 150	2412 2115 5510 4516 3510 3310 3512 3310 4316 4012 150 150
81414-17	Inrest's age at birth or child to bearest would (yearstmouths) a. Manlaum b. Maxiaum	20110 1816 1412 14110	2013 19111 1,912 1410	19:1 19:3	1910 1912 1916 1011

,		l							
	Year	30:1	2710	e e e e	2317	52	57.5	30:10	5937
	9	56:10	27:10	£	2819	20:7	2010	0.0	
	rcentile	# G	5. 5.6	6104	200	3217	34:4	150	150
		2							
<b>*</b>	State or country of birth								
*	States lived in for one year or								
	more (stated and mumber of years)								
11:418	Primary residence	•				ż	ė		3
	1. Never lived on a farm; urban	9	z	50	ß	8	5	ŝ	į
	2. Incidental residence of at least a								
	year but not any lang period of			•			;	:	•
	years in rural areas	۵	¢	9	m	23	#	ន	0
	3. Primarily rural during childhood								
		0	.#	9	*	13	75	m	0
	4. Primarily rural during childhood								
		8	97	۳	7	50	27	57	g
	5. Prinarily rural during youth			•					
	(12 to 18)	¥	•	0	-	.3	9	•	c
	6. Primerily navel during worth and	•	,					•	•
		0	o	٥	o	-	-	c	0
		•	•	•				•	
	or Land Tribe Control of	4.	•	1	1:	-10	nu	9 5	ne
	THE COLUMN TWO	•	ų		1	•	•	3	^
							,	٠	
	arty youth (					0	0	н	0
	10. Rural up to 24 years					0	-	0	0
12 th 19-20	Number of years of school completed (or								
	equivalent in private tutoring)								
	a. Minima	#		•		80	æ	-2	ø
	b. Maximum	83		12		9	Z,	22	61
	c. Men	7.7		7.21		14.6	13,4	13,3	12
	d. Wedian	14.5		ä		1	13	1	2
	e. oOth percentile	2		12		2	2	1	3
		, G	S	2	2	150	150	22	9
130	Towns of advention					ì	ì		
,	1. Completed one or more years of								
	graduate vork at college or univer-								
	sity	5	m	-	-	35		77	e

	estate of the second	Control	Study	: 12	ental	Group	0 0	Group	ental P
Item No.1 IN Card and Column No.	Item or Question and Actives	Group (# = 50)			.함	(# 150)	(S)		
		١.	5	9	#	52	36	23	16
	university, or professional school	٠,	3 0	v	60	14	23	52	ĸ
	or equivalent higher education or crawmated from high school, or	۰ ،	. 6	` g	%	88	٤	9	83
		<b>x</b> 4	2 04	*	60	ដ	10	15	ដ
	6. Third to eighth year (older persons), shirting to eighth grade	۲	~	6	e,	e	۰	6	9
	7. Delow third Grade (older persons), anitting to below eighth grade (come shifts)	•	0	0	•	0	•	cu	-
141421	Were you a social fraternity or scroutty member in college? 1, Yes	21	8 22	<b>8</b> 2	7.1	88	ន្តជ	22.68	528
	2. No 3. No; correspondence study, no	?	;			۰	0	-	0
151422	college residence What is your religion? 1. Protestant 2. Roman Catholic	E Z C	¥51°	96-0	\$ <b>5</b> 00	116 25 0	25	g & 67	884
	3, Greek Orthodox b, Jorish 5, Moneindifferent 6, Noneand 7, Russian Orthodox	040	040	n= 0	040	W400	n-100	drou	400

2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	19 27 63 97 71 63	7 8 16 15 19 21	6 4 11 18 25 14	9 5 30 12 25 26	36 138 137 129 120 7 9 11 17 13 7 1 2 3 10 1 2 0 7 7
wäenven	F		<b>a</b>	w 04	3000
******	16	er.	۰.	W.	
If presented, what is your constitution of the pour is presented in the pour is the pour in the pour is the pour is the pour is the presented in the pour is the p	1. Actively concerned as regular attendant, or participant in organised church activities, more than once a month 2. Fairly frequent attendance;	about once a month	'. Practically no attendance or	5. None Now was mother's bealth during pregnancy?	1. Good 2. Puir 3. Poor 4. 7 Para tilbegee did she bave during programmer?
161423-24 171425				18426	191427-28

There were of additional denominations represented in both Study II and Study III. Mairteen of these were reported by the equival, and 15 by the experimental froup respondents. In chillier to the liberies repelled, and a city different illnesses or consinutions of illnesses has reported in the flower than from the temperature and respected in the constraint of the restriction of the temperature of the respected in the constraint of the temperature from respected to the constraints of the respected to the respected to the constraints of the respected to the constraints of the constra

•		A DRIVE	•				é
	Heat or Question and Response	Control	Experimental Oroup	Group	, [	Group (# 150)	_
TON CAPE AND	Colinges or Computed Values	(05 - 10)	07 2.		Į.		ၪᆈ
Column No.					-		CA
	2. Cols				φ.		0 4
	3. Varicose veine				۰,		,0
	L. Anemia				٠.		v
	5, Toxte poisoning	-	61		• •		C
	6.1	· ca	o		3		`
	7. Mannes						
50100	Vas abe able to eat regularly and						
	retain food during most of the			•	17		-5
	pregnancy?	2	2	746	1,		: 5
	1. Yes	, ~	•	m	۰	1°	,,
	2. 70		cı	-	0		-
	3.1						
211A30	Specify any shocks spe suffered						-
	during the prognancy	4	•	-	ч	+ 1	* *
	1. In monident		cı	cv	-		9
	2. Death to family	•		0	0		m,
	3, Bitten by a dog	•		cv	4		9
	4. Others	ير		145	7.	133	М
	S. Hoto	-		0	0	9	u.
	6. Uncertain	•					
721431	Specify and falls suffered during the						
	pregnancy (Study III Specify any ralls						
	or severe injuries suffered during the						
	pregnancy)	=	9	136	121	23	ĕ
	1. None	ç.	•	8	13	.#	٠,
	1.2	-	-		m	н	•
		ı					

\* In addition to the 1 kinds of short specified, each of 19 different kinds was reported in both Shory III and Eduky III by one silvermail of circumail of these were reported by the control and 13 by the reported att group respondents does have the the control group in the of these were reported to this question; the activities and succeptain. The child in this case control group in the control of the contro

was adopted.

800

9 50 00 00

	1. 140 6	ļ ·						]
		9 1		0 1	0	ç,	٥.	O)
23.4.22	Do you see any connection between	1		~	2	0	2	0
	these shocks or injuries and any							
	characteristic of the child?							
	L. Strongly believes or suspects direct							
	or indirect causal relationship	,	•	٠	•	,		
	2. Believes no relationship, direct		•	,	>	-	н	0
	or indirect	•			:	1		
	3.1	;	^ 1	^	=	ž	4	55
24.433	Does the other Parent see any			2	-1	to	-	
	comeetion							
	1. Strongly believes or enemaks deman							
	Or indirect caused and and and							
	Partieres of Contract of the C	0	٥	-	c	,	•	•
	to restrate no relationably, direct or				,	•		9
	indirect	14	_	•	1	•		
	-		*		#	7	2	ě
251436	Length of precrame		C-9	-	-	•	•	4
	In Orer Q. S. months					,	ı	•
	and a second	۰,		u				
	4 to 3 to 2 to 2 to 3	97		`.		,		cv
	5. Eractif 9 months and 1 day			2		-		9
	4. 8 to 9 months	:				25		
	5. 7 to 8 norths	1		=		36		17
	6. 6 to 7 senths			-		ď		, ·
	7. 1	60		-		, ,		
	*B. Just 9 membra	-		-		,_		o i
24.4.35	Ves the chitte committees	25		2		•		-
	of the distance of the state of							
	***							
	2, 30				=	13	,	4
	~~				134	2	1:	' :
2	What amouther to use administration				,-	?*		
	childherth?				,	ų	•	~
	. Kore							
i		-		4		:		
						2		\$

۰

In stitles to the senthetics specified, sech of 16 different kinks or combinations of hinds was reported in both was respected in both was respected in the state of the informatia species is any one colours in the tables 12 of these 13.

		Study 1	Control	Study II	Experimental
Item No. 1	Item; or Question and Response Codings; or Computed Values	Group (N = 50)	٦	,	Greup (4 - 150)
Column No.		×		42	8,
				ខ្ព	~ <sup>2</sup> 2
	3. "Spinel" [, "Gas"	E 22	នានា	:E	**
		150	me	.0	CV-
	7. Chioroford	-		in.	*
	8. "das" and ether			mı	. 0
	9. Scopolanine			<b>n</b>	
	10. Denerol and scopotemina			-	-
P81437-39	Length of 1800r to mour			8	7.5
				•	1
	New York			5.9	2.5
	A. Nedien		50	4.0	2.2
	. 90th percentile			148	2
				,	9.
£9:439	Vas labor induced?			A:	12
	1. 1.				-
0,440	What was position of baby at birth?		57	138	128
	1. Head first			Ç.	01
	2. Feet first		-	<u>«</u>	
	3. Breech (Mp)			ı.	
	4. Cacearean	ne o		. ~	-
			,	0	
	6. Rend first but face reversed			-	
	7. Caesarean in breech position				
31,441	Were Instruments used?	=		33	
	1. Yes	: =	£3.	101	83
	2. 10	ş e-t	23	-	

Ξ.

.

2									
42:454	Umbilical cord around neck 1. Yes 2. No	٥	•	7	я·	13,2	1,12	~3	25.2
\$3t45\$	Uniscally quiet the first few days 1. Yes	0	0	٥	٥	<b>4</b> 6	.a 60	ν 4	~ r
44,435	2. no 3. f Fulse alow 1. Yes	•				12 tz	135	141 6	138
45:A57	2. No 3. † Pulse weak	۵	0	0	0	35.	13.2	1730	- 1 <sup>2</sup> 2.
462458	2. 108 3. 10 3. 2. 20 Janostov	0	0	•	0	៰ដូឌ	137 9	130	151 5
65.41.24	2. No 3. f conviletors	-	0	+	en .	177	***	444	245
48±860	1. Yes 2. No 3. 7 Wes there a Matory of RH incompatability?	0	0	0	0	2180	o 61 61 7	110 ×	14°0
191461	1. Tes 2. No 3. T How difficult was the labort		•				21.27 8		ងឌី៤
	2. Somewhat more than average 2. Somewhat more than average 3. Short average 4. Somewhat Less than average 5. Much Less than average 6. It can be than average						~88285~		282886

Ites No.1 IN Card and Column No.	Items or Question and Response Codings; or Computed Values	(W = 50)	4	G . So		1200	(SO)	130 130 130 130 130 130 130 130 130 130	g Z
50n(2-63	Were there any [other] unmanal efform- stance or conditions commercied with the birth? If so, what were they?	ç.		8	8	132	113	727	125
53.464-65	1. Hone defects in the child were noted at birth or shortly after; 1. Hone 2. Birthwarks		•	91	۰:	3,50	8240	75. 7.4.61	121
	- 2 4	۳3	o.4	380	18				
9)ries	Deem the parent attribute any weak- ness, alloent, or speech defect of the child to the circumstances of								
	birth of the child? 1. Strongly believes or suspects direct or indirect causal					٣	m	C4	*
	relationship 2. Believes no relationship, direct or indirect					133	137	137	137
531467	3. The other parent believed the Strongly believes or magnets direct or indirect causal relationship			İ		"	e l	«	7
There v	There were 73 dirementance or conditions reported in both Studies II and III, each by fewer than three of the informa- There were 73 dirementance or conditions reported in both Studies II were reported by the control and 42 by the experimental	these 73	Studies I	I and I	II, each b	y fever	than three by the ex	of the j	inform-
group represent	ands represented in any one contains to the contains and the contains and three of the informants represented in any group, responsed the contains represented in any group, responsed to the contains and the con	budy III.	ch by feve	t than	three of t	he infor	mants repr	esented in Study	in the

xperimental Group

Control

Layerimental Group (N = 50)

Items or Question and Response

Outs in the serious are no solutional enterts reported, enter the trait that where of the informents column is the president to achieve the experimental group respondent eventual and 18 by the experimental group respondent eventual and the question was "ter where any defects noted at birth or shortly thereafter?"

\* \* . \*

The vords "by both of you" vere not included in Study II.

=		Study	The state of the s	Control		Experimental	ntai
Item No.1 IN Card and Column No.	Item) or Question and Response Colings; or Computed Values	Group Group (N = 50)	Group (N = 50)	(% 150)	, gk	(0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,	9×
574472-73	Vicy was bottle feeding adopted (see injoining teach)	7	8	619	12	27.5	450
	2, bother had insufficient milk 3, Didn't want to be bothered 4, Doctor's recommendation	lowa-	814 DH	ក្ខ <b>១</b>	2-3:	300-	220-
	6. Hother preferred it 7. Child never bottle fed B. Milk not rightly quality poor	•		0,440	Д~ 0.≠	* m t- rl	** #*
	9, Alst Supply Stands to the mother 10, Suching was painfully breaf feeling free child so preferred most to feel this shild	o		44	40	<b>.</b> ≄ 0.	10-a
	13. Nother not able to (inverted	۰	-	m		e	e
\$81474	nipper in the other parent hold the child during bottle feeding!	ដូន	202	800	512	8242	<b>552</b>
	3. Sometimes held, sometimes did not	0	ç,	<del>,</del> #	14	H	0
	5. Child held by someone other than yarent faring 6. Child not on bottle					00	00
11 pot represented 1 spondents. Do	In both Endy II and Study III there were 10 additional reasons reported, such by fower than three of the informatia prepared in any cose column of the table; II of these 30 were reported by the control and 20 by the experimental group re- speciative Lose respondents in Study II give more than one response.	iditional reasons re 30 vere reported n one response.	corted, each by f	a 20 by th	three of	the infor	up re-

			35	Š	9.	•	3		ä	•	•	~	œ		•	.0		~				Π.	S.	1 5
									115	n	0	œ	~	,	na	m		œ				m;	-	] ja
																								Legbour.
Ì		4	32	1	13 6		2		5	e	~	•	~	-	m	-		~				٠-٩	3	3
									116	۰	٥.	<i>a</i> (	•	cu	'n	60		٥				4 5	1	percent11ea
4	m		36	10.5	# u	3	?		<b>g</b> ′	a.	41	۰ م	2			~					,	×=		and 90th
					•	-																		medians,
-₫ 9		•	2	<u>-</u>		9		1	ŊF	~	٠,	٠.				-					4	•	. [	means,
			7	PT .						,								3	first					Liber purposes of compating the maximus and minimus values, means, reddans, and 90th percentiles, the response "not re-
	Now old was the child (in months) when bottle feeding was replaced by cup feeding!						Wist sorts of feeding problems did		2. Many changes of formula required			n foods	Didn't take as much as he should		Some changes in formula manifust	Difficult to satinfy in terms of		In comparison with other babies, how	men did the child ery during the first	The veeks or months of the life?	1960	average		S control and
both	s the child freeding w				reentile.		of feeding		anges of fo	wfused milk	Much throwing up	Allergie to certain foods	take as mic		ances in fo	It to satin		son with ot	ne child er	or acceptage	I. Much more than average	e. Somewhat more than average		Siven for
77. Some of both	How old we when bottl by cup fee	e. Minima	O. Peril	d. Median	e. 90th percentile		A COLUMN	1. None	2. Hany ch	3. Child r	t. Nuch th	5. Allered		7. Colto	8. Some ch	9. Hrff.	Amount	In compart	910 010		on don	S SOMEWIA		Tour of co
	91475-76					9	0)=1410											2					١,	1 P
	¥1					Š	5											11479					l	Š,

0.00

20 00 0 m

defigited in secretaries and the 3 Countra and 3 reprendent group majorets, was recenzed, on with and the defigited in the secretaries when the secretaries and the secretaries were secretaries and the secretaries of the se

:		Study	I Table of the second of the s	Control	Study II	₽	1 [
Itra No.1	Item; or Question and Response Colings; or Computed Values	Group Group (N = 50)	Group (N = 50)	(N - 150)	9× (	150	al t
	3. About average 4. Somewhat less than average 5. Pach less than average	13 15 12	0 0 0 0 0	සීත්ය ය	o ಸ್ಥೆ ಜ್ಞ ೦	3525	22.5
03 M ES	6, Uncertain What was the probable cause of the erring (main cause); 1. Uncertain 2. Formula dialth agree	mO ·	ਜਜ ਤ	4440	พลผอ	440 t	ខាងជួ
	h, Golse 5, Baby hungry, insufficient nourishment	σ.	,		0 O	E	пп
100	by dery not washed developed disper rash 7. Bungry X. Unasked (crying not a signif- stent problem)	2.5 24	o 84	134	124	130	111
63106-7	following: First tooth a. Mainan b. Mariman c. Men	3 10 6.4	2019 6.10 6.44		0 m 0 m 0		6.2
	d. Median e. 90th percentile f. N	93	94	Ì	143	- }	( <u>F</u>
14 In bot	I'h, beh Sudies II est III there war 59 sâlitiumă, causte reporteis, each by feert han the al in informatie re- porteis de la colonia cit bet abie; il c'i thet 59 vere reportei d'i by te control al ne superjentual. Scoup re- resedant a gang especiales de la biest II gewe nore bian can reporte. Cestica foi 2 was sind only et the informatie sho recedant a lange especiales.	itional causes reported, eme 29 were reported by the clan one response. Question	ch by fewer than outrol and 13 b No. 62 was aske	three	of the ind sperimented of the infe	group group	er er

spondents. Some respondents in Study 11 gave more than one response to No. 61.

ı

17 48 55 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57	22 22 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 2		5.5 5.7 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5	87 63
A. Average 2. Early, precedence, advanced 3. Slow, retarded	Null see 0: 20 baby teeth 13 continue 20 baby teeth 13 continue 20 baby teeth 13 continue 20 baby teeth 13 continue 20 baby teeth 15 continue 20 bab	Did you consider this to be:  1. Investigate this to be:  3. Early, preceding, admiced  3. Early, preceding, admiced  Corejing (aid, in Study II), or  covering (aid, in Study II), or	is Mintana 5 c. Mentana 12 c. Mentana 12 d. Mentana 7.0 d. Mentana 7.0 d. Mentana 7.0 d. Mentana 7.0 d. Mentana 7.0 d. Mentana 7.0 d. Mentana 12 d. Mentana	2. Esty, precedus, advanced 3. Slow, retarded
64:183	65:09-10	66:011 67:012-13	1116y	

Age all recommended these from Go through BG the matter of informatic responding was not limited, in may case, to the matter the informatic from the control of the control

		Study II	-	Control	Exper
Ites No. 1 Illy Card and Column No.	Ites or Question and Response Codings; or Corputed Value	Control Group (N = 50)	Experimental Group (N = 50)	(N = 150)	5
69:215-16	Sitting up unsupported 16	* A C	3 67 9 67 9	พพัล	
		÷ **	8.9 %	8.4 137	
701817	f. H bid you consider this to bet 1, Average 2. Early, precedus, advanced			98 23 24	
71(818-19	J. 3100, retained L. Fret steps alone m. Minimum b. Maximum	81.18	8 % 6.51	12.23 12.23 13.03 13.03	
02162	c. Mean d. Median e. 90th percentile f. 11 Did you consider this to be:	F1	33.3	141 641 F	
	1. Average 2. Early, precedious, advanced 3. Slow, retarded 4. 9		•	EPT V	
73:121-22	Voluntary control of boreau b. Waximan c. Mean	10 30 17.6	18.1 18.1	20°7	
	e, 90th percentile	LT.	4	Tr.	
16ra Bt	16 n Study II this item was worded "Sitting alorm."	lone."			

14:223	Did you consider this to be:				
	I. Average			11	Ş
	3. Slow, retarded			₹.8	î Es
15:324-25	Voluntary control of bladden, day			ĺω	?~
	R. Minimin	:			
	b. Maximum	1%		رو	•
	C. Mean	20.7	9 6	g -	£,
	G. Median		2 2	517	57.6
	e. 90th percentile		40.7	5,50	50.5
761326	Did ven consider the to her	14	44	310	7 5
	1. Average				244
	2. Early, Presocious, sdvanced			52	9
	3. Blow, retarded			<b>#</b> :	9
77:1227-28	Voluntary control of blass			30	g.
	a. Minimum			>	4
	O. Maximia	٥.	9	۳	٥
	C. Mean	36	109	99	o g
	d. Median	25.2	30.4	53.9	200
	e. 90th percentile		22,4	24.1	24.1
70.00		91	1	39.5	10.7
Control	Did you consider this to ber	₽	ğ	121	119
	2. Early, precocious, advances			9	Ü
	3. Slow, retarded			m.	(38)
79:830-31	Using spoon in freedom sale			y -2	£.
	a. Merima				4
	b. Maximim	r	9	5	•
	ne Wean	13.5	200	፠	36-
	- Contract	,		TO: 1	14.8
	a your percentile		0177	2,5	13.6
		27	<b>1</b> 3	20.5	e) ;
				;	far.

	ol×	222	2 7 2 2	7,28%	3 7.8 u	స్ట్రాజ్ -	2
11 Experimental	Ozcup (N - 150)		103	ងពង្គក	ပိုက္ခမ္တာက	80 E	3
Study		123.5	5480	ដីខន្មី	3480	కొంసాల	8
Control	(n - 150)		3254	255 g r	8-8-	నీ రా గొన	88
	   a z		3,58	<b>≠</b> 92%	చిఖ టిం	62g	~
	Group (N = 50)		76	<b>≄</b> ®&	25-42	F-988	۰
Study	اد الآد الاد		9.0 t	98 8	బ్బాస్ట్ర	3.85	60
	Group (N = 50)		wwō	wog	*** #**	308	ι.
	Item; or Question and Response Codings; or Computed Values	Did you consider this to bet A vernege  9. Early, precededs, advanced 1, 3. Stoy, restoring activities This earl of the following activities This word with you have sufficient the	developing, provided he engages in the activity); Throwing 2. Buyestor 2. Inferior	J. Average Catching 1. Superior 2. Inferior 3. Average	i. ? Drawing and coloring 1. Superior 2. Interior 3. Average	h. 1 h. 1 1. Superior 2. Inferior 3. Average	i. † Jumping 1. Superior
\$	Item No. 1 IIM Card and	801332	81:133	821834	831835	94:1336	851837

Experimental Group (n = 150) P M	작전시합으니 너 이 것 그 이수
Study 111 Control E Group (N = 150)	uõrões a u n u na
Experimental Group (N = 50)	uvæ ta
Control Study Group (N = 50)	జ <b>ల్లు అ</b> న్నాలు
Item; or Question and Response Codings; or Computed Values	Wat did you do in trying to truth and doubt did for board and had been aboutfully but the state of the state
Item No.1 IRM Card and Column No.	97-548116

The the factor I mail II they use 23 other transcense given, each by freet that there of the intercents a very-served in my one column of the table 13 of these 33 were given by the central and 12 by the specimental group respond the face represental in flush II gives more than one response. The phase "in trying to tenin the child for boach control" was centred in flush III.

						1
free; or Queeties and Response Codings; or Computed Values	Control Study Group (N - 50)	Experimental Group (N = 50)	Control Group	1. 32	Experimental Group (n = 150)	Sol I
2. Bracebat sore than average			ສະ	25	77.8	87%
3. About everage			स	28:	:33	.8.5
5, Mich less than average			를 다	70	<b>3</b> ~	20
to did you teach the child to use						
ereyon or reneil?			12	٥	8	4
2. Did nothing; child learned by			16	я	ដ	ä
3. Adult placed it in right hand			12	ę	ĸ	ň
4. Adult offered it toward right hand			m	-	9	8
5. Adult placed it in center and						
let him choose hand to be used;			R	£43	33	33
6. Adult showed him, using the						
right hand (child to imitate marent's movements)			#	6	*	5
7. Child imitated older sibling;						
older children			3	9	67	8
			0	-1	0	0
9. Threat placed it on child's right wide			0	0	0	-
O. Parent placed pencil in right			-		•	å
hand and moved hand 1. Offered it toward left hand			a 60	۰,4	4 -1	± ~1
2. Told child to put it in right			•	ć	c	-
			00		0	4 24 1
4. Placed it on left side			0	0	0	-

158156	Now did child react to thing			ļ
	O. No wednesday, and to self-	15	50	35
	SOUTC'S OF SIDE THERE			
	3. Child shifted it to other hand	S S	53	20
	innediately	-	_	•
	** Child and to be realished several	•		•
	Suggested.			
	5. Child had to be reminded many	0	-	Çű
	times to use hand the adult			
		٠	,	
	6. Child followed adult's or sib's	~	٥	~
	count but occasionally shifted			
		0	4	•
	S. Ohild followed advises of the	-2	, 5	vā
	Cutes Dut nucles of and	į	2	3
	9. Child followed adult's on with	n	cı	-
	cues but about half the time			
	20. Child picked it up with left	ø	۳	-
	Lin Child ummally picked it up with	6	#	0,
	hand			
	18. Ohild picked 1t up with right	-	0	r
	hand			
	13. Child usually picked it up with	81	81	9
	hand hand			
961352	Child's original preference (emerces	o	c	•
	or pencil)		,	>
	1. Very mach right	,		
	3. Steptic mixed	ឧ	er a	8
		12/	, eo	8=
				;

\$2			Traidy II	-			Study II		
Item No. 1 IBN Card and Column No.	Item; or Question and Response Codings; or Computed Values	Grantrol Group (N = 50)	1. ☆≭	Group (n 50)	inter [5]	Control Group (N = 150)	707 150)	Crosp (N = 150)	1 g
	4, Mastly left					ű.	ន្ទ	00	99
	6. Not renemally certain but					27	9	30	53
						**	-7	en ,	CI
	8 Not reasonably certain but think had no preference; significantly mixed	46	4 g	Og	0 22	Ø 0	or o	01 EN	00 F4
97,1851	file fort Which hand does he use now in	a	a	4	2				
	handling a pencil or crayon? 1. Very much right 2. Mostly right					88	91 61	11 12 1	88.
						* * ° °	วงนี	nu on	o-3 81
	6. Not reasonably certain but think prefers right 7. Not wearmably certain but					e,	-	o	0
						0 4	40	0 4	
981854	How did you teach the child to use a spoon?					ដ	0	20	٣
	2. Md nothing; child learned by himself					9	A	-	-
19,000	19thers were 6 stattional restonate given, each by fewer than three of the informants rememented in any one column of	by fever	than three	at a	nforments	remedent	ta an	ope colur	6

"There were 6 additional responses given, each by fewer than three of the informents represented in any one column of the table; all 6 were given by the control respondents and one by an experimental group respondent.

	3. Adult placed spoon in right				1
	Mend 1	#	ş	S	Ş
	** Marie Gilered about toward		2	3	ŝ
	TABLE SECTION	17	4	2	5
		i	,	2	2
	and let him choose hand to be				
		ţ	-		
	6. Adult showed him, using the	ñ	ç	8	çı
	right hand (child to imitate				
	parent's movements				
	7. Child imitated older sibling.		ev	-	
	learned from older stbling				,
	8. Parent placed span on children	18	#	a	4
	right side				
	9. Parent placed groom in wicht	cu	ø	a	•
	band and moved child's hand to				
	nouth				
99:1355	How did rivilly weart to think	-	4	ų	:
	1: 1				Ç
	, To	35		,	
	to reactions entitle followed	ç	o.	92	c۷
	adult's or sib's cues				
	3. Child shifted spom to other	83	8	50	ů,
					,
	4. Child had to be reginded several	cu	8	¥	۰
	times to use hang the shult			,	
	5. Child had to be reminded mer-	<b>_</b>	9	a	
	times to use hand the adult				
	6. Child followed adult's or athin	cu	~	,	
	Cures Dark concess from 11 a rate of		•	•	m
	to other land				
	7. Child took cover need down	=	,		
	hand	:	•	Ŋ	5
	8. Child followed adult's or sent.	9	ž		5
	ewes but quite often shiften to		•	3	2
	other hand				
		m	۳		4
				,	٠

8		Standy	14.0		Study	H	11
Item Wo.1 IBM Card and Column Wo.	Item; or Question and Response Codings; or Computed Values	Control Group (N = 50)	Experimental Group (H = 50)	Group Group (N = 150)	2 . Q. x	Experimental Group (N a. 150) P M	g ≥
	9. Child followed adult's or sib's						
	gues but about half the time			60	60	v	m
	10. Child picked up spoon with left			30	æ	9	7
	11. Child usually picked up spoon with right hand but occasionally			cu	Q	15	Ħ,
	12. Child picked up spoon with right			18	8	7	7,
	13. Child usually picked up spoon with left hand but occasionally with right hand			0	0	0	٦
100:856	Child's original preference (apoon)			బ్జి	37	88	82
	2. Mostly right 3. Significantly mixed 4. Mostly left 5. Wery much left			5 24	ಸಚಿಕ್ಕ	<sup>64</sup> co. ca	844
	6. Not reasonably certain but think preferred right			97		30	17
				m	01	<b>4</b>	٧.
	<ol> <li>Mot reasonably certain det valux had no preference; significantly mixed</li> <li>1</li> </ol>		•	0.40	£1.0	44	e 4
101.957	Which hand does he use now in esting with a spood? 1. Very much right 2. Mostly right 3. Significantly mixed			ងី៥.	21. 21.	858.0	888

	4. Wortly left	\ 	_	\	1
	5. Very much left	2	':	9	'n
	6. Not reasonably certain but think	ij	1	3	7
		•			
	7. Not reasonably certain but think	•	•	5	0
	has no preference: significantly				
	Hxed				
1021358	If left-banded preference was show	0	0	0	~
	at tiret. In adding of above manner				
	Wat As the chart of the chart o				
	BILL BE SHOWED THE BEST OF THE STATE OF THE				
	I administration of the control of t				
	-			۰	
	2. Acceptance; no attempt to change	1:	4 ;	-	٥
	3. Som unsuccessful efforts to	ę	#	3.8	50
	change				
	The state of the section of the sect	sr.	-	4	-
	. Tree are are areas		-	•	4
	estrara on	٠			
	5. Forced child to change over, with	٥	-	o	a
	6840				
	6. Bethins	m	c.	0	c
					,
		,	1	v	~
	changing position at table fayor.				
	ing right hand				
103:1359	What did the other rement doe	۰	ď	c	
	1.1			,	1
	D. Archardanas as ass.	٥	•	•	
	a street to change	4.5	ng	٠,	٦.
	Commence and the comment of the comm	,	ì	7	77
	CONTRACT				
	4. Tried hard but without success to	9	<b>_</b> #	4	0
	change				,
	5. Forced child to change over sets	0	8	c	•
	0840			•	ı
	6. Porced child to absent man.	4	-	•	
	difficulty of the court of the		•	7	4
	7. Nothing	c		٠	
	6. With affected thems.	-		٠.	٠.
	100 Doubleton at the contract of contract	•	\$	7	^
	Part				
	1	•			
		,	4		•

Entail	148	00	m or-4 a	0
Experimental Group (N = 150) F M	0 0	00	7 CBG t	14
Sendy 27	\$1 °	0 A	84 444 ,	10
Control Group (# = 150	641	40	66 460 +	10
Experimental Group (N = 50)	₹0 €	A H-₹	800	48
	9 0 0	044	8000	°ជ
Strady	g0 0	456	ଦୁଳ କଥା	o w
Gentrol Group (N = 50)	लेंच ०	010	\$4 0m	00
Item; or Question and Response Codings; or Computed Values		i, Yes, because arm or collations broken  1, 1	wynyfo 2. Injury to are or hand 2. Only Thomstened Licourage 2. Per partire Titlere or thush 2. Married Tight or left hand 6. Impeliely, infections in linea- tions distribe, eccess, to pre-	vent child from touching behanges 7. 7 18. Yes
Item No. t IBM Card and Column No.	10411060	1051863		

<sup>2</sup>th Blady II this fees we admitted red as two questions, the first of which could be assumed by yes, no or 1, and the tradel for these regiones is 90 is each colour; the other question is ladely II was concerned with the purposes of band-string and was seed only of those who gave a yes assurer to the first question.

"Des order the group in Study III stated that her child had had had had had haddiged for one yeer--off and on three responses were not takinds the presence had been seen to be a second of the seen of the second o

ê			1				Study III	E	
Item Mo.1	Items or Question and Response	Control	2	Experimental	lea1	Control	7.0	Group	ars.
IEM Card and Column No.	Codings; or Computed Values	ξ 5	'	(S = 20)	쇰	- 150 E	oj=		
	3. Probably a handicap, but			6	10	#	21	7	7.7
	shouldn't be discounsed	2	-2.	8	20	Š	9	2	2
	5. Should be encouraged or					85	63	21	82
		ĸ	100	μα	05	(# <u>p</u>	70 7	0 6	250
	1.1	~		,	7	;	ļ		
						cu		٥	0
	o. Child should be stenificantly					•		•	•
	mixed					>	,	>	•
110:169	Do you believe there is any relation								
	between the child's handedness and								
	velon) stuttering?								
	1. Strongly believes direct causal			•	•	•	9	c	e
	relationship			•	,		,		•
				-	-	91	11	9	0
	3. Strongly believes indirect causal relationship			н	0	5	g	ev	9
	4. Suspects there may be indirect causal			cu	64	ş	7	15	80
	5. Belleves no relationship, direct or			ź	9	ş	5	ä	8
	indirect 6. 1			‡ «	å rv	8 8	18	88	2
111:870	What does the other parent believe?								
	relationship			0	0	4	æ	-	m
	<ol> <li>Suspects there may be direct causal relationship</li> </ol>			-	н	15	11	67	#

35							
	3. Strongly believes indirect coursi						
		0	0	m	-	-	.9
	4. Suspects there may be indirect						
	Casual relationship	cu.	0	35	Ħ	7	120
	5. Believes no relationship, direct						
	or indirect	9	61	i,	17	ē	6
	• •	9	9	ç	'n	13	2
112-119	Beyond what age, in months, would			•		;	S
	you be alarmed if a child did note						
112:871-72	Walk alone?						
				a	62	c	2
	b. Maximum			•	40	N. W	46
	c. Wean			9	,	2	3;
	d. Median			9	9	1	25.5
	e. 90th percentile						
	× 4			N	2	2	2
113:873-74	Nave bowel control?			9	120	9	220
	A. Minimum						
	P. Waring			r.	6	6	<b>D</b>
	, Mean			۳	S	ß	ę
	d. Median			28.1	28.6	25.4	25.9
	200			24.5	56.9	55,9	23.0
	eriousing the control			36.3	36.2	35.0	15.3
114:875-76	Bave daytine bladder contino			Ξ	116	25	517
	a. Mrteau						
	T. Max and			ខ	6	0	er.
	C. Wan			g	60	ħ	9
	d. Nedlan			50.4	30.2	25.1	27.3
	e. 90th percentile			3	5.62	55.9	54.1
	=:			36.8	36.5	32-9	35-9
115:377-78	Bave nighttine hladder comtrol?			143	21/2	345	17
	a. Mateur						
	b. Maximin			21	12	6	2
	C. Meta			1	120	132	120
	d. Median			ņ	43.2	37.7	37.6
	e. 90th percentile			35.5	35.9	34.1	34.7
	1×:			6	67.5	80	54.5
				0	148	144	172

ñ		II Apriles		Study III	111	
Item No. 1 JID9 Card and Column No.	Item or Question and Response Codings; or Computed Values	Control Experimental Group (N = 50)	Control Group (N = 150)	701 150) N	Experimental Group (N = 150) F M	mental 130)
1161879-80	Speak vords?  a. Miniam b. Meriam b. Meriam d. Meriam e. gold better e. gold better		23.5	23.22 23.33 15.23.33	32.3 16.3 14.4 14.4	8 4 18.7 18.0 33.5 150
117106-7	f. N Spake bruthences of Manager and Manag		30.8 30.8 11.6	48.05 84. 18.08 84.05 18.08 18.08	30.50 23.45 143.55	28.88. 23.55 35.55 15.55
118,08-9	Speak intelligibly, so he can be clearly understood!  b. Milden b. Nearms c. Nearms c. Nearms c. Nearms c. Searms c.		38 38 75 25 38 75 75	15.23 26.38 36.38	# # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #	38 98 18 55 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 7
119;610-11	Speak fluently, without hesitation or repetition?  b. Modfam b. Modfam d. Modfam d. Modfan f. Modfan f. Modfan f. Modfan f. Modfan f. Modfan		544.63.634 6.63	118 120 120 143 143	28 24.2 56.3 79.4 14.9	12 52 52 52 52 52 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53

3,6							
120:021	How tearefully have you studied the age						
	1. Very carefully studied	#	8	~	2	•	2
	2. Read repeatedly	9	1	7	45	7-2	44
	3. Read them once or twice or heard		•		ļ	٠	3
	Then	ន	ā	ę	75	2	2
	waver read them or heard them	:	,				:
121:013	What do you he years there women you	#	9	4	25	8	23
	resent? What do they mean!						
	1. Age at which a normal child must						
	do 1t	-	4	•		:	,
	2. Average age, allowing some		•		N	1	٥
	variation	-22	0.0	å	ŧ	00	:
	3. A rough indication, at best	-	25	ò	5	8,	Ŧ
	-	-	•	:	7,	٥	٥٥
	5. Age at which average or normal			3	n	S	ъ
	child should do it			ç		;	:
	6. Other responses			3-	N C	1	15
122 014	How helpful were these norms to you			*	N	ev.	cv
	in rearing the child?						
	1. Essential	-	c	4	;	•	,
	2. Rather helpful	12	7	2.5	91	0	97
			*	î	73	28	š
	helpful	52	۰	ŕ	8	;	
				,	Ç	ī,	23
	oerudolement	-	•	•	c	,	
	2. NO COMMECTION, UNBWAYS OF BOYES,		,	1	ď	1	7
	Daver thought about them			9	,	å	
200.00		c	*	2 0	e,	£	53
4231015	How consistently did you compare your		•	5	a	a	0
	1. Other						
	0.0019	.,	۰	27	4	:	:
	3. Fever	33	·88	ጓ <u>የ</u>	\$.€	9 5	39
		<b>6</b> 0	0,	13	3 12	46	0 <b>8</b> 0

Item No.: INM Card and Column No.	Item; or Question and Response Codings; or Computed Valued	Group (N = 50)	Experimental Oroup (N = 50)	Group Group	. al=	Group (N = 150)	
124,616	low did the child usually compare with the normal 1. Consistently advanced 5. About average most of the time 5. Consistently retarded	9 × 4	98,60	ಸಭಿಸರ	2422	25282	1282
125*	b, t that illnesses did the child have before (more than one month before) the onset of stuttering? <sup>2</sup>	•	`		, st		£
	1. Name 2. Memales 3. Chicken pox 4. Munge				****		1222
	5, whosping cough 6, Pheumonia 7, Tongillitia				100		3 6-

Study III

such responses are shown under 1484. Informants who could not specify the number of illnesses or the dates of them, but who not included in the other tabulations found in 126 through 148. For each control group child the age used at the point of refresee is that corresponding to the age of onset of stuttering for the matched experimental group child. See also Table ild assert that their children had had illnesses, are represented under 1409, and these indefinite and undated responses are 22th reporting the findings of Study II, Darley stated; "No significant differences were found between the groups of incidence of tomillectuates, ademplactionies, or total operations . . . (11, p. 89). In Study III greations 125 through 148 were addressed to both parents in each case, but approximately 5 to 25 per cent of the fathers were unable to give reasonably clear answers, particularly with reference to the time of specific filmesses in relation to the onset of stuttering, and so the presumbly more trustworthy responses of the mothers are given in the table. See Chapter 3 for further explanation of items 125-145, for all odd-numbered items from 125 through 155 only those responses are listed which were could specify the number of illnesses, their children had had, but could not state definitely that they had had them withchildren with regard to incidence of the five most commonly reported intectious childhood diseases; [or with regard to] in the period in question, are classified as "Undetermined"; the numbers of informants giving indicated frequencies of reported by three or more of the mothers in one or both groups; for the odd-numbered items 149-155 the additional responses are summarized in footnotes, For all even-numbered items from 126 through 148 the responses of informants who

126:017	9. Convisions 9. Convisions 10. Extraction 11. Advantage 11. Advantage 12. Advantage 13. Control of the control		사라 취약 OCD 및 영화 N 위 H 의
*127*	2. D. Ohne 2. There 2. Prov. 3. Prov. 4. D. St. 10. Ohne termined 2. L. Under started 7. The st. Ohne st. Ohne Just	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	Eg Eg Aspudou
128:018	weight with before or less) or st.  Last or exterior, before or less) or st.  2. feetless  3. influence  7. feetless or illusered just before  (on senth before or lists) or st. one:  4. feet	333	133
	בי רבונה	133 8	133

,		TI APPLE	1	Study 11	1
Item No.	Item; or Question and Response		Experimental Group	Group (W = 150)	Experimental Group (N = 150)
Column No.	1.000000	100 E	N. I.A.	×	×
				40	<b>н</b> 0
	3. Three			9 eo	-
	5. Undetermined				
129	within one month after onset of				
	stuttering?			133	139
	1. None				
1301019	Total number of illnesses within			,	92.1
	1. Word			Ç,	ì
	- Marie 1				-
				4 (	-
				•	• •
	TOTAL STREET			D	•
	5. Undetermined				
1310	What other linesses has the child				
•	had since one mouth after onset of				
	stuttering?			89	69
	1. None			17	77
	2. Mensles			100	8
	3. Chicken pox			ļ.	
	4. August			) ų	•
	5. Scarlet fever			, ,	11
	6. Pheumonia			•	2
	7. Tonsillitis			25	2
	8. Influenza			3	•
	9. Ear infection			3	5 9
	10. Asthus			•	
	11. Throat infection			o 4	•
	12. German measles			^	•
132:020	Total number of illnesses since one				
	month after onset of stuttering			63	9
	1. 300			8	

	2. One		31
	1. 1500	7	3
	-	55	=
	P	•	#
			•
	6. Five		•
	7. 538	•	٥.
	2000	N	4
			-
:	V. Dozeternines		
	Did he have any severe 112heases	n	4
	before (nore than one month before)		
	the paret of stuttering the con-		
	1. House		
		116	Ę
	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	•	ď
	4. Preumonia	٠,	
	5. Tonsillittis	-	•
	6. Ear infaction	c.	4
	7. Broomer	c	-1
		-	_
	o. Diarrhed (infertious)		
	9. Virus Infection	N.	~
134:021	Total number of severe dilesses	-	0
	The first Court of the Court of		
	while than the month before		
	ones of stuttering		
	1. Fone	:	
	2. One	116	8
	3. 70	Ħ	Z
	b. Three	~	c
	S. Post	-	
	6. Undetermined	0	-2
135*	Did he have any neverse (1) annual and	0	-
	before (one month before an least)		
	at the enset of attention of the state of		
	1. Mone		
		117	,
136:022	Total Transfer	- (	ĵ
	Defere Care and the control of the c	•	'n
	At the mount of the Jor		
	1. Hone		
		144	
		ř	7

Experimental	Group (W = 150)	Sheet.	-	147	Tanna Tanna	121	22.84.84
Control Study III		mo	0	147	F#600	137 5 0	137 10 2 1 0
Study II	Group Group  Group  (N = 50)  (N = 50)	E					
	Items or Question and Response Codings; or Computed Values		3. Two J. Undetermined Did the child have any severe ill.	nesses within one mouth after onset of seuthering? Which ones? 1. However of severe illnesses	stuttering as month after onset or stuttering from 2. One To one	as the child had any severe illi- nesses since one conth after conset? Which cone? Which cone? Weakles Weakles Tomaillites Tomaillites Tomaillites	Crost number of severe littuses attace one mouth after onset of tatatering 1, None 1, None 1, Too 1, Too 2, One 2, Too 5, For 5, For 6, Ondetermed
۶	Item No. 1	Column No.		5		139*	1,0,024

The first of the child have unumanally high control of the child have unumanally high control of the child have been those of the child have been the child have been the child have been the child have been the child have been the child have been the child have been the child have been the child have been the child have been the child have been than one month before have the child have been than one month before have been than one month before have been than one month before have been than one month before have been than one month before have been than one month before have been than one month before have been than one month before have been than one month before have been than one month before have been than one month before have been than one month before have been than one month before have been than one month before have been than one month before have been than one month before have been than one month before have been than the month of the month			
2. Newstern 5. Newstern 6. Newstern 7. Townstiller 1. Description	å	Did the child have numeally high feer (10% and word) in consection with any librars before favor two one would before the ansate of	
1. December your control of the cont			81
S. The state of th		Chicken pox	20 W
6. Extractions 6. Extractions 10. Report interests 10. Report interests 11. Commandation 12. Commandation 13. Commandation 14. Commandation 15		4. Preumonia 5. Tenesilites	`
6. Browning of the transport of the transport of the transport of the transport of the transport of the transport of the transport of the transport of the transport of the transport of the transport of the transport of the transport of the transport of		6. Influence	2
9. Brachelits 10. Darchelits 11. Cornal factorium 11. Cornal factorium 12. Cornal factorium 12. Cornal factorium 13. Cornal factorium 13. Cornal factorium 14. Cornal factorium 15. Cornal factorium 16. Cornal factorium 17. Cornal factorium 18. Cornal factorium 18. Cornal factorium 18. Cornal factorium 18. Cornal factorium 18. Editi 18. Cornal factorium 18. Editi 18. Cornal factorium 18		Esr Infection	2
10. Diverbee (intertions) 10. Diverbee (intertions) 11. Of formal intertions 11. Of formal intertions 11. Of formal intertions 12. Of formal intertions with universe of large states 13. Of formal intertions with before to the one month before to the one of		Broachitis	-
110 Drow's insection 110 Control insection 120 Control insection 120 Control insection 120 Control insection 120 Control insection 120 Control insection 120 Control insection 120 Control insection 120 Control 1		Diarrhea (Infectious)	ø.
112 Options reacted 113 Options reacted 114 Options reacted 115 Op		Drust infection	-
120 counts beautiful the counts and the counts beautiful the counts beautiful the counts of the coun			<b>-</b>
13 carp through the carp through through the carp through the carb through the carp through through the carp through the carp through the carp through the carp through the carp through through through the carp through through through the carp through through through the carp through thro		12. German messles	
723 Model, under of highests with un- wandly high fever (100° and nowe)  2. Now of statefring  2. Now  3. Now  5. Now  6. Prove  6. Prove  6. Prove  6. Right  7. Reserved  8. Right  9. R		13. Strep threat	-7
wanth yith free; (10g and core;)  anne; (lower land on much before)  2. One  2. One  3. The state of the state on much before  3. The state of the state on much before  4. The state of th	21025	Total number of illnesses with un-	-
Merror (ware than one month before)  Lone  D. One  D.		usually high fever (1030 and come)	
1. Now for statisting the control of		before (more than one month before)	
1. None 1. There 2. There 3. There 5. There 5. There 6. There 6. Eight 6. Eight 7. There There are a second to the control of		Gaset of stuttering	
5. One 5. There 6. There 6. There 7. There 10. The Markett Local 1			
1. There 2. There 3. There 4. There 5. There 6. The Street			24
5. Three 5. Three 6. Fight 9. Obstantial Annual State Control of the Control of t		250	
5. Thus: 6. Thus 10.		Three	80
To Three  D. Thr		Four	4
6. Bight Plant Market Look Plant Market Look Free (100° fact even) in condition Vith May 11 lines 1 since before (100° worth before or 1 since before (100° worth before or 1 since before (100° to the period (110° fact in 100°) or 1 since (110°) o		Five	7
9. Understunden 20. Und		Seven	•
9. White terrupants of the common of the com		Sign	
Did the right have meaning that frow (100) and over) its consection with well these is the before (con- with the well as the before (con- with the form of test) over a conet of 1, thereing which illusioness of			• •
feer (Life at we will assume that the control of th	*		
		With my ill men diet in competition	
		Well to the second of the seco	
		stuttering the Million of the organic	
		1. 1700.0	

飲みのちみろのようのちょう

점경 ev~~ = c c u

142

1 Experimental	Group (N = 150)	11. 6	145	244 33 113 113 113 113 113 113 113 113 11	20100000
Control 5tudy III	20 ×	256 1	143	₩.# 17 10 €	ಪ್ರ <u>ಉ</u> ಭ
V 11	Group (N = 50)				
Study Il	Control Group (N - 50)				
	Items or Chestion and Response Codings; or Computed Values	Total number of illnesses with high fewer (1995 and over) just before (one amily before or less) or at onset of stuttering 1. Now 2. Over	1. Undetermined Did the child have unreally high giver (109 and over) in conocciton ever (109 and over) in conocciton ever (109 and over) in conocciton ever (109 and over) ever (109 and over) in conoccitor (100 and over)	Total number of illnesses with high fever (103° and over) within one month after caset of scuttering 1. None 2. Over	the the child had unusually high free; [109] and over) in connection than yilliness since one much of the rounce of cauteering! Which plinessen; illusessen; illusessen; i. None 1. None 3. Oktober pox 5. Provincial, Provincial, 5. Provincial,
14	Item No.1 IBM Card and Column No.	3144:026	145*	146:027	347*

			1
	7. Influence	•	
	S. Pan infantion	^-	~
	TOTAL THE COMME	er)	α
	9. Throat infection		
	10, cold	7.	<b>,</b>
148.028	Total number of eliments sets beat	•	*
	9		
	rever (103" and over) since one month		
	after onset of stuttering		
	1. 18%	•	
		108	ž
	2. Und	90	3 :
	3	3	ç
	1	^	•
	104.0		
		•	
	5. XX		<b>-</b>
	7. 30%	-	~
		•	
	o. right	•	,
	9. Undetermined	0	
1,194	West or a second		
	manner of maxied littlegres (each	•	•
	informant reporting could give the		
	minhey of dinames the contract		
	manual of Little chair the chair had		
	had but could not date them)		
	2. Note		
		117	877
		7	7
	2.100	•	-
	t. Three	0	•
	5. Eleh	-	
	6. 870		, <
			, ,
1.80	The state of the s	• •	,
THOS	number of informants who etated that	-	0
	the child had been ill but resid not		
	give the number of ellipsees on their		
	dates		
	2 1	:	
2	200	=	-
201	Total number of illustrees and remin-	139	150
	rences of illustrate that come dot		•
	roded "U" (informant could give		
	Language Street Charles		

Item No.1 INM Card and Column No.	Itee; or Question and Response Codings; or Computed Values	Group Group (N = 50)	Group Group	(N = 150)	S E	(M - 150)	
	or illasses bu cold not date thee);  quase buneried in Min  tone  to no				4 mg mg ma a amma-		Wardon Tanana
1781029	12. Fifteen or nove 12. Fifteen or nove 12. Fifteen or nove 12. Fifteen or nove 12. Fifteen or nove 12. Fore (more than, one or susteening, ten on the nove 12. Adendedetery 12. Adendedetery 13. Nove or nove or nove or nove 14. Nove or nove or nove or nove 15. Nove or nove or nove or nove 15. Nove or nove or nove or nove 15. Nove or nove or nove or nove 15. Nove or nove or nove or nove 15. Nove or nove or nove or nove 15. Nove o	دد		132	* 50°	129 8	9 50
1501630	What operations did the child have just before (one month before or less) or at once of atutering??			150	150	148	149
2 There	There are 20 settitional response given, each by fewer than three of the informants represented in any one column of	by fewer than thi	ree of the informant perimental group re-	s represen	ted in an	one col	ann of

xperimental Group

Control

Experimental

the table; it of these 20 were reported by the confron and your expansions of the same of the confront and one control father reported the operation "medicing", for which we have no definition and one control father reported the operation was reported by each of three experimental group respondents.

					I
153:033	What operations did the child have within gos month after onset of stut-				
1521032	1. None Wast operations has the calls had elace one month even more of stress along	150	150	11.8	7
	1. Together with the control of the	139 1 8	E 0 6	128 14	82 ° 7
) - 300 m	ques of mutteringpro 2. Examp to head 3. Oct 13ger 5. Oct 13ger 6. Cald Warmer	11 0 maar	B 24	23.000	50000
	The figures of the call of have been for a control of the call of have been of the call of have been of the call of have been of the call	165	147	, ž	9 3
	1ng 30	;	÷		

m/o 0 -- n 0

B B 10-1

<sup>85</sup>000 correlicas wit speciel by experimental group respondents. There were Sadditional symmetral, reported, send by Every than these of the informatis represented in any one of the column of the table 4 of these 8 operation were reported by the control and 5 by the orportnessial group respondents.
There were 4, satisficand lighters reported, each by freur than three of the information represented in uny one column

320

3

148

of the falls; 28 of these it wer expected by the control, and 19 by the experienced, group respondents.

There were a salitimal faluries reported, such by freet than three of the informatic represented in any one column of the chairs 5 of these 5 were represented by the vestion Land 3 by the repartmental group respondents.

of the tables 3 of these 5 were reported by the cometod and 2 by the contractant represented in any one column of the tables 3 of these 5 were reported by the contract and 2 by the contractant group respondents.

Experimental Group (N = 150)	138 000 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10
Control Study III Group (N = 150)	82.00.00 80.00.00 80.00.00 80.00.00 80 80.00 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 8
Experimental Group (N = 50)	학교 학교 학교 학교
Control Brudy II Group (N = 50)	25. 5. 5. 4. 4.
Item) or Question and Response Codings) or Computed Values	une injuries has the child had disagramment of superingfalls and a first a first and a first and a first a first and a first and a first a first and a first a first and a first a first and a first a first a first and a first a first a first and a first a first a first a first a first a first a first and a first a fir
Item No.: IIM Card and Column No.	139661 132 144 144 144 144 144 144 144 144 144 14

There were is additional injuries reported, each by fewer than three of the informatic represented in any one column of the table; 25 of these is were reported by the control and 21 by the experimental group respondents.

				Lucius Lucius		1	
Item No.1 IIN Card and Column No.	Items or Question and Response Codings; or Computed Values	Group Group (N = 50)	Syperimental Oronp (N = 50)	Group (N = 150)	.g=	(N = 150)	쉞
	4. Sometimes immediately, sometimes gradually	۰	e	4 m	e-to	~ 6	0 =
1651051-52	Does the child now have any physical defect?? 1. North de 2. North de 3. North de 3. Eye condition	971	작 <sup>0</sup>	133	128	33 4 8 8	3 6 23
166:053	l, One eye slightly ground to boas he were boas he wear glasses for has he ever like it. Yes 2. We are them	ଜତ୍ୱିକ	372		*00g	•	239 1 4: 6
1671054-55	4. He never vorm them 33 If yes, for viat cause 33 I A settlement in a set 1 A settlement in a set 1 A settlement in a set 1 A settlement in a set 1 A settlement in a set 1 A settlement in a set 1 A settlement in a set 1 A settlement in a set 1 A settlement in a set 1 A settlement in a set 1 A settlement in a set 1 A settlement in a set 1 A settlement in a set 1 A settlement in a set 1 A settlement in a set 1 A settlement in a set 1 A settlement in a set 1 A	sv ca	ma				0 %
1681056	Does the child have any hearing difficulty? 1. No. 3. Ouspected but not confirmed 3. Yes, mild	701	ĝ-10	20 64	146	445 8 E	900
32por bor represented 11	Byor both Studies II and III there were to additional defects reported, each by fewer than three of the informatia represented in my one column of the table, if of these of were reported by the control and 26 by the experimenta group respondents. Some reproducts in Study II gave more than one response. Four experimental group father gave "stattering".	tional defects rej se bo were reporte han one response.	corted, each by fewerd by the control ar	fever than three of the informant of and 26 by the experimental grou ital group fathers gave "stuttering	e of the experime	informan ental gro stutterin	2 5 to

xperimental

Study 111 Gross Control

> xperimental group

More both fluidies II and III there were 9 additional course reported, each by fewer than three of the informants represented to wry one colum of the about 6 of these 9 over represented by the central and 3 by the experimental group respondants, Some respondants is South II gives acre than one responded by respondents. Some respondents in Ę

1 စ္ခလ္ ဝ 0 0

440	38 13 23 38 38 38 38 38	11 13 58	10.9	gil gilogunde	
000	<b>४</b> ४५ <b>५</b> % ० च	222	846448	721 72 0 0 0 0 0 0	
• •	84.00 84.00	35 · 11 · 25 · 25 · 4	10.1 10.3 10.0 10.0 10.0 10.0 10.0 10.0	30040 O	40
0 N	g,61.01 ↔	<b>4</b> 00	655084 655084	ಷ್ಟ್ ೫೦೦೦	04
<ol> <li>Yes, ervere without aid</li> <li>Yes, when he has a cold</li> <li>Uncertain</li> <li>How is the child's appetite now?</li> </ol>	1. Good 2. Fair 3. Poor 6. Variable How well does the child elemy	1. Very wall 2. Average, fair 3. Poorly 4. Verlable 6. Verlable 60v many hours' along does the child 60v per night on the average?	b. Maximin b. Maximin d. Modian e. 90th percentile f. H. Does the child along alone or not?	1. Yes 2. With one other child 1. Mit was offer children 1. Mit was offer children 5. With was prefer 6. With man and a land 1. Indefinite and make inden, but 16. Chart and make inden, but 16. Chart and make inden, but	night (2:00-6:00 a.m.) in child's bed ty Yes, but ends up in parents' bed
169:057	170:058	172:059	172:060		

O	1

2222

488

12 13

Here hash Studies II and III there were 60 settition) would reported, each by fewer than three of the informatic rep-products. However column of the table, to of these 60 were reported by the control and 14 by the experiented, group re-

and and	interview if less than 5 years old) as compared with other children!30								
4 6	I. Much more than average	HŞ	m	eu ș	<sub>و</sub>	ដ	82	19	8
16	thout average	7 6	2 5	7	23	S.	<b>.</b>	Ç.	<b>9</b>
	Somewhat less than average	;"	ŭ.	è u	35	2	2	S.	3
'n	Arch Jess than average	10	, .	٠,	3	5,	٥.	2	8
•		· a	0 0	-	u -	4 (	-10	+	m
What	method was used in teaching			•	+	0	N	m	0
the	the child to talky37								
Ä	None	-	•	_					
ė,	•		,		٠,	E	53	7	8
÷	Much conscious and directed	•	2	No.	4	Cų.	4	~	0
	stimilation38	:		-					
å	Use of pictures to identify	4	2 1	# 1	N.	0	œ	c	
*	Reading and letting the child	te	7.	n.	37	eu	-	4	œ
	fill in words	,		•	:				
•	Getting the child to many what	1	,		7	0	0	-	cv
	the sees	•	,	,	;				
	Talked to the child	v 5	7 5	m	2	m	-	ę,	-
80	Brother told the child words	30	à.	٥.	ş-	ខ	ŧ	77	ķ
6	Playing with sibling	•	n C	44	-		-#	-	0
10.	Associating objects with words	0 0			٠.	2	75	-3	100
	Some etimilation	0 0	٥ د	٥.	٦,	22	17	72	,5
	Selbed the child to correct vey	•	0	0	-1	~	-	, 0	'n
	of saying words	•	,						•
ij	Pronounced vords for the child	,	5	0	-	v	c	<b>6</b> 0	0
	(saying vord, having the child								ı
	repeat 1t)								
14.	Read to the child	=======================================	35	e	35	ខ្ល	2,5	33	9
				•	•	9	77	36	2

There have '1 to go at the of interior if her than 5 years old 'we not included to the content in their II.

\*\*Precented in any one clime of the white is a stationary and property, each by freet than there of the first in their in their in their in their in their in their in their in their in their in their in their in their interior in their interior in their interior in the role was "hen attainant on." has for response.

- {

184

. .

The same of the same of

	ı	
4	ł	
•	ì	
	,	

	చ్చికి లబక్కిం	~~~~~~	r-28200	98 0
	##8#"##	H4 0040	~%\$\\	220
}	23 2일 + 1 0 0	mngaom	55 g 6 4 0	88 E
	2502124°	പരപ്പെ പ	w3 &~4¢	113 2
	567	0 82	12 22	
	8200vr4	00	123	
	n kononda		33 *	
	35,0000	04	6 % S	j
Who in your immediate family is quite talkative; 10	1. No come 2. Nother 3. Nother 4. Other while 5. Younger stelling 7. Shippment 7. Shippment 6. Patter at June 9. Wother and Interest 9. Wother and Interest	10. The child in question 11. Whole thanky 12. Mobiler insternal containment 13. Commission in a stabilist at years 14. Mobiler and a stabilist at years 14. Mobiler and a stabilist at your child learning to this, all 15. The child learning to this, all 16. The child learning to this, all 16. The child learning to this, all 16. The child learning to this as 16. The child learnin	2. Secondary actan twenty at Month worthy at Month werenge than werenge by Month with less than sevenge for 7. The Month were than sevenge for 7. The Month worth was that we were the Month were than the Month was to the Month w	7. Yes 7. 75 7. 7
167:078-79		189,080	189:D6	2

.

Upor both Staties II and III there were 21 additional responses given, each by fover than three of the informatis rep-productis. Some respondents in Stady II gove more than one response.

	#	180	9	m	20	-	٥	13	, 60		cu			0									136	0	m	Ŋ
	139	+ 0	0	۰,	4 ~	10	0	0	-		0	,	⊷,	-									8	63	ءِ ۵۰	4
	140	0	н	00		0	0	0	0		0	•	0 0	•									5	2-	<b>*</b> :	:
	00	φģ	6	0	۰,	٥.	-							.4	0	0	0									
	06	Ţ	0	0	'n	~ :	,							~	0	0	0									
	17.9	. 0	6	cu	0	00	•							0	٥.	~ <	,									
	బ్ల	0	Ħ	ću	0	0 0	•							0	- 1	ء د	•									i
Has the child ever had a speech defect? What defect?	1. Fore 2. Buby talk	3. Stuttering	Stuttering 5. Doo't know	6. Lieping	7. Other articulatory defect	9. Refused to talk, ages 1-2	10. Stuttering and articulatory	11, Inshility to find west con-		ing; child not called stutterer	13. Articulatory problem: "speech	block," not called stuttering	14. Masal speech	15. Occasional baltan	† 17. Stuttering noted	† 18. Seven-month period of stansowers	Eas the child ever stuttered?	(Control: Does the child now show	or has he, or she, ever shown any	nonlinencies repetitions, heat-	tations, etc in speecht)	t. Tee	9. 70	1	" tes, but not at present time	
1941112																	1931013									

o

Vall the experiental group prevails responding to this question and to the one following qualified as such according to the criteria set Grati in Chapter 1, show respondents in Study II gave sore than one response to question IS,

3\*00

31 27	77 77	n 4-1	wa.	0	80 m m m	•
~	ų					
en-	•					
					80	ాడ్ల
					m	°¤
2. It Telling parent something 3. It Telling something at the table	4. If Asking parent for something 5. If When he came in to tell parent	scorthing 6, 1: Talking to parents 7, 1: Asking Parent question at home 8, 7: Competing with all for the	9. It Telling playmates something 10. It Calling parent's attention to	11. Fr Telling perent about TV pro-	12. Fi Explaining something to parent 13. Talling parent something 14. When he came in to tell semething 13. Playing cutside	116. Spontaments speaking in home Was the child competing with someone else for the privilege of speaking?
						1991018

there and 26 arthers who claimed to be able to recall the "very first situations." The 17 presumbly "very freet" attendions were not the very first, were essentially studies to these the ones which, walls possibly or probably experienced at least excessionally by not adulture, study have been one or test upsetting were "taking after being its by another child" and "reside with freen over something", each of which we reported for one child, but only by one of the persons in each case. telling relative concentrate tabling to playmeter, when on a drive with premis and friends, saking the premis a governor in the very after a acolding, and eating to the norder after vitnessing, a premise. The 20 other situations which h find yil wor the one repose we five for one californ. In Start II the question we world "In what attention we were rectified a piece at home, nating for scortising as the table, trying to get the mother's attention, talking about what uting the permy for resenting, telling counting at the table, emistring resenting to the permy, competing with a sib-ling for the privilege of speaking, after a full from a table, competing with scalls for the privilege of freshing, upon meeting the fullmy at the station arrer the father's two-veek absence and with the motiver present, talking to the parents, informants, the first attuistions in which the children vere thought to stutter. Thus, in Study III there were only 16 fisthat happened while riding in a cur after a slight accident and while competitur with others for the privilege of speaking,

1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	5. 13 year 5. 15 no 6	11. 28. 27.	~8%	28%	31,92
1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1	was the Enila Special of the Control				•
12   13   14   15     13   14   15     14   15   15   15     15   17   15     16   17   15     17   17     18   18   18     19   18     19   18     19   18     19   19     19   19     19   19     19   19	1. Yes.	нme	43 RV 4	255	9 65
14   Just received puritiement   26   37   26     15   16   17   17     16   17   17     17   17     18   18   18     19   18     19   18     19   18     19   19     19   19     19   19     19   19	6. 1; year 6. 1; year	325	" ជ ឃឹ	-42	822
14 just received a 2 2 2 2 6 6 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	East the child just received punishment or scolding?	92	37	8	120
1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1	2	0.2	~ 2	46	my
12 just received a	1. 11 yes. 5. 1; no	ณเ		0-	2~-
Compared to Symptoms   Compared to Compa	5. 1; 7 But the child tust receives	27	88	32	8 5
14)   1	Severe fright (e.g., being bitten by a dog, unpleasant experience			ī	}
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	In the dark);				
1 1 2 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	3. 30	0.4	0 5	m	4
3 1 0 19 15 0 19 17 0 19 17 0 19 18 0 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 1	4. 7; yea	÷ av	ų~		200
the when the child began 27 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35	2.5.00	me	~ ;	ړه.	0.00
v beby in the brings of the brings with the arrival v beby in the brings of the brings within preceding month 70 73 137	Did the time when the child began	23	3%	ያጸ	82
Within preceding month 75 137	of stutter coincide with the arrival				
	2. Yes, within preceding month	07.	٤.	137	340

			I	
श्या १०५	the time when shuthering was first noticed, but the wholes we changes in the child's propient performent			
	(moving of limitaire or actual chang- ing of houses)?			
			0	91
	~.	ю.	50	ដ
			'n	'n
			^;	۲,
and and			7	E):
6001000			£	4
	was the child trying unsuccessfully			
	to say something in a certain length			
	of time before someone else took			
	Over the conversation;			
	L. Yes			
			•	ç
	en en		1,5	2
			4	2
			8	'ng
			(%	12
2031229		37	£	
	state of excitement due to a		ì	ï
	vigorous game or a tring			
	1. 700			
	200		o	2
			ř	12
	,		v	٠,
			12	, K
			Ş	3 5
STOTED	At the time when stuttering was 29	2	8	25
	1		?	2
	ing to make himself understood?			
	1.1.100			
	S. C.	9	60	۰
		2	4	16
	0	r.	v	70
				:

ľ	82	204	000	01 ⊶	40	3 25	. §:	, w-d	
:	125	200		-0	00	780	25	00	•
:	15° × 4	0 0	0~~	001	00				
5	3249	200.	-00	000	00				
	*# # 12	2 0	£ (3)	90	3	g	g-r	ω	
~	,2 wi	•	~00	00	0	gen	200	ø	
chample, stammering, bentlating in his aprech, indefinent, "smerthing his aprech, indefinent," smerthing he speech difficulty?) the speech difficulty?)	2. Mother 3. Wother and father 4. Mother and father	5. Mother; other relative 6. Sthing 7. Whole family 7. Whole family	6. Grandparent. 9. Other relative 10. Teacher	11. Deter	13. Hived help Did you accept this decision or diagnosis then?	1. Yes 2. No 3. 7 Did your wife (habband) accept this	decision or diagnosis then? 1, fes 2, No 3, 1		still was the same as it had been
					2151036	2161937		217:038-39	ĺ

Whaters in parenthess refer to children who were also reported as baring been "first disgraced by mother and father,"

,	Dayatteten of some Mark milland.			ł	ļ
3	and initial sound	,			
.63		5	0	m	м
		,			
.02 20	ø.	n		0	0
		q	,		
ż	414	0	^	¥	m
	repetition of first sound				
8	-	0	0	0	-
	In speech	,			
ŝ		٥	٥	m	~
2¢.		-	-	-	0
ĸ,		,	2	7	~
	consonnts				
8		0	-	0	•
27.		0	٥	C)	•
82	Reputition of word, first cullants	0	0	-	c
	first sound; complete blocks on				
	first sound				
ŝ		0	0	0	
Š		0	0	0	
3.		0	0	0	-
ž,		0		-	ď
Ė		0	0	-	•
					,
*		0	٥	-	c
					,
÷		0	0	c	-
	"ab, ah, ab"; repetition of word.				•
	first syllable, and initial sound				
		0	0	c	
				,	•

					ı
64	49. Repetition of whole words; inter-				
	Jections; stlent intervals				
		.4		•	,
ę,		-4		۰.	•
25			10	٠,	N.
Š		•	•	4	0
	moleucation of words	•			
53	2	0	0	-	0
		,			
35	ı pe	0	۰	~	-
	•				
55.	Reputition of initial comments	0	0	0	-
26	•	0	0	c	-
				,	•
***		<	•	•	,
•	×.	,	•	0	-
	4				
58.	~	0	-1	٥	c
	.=				,
	ad (interjection); repetition				
	•				
š.		н	٥	0	0
	٠				,
9	8	0	-		•
				•	•
ş				,	
4		,	,	0	0
62.		œ	9	0	o
	prolomentions of sounds, restract				
	in speech extrapedus against as				
	beginning of sentence				
63.		-		•	•
			,	•	٥
4		,			
		۰	0	0	-
Ġ		0	0	-	٥
,					,
ŝ		0	-	c	c
	pauses between vords (silent interest)			,	,
		0	0	-	•
				•	>

2191Dk1	If yes, how many times did he repeat				
		¥	•	•	•
	2. Once	•	٠.	۰,	٠.
	4. Patce		•	••	•
	b. Three times	~ =	- ^	9 5	0 5
	5. Pour times	٠.	٦	Ç	0:
	6. Pive times	٠.	, .	- 0	9 1
	1. Nove than five times	1 6	٠,		- 0
	8. Two to three times	٠.	٦:	٠,	
	9. Three to four times	7-	11	41	25
	10. Four to five times		- (	2,	2
\$50%	What word was 14149	-	•	0	m
		,			
	ļ	۰۰	-	35	ħ
	\$. Daddy-daddy	φ	m	0	2
	Y. 28.	'n	0	0	0
221:Dk2	Wen you that noticed the oth	0	at	0	0
	entid was station or can be				
	The state of the s				
	Sering a syllable (e.g., ca-ca-				
	17340				
	i. Yes		:		
	o≅ •@	<b>,</b>	Q.	£	ĕ
		5	8	3	ç
222:Dk3	If yes, how many times did by	œ	2	10	
	repeat it?				
	7. 4				
	9. Once	0		•	٢
	7.	0	0	۰,	- c
	i. Three to men	-		w	,
	Posts to	ŗ	٠-	,	,
	S. Plan	· c		ì	۷,
	O DOTAL TO THE PARTY OF THE PAR	,	٠,	^	٥
	1. Forth than the times	> 0	٥,	'n	æ
		>	4	<u>,</u>	2

Pomers were 66 satistional worth reported, each by fewer than three of the informats represented in my noe column of the table, 35 of three 56 were reported by the sceneral and 31 by the experimental group respondents. These were mostly com-man words, much ha "ey-a, sp-a," and, mad, mad, "did, did, did, did."

50) K	มีมีช	2 5 5 °	01-W04W	888	157 127 8	Ė
Experimental Group (H = 150)	5248	285	402r44	250	15.1 9	4 00 4
2tudy II	400	8 4 E	00000	350	ಷ್ಯೂ	ul treatmen
Control Group (N * 150)	040	r-8 a	~@2000	కే ట్రి	250	eanings
Control Experimental Group (N = 50) (N = 50)						Ples smil number of respondents able to answer this question unequivocally precludes meaningful treatment of the resolution.
Item; or Question and Response Codings; or Computed Values	8, Two to three times 9, Three to four times 10, Four to five times	Wing to you was a sound (e.g., vhat or say)?  1. Yes  2. No	Tryes, how leng did he prodong (6) (1,0 seconds)  One second  The seconds  The seconds  The processes   What sound! What yord!?! What sound! What yord!?! Buch as "ah," "er," "vell," "and'! l Yes 2. No 3. 1	Mere there computences attent periods within speech! 1. Yes 2. No 3. 7	il number of respondents able to answer	
Ites No.: IIM Card and Column No.		223* 224:D44	2251D45	2271046 2271046	2281247	50 the small enouses obtained.

259	8204	1226	220
33 7 7	103 54 20	133 3	550
చ్చా 0	82,00		200
3860	3800		\$ 200

Mad anyone, prior to the time stated in question 196, thought that the

child was stuttering?

l. Yes

I. Not using a sentence at this time What words in what sentence?

233:050

Pave you ever noticed other children the things your child was doing when you first noticed he was stuttering?

234:051

doing such things in their speech ...

you have described and indicated as that is, doing the sorts of things

3. 1 4. Not using a sentence at this time What word in what sentence ?? Was he doing it on words scattered

230\* 231:D49

throughout the sentence?

l. Yes

:

Was be doing what you describe on the first word of a sentence?

229:1148

L. Yes

2. 30

52See footnote 50.

2. No 3. ? Describe the first situation in which

235:DS1A

l. Yes

felt at that time indicated he had a

your child did something which you

_		201.42	1000		ţ
Item No.1 IBM Card and Column No.	Items or Question and Response Codings; or Computed Values	Control Experimental Group (N = 50) (N = 50)	Control Oroup (N * 150)	Experimental Group (N = 150)	(50)
	epech problem (you may or may not have called it stuttering). It is making manual account time			101	104
61610518	7. 1 Teacher told journt the child had a problem to compliant to the child parent to compliant pasette what the child did in that			e m	97
	effication (or what the child was doing as about that time). > 1. 1 constitution of whole word			2014	«g«
	3. Repetition of first sound 4. Repetition of first sylable 5. Complete blocks on first sound 6. Prolongations of vowel. 7. Prolongations of vowel. 9. Prolongations.			400 vu	and au
				11	ដ
				4	4

There was go additional structure or extraordiness each prepared by one or but persents in one over ceres. There was go additional structures and prepared to the structure of the scar second and the scar se

				0	Ą	e e	*	3	-		•	•			•	~	•	1		•	7	c			•	٠ ٣	•	7		•
																												,		
11. Extrateous sound (ab. ab) ac	12, Repetition of Sentence	prolongation of Antable;	13. Repetition of word and sound	14. Prolomention of initial annual	constraints of vevels and	15. Repetition of war.	ond initial sound Trut syllable,	17. Said "ah" and	in (atlent interval)	prolongations of tonics and	19. Branche	20. Broater	of the total man	21. Repair	Jabbe of vord, first		22. Repetition of nound	phranes of syllables and	Partition of man	of initial sound order blocks on	A STREET TO BE WORKS	25. Reputit.	TOTAL TO BOTO TO TOTAL	26. Reprised of Sentence		27. Couldn't frais.	ah, ah, averience-seed	Trut cyllable, and then of word,	penos Terra	

Study 111 Control Experimental Craps (N = 150) (N = 150) F H		•	0	0	 	, o	a	044	,
Study II Experimental Group (fra. 20) (fra. 50) (fra. 50) (fra. 50) (fra. 50) (fra. 50) (fra. 50)									
Item; or Question and Response Codings; or Computed Values	28. Repetition of first sound; rep- etition of first syllable; com- plete blocks on first sound; monomention of wreats. Initial	consonate consonate 29, Repetition of whole word, syllable sound; complete blocks on first	sound; prolongation of intiful consonant; interfections (uh) 30. Repetition of word, first syllable,	inting sound; processing or vowels and consonants (%). Repetition of word, syllable,		34. Interjections 35. Repetition of words, first syl- lables, initial sound and charact, thistiethers	36. Repetition of syllables; pro- longstlons of sounds; inter- lections	37. Repetition of words and phraces; interjections 38. Repetition of phraces 39. Repeated gaspa	40. Repetition of words, phrases;
Tem No.: IEM Card and Column No.									

Proceedings of Halles)  1. Procedings of Halles)  1. Properties of Halles)  1. Properties of Halles)  1. Properties of Halles)  1. Properties of Halles)  1. Properties of Halles)  1. Properties of Veryal, procedings  1. Properties of Veryal, procedings  1. Properties of Veryal, procedings  1. Properties of Veryal, procedings  1. Properties of Veryal, procedings  1. Properties of Veryal, procedings  1. Properties of Veryal, procedings  1. Properties of Veryal, properties and Veryal  1. Properties of Veryal, properties and Veryal  1. Properties of Veryal, properties of Properties of Veryal, procedings  1. Properties of Veryal, properties of Properties of Veryal, procedings  1. Properties of Veryal, properties of Properties of Veryal procedings  1. Properties of Veryal, properties of Properties of Veryal procedings  1. Properties of Veryal, properties of Procedings  1. Properties of Veryal, properties of Procedings  1. Produced on Tera was through  1. Produced on Tera was through  1. Produced on Tera was through  1. Properties of Veryal, procedings  1. Produced on Veryal, procedings  1. Produced on Veryal, procedings  1. Produced on Veryal, procedings  1. Produced on Veryal, procedings  1. Produced on Veryal, procedings  1. Produced on Veryal, procedings  1. Produced on Veryal, procedings  1. Produced on Veryal, procedings  1. Produced on Veryal, procedings  1. Produced on Veryal, procedings  1. Produced on Veryal, procedings  1. Produced on Veryal, procedings  1. Produced on Veryal, procedings  1. Produced on Veryal, procedings  1. Produced on Veryal, procedings  1. Proce		
inscription of initial contonary programming of programming programming of programming programming of programming programming of programming programmi		cu.
repetition of initial commonary properties of initial commonary of common of initial commonary of common of initial commonary of common of the		•
repetition of words prolongation  Presistion of words, publicat  Presistion of words, publicat  Presistion of words, publicat  Presistion of words, publicat  Presistion of words, publicat  Presistion of words, publicat  Presistion of words, publicat  Presistion of words, publicat  Presistion of words, publicat  Presistion of words, publicat  Presistion of words, publicat  Presistion of words, publicat  Presistion of words, publicat  Presistion of words, publicat  Presistion of words, publicat  Presistion of words, publicat  Presistion of words, publicate  Presistion o		•
of social or words, syllables)  Presistions words, syllables)  Presistions prolaugations; Pati- Presistion or words; syllables;  Presistion or words; powers; Inter- Presistion or words; powers; Inter- Presistion or words; powers; Inter- Presistion or words; powers; Inter- Presistion or words; powers; Inter- Presistion or words; powers; presistion or words; powers;  Presistion or words; powers; powers;  Presistion or words; points;  Presistion or words; powers;  Presistion or words;  Presistion		0
inferipation of the inferent in the inferent in the inferent in the inferent in the inferent in the inferent in the inference of the inference		0
Physicitions prologogicons insignment of projections of prologogicons insignment of projections of projections of projections of professions in the projections of professions of projections of professions of projections of professions of projections of projecti		•
The state of collaboration and collaboration of collabora		0
inferral, or Ordaness lines, Prestition or verys press lines, Press lines and the press lines, Press lines and the press lines, Particular or verys lines and verys Prestition or verys lines and verys Prestition or verys press lines Press and it can brown Press and it can brown Press and it can brown Press and the press and the press brown Press and the press and the		-
Paperting of verying speaking.  Paperting of verying speaking.  Paperting of verying speaking.  Paperting of verying speaking.  In speaking architecture and and and appropriate of verying speaking of verying speaking.  In speaking of verying speaking speaking of verying of verying speaking		•
Papetition Oravini phrase jints-  papetition Oravini phrase jints-  papetition Oravini phrase jints-  papetition Oravini phrase jints-  papetition Oravini phrase jints-  papetition Oravini phrase jints-  papetition Oravini persente oravini  papetition Oravini persente oravini  papetition Oravini persente oravini  papetition Oravini persente oravini  papetition Oravini persente oravini  papetition Oravini persente oravini  production Oravini persente oravini  production Oravini persente  production Oravini persente  production Oravini persente  production Oravini persente  production Oravini persente  production Oravini persente  production Oravini persente  production Oravini persente  production Oravini persente  production Oravini persente  production Oravini persente  production Oravini persente  production Oravini persente  production Oravini persente  production Oravini persente  production Oravini persente  production Oravini persente  production Oravini persente  production Description Oravini persente  production Description Oravini persente  production Description Oravini persente  production Description Description Description Description Descripti		-
sections pures between code  sections are between code  sections of pullable and worls;  sections of pullable and worls;  sections of pullable and worls;  sections of pullable and worls;  section of worls; section (worls)  sections of worls; section (worls)  sections of worls; section (worls)  sections of worls;  section of worls;  se		
Papellino of county pures  Papellino of county pures  Papellino of county pures  Papellino of county presented ords  Papellino of votes; repetification of pyl-  Papellino of votes; repetification of pyl-  Papellino of votes; repetification of pyl-  Repetification of votes; repetification of pyl-  Repetification of votes; repetification of pyl-  Repetification of votes; repetification of pyl-  Papellino of votes; repetification of pyl-  Papellino of votes; repetification of pyl-  Papellino of votes; repetification of pyl-  Papellino of votes; repetification of pyl-  Papellino of votes; repetification of pyl-  Papellino of votes; repetification of pyl-  Papellino of votes; repetification of pyl-  Papellino of votes; repetification of pyl-  Papellino of votes; repetification of pyl-  Papellino of votes; repetification of pyl-  Papellino of votes; repetification of pyl-  Papellino of votes; repetification of pyl-  Papellino of pyl-  P		
Production of counts junes  Production of counts junes  Production of counts in process  Production of counts counts at the process of counts and counts and counts are considered as a count of counts are counts of counts are counts of counts are counts and counts are counts are counts are counts are counts are counts are counts are counts are counts are counts are counts are counts are counts are counts are counts are counts are counts are counts are considered on a versa in contract contract and counts are counts are counts are counts are counts are contract and contract are contract and contract are contract and contract are contract and contract are contract and contract are contract and contract are contract and contract are contract and contract are contract and contract are contract and contract are contract and contract are contract and contract are contract and contract are contract and contract are contract and contract are contract and contract are contract and contract are contract and contract are contract are contract and contract are contract and contract are contract and contract are contract and contract are contract and contract are contract and contract are contract and contract are contract and contract are contract and contract are contract and contract are cont		•
the presents requirement south at the present version of votes account version ver	processions of sounds; pauses	
angerinang of resistance contents owed a significant of venta secured owed the principal of venta secured owed that is produced on create the base of the principal owed to the principal owed to the principal owed to the principal owed for the transfer of the principal owed produced on transfer of venta splants of venta splants of venta splants of venta splants of venta splants or venta splants	in speech; extraneous sounds at	
Application of votes scenario (words application of votes scenario (words application of votes scenario (words application of votes app		
Abbasis on oversist repetition of up.  Rocked on first sound; cheeks  Rocked on first sound; cheeks  wo cond among the whittle  wo cond among the whittle  Procked the on the sound; cheeks  Fracked the on the sound; cheeks  Fracked the on the sound; cheeks  Fracked the on whittle  Fracked the on whittle  Fracked the on whittle  Fracked on white sound; cheeks  Fracked on white sound; cheeks  Fracked the on white sound; cheeks  Fracked the on white sound; cheeks  Fracked the on white sound; cheeks  Fracked the on white sound; cheeks  Fracked the on white sound; cheeks  Fracked the on white sound; cheeks  Fracked the one white  Fracked the one of the one of the one  Fracked the one of the one  Fracked the  Fracked the one  Fracked the one  Fracked the one  Fracked the  Fracked the one  Fracked the one  Fracked the one  Fracked the		
Angel by proceedables blocks  Receipt of rites and places  Particle of and the reas broom  Particle of and the reas broom  An ord product of whithe  An ord product of whithe  An ord product of which  Particle of which places  Particle of which  Particle of whi		-
Riched on The word of the word of the word of the word of the word in the word of the word		•
The first only and its comes (Deeps and Company of the Company of		-
Atth sonking it them through  Prefittion of words, splants  Prefittion of words, splants  Prefittion of words, splants  Prefittion of words, since feet con-  Prefittion of words, interjections  Prefittion of wo		7
Provided to the state of the st	testh sounding less a second	
Polystition of verif, syllable; Polystition of verif, syllable; Polystition of veryl; interjection; Polystition of veryl; interjection; Noded or and veryl interpelection; Noded or and veryl i		
Trackagations interjections  Trackagations of tracking the state of th		c
	Squinted eyes and weins in near the same	•
71. Supplet have an extension of the complete		
Sov real armeet up his face; tension in neck misculature	57. Complete block on first sound; face	0
wiston in neck misculature	Sor red; dringed" up his face;	
	whether in neck misculature	•

Control Contro	4		Study 11		\$£	Study III	
98. Complete block on first sound! 99. put band over from hyllobles! 99. put band over from hyllobles! 90. put band over from hyllobles! 90. put band over from hyllobles! 90. put band over from hyllobles! 90. put band over from hyllobles! 90. put band over from hyllobles! 90. put band over from hyllobles! 90. put band over band are band over band over band over band over band over band and are band over band and are band are band over band and are band are band over band and are band are band over band over band are band are band are band over band are band are band over band are band are band over band are band are band are band over band are band are band are band over band band over band band over band band are band are band over band band over band band over band band over band band over band band over band band band band band band band band	Item No.: IBM Card and Column No.	Item; or Question and Response Codings; or Computed Values	dz.	1 7	Control Group N = 150)	Experimen Group (N = 15	Experimental Group (N = 150) F M
99, prediction of render jubication of prediction of render jubication of prediction of render jubication of rende		58. Complete block on first sound;				0	-
Co. Producestication of search intra-   Co. Producestication of search inter-   Co.		59. Repetition of first syllables;				0	н
(in mosts)   50   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1						-	0
to Mean  4. Mean  4. Mean  4. Mean  4. Mean  5. Subh Prevention  5. Subh Prevention  6. Mean  6. Mean  7. Mean	23/1024	(in months) 156 8. Minimum				88	3.8
ing second story that stars and seed of the second		c. Median d. Median e. 90th recentile				112 113 119	ជីង៩ដី
,	2361058-53	did encone any encething to the did encone any encething to the encone any encething to the encone any encething to the encone and encething the first and encething the first and encething the first and encething the encething the first and encething the encething the encething the encething the encething the encething the encething the encething the encething the encething the encething the encething the encething the encething the encething for encething the encounter of the encething the encounter of the encounter of the encounter of the encounter of the encounter of the encounter of the encounter of the encounter of the encounter of the encounter of the encounter of the encou			<b>5</b>		888 24 11 2 1 6 6 6

<sup>56</sup>the age values shoon here may be compared with those obtained in response to question 1961 the seems here are ap-more and the control of the month higher and the medians are both five months higher than those for 1964. See Chapter 6 for relevant discussion.

239:054-55	Who first said something about 1237						ĺ
	1. No com			8	9	A	ą;
	2. 7	-	c	<		:	
	3. Mother	3.	. 5		• •	::	-5
	t. Tather	2		•		;	2
	5. Nother and Onther	12	2	۰.		3.5	2
	6. SIbling	•		٠.	٠,	٠.	^.
	7. Orandyarent	-		•	٠.		•
	S. Material grandparent			•	٠,	2 4	2
	9. Other relative	•		•	• •	٥.	0
	10. Tracher	۰ د	.,		•		_
	11. Doctor	•	•	٥.		0	
	12. Netgibor			0	0	0	-
	13. Priend of mounts				•	0	-
	14. Schools in child's arbon?			0	٥	o	-
	15. Mred help			0	0	0	_
2401056-57	Wat was man and to the second	0	-	0	0	0	0
	1. Mothers						
		3	2	8		5	ď
		-	•	0	. ~	۱,	è
		-	60	•	•	:1	, ,
	a stop and taxe It can	-	0			;=	
	2. Stop and start over			٠.	۰,	٠:	^
	o. How down; stop and start over	,	-	٠,	N -	٥.	=
	To Blow down and take it easy			-	•	•	2
	8. Stop and think what you're going			0	0	-	-
	9. Stop and take it easy: think or			0	Eų.	-	v
	What you're saying						
	10. Think of what would no seatthe			-	-	~	-
	Take 1t cany	۰.		-	~	_	
	12. Helped him any word	no			~ 0		٠.
			,	•	,		,

Then repredents in State II gave were than one response.
Specially bedies II and III then were is allustical commonts reported, each by fewer than there of the informatis represents in the own of the thirty of these (if were represents a few represents and the while I of these (if were represents from reproducts, See responses to the control and 55 by the especial from products.

£						Study 111	Ē	۱
Item Mo.4 IIM Card and Column No.	Item; or Question and Response Codings; or Compiled Values	Control Group (N = 50)	Croup Oroup (N = 50)	10 It	Control Group (N = 150	2 EST	Experimental Oroup (N = 150) F H	To at
2411058	How frequently were such comments made : 1, 40 25 times a day				~ 6	€4.	at	ä
	2, 5 to 25 times a week 3, 5 to 20 times a month (now and				٠ ۾	4 KV	F &	: 2
	4. Some but less often than 3 shows 5. Hever 6. F				4600	సబ్దా	2250	2221
6/010/0	7. for time blid the child at first stutter only on (specify words); 1. One particular word 2. Two or three particular words 3. No particular words		049	0-15-	r-ng.	work	13473	0 6 75 4
2431260	4, 7 When stuttering was first noticed was it accompanied by any grimaces or bodily contortions? 2. Yes 2. No.		9	,	•	-	19 121	1282
19411718	3.7 Did be seem indifferent to his very live stoppages? 1. Yes 2. No				230	200	132	- 55°
2451262	3. Then the stuttering was first noticed, did the child seem to be aware of the fact that he was speking in a different mather or doing something wrong? I. Yes				۰ ۰	2 2	2	- 5

233 55-ET 000mmHB04 # E 0 8 3,4 23.9 ಇಸ್ಥ たりょうちょうかっかょる ž ფო mg ~ -40 020 æ ოღი ~g0 Ø

Ties No.: Trail or Question and Response Conjucted Values Conjucted Values Conjucted Values Conjucted Values Conjucted Values Conjucted Values Spirition Spi	(Control (Co	Experimental Group (N = 50) p p p p p p p p p p p p p p p p p p p	is _	Control Group	7 a.s	Experimental	rental 19
이 바구 이 불 및 통 다 이 바구 다	ing our state of the state of t		١.	-	Į	120	ξį.
•	ģ			r-mon	0-3 HH	641 rs	8434
2 No reaction 3 Cried 4 Loughed 5 Kept on trying		,	99			2,5	95
† 0. Enwarraneur † 7. July vent to telk † 8. Worried			in wan			1 00	0.46
9. Not informed through the was the while told he was thought to have a speech defect! I. Not told to to to to the control of the control		2	15			115	80
3. Immediately after it was noticed 4. After it was noticed several times 5. Two to four weeks after onset 6. Three months after onset 7. Six months after onset 6. One year after onset 6. One year after onset	three a					1 M N N N N M N	งองหอือ
9. Two years after onset 10. Three or four years after onset	*					๛๛	ωN

two cases in the experimental groups. There was more than one temporae by some requestion was "What was the child's own first reaction to his speech difference?"

	21-120
æ	253:072

		ĺ	l
	And told him!		
_	1. No one	:	1
c	***	4	<b>5</b> ,
-	200	о.	9
<b></b>	Patter	ä	82
•		_	-
			c
		_	0
- 60	P. Grand	_	-
ó		c.	-
10.		-4	~
Ħ		-	0
3	Speech corrections at	0	-
ij		0	-
2	Friend of parent	0	-
Ė			0
9	Children by mannerated acts		
5			
	talking about him		
ř	Now was the child told, under what		~
ซ	efreundances, and precisely what		
5	Tr toldies		
-	1. The child was taid directly by		
	parents that he stuttered		
,,,	2. The child was told by marents	v	8
	that he stuttered and given some		
_	3. The child overteard parents.		9
	relatives, or physical an early and		,
	about his stutieting		
ز	Parents told the child be conta	_	
	be taken to speech thermalar on		
	clinics stuttering not mentioned		
	The relegative Mated are made up of the averation management		1
	The same of the sa		

tenses are "fold his course in many to the specific reprotes reported. Fourthes of the unclassified ("other") reway he could talk. "Thild propried that children were making the of his."
Third propried the rest of the children were making the of his."

Experimental Group (M = 150) F M	1.8 gs	D4004	22 4 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	0 0	_
ąξ	11 23 23 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	¥0000	,		
Group Group (N = 150)					
Experimental Group (N = 50)	\$1 11	70455			
Gratrol Study Al					
Item; or Question and Response Codings; or Computed Values	6. Other for the child's oun first reac- tion to being informed that he was thought to have a speech defect?	3. Diant appear to bother him; no rectined by Worled C. Photograd C. P	Now an atter you allosed the best of the child begin to sworld speech attuation?  2. I has never swolded them  2. I manetasteely a longer of the child begin to be soon, three scottlasteely to be soon, three scottlasteely be hone one year.  6. About one year.  6. About two years	Immediately after stuttering was called to the child's attention A month after it was called to his attention	About 3 months after it was called to his attention
ĺ		. 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	Move the second of the second	- c) c,	10. Ab
Item No.1 IPM Card and Column No.	2551073-74		256tD75		

Object both Studies II and III there were 35 additional reactions, each reported by one or both parents in only one or two spec in the sepremental, group, Some reproducts in Soldy II gave nore than one response. Softwords "no reaction" were included in the code only 1st Soldy III.

1				
21:010-13	What did the child do to make speak- ing easter for binnelf during the			
	first few days after you noticed he			
	was stuttering 763			
	I. Nothing	10	۶	
	2. Substituted words	4-	ų e	
	3. Decreased rate			
	4. Increased loadness	-	70	
	5. Delated mouth: made facing	•	u	
	Movement	•		
	6. Storred and started over	,	-	
	17. Some bodfly mountaint	7	۰.	
ė.	In what afternations And the shale there	^	^	
	The same of the sa			
	and more storong abanding of little			
	L. Mane	c	ų	
	2.2		۰.	
	3. When excited		æ	
		25	x	
	> When telling parents something			
		•		
	7. Just after the child ram in An		0	
	Cut Acord and total of comments			
	S. Chan photo to the transfer			
	10. Chan deside			
	ILL. When that were listening		•	
	112. When there was a pressing need for	•	•	
	commication			
	113. At achool	O.	17	
	\$24. When rolating hew experiences	0-	me	
I				

D486038 4 WE1

8 13 E2 6 000

31 \*\* 12

Sec. 5

fibre both studies II and III there were 56 stittional things, such reported by one or both parents in only one or two states has been repondent in still fibre were 207 stillings, a families, with hypered by one or both parents in only one or two states and III there were 207 stillings, taken than hypered by one or both parents in only one or two cases to the experimental groups. Some respondents to both studies give more than one response.

	mental 150)	25 % 3×166+	u & 0.22.2
E	Experimental Group (N = 150)	ಟಜ ಜ <b>ಇ</b> ಒಬ್ಹಾಲ್ಯ	o 2140
Study	Control Group (N = 150)		8000
	803		₹0 <i>⊶0</i>
	So)	58 7 L	. 해 . 25 m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m
-	Experimenta Group (N = 50)	13 8 8 4	1 F4 8601
T and	ાં ત્રીદ		
	Group (R = 50)		
	900	ag at le ag	to ming he child
	Item; or Question and Response Codings; or Computed Values	In what structions did the child have by least trooble speaking at lightly 0. 1 her. In the control of the child have been seen to be the child and reviewed to the child and reviewed to the child and reviewed the child and review	The foregraph of the present of the foregraph of the fore
1	prestion or Compu	hat situations divisions of the situations of the situations of the situation of the not excited. Then not excited. Then rested then rested from rested from rested from paying with from paying with from paying with from situations is taken the situations of the situations.	ate was no ar few w often at
	ten or C	n what situati are the least ' yest for ' 2. 7 3. When not ex lared ' 4. When talkith A. When talkith A. When talkith A. When pasted on a A. When talkith A. When alone in a second on a B. Man alone in a second on a second	10. Prayers 111. At home 121. At home 122. When there committees are or To what specifit a tutter sore of 1. Note 2. 7 3. Mother 4. Pather
	1	1441444 4 v. v. v. v. v. v. v. v. v. v. v. v. v.	, 당각성 착동출·1·6·4·1
86	Item No. 1 IDM Card and Column No.	255a	2601078-79

Office but Staties II and III there were 97 additional attentions, each reported by one or both parents in only one or to operate and proper. In both sudies now reproduce or Propose or Proper to operate and Statistical Management in the representation of the table of the statistical persons and the table of the statistical persons and the table of the table of the statistical but now one column of the table II of these a year reported by the central and 21 by the experimental two problems to represent the statistical and all the persons are response.

262.286-7	5. Giffer things 5. Giffer things 6. Strugger 7. One company 8. On	ons Swanttonn	owo Huwauu000	4000 MW4440	oann 400000010400	wawa Bilannanoaano	OTHE SPECONMENTER
563:E9	that has early first dat something presence of the statement the presence of the statement the presence of the statement of t	9 25 25 25	52 9 6.6 5	0 mag 0	241150	###8U	సేచేక్ లం
	r very much concerned			ø	8	×	33

 $S_{for}^{\prime}$  both Staties II and III there were 21 additional persons reported, each by fewer than three of the informatis respectable but was column of the thick; 9 of these 21 were reported by the control and 14 by the experimental group respectable with the control and 14 by the experimental group

	0,000	Chudu TT		Study III	III	İ
Secure District Control of Contro	Control	Experimental	Control	10	Experimental	ental
Codings; or Computed Values	(N = 50)	(N = 50)	(R = 150)	(S)	(N - 150)	20
	E	2				1
			a	-4	4	e c
			ν;	v-f	ge	15
4. No concern, or practically none			3-	ξH	n ar	9
			,			
6. fi not sure he (or she) noticed			-1	-	0	0
anything						
How often did you or & member of the						
immediate family ask the contact						
talk slowly, slow down?			0	-	17	17
1. 5 to 29 times a day			04	0	ş	ž
2. 5 to 25 times a veek						
3. Now and then (from 5 to 20 times			٠	7	3.5	53
a month)			- 0		6	2
4. Some but less often than 3 above			1 1	25	p	, C
5. Mever						
6. 7 (unable to recall number of			0	-	,-	64
times			c	-	0	0
7. Once (one time)				1		
Now often did you tell him to think						
about what he was going to say?			c		5	2
40			•		ä	2
2. 5 to 25 times a veek			•		•	
3. Now and then (from 9 to 20 times			•	u	23	23
a month)				, 5	35	
			٠,٥	Ç.	15	35
5. Rever			ŝ	5	2	į
6. f (unable to recall number of			•		٠	•
times)			9 0	٠,	۸,	u c
7. Choce (one time)			0	•	4	,
How often did you suggest that he hold						
his tengue or lips a certain way?			•	,	•	•
1. 5 to 25 times a day			0	o	4	N

Item No.1 IEM Card and Column No.

8					
	2. 5 to 25 times a veek	١	٠	١	١.
	3. Now and then (from 5 to 20 times	,	•	•	4
	· worth)	•	•	•	
	4. Jone but less often than 3 above	9 6	•	٠-	4 1
		ï	2	1:	•
	6. ? (unable to recall pusher or	2	7	į	:
	(1944)	•	•	•	•
2671513	How offer did you suggest that he	>	,	N	Þ
	take a deep breath?				
	1. 3 to 25 time a day				
	2. 5 to 25 time a vect	ο.	o	0	0
	3. Now and then (from 5 to 20 times	-	0	•	ţ•
	P WAITH				
	b. Some but leve often than I above			-	e,
	5. 36767	٦,	ς,	-	•
	6. 7 (unable to respil) problem of	<b>F</b> :	\$	137	3
	t (men)				
17:00		0	0	-	c
	מינים לוכן ליכן ווא נס נובה			•	,
	Line Carrie				
	At 5 to 25 times a Cay	•	,	•	
	2. > 10.25 times a veek	٠.	۰.	,	9
	3. Now and then (from 5 to 20 times	-	-	 (4	?:
	· march)				
	L. Sone but less often than 3 above		vo.	7	ដ
	S. Briter	r,	=	7	t
	6. 7 (unable to recall number of	3	•	Ş	ij
	C1 Med 2				
	7. Once (one time)	0	۲,	-	¢.
6721607	Now often did you tell him to make	0	-	0	0
	or take it easy?				
	1. 5 to 25 times a day				
	2. 5 to 25 tilled a coop	0	0	=	:
	3. Now and then (5 to 20 times a		0	8	7
	worth)			:	•
	h. Some but less often than 3 above	-	~	Ę,	8
	5. Morey	2,	::	8	:
		2	•	ş	ř

8		S-udy	1		Study III	111	
Item No.1 IDM Card and Column No.	Item; or Question and Response Codings; or Computed Values	Control Oroup (N = 50)	Experimental Group (N = 50)	Group (N = 150)	. (S).	Group (W 150)	릙
	6. 1 (unable to recall number of			00	ma	<i>3</i> 0	00
2701216	7. Once (one time) How often did you say the difficult words for his? 1. § to 25 times a day			0 64	0-1	ខ្	'nβ
	2, 5 to 2) times a week 3, woo and then (5 to 20 times a month) 4, Some but less often than 3 above				~2E	233	# 25 F
271:217	<ol> <li>never</li> <li>f. q. unable to recall number of times</li> <li>times</li> <li>incompared to the child</li> </ol>			N	~	*	-
	practice reading aloud? 1. 5 to 25 times a day 2. 5 to 25 times a veek 2. 5 to 25 times a veek 3. 5 to 20 times a			00	00	0.4	01
	y, now must over the state of t			0000	000 0	w - & - w	0 gin
272 1818	How often did you suggest that he say some other word! 1. 5 to 25 times a day 2. 5 to 25 times a week			• •	00	40	0 W
	3. Now not then (5 to 20 times a month) is 5mm but less often than 3 above 5. Nover times 10 tumble to recall number of times)			012 0	4mg 0	15°°°	96,1

Ι΄	- 6	٥٠	520		3	3'-								9	7	2	10	•	۱ ا
,	N (V	ij.	127		3	90								~ 0	o	10	0	c	
	10	04	ಹೆ೦		Ş	3-1							,	۰.	•	ev	6	0	
٠	00	~~	దం		3	,0							•	0 -	•	4	æ	٥	
					0	0	33	•	200	٥,	g	,		25	,	æ :	9	0	
						· cu	ž Q	e .	1 52	-	7	>	œ	9		#	5	-	
to keep	4	above	į	N)at			t to Think about what he's going to say			2 25				;	e au 12	a prove	or or		68 or both Studies II and III there were 100
Sow often did you tell him to be tareful of his speech, to try to keep from stuttering? 1. 5 to 25 times m day	2. 5 to 25 times a week 3. Now and then (5 to 20 times a	month) 4. Some but less often than 3 above 5. Never	6. 7 (can't recall how often)	to help the child speak well; what		alm'l	Think about what he's going to say			nish at o	Ited	Now offern have these helps been offered to bin;	1. 5 to 25 times a day	e k	2 22 2	Some but less often than 3 shows	(unable to recall number of		1
l you tell ingt fines a de	thes a ve	less ofte	recall h	have you		be talk	at what	ep breat!	start ove	TO MIN TO	when exe	re these h	tree a dr	Times a ve	2011	less ofte	to rece.		111 be
Eow often did yourselve since stuttering?	S to 25 t	Some but	7 (cen't	elp the	Nothing	Suggested	Think abo	Take a de	Stop and	Take 14 c	Be quiet	Now often have 1	5 to 25 t	200 20	month)	Some but	7 (unable		dies II a
Paris 1	44	34			40	in		9		-	ò	2 5	4	i e		3			both Stu
273:£19			274:E20-21								976	222							69,01
23			Ñ								8	v.						- 1	

and "Two blottes II and III there were 100 sections assessment or sported, each by freet than three of the inframental in any one colone of the busil; 30 of these 150 sections of the 100 blottes of the inframental to the inframental contributes to the inframental contributes to the inframental contributes by the superstant in Study II give more than one response. In the greation read "this laws pare

16		Study	Įį.		Study III		1
Item No.: IBM Card and Column No.	Item or Question and Response Codings; or Computed Values	Centrol Group (N = 50)	Experimental Group (N = 50)	Control Group (ff = 150)		Group Group (W = 150)	6 E
27618224	15. Hever 17. Eathly 18. you or anyone else listening to the child react in eny way to		0 & mm				
	the fact that he erend to be having difficulty 109 1, Yes 2, No 1, 5			⊬ <sub>8</sub> 0	<b>65</b> -4	54°	83 5
277 1E22B	Lid you avert your gate? 1. Yes 2. No 3. 4.			-3°	ఒళ్లిం	55 E	*%°
27812220	Mad you try to change the subject? 1. Yes 2. No 3. 7.			०८०	- 22 -	# <b>£</b> °	910
279 i B22D	Did you register surprise! 1. Yes 2. No 3. to			3°	"6°	21 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	85.
28018228	Did you "shush" him? 1. Yes 2. No 3. r			e 50°	ಂಗಂ	e 22°	811
28118228	hid you try to get him not to talk so much!			~	-	91	, <b>3</b>
69 Questit speech probles vaguely for E	Obsertion 276 through 280 were asked with reference to the time when the parent first felt that the child had a appress problem (time 253); So fatherm and 18 methers in the experimental group fait unable to recall their resections even engage for the purpose of memoring these questions.	ence to the time whi in the experimental	en the parent fire group felt unable	to recall	t the chi	lld had a actions ev	8

1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1		63 77 120 135 63 77 14 15 16	్లాడ్లు త్రా	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	, , , ,		ante represented in any one column of freeze that there of the interest that there of the interest
	2. No 3.7 Did you Laught 1. Fee	3. No 3. T 21d yru Jook vorried? 5. The	1) 4 7 1 4 1 4 1 4 1 4 1 4 1 4 1 4 1 4 1 4	~8239	Mandally better one then been been been been groundly better, then groundly better, then growes groundly better, then growes growes faithfully better, then growes growes growes growes growes.	13, Recent Bradania Petter Paidly Detter Vorse, then The Statement Petter The Statement PetroPetr The Statement Petr The Statement PetroPetr The Statement Petr The Statement Petr The Statement	Now this way was 30 wer reported by the centron 15 by the services of the informants represented in the centron 15 by the services of the informants represented in the centron 15 by the services of the informants represented in the centron of the informants when the centron of the informants of the informant centron in the centron of the informant in the informant in the centron of the informant in the informa

....

		Control	Experimental	Grond		Group	
Item No.1 IFM Card and Column No.	Item; or Question and Response Codings; or Computed Values	Group (M = 50)	(N = 50)	(4 - 150)	.g=	N - 150	
2861E24	Actor you first noticed that the child was stutering was there ever at time when the speech improved greatly!			⊬&°	చిట్టోబ	8%%	109
287* 2881227	If yes, what was the probable course of the changer's Ages you free modiced that the child was stuttering ass there ever a time when you fait the stuttering had completely disappeared?			នុះ	<b>ಜ</b> ೆ	108	98
2904 2901830	<ol> <li>Yes</li> <li>No</li> <li>Yes</li> </ol>			, v	(o. o	v 87	٥
	more severe			,	•		

Control Experimental

Study II

l, Yes

of them of year reported by the central and 60 by the experimental group respondents "Generated and attribute new and as followed," resident the home of (6) followed are revenue, seat-resident and their thirds, occur produced, "seat-resident," healther, 91 (6) changed sentences reserved seat-resident seat-resident against the seat-resident and the seat-resident seat-resident of the seat-resident seat-resi Tonly 29 causes were reported, each by fewer than three of the informants represented in any one column of the table;

20	*****	E	2000 000
8-	~838597	00 0 X	*#00 0HB
l			
, F.			
87			
	854845	2	8200 arm
	0122300	ដ	Note
			ĺ
			[
1 12	, j	9, tomes 10. Average at times; severe at other times of times and satisfy the present pattern of satisfacting, 75 1. Repetition of whole wond 2. Repetition of whole 2. Repetition of first letter or	ا عقیا
2. No 13. T If yes, what was the probable cause of the changes??* Then severe at you feel the stutter- ing is now! (Study III Now severe	is the stutterflog may?)  2. Very mild appech normal  2. Very mild appech normal  3. Very mild appech normal  4. Verrage  6. Superstely server  6. Superstely server  8. Villa at times; average at other	9. these at times servere at of times the times the times the times the times the times the times the times the times the times the times times the times the times of the times or the times the times times the times times the times times the times times the times	Reputition of their syllable Reputition of their syllable Policingstion of vess. Prolonged vessillation before production of very Block before very Prolongstion of consensat
he prot	apects to see	9. ".  O. Average at times; severe times everibe and instate the pres aftern of state evert 1. Repetition of whate vord 2. Repetition of these vord 2. Repetition of these vord	sound Repetition of first syllal Recognition of first light Fredingsteen of worst Fredingsteen of worst Fredingsteen of worst Recognition of worst Block before word Fredingstion of conseant
to you (Study	is the stuttering may?) 2. Very mila 3. Very mila 4. Avernge 5. Moderntely serves 6. Severs 6. Moderntely serves 7. Very serves 8. Mila at times; aven	at tim	** Securities of first to Complete block on :  ** Complete block on :  ** Frolongeties of von Frolonget vecalization of von Frolonget vecalization of von fallock before vord 8. Frolongetien of con
To te, vhat the chang severe is now?	is the stutter  1. No stutter  2. Very mild  4. Average  5. Moderately  6. Severe  7. Very sever  8. Mild at th	Average times for of a	Round Repetiti Protong Producti Block by Protong
Fr Fr	**********	Pette	~** ***
_		ñ	
271. 272:E33		2931834-35	"

Thirdy of rememers reported, each with the exception of "excitement," which was mentioned by these experimental species to the result of the r

ntal	.g=	01	6	, ~	44	o 0	4	٣	-	80	٣	-	8	CV
Experimental	Group (N * 150	4-	ouk	٠-	ma i	H 64	A	0	~	~		0	rv	~
Control Study III	Group (N = 150)													
Study II	Group (n = 50)	9	n n o											
l I	Group (R = 50)											_		
	Item or Question and Response Codings; or Computed Values	9. Repeated vocalization before	vord 10. Block after starting vord 11. Repetition of phrases	13. Repetition of syllables and words 14. Repetition of first syllables		16. f 17. "Unable to express thoughts" 18. Prolongation of initial sound in	word 19. Repeated vocalization (ah); repetition of short words and	syllables 20. Prolongation of vovels and		22. Repetition of word and inner Syl- lable; prolongation of wowels,	23. Reputition of whole word, first syllable. first letter	24. Repetition of whole word; repeated wordization before word	25. Repetition of first syllable; block before a word	26. Repetition of whole word; repetition of phrases
36	Item No. 1 IN Card and Column No.													

2. Preventions or several interferences 2. Prevention of very control very control	de la constant de la				
Prepartition of work and free get.  Days and complete his surfaces;  Days and complete his surfaces;  Days and complete his surfaces;  The preparation of glabbles;  Preparati	of sounds				٠
habis production of vois a profession of vois a preferent a considerable the strategies of vois a preferent a considerable to the strategies of vois a considerable to the strategies of vois a considerable to the strategies of vois a considerable to the strategies of vois a considerable to the strategies of vois a considerable to the strategies of vois a considerable to the strategies of vois a considerable to the strategies of vois a considerable to the strategies of vois a considerable to the strategies of vois a considerable to the strategies of the strategies o				v	•
Down ind complete text meters 1 1 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	lable; prolongation of vovels				•
Integrable sections of the control o					9
Efficience and before work;  Freelistics of planker; produce  Freelistics of planker; produce  Freelistics of their yillable;  Freelistics of work and voted  Freelistics of work and voted  Freelistics of work and voted  Freelistics of work and voted  Freelistics of work and voted  Freelistics of work and voted  Freelistics of work and voted  Freelistics of work and voted  Freelistics of work and voted  Freelistics of work and voted  Freelistics of work and voted  Freelistics of work and voted  Freelistics of work and voted  Freelistics of work and voted  Freelistics of work and voted  Freelistics of work and voted  Freelistics of work and voted  Freelistics of work and voted  Freelistics of work and produce of Freelistics  Freelistics of work and produce of Freelistics  Freelistics of work and produce of Freelistics  Freelistics of work and work work work work work work work work					•
repaiding or gollables; proins- ment for sonial and control and co				,	٥
perion of sounds.  perion of sounds.  Interaction of plable and vords;  Interaction of plable and vords;  Interaction of plable and vords;  Interaction of plable and vords;  Interaction of plable and vords;  Interaction of plates and vords;  Interaction of plates and vords;  Interaction of plates and vords;  Interaction of plates and vords;  Interaction of plates and vords;  Interaction of plates and vords;  Interaction of plates and vords;  Interaction of plates and vords;  Interaction of plates and vords;  Interaction of plates and vords;  Interaction of plates and vords;  Interaction of plates and vords;  Interaction of plates and vords;  Interaction of plates and vords;  Interaction of plates and vords;  Interaction of plates and vords;  Interaction of plates and vords;  Interaction of plates and vords and vords and vords;  Interaction of plates and vords and vords and vords and vords;  Interaction of vords and vords and vords and vords and vords;  Interaction of vords and vords and vords and vords and vords;  Interaction of vords and v	repetition of syllables; prolon-				
Repetition of their sylable;  Interpreted between words  Interpreted between words  Interpreted between words  Interpreted between words  Interpreted between the publics  Friend between the publics  Friend between the publics  Friend between the publics  Friend between the public  Friend be				,	٠
About interval in the between worse in the control of the between worse in the between worse in the between worse in the between worse in the between worse in the between worse in the between worse in the between worse in the between worse in the between worse in the between worse in the between the betwe				,	74
Perettion of wylabies and vorsa;  repetition of wylabies and vorsa;  repetition of vore and only and  Reas on mitted letter;  Reas on mitted letter;  Reas on mitted letter;  Reas on mitted letter;  Reas on mitted letter;  Reas on mitted letter;  Reas on mitted avoid patent after fret  Reas on mitted avoid letter will be  Reas on mitted avoid letter will be  Reas on mitted avoid letter will be  Reas on mitted avoid letter will be  Reas on mitted avoid letter will be  Reas on mitted avoid letter will be  Reas on mitted avoid letter will be  Reas on mitted avoid letter will be  Reas on mitted avoid letter will be  Reas on mitted avoid letter will be  Reas on mitted avoid letter will be  Reas on mitted avoid letter will be  Reas on mitted avoid letter will be  Reas on mitted avoi					
interjection on free letter;  The state liber on free letter;  The state liber on free letter;  The state liber of the state of the sta			•	4	-
Complete block on tries there;  speaks work of an erret strenger  speaks work, while an experiment  speaks work, while an experiment  speaks work work and any spettlen  speaks work of a speak work of a speak work  speaks work of a speak work  speaks work speaks should;  regitting work should;  regitting work speaks should;  regitting work speaks should;  regitting work speaks should;  regitting work speaks should;  regitting work speaks should;  regitting work speaks should;  regitting work speaks should;  regitting work speaks should;  speaks should be speaked as a speaks should be speaked as a speaks should be speaked as a speak should be speaked as a speak should be speaked as a speak should be speaked as a speak should be speaked as a speak should be speaked as a speak should be speaked as a speak should be speaked as a speak should be speaked as a speak should be speaked as a speak should be speaked as a speak should be speaked as a speak should be speaked as a speak should be speaked as a speaked speaked speaked as a speaked	InterActions				
reprinting of votes and publics  of votes and initial latesty reputition  of votes initial latesty reputition  of votes on initial sound; reputition  of votes on initial sound; reputition  of votes on initial sound; reputition  of votes initial sound; reputition  of votes initial sound; reputition  of votes initial votes initialization  reputition of votes; votes initialization  reputition of votes; votes initialization  reputition of votes; votes initialization  reputition of votes; votes initialization  reputition of votes; votes initialization  reputition of votes; votes initialization  reputition of votes initialization  reputitio				_	#
Newset words, with Late, presents  Of words on third, letter; repetition  Of words  Of					
of Nobes on initial letter) repetition of which and initial letter) repetition of which and the spectition of which and the specific or of which and the specific or of which are the specific or of the specific or of the spinkle; from letter or of the spinkle; from the common in the specific or of common in the specific or of common in the specific or of the spinkle; from the specific or of the specific or of the specific or of the specific or of the specific or of the specific or of the specific or of the specific or of the specific or of rests and of the specific or of rests and of the specific or of rests and of the specific or of rests and of the specific or of rests and of the specific or of rests and			-	_	4
of vector of the vector of the vector of vector vector of vector of vector of vector of vector v			•	e.	œ
Of Bocks on initial sound; repetition  Begins and all pattern after first  Begins of the first first  Begins of the word outside;  repetition of verd, first splans,  repetition of verd, first splans,  Books on initial splans,  Books on initial splans,  Books on initial splans,  Books on the verd on one or  Book befor word; repetition of repetition of the period of					
of syllables  The syllables of the sylla			_	0	-
Powiets would priter nifer first  "The word price nifer in the property of the word price nifer in the word price nifer in the word price nifer in the word price nifer word. The word price nifer words without words without the words without the words without interfered more properties of words presented of the words without presented of the words without the words without the words with the wo					
sylable of the state thought's respective to express thought's respective or word, first sylable, respective or word, first sylable, state for word, first sylable, shown or word, sylable, shown or word, sylable, shown or word, regulate or freeting or word, regulate or word, regulat			•		-
The whole to express thoughts';  The work of the world, the wile wile will be a second of the world, the wile wile will be a second of the world, which will be a second will be					
repetition of word, first sylable,  Stocks of initial sylable,  Stocks of initial sylable,  Stocks of initial sylable,  Stocks of or commons,  Stocks of or commons,  Stocks of or commons,  Stocks of or commons,  Stocks of or commons,  Stocks of or commons,  Stocks of or commons,  Stocks of or commons,  Stocks of or commons,  Stocks of or commons,  Stocks or common			_	0	4
More latter or mittal syllable;  Persisting a venda, syllable;  persisting a venda, syllable;  persisting to venda, syllable;  persisting to venda, syllable;  persisting to production; inter-  persisting to product to presist or   Persisting to the presist or   Persistency to the presist or   Persistency to the presist or   Persistency to the presist or   Persistency to the presist or   Persistency to the presist or   Persistency to the presist or   Persistency to the presist or   Persistency to the presist or   Persistency to the presist or   Persistency to the presist or   Persistency to the presist or   Persistency to the presist or   Persi	repetition of word, first syllable.				
Mother on Little 1911ability  Properties of connection of					
Protection of commons.    Protection of vords, voltable,   Protection of vords, voltable,   Protection of vords, voltable,   Protection of vords, voltable,   Protection of vo			_		ч
Repetition of votes, pulls, as, and as a construction of the const					
interest profognitions; inter- jectured (in) Goldguittons; inter- Book barge would preparition or Book barge would preparite on or Book barge would prepare the state of the s			_	_	0
jestino (a))  The Splash free word repetition or first Splash free trief or of a first Splash freety freety of a first Splash freety fr	phrases; molonestione: fores.				
Noce before would present on or presenting or that lates, retrain or first presenting or that lates, for the present of the contract of the contract concentral, impresent or were and					
Thirt splashing interjetion of "ur Brotetion of tirst letter, first splashing contents hince on first lettering producestion of vocate and concentral, interjection					0
					•
Wildhair complete hinds on first lather; prolated or from an occurately interjection and commontal interjections			-		•
Letter) production of weets and consomals; interjections	syllable; complete block on event				•
consonates; interfections	letter; prolamention of wowell and				
	consonats; interjections				
			7		•

		Study	-	Study III	III Grand menta	
Ites No. 1 IBN Card and Column No.	Item; or Question and Response Codings; or Computed Values	Control Group (M = 50)	Experimental Group (N = 50)	Control Group (N = 150)	(N = 150	ું કુર્ય
	to be some and the second of t				٥	
	kg. Repetition of worth on				u	
	44. Repetition of words, first				cu	٦
	syllables; silent intervals					
	of initial sound; block before				-	0
	46. Repetition of whole word, syl-				-	0
	"vell" at beginning; gasping					
	47. Repetition of words, phrases;				cu	0
						•
	AG. Repetition of Paris, interesting				н	>
	lo. Repeated vocalization of "uh";					
					0	-
	lables, phrases					,
	yo, Repetition of words, symmetry,				н	-
	51. Repetition of syllables; prolon-				64	0
	gations; interjections				ı	
	52. Repetation of sylabores, Saparas				0	-
	53. Repetition of words, syllables;				•	v
					•	•
	54. Repetition of words, phrases;				o	٦
					•	
	>> Repetition of sythautes; sauses between words; interjections				н	0
	56. Repetition of words; prolongations				4	-
	of sounds				,	·

		0	0.																		9		12 12		 E.:	•
				11 0		1.	10	, ,		0			0							,	en .		M-4			
fore a word	cables; silent on of inter-	is, syllables,	27018	"puq.	ral consec-	" reports it		forgot"			THE POLE	th gutteral		of several vords	ter starts word	thret syllable	:	the there as a	ora is insaliy							
	58. Repetition of syllables; silent interval; repetition of inter-	59. Nepetition of words, syllables,		tol. Precedes with "Say, Dad"	TOZ. Repetition of several consec- utive avilables					#60. Nime breeth art of the			Bound	173. Rapid repetition of several words 474. Infects breathless notices	175. Silent interval after starts word	776. Resitation after f	Tor words	Tile not before the there as a	arokanî	1. Wone	2. One	3. 100	4. Three	S. Four		

8		54114	Crude II		A DOOR		ľ
	Second Second	Control	I\$	intel	Control	Group	1
Ites No.:	Codings; or Corputed Values	(N = 50)	(N - 50)		(N 150)	(N 150)	<u></u>
Column No.		×		z			
			0.	9		27	e c
	7. Five or more		0	0		ng	3 -
	• •		0	ន		2	v
	9. Two to three			23		₹,	N°
	10. Three to four					20	D
	11. Four to five		-				
200	How much force or effort does the						
Cartico	child use now in comparison to when						
	stuttering was first noticed?		:	ě.		15	S
	1. Much morns		75	2 4		3	5
	o Modernately more		70	2 5		F	9
	a short the man		0	3.		22	0
	200000000000000000000000000000000000000		-	٦.		7-	٠,
	W. Woderately less		a			<b>.</b>	ą.
	5. Puch less					a	.7
	6. None nov		•	c		-	0
	7. 1		•	,			
206.1838.20	How does he react now when he has a						
	lot of trouble saying a word? To					٣	-
	1:1			,		78	9
	2. No reaction		4	,			-4
	3, Stope trying		•			-	
	4, Laughe		,	4		•	
	5. Increases bodily activity for a		•			c	•
	nomint		9	^,		ģ	, 4
	6. Keeps trying		g.	Q-		į	3,
			•	4		~,	٠,
	8, Substitutes another word		m.	ν.		46	٠,
	9. Acts disgusted		٠.,	m.		٠.	٦.
	10. Acts embarrassed		N.	<b>.</b>		4	• •
	11. Acts angry		0	•		,	n
							l

Pror both Studies II and III there were 57 additional reactions each reported by one or both parents in only one or two cases in the experimental groups. Some respondents in Study II gave more than one response.

They best paris, see softward it since it gave more that one response.

They best busies it and it is all it has been a supported in the control and it is not a surface of the state in the supported in the control man is the ability of the abilit

ξ		Study II	F			Study II		١١
Ites No.1 IfM Card and Column No.	Item or Question and Personse Coings; or Computed Values	Control Group (N = 50)	Experimental Gray (N = 50)		Group Group (M = 150)	, g x	Group (N = 150)	
	6. When trying to gain a listener's		۰	0	a	ø	v	7
	attention 7. When he doesn't know quite what to asy; groping for words or ideas 8. When playing with other children		10 B	mo <sub>z</sub>	mat ri	000	wun	mme
	9, When tired 10, When he is being or fears he will		. 10	en	-	٣	9	ø,
			. →0		010	ដ្ឋ	600	#.# 61.#
			m0		00	00	ma	ø.≠
			N-1 01 -1 0	ን ኮላ ኮ/ዕ				
30.	+199. At the sting new experiences +20. When relating new experiences +21. When each angry in what situ- buring the past south in what situ- ations have you personally observed		.00	r-0				
	trails the child has had little or no trails speaking 179 1. None 2. 1		۲,4	mm	22		23	5,39
Typor bo	They both Statis II and III there were 150 additional situations reported, each by fourer than three of the informations represents in any one column of the thich; it of these 150 were represents the control and 170 by the rest means, strong represents, Some represents for the control and 170 by the relations from presents from the control and 170 by the structures.	these 160 were response. In Stu	reported,	each by fer the control question was	er than and 120	three of by the	the inforexperiment	4 Fd 9

group respondents. Some respondence and does he have the least trouble speaking noul

mm	นนี้ผนพพพชาด	80 CO 4	5-00
EL.	0402304604	<b>0.</b> → 0.mm	22.00
	•		
60	24000000	00 HOH	
ν#	Nw00000000	WO 00H	
180	05,4005,4000		2-40
oạ	00 w00 ro wo u	m0 +00m+4	2-00
		v	
8.3	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	of million in the parties of mother in the parties alone; taking to it. Man parties alone; taking to it. Man parties alone; taking to it. Man parties alone; taking to it. Man and or that he wants to any 50. When and, angry at he wants to any 50. When and, angry at he wants to any 50. When and or the parties and to whe specific and the parties and to whe specific and the parties a	i e
When not excited Ordinary, normal conversation All other times except situ-	question (to, 300)  Singing catch (to, 300)  Singing catch play  One playing  Pen rested  Pen rested  Pen resting  Pen res	or dilaten  11. Vona alone with Inther or sother  11. Vona paying alone; talking to  12. Vitth Analy only  12. Vitth Analy only  13. Vitth Analy only  14. Vona and angry  15. Vitth Only  16. Vitth Only  16.	9
xcept trept	(c) % 855	Tather t he; ta	tutte
When not excited Ordinary, normal All other times	question (No. 300) When tetls, relaxed Singing During quiet play When playing When resided When resided When residing When story telling When story telling When story telling	with a slow	2
iner in	question (No. When calls, re Singing During quiet When playing When rested When reciting When reciting When talking, When talking,	Playing	96 F
100	Question Single	children When play When play When play With a nurr When smd When smd When smd When con When con	Month of House
m	9,50,00,14,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,	16. Upon allow vith Inther or so allow allow allow allow 17. Man allow vith allow 18. With family only 19. When sure of what he wants view to waste or what he wants view he wants view he wants view he wants view by the property only allowed 12. Who may no few listening view and view a manth to what an allow wasternet view of the parties of the parti	Very Propile does be student to more often ones? DO 111 form 2. † Form 2. † Noting 1. Noting 1. Noting 1. Noting 1. Noting 1. Noting 1. Ones; parents
		५१ मा १३: ८०६	
		~	

More both Studies II and III there were it additional preferes such reported by one or both parents in only one or two cases. Jone respondents to Study II give were that one response.

101		Study II	11			Study II	Durant mental	1
Item No.1 Iffe Card and Column No.	Item; or Question and Response Codings; or Computed Values	Control Group (N = 50)	Experimental Group (N = 50)		Group (N = 150	. al=	Group (N = 150	g z
103,246-47	6. Strugers 7. Grounds 8. Playmers 9. Widor and father During the past mouth to what specific		wmo	r-17			mamn	0,000
	yerron have you coefficient of the coefficient of t		\$£ 84	ц»,			0 10 8	105 4 4
<u> </u>	), Nother - Indiger (2) 118 - Oncolprent - Oncolprent		0040mm	emmaaa			in o ent	- A mmar
	with great the child the most report to provide the child the most republic (Study III what topics of commercially used in the most treather) to most treather) to the most treather) to most in from in particular 2, The schoolwork		900	F 4-1	511	£a.⊶	110	20 L L

Byte both Studies II and III there were 13 additional persons each reported by one or both persons in candy one or two same flow reported in Study II gave more than one response. Over both Studies II and II there were 41 additional topics or reported, each by fewer than three of the informatie wherethe in my accolumn of the table 10 of these it was response to the presented in two continuous of the table 10 of these it was response to the superior all should II give more than one response.

Torobe that is med in Universe on an estimate the second process, and by four that there of the informats respondent in up one color of the information of the second of the color of the c

Ē		Study	Ay 11		Control	Study III	۱×	potal
Ites No.1 IDE Card and	Item; or Question and Response Colings; or Computed Values	Gotrol Group (# - 50)	Gran Gran	.∂≖	(N = 150)	. g)=	(N = 150)	္ချ
3971853	How does your wife (husband) feel about this beharfor?  1. Strongly dislikes  2. Moderntely dislikes	<u> </u> 	50	og e	mao	400	<b>122</b>	900
	3, Middly distinct  4, Generally tolerant or indifferent  5, Feels sorry for him  7, Padn't noticed them		55.	80 %	6000	6,000	9400	1 12 29
	8, possn't dislike it but doesn't want him to do it (for his own sake)				0	0	0	-
3081254-55	Una favor you tried to do would the 1. Suggested modification 2. Nothing, overly ignored it 3. Nothing; no inner feelings about it 4. Tota his to slow down		พอูพอ	rogo r	5010	0000	อนีแผ	« ద్ల <sub>ే</sub> -
3031505	figor anch does the child table box as compared with other children! I. Mach accord than average 2. Secorbat work than average 4. Sownhat less than average 5. Buth less than average 6. Cau'l say	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	<b>~</b> 488°0°	ลมีอื่อนอ	స్ట్రాం	35 KT 80 0 0	192°°°	23834 uo
					,			į

Study III

Ober bath dimits. It and III there were 15 additional things reported, each by fever thus three of the information respected is any one column of the tables; 2 of these 15 was reported by the control and 15 by the experimental group respondent.

		İ	I						
310:257	In comparison with other children, how made is the child permitted to take at the dimer table? (Stony I: To the child permitted to talk at the dimer toble?). I which mere than a result of the children to the stangent of Stoney has a result of the dimere than a result of Stoney has been than a result.					ដូច	ផរ	ره د	"
1	3. About average 4. Somewhat less than average 5. Much less than average 7. Yes asy 6. Can't asy	g-	9-	ş,	<b>3</b> 9-	38r44	28000	3 P 80 0 V	ma.
311:1258	Nam be always been? 1. Yes 2. No 3. y	9	, Še	i iva	, 1 <sup>2</sup> v	139	145	138	25
312:259	In comparison with other children, bow much is be permitted to when gueste are present! (Study II: Is be permitted to when guests are	٥	0	•	0	m	-	-m	
	A Marin Word than average  Somewhat more than average  Johant average than average  Goodwalt tess than average  Marin tess than average  Conft asy	97	9	3	2	~85g~~0	- 12 kg 12 0 11	* 5 6 2 ° *	
3131660	To no comparison with other children, how much was the child taught to speak process? (Study II; Was the child saught to apeak process?)	a	i en	, w	ř <sup>N</sup>				
	2. Somewhat more than average 3. About average					~F-8	e 52 %	~18	- 24

Pet 2 0 00

Ě			Study	-		1	Study III	TIT.	
Ites No.1	Item; or Question and Response Codings; or Computed Values	Gentral Group (M = 50)	1. ab	Syperimental Group (N = 50)	20) 20)	Group (N = 150)	(20)	(N = 150)	္ကန္ဒါ
	L. Somewhat less than average S. Hard less than average G. Cau't say 17 Years 180 180 180 180 180 180 180 180 180 180	5%2	, oza	35.	040	9520	360	300	32
	The many was be called on to pre- form before outsiders? (Study III: form before outsiders?) form before outsiders?  S. General sealing  B. General seas than average  J. Shout average  J. Shout average  J. Shout average  J. Shout average  J. Shout average  J. Shout average  J. Shout average  J. Shout average  J. Shout average  J. Shout average		•	¢	•	a చెక్టార్లు	2553.80	00220°	ఆలట్చేచ్చ
Š	6. Can't say 17. Yes 18. No	0 0 0 0	286	, 50 , 50	133	•		•	
315:102	what was not a stand or that we have the seconds?)  Or find the control of the co	00052	04423	2000-30	~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	0 5 3 E 7 0	01°5%	0 tt - 2 tt u	೦೮೩೩೪
		,	•	,	•	E.S	유급	<b>∄</b> °	94
						0	0	-	0
	10. Eager to bround immiry; retused around strangers					0	-	•	•

should break into a conversation be-tween you and a friend?<sup>5</sup>5 putet; tell him to wait until 1. Reprisend him; ask him to be rou are through talking

Schetimes reprimend him, sometimes indicate no disapproval, Indicate no disapproval; let roan, but say nothing ifa continue

Indicate disapproval, as by

ė

Iry to distract him (by having child get something to show to the adult or by telling him lepends on situation

what to play with); divert his Ask him to wait until you are attention

a

çu

Let child finish talking, then through talking, but he won't so let him talk

each day without being allowed Now often do you suppose he is cut reprimend him for interrupting 19. Ask child to wait 1; never happens . .

317:264

Several times a day At least once a day Less then once a 8.1

finish what he has to say?

Rardly ever diministration 87or both Studies II and III there were 10 additional actions reported, each by fewer than three of the informants represented in any one column of the table; 7 of these 10 were reported by the centrol and 6 by the experimental group

었다

58220°

8 8 çu

8 8

8

S 8

8 16

8

Experimental	3 a	7 7 16	55 55	85 a		\$°6			8444
T Kenas		H00	٤.	0.0					400j
Control	22°	000	2	% P					นนท์
İş	1	ងដង	Ħ	0		ရှင	-	4	
1 12	(a)	54.5	20	-		80	o		
Control Study II	(4 - 50)								
Rem; or Question and Response	Colings) or Cospited Values	Now sensitive was (dudy III is) the child about his speech defect! I. Very sensitive S. Aborartity consists J. Allaly sensitive	. Not sensitive; apparently doesn't feel there is anything very with his speech	f. Not sensitive; but probably feels there is something vrong with his speech	if the child feels there is some- thing wrong with his speech, whose does he blame for it? (Study III	Whom does the child blame for his lattering?) 1. No one 2. Hinnelf	1. Wother and father 5. Once blaned uncle	6. Uncle "from whom he learned to	Why!ve concerned are you now about the child's stuttering! 1. Very much concerned 2. Moderately concerned 3. Mildly concerned
130	Ifter No. 1 Ifter Card and Column No.	318:265 5		~ `	3191867	<b>3</b> 4 4 61	mai si		321,259 H

. at a medianest and state of the stand

									l
3221.E70	No concerned is your vife (husband) no about the child's stuttering? 1. Very mach concerned 2. Addrestaly concerned 3. Allialy concerned 4. Note to all					Hang	942	823	m-a a -
323:27.	2. T How ashamed are you of the child's meetal need					٥	· m	- 61	•
	1. Very mich 2. Moderately 3. Milian				64	00	00	m	
	4. Not at all		4	3	14	-18	<b>0</b> %	139	45
354,872	16. Somewhat How ashamed is your wife (husband) of the child's green your			6.	۲	-	0	0	
	1. Very mich 2. Moderately 3. Milian			_	•	00	00	~	
3251273-74	4. Note at all 15. Second at the Are there are other attention and other attentions.		3 40		ð ww	282	) <del></del> 3	133 84	ä
	in your family statter?)97				¥		÷		
	2. Neterral grandsther 3. Neterral grandsther 4. Neterral grandscher 5. Neterral grandscher 6. Neterral grandscher 6. Neterral unde	,0000	,0000	2000	gooma	90000	<u> </u>	50000	#
1						>	0	0	_

macomo

23336

0 m 2 m 20

Figure respondents in Stary II gave more than One response, as coled. In Starly II the total makers of persons in the manufact Auditive and away had resistives reported as stititures by the control group futhers and solvers, respectively, were 7, 26, 27, and 30. In Starly III the corresponding closule decade were 30, 29.

Its No.1 Item or Question and Response INC Card and Codings; or Computed Values Column No.	E. PRESENTAL MANTE OF THE PRESENT OF
d Yalues	(unspecifies)  (unspe
Green Group (N = 50)	m 0 m 0rl
Study 1	4 4 FV 8
Experimental Group (N = 50)	ה אי סימ
	4 n g4 n
Gentrol Group (n = 150)	000 0000 0 hohoooogg 00hoo hoo
	00 000000 m w0000000 0 0000 000
Experimental Group P 150	00g 00w04 mw400H3H0 H 0HH0 00H
[ [ 전 º '	00 HOOFOO W SHUNNING O HHAM MAD

10t 20t	202422	252 24 1 7	Cuoranavo o
110	m 000	20 4 40 A 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	2 0 3 3 3 3 4 5 4 6 4 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6
275-75	. 8225 ~~~	5. Ballows 6. Ballows 6. Ballows 7. Ballows 1. Ballows	13. The state of t

ad 43 by the experimental group respondents,

droup (n 150)	H 00	33 38 42 30 50 51	8 16 23 17 51 49	440000 045000	34 39
, gl=	31. 1	68611	3828	0000010	60
Gontrol Group (N = 150)	0 40	283	<i>ኡ</i> ያያፈ ►	845m40	#
		នព្			6
Experimental Orony (M = 50)		8 25			'n
F 527		m # #			
Coutrol Group (N = 50)		≎ವಫ			
Item; or Quetion and Response Codings; or Computed Values	16, Business acquaintance; school- mate of parents; classmate of 17, Frieza of parents; classmate of parents	10: continue of (14) you know each of these stutterers? 1. Intimate sculations 2. New quite well 1. Train and the continue of	gow do (did) you react to their subtrering: 1. Bothered maderately 2. Bothered maderately 4. Indifferent	5, which y statistically but occu- situally finds it sort of inter- setting and reals it makes the person a little more likeble  6, 7 Feels soury for Min 6, Andreation 9, Endwartstoon 10, Endoged it see a child 10, Endoged it see a child 20, endoged it see a child	tact with any stutterer in the family or among acquaintances? 1. Intimate contact
Item No.1 In Card and Column No.		329.	330:E79	gar it.	

A | \_

		Ì					
	2. Frequent contact 3. Introquent contact 4. Never in contact	r-w#	945	27.78	ଷ୍ଟର	1251	១៦ខ
	5. ? 6. Intimate contact but has never	300	ţo	34	Z ~	50	0
341622	stuttered in the child's presence			-	o	c	-
2::-	ing encounty does the child's stutter-			•	•	,	•
	other statterer with whom he can on						
	is in frequent or intimate contact?						
	1. Very mich	a	v			:	
	2. Moderately		, c			2	# :
	3. Sightly					σ,	#
	4. Not at all	2	<u>-</u>				₫,
223.89	~1	·	, •			0	52
3177	liow important do you think centact of	•	ı			-	m
	the child with other stutterers might be?						
	1. Strongly believes it is very						
	1mortant	,	;				
		31		-	4	15	97
	3. Strongly believes it has some	m	•	92	27	12	7.
		,					
	4. Suspects it might have some	N	CN	ដ	9	5	,
	taportanos	:					,
	5. Strongly believes it unimportant	27	-	#:	33	77	16
	b. Suspects it might be unimportent			#:	57	₽.	3
:	†8. Belleves it unimpostant			۰	ģ	8 8	2 8
334:16	Did you try to prevent contact of the	8	12			9	ō
	child with other stutterers?						
	1. Tried very hard always						
	2. Tried usually			۵	4	-	•
	3. Tried occasionally			-	-	, ,	> 0
	, a			0	0	,	<b>&gt;</b> u
125.00	+5. Yea	\$	14	ş	147	1	2
631/67	Does the child know about their stuttering?	٥	4			:	ž.
		67	19	18	5	2	5
					•	î	ř

*		Study II				Study III	Experimental	1 15
Ites So. 1	Items or Overtion and Response Con Codinges or Computed Value	Gratrol Group (N = 50)	Orone (N 50)		Group 150	્રાં	Group (% - 150)	制
Column xo.	9. 40		200	25	a.	53	F3	25
3361710	3. I Type, how did he learn about it? If yes, how did he learn about it in heard them and asked about it 2. He heard them and commented about it.		040	404	3 ma	291	446	~ CI CI
				c	Q		•	2
	speech 5, He overheard others talking about their speech 6, feet said bits about it		000	450	A 00 0	400	0 % 11 %	0443
	6. Heard the person talk (stutter) 6. He heard the person talk (stutter) 7. Stuttering jokes 7.10. In speech class 7.11. In speech class		4408	0044	•	1		
337:711	Lat, the rest is the development of the child's prech (before he began to stutter) how much did you compare him with stutterers?  1. Almost constantly		~	a	00	0 11	Om	H 60-
	2. Moderated offers		31	24	25	141 141	136	12.5
	a, inever child in general develop- ment with other stutterers + 6. Outse often		0 m	H 0	-	0	٥	٥
3381712	And you read about stuttering before your relift begin to stutter? (Controls: during the child's speech development?)  1. A great deal				-	1	~ [	~
			١		I			

		1		I					
	2. Quite a bit 3. Slightly 5. None 75. Yes			σ.	ន	4 E	1,4 99	° # 1	Ewa
339+713	No. no. 184 you discussed stuttering before your child began to stutter? (Confroll in the speech derelonment?)			3	æ				
	1. A great deal 2. Quite m bit 3. Slightly 4. Muse					0 4 8	a e g	34 to	7°2
340:714	†5. Yes †6. No flow much affeid were you that your eldid would whither?			စေရှ	382	P.	SS	ĮQĮ	<u>1</u>
	1. Very much affeid 2. Moderbely affeid 3. 31gfely affeid 4. Not at all affeid, although	•		п	٥	044	num	01 ± 10	044
	5. Not at all afraid; had never considered it	87	8g	87	3	# 5	8 Y	٠:	2
3411715	7. Not very much afraid Now afraid was your wife (hasband) that your child would stuttery	O 00	≠ m	01		7	Ş	ž	÷ .
	1. Very much afraid 2. Moderately afraid 3. Silghtly afraid b. Not at all afraid contains	~	4	0	o	00m	~~~	0 m4	440
	5. Not at all straig; bud hever considered it					2	~	ų	•
	6, functional at 17. Rather afraid 18. Not very such afraid	Bawa	3040	<u>r</u> moo	₹ ∿00	133	138	134	1,32 8

Control Cycle (N = 150)	1 1 3 3 3 5 5 6 5 5 5 6 6 5 5 6 6 5 5 6 6 5 5 6 6 5 6 6 5 6	77 36 23 23 24 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26	ระยมีละ ระยมีละ
Experimental Con Group (N 50)	2 2 2 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	E 24	พค
Gratrol Experience Control Exper	3-1-	en 84	o.⊣ a.⊱
Items or Question and Response Codinges or Computed Values	nd you look for resemblance be- toped your child and any sutterre- toped your child and any sutterre- you have or had yead about?  You'le or that  Routes often  Routes often  Routes often  Routes often  Routes often  Routes often	on the post thin course sutterflagi's that to you thin course sutterflagi's that to you thin course to thid's flow to you think course for the post of	a speech themps be greethed in speaking for processed child to talk 6. Thik more 7. Ilbu doom rate 8. Speech accretions 9. Paychotherapy; paychonalysis
Item No.1 IN Card and Column No.	3421716	****	

Sign this is (Chapter 6), for a tabilation of classified responses.
Sign this is (Chapter 6), for a tabilation of classified representable of the disconnection of classified representable by the ball study at an All 130 additional responses were said by the central and life by the experimental group as it as any one calland of the tabilation of the side of the control of the central and life by the experimental group responses. Some responses is the surposition of the central and life by the captering group any supposition is the surposition of the central and life by the captering group and the central and life by the captering group and the central and life by the captering and the central and life by the captering and the central and life by the captering and life by the captering and the central and life by the central group and the central and life by the central and life by the central group and the central and life by the central and life by the central group and life by the

stuttering conflicts Khore 11 2823

From we 18 additional reason reported, by orperisantal grap respondent sully such by freer than three of the laterancie represented in my one colum of the table. Town respondents gave some than one response.

Ta.		0	m	Θđ	ğ	39	42.55	<b>,</b> ~	파망속급
Experimental	150	6	cu	002	104	84	3 2 n n 2	40	<b>∞</b> 383
Study III									Ì
	150								
18	3								
 						23	6455		3381
Experimental	1 200 P					1			<b>→</b> 12 12 0
- 11	-4					· ·			
Stude	함								
	00 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 -								
				8					
	Ties) or Question and Response Codings; or Computed Values	lears.	Speech is being according and disreparating it (e.g., refe-	tittoms, von relaxed, mesuring, Parents more relaxed, mesuring, attentive, patient; calmer bome atmosphere	enough	mt mtble	pretty stake) stakes	ij	
	and y	ulary	9.0	laxed,	or not	and and and and and and and and and and	onal ad many ad	t very	7
	Question or Com	ripre	of his		wesent,	2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	13 (6tu 0 cccs1 11 vell1	knev h enough	frerent freren
	(tem) or Question and Respon Codings; or Computed Values	Growth of vocabulary; learn-	Speech is being as correcting him parents are disceptanting it [0.6., reje-	Parents no attentive,	9. No improvement, or not enough improvement	How well do you feel you may bandled the speech problem? I, yory well; the best possible	vay  2. Moderately (Study III pretty well; an occasional mistake)  3. Not very vell; many mistakes 4. Not at all vell; all wrong	5. f. 6. Dest way knew how 7. Not done enough Would you handle it very dif-	the first is you will be seen in the seen
		ا أ	*	4	÷€	24.	\$ A.	****	F. B. T. S. S. S.
£	Item No. 6 ITM Card and Column No.					3471719		3681720	
-	"#8	1				ž		2	

	37	3,	^	Ø.		~	Þ		145	0	0	-	٥	٥	0	٥	0	a	٥	~	-		0	0			•	9
	97	13	•	0		m	n		143	0	0	4	-	0	0	-	0	cv	0	-	н		0	0				7
									141	œ	-1	cu	0	۰	н	0	-	-	0	•	٥		-	0				7
									139	-	-	0	0	-11	eu •	0 1	0	m	ni i	0	0		۰.	4			0	,,
					4		ght																					
mt1v196	Speech		at the	take it	easy, slow down, etc. (vouldn't correct bin)	6. Find out more about 1t somer	What foreign language have you taught	1075													Mb. and		_	bend)	other's		2. Moderately as a regular thing	
What would you do differently?	1. Would do nothing 2. Take the child to a "speech	tence	Would ignore it more at the	Wouldn't tell him to take it	ow, etc.	about 1	guage hav	n your co										erman.			Words in French, German, and		15. Bungarian, some Datch	wife (hus	customarily correct each other's		100	ren
1d you d	the chi	doctor" somer Have more patience	d 1gnore	dn't tel	easy, slow de	out mor	del tra		nch Hor	II.sb	200	e e	ratan	region.	Keb	titan	20.	och and O	14sh	×	to to Pre	4	garian, s	and hore	Tally corr	Statement or poner	2. Moderately as a	
What wo	2. Take	3. Eave	4. Would	5. Wou	689	6. Pin	1	Jon Non	2. 776	3. Spen	t. Gen	S. Dan	e Boh	7, 103	9.	9. Have	TO. Heb	i	le. Eng	13. 07.	14. Word	Dutch	15. Pun	10%	customa			
349:121-22																							5	*				
34917							350173																Jon toc	1				

Phone were 90 additional response made, each by fever than three of the informants represented in any one column of the table. Some respondents given more than one response.

121 121 (121 (121 (121 (121 (121 (121 (1	Titest or Consisten and Response College or Computed Values College or Computed Values The Agran or Computed Values The Agran or Computed Values The Agran or Computed Values The Agran or Computed Values The Agran or Computed Values The Agran or Computed Values The Agran or Computed Values The Agran or Computed Values The Agran or Computed Values The Agran or Computed Values The Agran or Computed Values The Agran or Computed Values The Agran or Computed Values The Agran or Computed Values The Agran or Computed Values The Agran or Computed Values The Agran or Computed Values The Agran or Computed Values The Agran of Com	H = # 4 A COULDED				88 L8 83 L 20 83 K	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	28 -1 286	28. 01 11 12 18 62 2. 25 21 18 62 22 23 24 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18
3551728	in month, in the other than 3 above 5. Never the but less often than 3 above 1. S. Never the comparing your children his may with that of other children his may be you think his vocabulary is 1. Superior 1. Superior 1. Superior 2. Average 1. Average 1. Average 1. Interior 1. Interi	657	1.20	220-7	25500	S S S N C	a &644	g 4521	¥ 12821.

122		1					١
3561729	Comparing your child's greener with the critical your child's greener with you that the greener is seen to 1. Superior - Arrange 2. Arrange			563	282	252	ដន្ទិដ
357:1930	Do you think about your child's suttering (courted groups speech) when you go to bed! I. Very Often; as a regular thing.				<b>,</b> 0%	o arm	m នួន
358:731	1, the estimated of the control of t		<b>5</b> 2	145	* e41	1158	88
359:1732	dector 2. One 3. No 4. The second of the sec		37.3	0 88	0 m /2 m	8337	7780
360:133	1. Nowe that once or more than one 2. Once 3. Once 3. No 4. 1. 7. Do you talk anticasly to your maight bots about that its specific is sharp it its word "anxicanty" was not used.			0160	0 8 8 9	388	8250
	L. Very often; as a regular thing			٥	0	~	cu

In (c	# =	869	8857	21,82	29%00
Experimental Group	<b>}</b>	120	4 8£6	8 6 E 8	3 88 84 8
3 April 1		0 4 8 g	0049	20 K 8	2-25g
Control	150	1,15	৽৽৽৽৽৽৽৽৽৽৽৽৽৽৽৽৽৽৽৽৽৽৽৽৽৽৽৽৽৽৽৽৽৽৽৽৽৽৽	E E E E E	**************************************
I Test	. SP	25		on 결국의	ur Wuuu
Experimental		33		0시 장네씨	אמשע אמייי
Control	Group (N = 50)				
	Item; or Question and Response Codings; or Cosputed Values	2. Moderately often 3. Occasionally 4. No	75 to talk anxiously to your footby to your family and relatives about his speech in very often; as a regular thing 2, Modewately often	in Consistently  in Consistent and any our enter about what  Row ment do you enter about what  your shild's speech!  your shild's speech!  your charlest percentitive  populerantly ensistive  in one and securitive  in one and securitive  in the and securitive  in the shall securitive  in the shall securitive  in the shall securitive  in the shall securitive  in the shall securitive  in the shall securitive  in the shall securitive  in the shall securitive  in the shall securitive  in the shall securitive  in the shall securitive  in the shall securitive  in the shall securitive  in the shall securitive  in the shall securities  in the shal	fig. mo very sentitive (funbank) fig. must does you wire (funbank) to very sentitive to voor ventitive to the funbank sentitive to the funbank sen
101	Ites No.1	Column	361:134	362:135	3631736

26.20

Penter	. (S)		-1	92	ç	0	0	cu	7	-			\$2	?B		,53°	040	
Ferentmental	(N = 150)		٥	۲	-	0	•	¢1	0	٥			88	38.	44	0.1	01-21	
A STANDA	, [g] ×		0	16	6	Çű	çı	-	٥	ч			201	32	۰,	יוור	00	
1	Group 150	}	çı	ફ્ટ	đ	61	п	0	٥	0			9	22	94	-	0 10	
	Experimental Group (N = 50)	-	•	39							cu ·	æ						
Study II	Control Group (N = 50)	Σ .	-	· 82							m	c,						
	Item; or Question and Response Codings; or Computed Values		3. Chierly younger, average nore		child) 5. Chierly younger, up to 2 years	6. Several playmates about 2 years younger and several playmates	7. About half more than 2 years older!		to 2 years younger 9. Init same age; half up to 2 years	younger 10. Paif same age; half up to 2 years	#11. Adulta	difference in age	How often does your child go to a friend's house to play?	2. Every two or three days	4. Two or three times a month	5. Once a month		How often do one or more of the child's

3671739

Ites No.1 IN Card and Column No.

£385e000	87.050	•	8F855	38F
897 F2 F8 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88	85254	•	£80000	81. 9
200 vv304	\$5m400	•	250000000000000000000000000000000000000	22.50
841200404	2027 67	4	32-200	2,53
\$1-0mmm0		244	내 말 4	e #
				i
8801840		ដ្ឋ	0 15.4	# F
did's		2	1. Very moch like 2. Mederately like 3. Indifference 4. Mederately dislike 5. Very much dislike 6. † 7. Yer 18. No	y with
Clark Till sow orders do the child's friends once to the house?  1. Formy day  2. Formy day  2. Formy day  3. Form a common day  4. Form a common day  5. Form a common day  6. Form a common day  6. Form a common day  7. Form a common day  8. Form a common day  9. Form a common day  10. Form a comm		110		9. qualified yes Then often does the child play then 1. Every day (Study II: Caily) 2. Every two or three days 3. Once a veck
undy II How often do the elemand come to the house!) Frest day Frest day Frest two or three days Once a week Froo or three times a month Once a month I see than once a menth I see than due to have then come you like to have then come	ike Ke	usbend 4 set	rke ke	se chi.
to the the the the the the the the the the	house! Very mich like Moderately like Indifferent Moderately dislike Very mich dislike	2 t	ly 11ke	o or the
udy II: Howends come to Every day Once a week Two or three Once a month Less than or Less than or Hever	Trately Fretely Fretely	11 Ted	y such	often does often does at Every day (i Every two o Once a veek
(Study III How othern do the friends come to the house!) In Prey day.  2. Every two or three days and other a work.  4. Two or three times a month of the same a month of the same a month of the study	the bouser  2. Very much like  3. Indifferent  4. Moderately disli-  6. Very much dislife  6.	200 3 4 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	16.5.4.5.5.6.6.6.6.6.6.6.6.6.6.6.6.6.6.6.	3. 574 3.
2049 y y y y y y y y	7 H W M 2 MC	+++	••	-
4		370:842		372.1743
369:143		370		E I

entel	100 H	0.4 m0 0.0	200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200	82840	8-4°
Experimental	Group (N = 150)	HH MH 9 H	2884	*28800	\$20ge
Study II)	, S	00000	24 7 8 B	<i>ఒక్క</i> క్రమ్మం	5. H3.
Control	(7 = 150)	440040	28800	~ 2822	21 23°
1	ا ۔	0 mv	~4QBE	00 15301	
	Group (3 = 50)		#18ro	412 F8 E0	
Study 1	ı	0 81	*85.00	4555	١
	Control Group (3 - 50)		By 8,50	425400	
		ا ا			•
	Item; or Question and Response Codings; or Computed Values	A, yoo or three tites a month 5, ones seath a seath 6, that this ones a month 6, there's 10, cuts of the days 10, cuts of the days 10, this offer all the days compared with orbits of the days	will doe foot that you tan one- culders!  1. Anch better than average 2. Scarchat better than average 3. About average 4. Scarchat lees well than average 5. Who hes well than average	As exercised with other challeds into all all alterlations is your child?  1. Anch more than average as somewhat more than average is consolat more than average is form average is form average in the average is the average in the average is the average in the average is the average in the average is the average in the a	Do you wish he were more or less mischlerous! 1. Less 2. More 1. twee is
	setton er or Compute	yeo or three time a month force a month is a month is a month is a month is a month is a month is a month is a month is a month is a month is a month is a month is a month is a month is a month is a month in a	er than a better the rage well than well than	Ith other than ave more than rage less than	on action as
	test or Question and Respond Codings; or Computed Values	h, two or three times, one as month of the first than once a region of the first than once a first four to five to the first often of the first often of the first often of the first often of the first often of the first often of the first often of the first often of the first often of the first often of the first often of the first often of the first often of the first of the first often of the first often of the first often of the first of the first often of the first often of the first often of the first often of the first often of the first often of the first of the first often of the first often of the first of the fi	wall does your china play and diddren?  1. Much better than average  2. Sozewlat better than aver  3. About average  4. Sozewlat less well than average  5. Much less well than average	As exempted with other culture already-count is your child? I, buch more than average 2, Scorebat more than average 4, Scorebat less than average 4, Scorebat less than average 5, buch less than average 6, Carl't say	Do you wish he was shirt be to the shirt be to
		***	gg-andr	# # 4 % way wa	S. 및 a & A.A.
127	Item No. 1 IIN Card and Column No.	Nation 1		373:1765	374:1745

:		

				l		l			1
	An compared with other how essity does your child get tireif!  1. Nuch wore than average  2. Comen'nk more than sverage  3. About waverage  6. Gomen'nk less than sverage  5. Much less than average  6. This less than average	0 1- 25 40	08 % 200	40 8± 60	03811-0	42822	-48854°	~2564g.	*2882
ę.	A compared with other children, bow good a sense of hance does your child have it has sense it hach more than sense 2. Somethy are than sverage 3. About servage 4. About servage 6. About has been than average 6. About has been than average	4454	~ £2 °	~580		# # # F F F	* 288°	1822 1	
377.17%	6. The state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of your child's about is your child's	00-	000	000	000	000	0,0	-000	0-0
3781250	1. With more than average 2. Comewhat more than average 3. Mont average than average 4. Comewhat less than average 5. Nuch less than average 59 you wish he were less or acre ileys?	- 58	021no	angg mo	లచ్చి <i>న</i> ం	88940	23t-0	58830	82620
379171	2: Now 3: Stay as is 4. 7 4. 4. command with other children, how cooperative is your child?					*#£°°	4 & Q O	250	950
	4. Paris mrs than sverage 2. Joseph mrs than sverage 3. About sverage 4. Somethic less than sverage	৽ৼৼ৽	~425	~28×	222	°482	2850	28%	చిక్కాడి

ř	(n = 150)	0.0		28 68 1 20 25 1			220		37 28 92 28	์ เล็บ เล็บ เล็บ เล็บ เล็บ เล็บ เล็บ เล็บ	1, 41	7.
Centrol	0roup N = 150)	0.	5	1886 184				500 501	22.6	55 NO	12	20
	ا ا :	E 0	0					840 640		<sub>2,3</sub> 00		12
Study II	Group Group (N = 50)	x  -	10				, tt 8			0 2 2 0 0		4
	Item; or Question and Response Address or Computed Values		5. Much less than average	bo you wish he were more or less cooperative? 2. More	3. Stay as is by T. T. T. T. T. T. T. T. T. T. T. T. T.	persistent (in getting a lob done)	1. Mich more than average 2. Somewhat more than average	<ol> <li>About average</li> <li>Somewhat less than average</li> <li>Much less than average</li> </ol>	6. I accorded with other children, how rebellions is your child? 1. Much more than everage	2. Somewhat more than average 4. Somewhat less than average 5. Much less than average	b. f. compared with other children, how friendly is your child foward his parents (Study II: "toward his parents" not included)	
:	Item No. t	Column No.		3801752	94.0	3011623			3821754		363:1755	

[ 등 성기	అరెస్టాం	2225	2 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	~ £ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$
Experimental Group (N = 150) F N	8 6 5 F 4 6	104233	2 4 8 4 0 c	848740
Study III	~255821	12 021 4	288210	<b>ራ</b> ጆጀäսս
Control Group (N = 150)	4 2 2 2 2 2 4	2551 1	48884 a	old Filon
	4 F @ M + O		458830	0-45m0
Experimental Group (N = 50) F H	⊬చ్రబ <sub>60</sub>		0-16550	wa 23 no
Study I	" ### ### ### ### ### ### ### ### #### ####		~ a 5 a a c	ಜಬಇಬ್ಂ
Gontrol Group (N = 50)	+855000		のかだびょっ	4122 <sub>40</sub>
Items or Question and Responde Codings; or Computed Values	different attractions (Guard) III "igo, entition is your child") "igo, entition is your child") "igo, entition is your child") "igo, entition is your child" "igo, entition were get "igo, heart than everage "igo, entition is your child is than everage	6. 1 Jour view he were more or less noutices? 1. Kess 2. Note 19 3. Stay as is	i, i, a compared with other children, how concease about his possessions as your children how severed as the concease as Secondar nover than average a Secondar more than average a About werteg.  I, though werteg	A compared with other children, how est-conditions to your child (Sundy 111. and free of duprass!) 1 Mach more than everege 2 Somewhat more than everage 3, Acoust everage services of the construction of the condition of the con
Item No.1	Column No.	399,1761	3901462	391.1763

2520

w484

448203

~ E 5 8 0 F

ဖစ္တပ္ကစ

។ ន ន

~440

respons from by as many as 2 different information. The residua fives over not remarkable, ranging from aggressive-to deposes, with a few amories one such as "teases," "satiles," "possessive." Phiesponses were obtained from only 12 respondents in Study II and 17 in Study III, and in only 2 instances was the 20.00

ą	الل	4756600	424840	6448°	3%%-4
Experimental Group	120	~48 <i>8%</i> "	«ឧភ្នដ <sup>េ</sup>	4284×0	~2 K~0
	a=	5587°	~248°40	-1434°	284°4°
Control	(Mar. 150)	02352°	4 F 25 E 44	44E%82	200540
٠	1				1
ntal	.oz	042400	4 r 8 1 4 0	402546	ユヸ⊮ <sub>ωο</sub>
Experimental	0roup (M = 50)	0 4 KA 1 10 0	4 55 6 EO	೯೭೮ ಜನ	38540
Study 3		4545	- ಒಳ್ಳೆ0 - 0	4850°°°	<b>⊿</b> 5188 ≈0
	Graup Graup	0 0 2 5 4 0	۵4 <b>4</b> 400	ar8500	그성없다ㅇ
	Item or Question and Response d Codings; or Computed Values	As compared with other children, boy mach do so your child if gift in the more than swringer is general more than swringer is general more than swringer is general more than swringer is the in general list than it is then it is then it is then it is the in the interval more than swringer in the interval more in the interval more interval.	As compared with other children, how much close your child show off in their more than searnings g. disconding uses than searnings g. Mont wearning than searnings d. Signosthal Lies than searnings g. When lies than searnings	6. The comment with other children, bow much does your child devicted it. A. Whoh more than severage 2. Sporthers work than seriage 3. Mooth writing than seriage 5. Hoal hers than seriage 5. Heal hers than seriage	As compared with other children, how man doney your child laught 1, buth more than average 2, focusive and than average 3, About warned than average 4, gonewhat less than average 5, 7
11	Item No.1 IN Card and Column No.	3971770	3981771	3991772	4001873

401:774	As commend with other parties.							ĺ	
•	much does your child respect the								
	I. Mich nore than average	T	~		-	•		-	•
	2. Schevist nore than syersee	2	12	19		٦,	۱,	,	7
	3. About average	22	50	, <sub>0</sub>	2	ម្	7.5	9 %	4 }
	4. Sobewhat less than average	,"	e.	ģ	ļ.	Ç.	3 5	3 5	3 8
	5. Mich less than average	0	0	-	. ~	-	٠-	3-	ç
200,000		0	-1	0	0	• ••	. 0	4 (*	۰-
	As compared with other children, how							,	•
	men does your cultd play alone?								
	The world more than average	a	cu	-1	_	-	Ľ	2	5
	Z. consevent more than average	13	92	11	- 6-	Ş	`8	1 5	3 :
	3. About sverage	4	21	2	15	36	G:	7,	N,
	4. Schevhat less than average	2	2	ì°	; "	1;	2;	55	٤
	5. Much less than average	-	;-	n	•	'n	2	82	52
		٠,	٠.	, (	^ •	0	93	.4	w
92.43.60	As compared with other children, hos-	•	,	•	•	m	0	m	-
	thich does your child hours								
	1. Minh more them occurred								
	The same with the range	-1	0	0	٥	c	c	,	
	2. comming more than average	~	•	60		•	<b>)</b> 1	٠.	э.
	3. About average	27	2	8	8	١,	-;	^	0
	4. Somewhat lens than average	4	<b>\</b>	0-	8.5	5	5	105	8
	5. Much less than average	,		٠.	4	2	8	%	50
	, .,	٠ د		۰.	~,	ដ	22	m	7
Litter	As command with other children, how	,	,	4	0	-	۵	9	-
	much initiative does ware child short								
	1. Mich more than everyge		•						
	2. Somewhat more than every	٦!	٠,	œ	cu	80	•	o	-2
	3. About avernor	7	<b>#</b>	ខ្ព	∞	L.	38	,5	'n
	4. Schrybet Jess +han manner	2	ę.	83	75	6	100	3.5	3.5
	S. Mah Jane 61.	-	20	0	7.	α.	:	ď.	3,
	6. 1	-1		-	-		7,	* •	æ
405:178	Do you when your above to a	0	0	0	. 0	•		-4 6	o.
	Manneys on that her mid had better					,	4	5	0
	not an exect?								
	L. Better remen								
	Balanca restricte					3			
						8	3	ç	ę,

		Study II	II A	Control	Ļ	Experiment	4
135		Control	Experimental	Group		Grond	
Item No.1	Item or question and Response Codings or Computed Values	Group (N = 50)	(N = 50)	(N = 150)	္ကုံ		討
Column No.		¥ a	-		"	0	0
	o and such good pamers			-p-	ස	ğ°	80
	3, Stay as 1a			4	,		
100-162 (even)	How orten the con-			-	v	7	17
02.4.90	Nervousseast				4	9	Ç (
	1. Very often (n)			36	4	2	25
	2. Quite often (n)	;		75	ŧ.	31	Ď.
	3. Occasionally (a)	1		-	0-	- 0	40
	t Never			0	٠ -	0	-
	6. Very often (p)			eu ;	mu	•	-
	7. Outte often (p)			01	٥	1	
	8. Occasionally	15	35				
		1	ជ				
	+10. Seldon	ī					
407:780	If often, how do you feel about this			•	٥	7	5
	behaviori			•	h	-	
	1. Very intolerant of it			•	:	18	23
	2. Moderately intolerant of			-	7		
	a radifferent: no attitude			cı	~	~	cu
	o management.						
	4. Moderately tolerant; not			0	m	<u></u>	6
	disturbed such			•	•	4	-
	5. Very tolerant; not alsouried as			0	>	٠	•
1	A11			•	0	9	.at
403106	Steeptenment (n)			1			
	To sell organ (a)				١		
		, 400 days	n) means union to	this, in the	past, b	it not at	the
100In	cding, (n) means present time, during ti	to page 50 course					
present time	present time; Never means never in the past or at the present	and branch of					

238004v	<b>→</b> 1~ 0	" " O O U	20105 -	0
12.000w	- 9 -	, u u uu&	5004F .	, , ,,
4250 24 E	r = 0	" " " " O E)	8-roz «	N 0-1
~%8 <u>4</u> 400€	v - 2 0	00g	Бионё н	000
8 -:	<b>4</b>	8	a⊓ 5	
33 82	3	a	. ~8	
A cate cree (a)  3. Constitutive (a)  4. Meet  5. Very cotta (p)  6. Cotto cree (p)  7. Cotto cree (p)  8. Cotto cree (p)  19. Cree (cotta)  10. Face	If often but do you feel about this?  1. Very intolerant or distribute  2. Naturably intolerant or  3. Indifferent on attitude  3. Springert on attitude  4. Modernely and any or	distribution of distribution of distribution of the state of the state of distribution of dist	6. Very orten (p) 7. Salte urten (p) 9. Orten (p) 9. Orten (p) 19. Orten (p) 19. Orten (p) 19. Orten (p) 19. Orten (p) 19. Orten (p) 19. Orten (p) 19. Orten (p) 19. Orten (p) 2. Morren (p) 19. Morren (	distribed as the following the
:	15160g	h10:03	41179	

;		Study II		out to	Study III	Experimental	utel
Item Ho.1	Item; or Question and Response Codings; or Computed Values		Experimental Group (M = 50)	(4 - 150)	, g z	(n - 150)	
ou mintos				n	13	6	71
4121010	Bed wetting?			'n	0.6	2 12	a <b>6</b> 2
			ř	2 2	2 62	8	ಹ
	3. Occasionally (n)	<del>ల</del> ో	ç	<u>, co</u>	į e	eu -	ç2 C
	5. Very often (p)			m 6	າເລ	•	12
	6, Quite often (P)		r	:	ì		
	+8, Often	- 2	۰۵				
	+9. Seldon			-		•	~
4131011	If often, not do you test the			1			:
	2. Moderately intolerant or			#	6	80	2
	disturbed			-		-	c
	3. Indifferent; no attitude			#	m	•	
	hyperence to the moderate of t			eu	-		ma
	disturbed much			-	m	b	0
613,414	playing with sex organs?			cu	-	0	00
	1. Very often (n)			mţ	٥,	νě	စ္
	1. Occasionally (n)	•	ç	<del>.</del> 8	45	ğ	18
	4. Hever	0	ŝ	,~	, ·	3	
				0		0	4.
	7 Cuite often (p)			-:	9	0	1:
	B. Occasionally (p)	c	a	CT.	7	•	ì
	+ 9. Orten	<b>^</b> =	0				
4151013	If often, how do you feel about this?			0	0	•	-
				ļ			l

J. 1001ITETED; DO STITUDE System (*) Middle of the second	
disturbed much 5. Very tolerant; not disturbed at all	
Sleepwalking; 1. Quite often (n)	
Occasionally (n)	,
4. Oceasionally (p)	82
46. Seldon	~
If often, how do you feel about this?	8
turbed much	
Thurdity	
I. Very often (n)	
3. Occasionally (n)	
5, 7	18
6. Very often (p)	
S. Oceantening (p)	
	•
110. Seldon	0
It often, how do you feel about this?	<b>5</b> 20
2. Moderately intolerant on	
disturbed	
3. Indifferent; no attitude	
the rest	
4. Moderately tolerant; not disturbed much	
5. Very tolerant; not disturbed at all	

									_		_			_				^ <	, 0	_			<b>ص</b> ۳	١,
ntal	୍ଦ୍ର <u> </u> ଅ	;	7	91	0 0			-	89	•	eu	-	•	•		ä	8	n -						-
Experimental	(N = 150)	5	102	11	H C	•		0	•	•	80		~ ~	0	•	٠	101	2	0	0			<b>60</b> a	`
2000		~	352	1	۰,	5			,	ь	. <del>1</del>			.0		٦,	15	£,	٠,	12			m	3
Control	Group H 150	9	88	3 7	0	ដ		٦		^	6		<i>a</i> 0		•	N C	8	7	0 0	æ	•		cu ·	^
	 					•	09											-			~	œ.		
	Group Group (N = 50)																							
Study II	ા <b>ુ</b> ો≖				9		EL:	ń										9	ì		4	3		
	Control Group (N = 50)																							
	sponse							Seldon	turbed	ь	de		100	disturbed mach	Thinks behavior is "funny, cute"								often, how do you feel about this?	Wery intolerant or disturbed Moderately intolerant or disturbed
١	Item; or Question and Response Codings; or Computed Values		_	·	9	<u>د</u>	â	feel.	Very intolerant or disturbed	Moderately intolerant or	disturbed		Moderately tolerant; not	not dis	or 18 "P	_	î	Ē		7	£		o you fee	Wery intolerant or disturbed Moderately intolerant or dis
	r Ouest		wing off?	Dutte often	Occasionally	Quite often (P)	Occasionally (p)	,	ntolera	ately in	rbed	1	ately to	Very tolerant;	a behavi		Outte often (n	Occusionally		4	Occasionally (p)	- 1	, p	intoler rately 1
	Coding	1	Shoutng off?	S. Putte	3. 0000	S. Outte	6, Decas	8. seldon	I Very	2. Moder		armarent.	4. Moder	S. Very	6. Think	Rudeness	2	3. 000	4. Never		7.0000	18. Orten	If ofter	2. Mode
	Iten No.1	Column No.	89				•									2								
1	E E	Colum	\$201018						421:019							422:020							423:021	

140						
	3. Indifferent; no attitude Moderate 2. tolerant; not		~	es es		-
120:022			~~	84	00	00
	1. Very often (n.) 2. Quite often (n.) 3. Questomally (n.)		~±	# <b>3</b> 8	3 6 5	3 25
	5. 1 never 5. 5. 6. Occasionally (p)	-	070	,00.	ទូដូក	340
h25:023	15 6. Saldas If often, how do you feel about this? 29	35	`	4	5	٥
	2. Mory incolorum or disturbed 2. More tally intolorum or Astronom		~	9	m	20
	3. Interferent; no ettitude artmanni		8	<b>1</b> 0	12	1,
	h, Moderately tolerant; not distribute mach		4	9	1	æ
4201924	5, Very tolerant, not disturbed at all		a°	ð.	<b>6</b> -0	mm
	Quite often (n) Occasionally (n) Meyer		-118	- 92 E	22.5	⊸ મા
	5, very often (p) 7, very often (p) 7, occupanally (p)	a a	, g o n	<u>ν</u> ε ο ο	1200	8200
\$20:624	19, Often 19, Selden 17 often, how do you feel about thins? 23	33	ដ	<b>'</b> #	m	m
	2. Moderated intolerant or disturbed disturbed		ev	٠.	a	~
			~	œ	۹	3.5

141		Study II	1	Control	Study III	127	ntal
Item No.1	Item; or Question and Response Codings; or Computed Values	Gentrol Group (H = 50)	Group (M = 50)	(N = 150)	.gh	(N - 150)	2 2
1				-1	Çı	0	m
	3. Indiliterate, to a sparent, to the workerstely tolerant; not			<i>4</i> 0	mH	cu cu	44
	S, Very tolerant; not disturbed at all Fighting!			<b>3</b> 6	<b>≉</b> 9	6 E	5
	1, very otten (n) 3, Octationally (n)	61	5	బ్లోం	87 °	gg.ºº	2200
	5. t 6. quite orten (p),			٥Ħ	oı r-	00	00
	7. Occanionally (P)	83 83	<b>ም</b>				
				e	9	es	60
	1. Very intolerant or disturbed 2. Moderately intolerant or			in	12		13
	disturbed 3. Indifferent; no attitude			0	-	-1	4
	apparent  4. Moderately tolerant; not disturbed much			iv o	a n	91	es m
	5. Very tolerant; not dieturbed at all Jealousy?			4	m	~ ;	n,
		Ħ	18	223	ಬಿಡಿದೆ.	-88°	388 c
	a. never 5. 1 6. quite often (p)	ī		mag	ដ	0-	N O N
	7. Occasionally (p)						1

	٣	6	150	-	mo	200	80	, O H	a	0.	84	4	۲-۷	0040
	ev	9	4	9	40	чõ	4,	.00	•	,	10	و.	øm	0000
	3	2	a	3	40	~2	۵ ا	00	-	9	Ħ	c4	62	275
	0	۲.	~	•	81	° %	fi <sup>2</sup>	H 0.	•	~	۲-	~	a m	2000
25							e		97 92	t.				898
3.1							17		2-93					약
	1. Very intolerant or disturbed 2. Moderately intolerant or disturbed	3. Indifferent; no attitude	4. Moderately tolerant; not	5. Very tolerant, not disturbed	6. Thinks behavior is "fumny, cute"	2. Quite often (n) 3. Quite often (n) 3. Occasionally (n)	A. Mayer	6. Quite often (p)	19 Gran	2. Very Intolerant or disturbed 2. Moderately intolerant or	3. Indifferent; no attitude	4. Moderately tolerant; not	5. Very tolerant; not disturbed at all Crying at behoat 1. Very often (n)	2. Cuite often (n) 3. Occasionally (n) 4. Never
431:029					432:030				k331G33				4341032	ļ

ite	2 ×	F01-	•	•	-	A	00	995	8440		4	~	۰
Experimental	(N = 250)	244	D	0	0	٥	40	서계 않	Бочи		0	ю	m
Study III	(S=	60 N	m	m	7	0	011	ong	<sup>សូ</sup> ឧ១ឃ		0	çu	•
1	Group (R - 150)	240	.a	0	-	0	0 H	ผพฐ	ಪ <sup>್ಕ</sup> ಂಚ		CV	e	-1
	Experimental Group (N = 50)		4 £						53	<b></b> ≉ જ			
Stridy	Group (R - 50)		e e						\$2	23.23			
	Item; or Question and Response Codings; or Computed Values	5. t K unre often (p)	7. Quite often (p) 8. Occasionally (p) 49. Often	110. Seldom If often, how do you feel about this?	1, Very intolerant or disturbed 2, Moderately intolerant or	disturbed 3, Indifferent; no attitude	apparent 4, Moderately tolerant; not disturbed much		3. Occasionally (n) 4. Hever 5. 7 6. quite often (p)	f. Octanomity (p) f8. Often f9. Seldon	If often, how do you reek about unist	2. Moderately intolerant or disturbed	3. Indifferent; no attitude apparent
4	Item No.: IEM Card and	Column No.		325-011				4361034			437:035		

	848	8000	91	8	e no	24250	۰. ۳
<b>~</b> 0	ន្តម្	8 wwr		15	5 C =	៰៷ដដូ៰	0 n r
40	54.0 e.	10 m 2 c	٠	۰.	4 mv	40884	P 4 4
00	ងងង	100×10	6	E -	* 64 m	유지원 않아	ס מ טר
	ţ	ā ;	<b>≓</b> ∾			5	
	ę	, «	5 V		•	87	ev ev
4, Moderately tolerant; not disturbed and all funds very tolerant; not disturbed at all funds encing?	1. Very often (a) 2. Couste often (h) 4. Fever	6, Very often (p) 7. Outse orten (p) 8. Overstunsily (p) 9. Often	1.10, Seldow 1. Toften, how do you feel about this? 1. Yesy intolerant or disturbed 2. Moderately intolerant or	3. Indifferent; no attitude apparent	disturbed much for your control of a sall furting pert (s) 1. Very other (s) 1. Very other (s)	2. Outs orten (a) 3. Gressformily (a) 5. Very orten (p) 6. Suite often (p) 6. Suite often (p)	1 6. Selico. 1f often, box do you feel about this? 1 Very intolerant or disturbed 2. Moternely intolerant or
438:036			439:637		1,401038		anin

Te l	計	00	v - 6	8400	-410		4	1	44	~~;	23°	61 PC 61	
18	(N 150)	40	m-1	85°°	D 00 00		Ç1	4	00	**	ii.	044	
Study IXI	<u>_</u>	00	00	สรูจ	- «Z		æ	9	он	6.0	3 8 1 0	01 PM	,
Control	(N 150)	00		\$50°°	។០ដ		0	5	00	61 EV	3250	CI CI	
	dronb (N = 50)			₹.		19-1					30		2°
Study 1	Group (N 50)			£		~5	:				37		a.
	Item; or Question and Response Codings; or Computed Values	3. Moderately tolerant; not	4, Very tolerant; not disturbed at all constination?	1. Very often (h) 2. Onite often (n) 3. Oceasionally (n)	S. Very often (p)	6. Occasionally (p)	110. Daldom If often, how do you feel about thief	1. Very intolerant or disturbed 2. Moderately intolerant or	disturbed 3. Moderately tolerant; not disturbed much	4. Very tolerant; not disturbed at All Mail biting? 1. Very often (m),	2. quite often (n) 3. Occasionally (n) 4. Never	6. Very often (p)	6. Occasionally (v)
şī	Item No.1 IIM Card and		412 1640				1113.013	,		2401411			

	7 9	2	* K	944	2.5°	0	3	460	•	01%8400 2484000
								1691		
61	٥	٥	00	011	mg F	-	۰	175	•	or#%ou-
4	٣	۰	40	60.	170	۰	٥	277	'	0422000
					28	۲-		9	ev.	ಷೆ
					ž, o			87	cu	32
					3,0	•		3	-	m
If often, how do you feel about this?  1. Very intolerant or disturbed  2. Moderation the form	2. Moderately intolerant of disturbed in establish			1. Very often (n) 2. Quite often (n) 3. Occasionally (n)	L. Never	1 or setada If often, how do you feel about this? 1. Very intolerant or disturbed 2. Moderately intolerant or	Maturbed	2. Mever 3. Occasionally (n)	If often, how do you feel about this? (This question was never maked in view	Truper transferred by Question, )  1. Very orten (s)  2. Outle often (s)  3. Geessive often (s)  4. Freer often (s)  5. The orten (s)  6. The orten (s)  6. October often (s)  6. October often (s)  6. October often (s)  7. October often (s)  8. October often (s)  9. October often (s)
£451544			1161911			5401244	\$481G46		1,19161,1	4501048

Tra C	v + 0	4408000	200	o ≈889
Experimental Group (N = 150)		00 040,000	ч он	0 w225
Study 01 50)	ળ ગ ન	מי סיינטטייי	m 40	o 1858
Control Group (M = 150	, o o	88 44m200	0 0 0	2554
Group (N - SO	r, g	3.6	~ <b>#</b>	z
Control Study II	s Et	33	42	25
Item or Question and Response Codings; or Computed Values	9. Often     110. Seiden     12. Orten     12. Orten, how do you frea shout thief     12. Very intolerant or disturbed     12. Very intolerant or disturbed     12. Ortenesky intolerant or     12. Ortenesky intolerant or		7. Constionity (p) 7. Constionity (p) 8. Orest 9. Seldon 17. often, how do you feel about this? 17. often, how do you feel about this? 2. Modernets includent or disturbed disturbed disturbed.	J. Thatfreemin on accitude apparent function apparent that of the state (a) 2. Quite orten (h) 2. Quite orten (h) 4. Never
1k7 Item No. 1 Ips Card and Column No.	451.049	452:050	4531051	4541052

046	ដ ដ	0 40	1000	04 000	800	51 61
000	<b>4</b> 9	A 614	0 H B	00 uñ0	·8·40	3
ឧឧដ	1 6	m .= 0	0130	00 నైక్షెక్ష	800	6 5
002	۳ وړ	01 02 FI	1661	ಕೃತ್ಯ	643	2 21
25			ž v		<b>તે ∽</b> ત્વ	
1, 8, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,			91 - <del>1</del>		10 21 21	
5. Very orten (p) 6. Quite often (p) 7. Ocentomally (p) 7. Ocentomally (p) 19. Gelden		3. Taxiferent; no attitude apparent b. Moderately tolerant; not disturbed man disturbed at all y Very tolerant; not disturbed at all	Steading? 1. Guite often (n) 2. Oceasicanity (n) 3. Never 4. Oceasicanity (p) 1. Station 1. Station 1. Orten boa An over feed short third	1. Tadrivent to attitude operation of the state of the st	5 tarets 6 constantly 17 Orten 18 selace 17 orten, how do you feel about this?	A. Very intolerant or disturbed 2. Moderately intolerant or disturbed
	£653464	1	4501054 4571055	4581056	4591057	

Tay.	실지	~	<b>⊱</b> ν.c	, A	e v	ç°°	40		•	1	2	£1	00		200	Šä	0
Experimental	(¥ - 150)	-	g~-	4 14	~ E	500	04			•		0	cu c	•	0 SZ	ä E	0
Study III	, ST	<b>5</b> ^	68	o o	ខេដ្	800	10			DN .	9	۰	н.	-	# £3	P2 S1	0
Control	001 - 100 m	80	23.5	m c	o v I	₹	100	•		CI	0	٥	eu	4	លញ្ញ	82	-
V Street mental	Group (N = 50)					æ		ຄຸ	7							٠	
Study 1	Oroup (N = 50)					25		-	15							_	<b>.</b>
	Item; or Question and Response Codings; or Computed Values	. Twisterents no attitude	apparent h, Moderately tolerant; not	disturbed much 5, Very tolerant; not disturbed at all 6, Thinks behavior is "funny, cute"	Ballying!	2. Quite orten (n) 3. Occasionally (n) 4. Never	5. very often (p)	7, quite orden (P)	10. Seldon	If often, how do you feel about this?	2. Moderately intolerant or	disturbed  3. Indifferent; no attitude		disturbed much 4. Very tolerant; not disturbed at all	Dischedience?	2. Quite often (n)	L. Rever
81	Item No.1 IBM Card and Column No.				4601058					161:059					162:060		

17. Often 16. Seldon If often, how do von	Dotten Seldon Seldon Soften, box do von faal short teler	ቋጽ	-	2	0	
2. Moderately intolerant or disturbed	r disturbed		9	~	0/	Ħ
3. Indifferent; no attitude	ttitude		#	13	10	15
Apparent 4. Moderately tolerant; not	nt; not		4	m	ev.	۰
disturbed much 5. Very tolerant; not disturbed at all Do you wish by were more or less chaines.	t disturbed at all nore or less		<b>→</b> 0	<b>%</b> 0	40	
2. More 3. Stay as he to			o 61	٦.65	° t	96
better behaved  1. Less well behaved  2. Wetter himsel	leds well or		ž (	8 '	#	æ
3. Stay as he is  Does your wife (husband) wish he were less well or better behaved!	and) with he were behaved!		"222"	0 % % C	• <del>3</del> ,79 ••	-98-
2. Better behaved 3. Gay as he is Dose child meet your ideal standards? 1. Vew child	ideal standards?		mp.7.0	o & & L	-18E0	0850
2. Quite vell 3. As often serreptable as not 4. Falls quite short 5. Falls very abort 6. Farent dees not have ideal 6. Standards	le ae not ave idea;		£2000	845-1	#83mo	82200
			un.	2	9	•

:			Study II	1				Name of Contracts
Item No.1	Item; or Question and Response Codings; or Computed Values	Grates Group (N so)	a 🧟	Experimental Uroup (N = 50)	1 (0)	(4 150)	. al=	Group (N = 150)
Column No.			ļ=	-	z :			
	- 7. You	89	ಗ್ಗ	ಜ್ಞ	22.53			
	† 8. No † 9. Dave no ideal standards because of accentance of child as he is	15	6	đ	8			
	+10. Tave no ideal standards because of generally low standards for social behavior	4-	00	00	00			
*69°	#11. # Wherein is child deficient 101	•				Đ, ν	쾄办	31 65 33
	2. Speech 3. Relations with parents or with					53	38	6 <sub>1</sub> 92
						27.	123	128 5
	5. Children 6. Character traits; personality 7. Care of self and possessions					420	ខេត្ត	
469±066	Do you think the child meets your vife's (husband's) ideal standards? 1. Very wall 2. Quite wall 3. As often acceptable as not					<i>కిసిం</i> -	స్ట్ లిచి	8848
	b. Folls very short  6. F.  7. Yes  6. To the start  6. To the start  6. To the start  6. To the start  7. To the start  6. To the start  7. To the start  8. To the start  9. T	4820	4 2 3 x	៰៷ង	088	તર	- a	o ~
101 More	lobace specific response were responsed than those litteds many different indiridual wordings of response have been grouped as here indiridual wordings of response have been grouped as here indirected for practical purposes of presentation, then responses more than one response.	hose liste, presentati	11 many one Some	if fferent responds	individual	vordings o	f respons	e have been

	13 5 . 39 32 32 33 34 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51	28888 11588 2881 2881 2881 2881 2881 288	4 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
84 m	35 H	22.22 13.22 15.22	2
е п			269 266 37 1 00
t 0			82°0
7 no un teats sometime of accesses § 10. Now to detail at Mandard at the property of the p	vice* (kneband*) optedon102 1. Northus 2. Speech 3. Relations with parents or with character agreemence and/or 4. First cal spreamence and/or	incipance Children Ch	2. Goodwale core than everage 2. Month less than everage 5. The less than everage 10. The 10. The 10. The 10. The less than everage 10. The less than the calleton, how hard these as a nation fits than an hard these as a nation fits than the less
\$2L\$		730:174	990:224

102 See frottate 98.

Control Experimental Group (N = 150) (N = 150) (N = 150)	6 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	13 13 12 13 66 66 66 66 66 66 66 66 66 66 66 66 66	21 22 16 15 72 49 69 77 72 69 69 77 70 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 0 0 10 7 1 6 6 139 162 144 142 0 0 2
Experimental (N = 50)	11 9	2128 6 51 27 20 00 25 50 00 00 00	3 0 5 5 6 6 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	
Control Group (N = 50)				
Item; or Ovestion and Response Codings; or Computed Values	quastion or in mobergrant corre- posting questions in this series.)  2. Growwh more than sverage  3. About series  5. Sonwhat less than sverage  5. Sonwhat less than sverage  6. The lass than sverage  6. The series correctly of the series o	6. Raincent to Given up 9. Relucent to Give up 10. Never Given up explication to the compared with order joint transfer of the order of the compared with order joint transfer or than order great that the compared with order joint transfer order than werenge to compare than werenge to compare than werenge to compare law order than werenge to compare than werenge to compare than werenge to compare the compared to	No attractive does your wife (husban) feet the child laf 1, when more than average 2, Groendak more than average 3, Mont warrage 4, Groenhat Lees than average	Do you wish he were better looking or less good looking! 1. Less good looking! 2. Better 3. Stay as he is
ISS Item No.1 IN Card and Column No.		4731069	474:070	475:671

Commared with other children of his

255546

బస్టొడ్టర్లం

~88°

438400

2

ita i	솔	135551	712	85.	125341
.15	(051 - 150)	23.55	028	, sa	0188210
T Tring	Q.E	4823°	<b>~</b> ₽3	250	19831
Control	(N 150)	3,552	0 F-3	క్రిజ్ఞ ఆ	031880
le le	4.		ma a g	8248	40042
Experimental	Group (N - 50)		മനനമ്	mmoç	2000
Study !!	. a	z	0448	0 ~ 1%	00005
	Group Group (N = 50)		2402	0042	) อนจ <i>ะ</i> ผู้
	8				
	and Respon	in everage	erage in average	erage rerage	an average an average verage
	item or Guestion and Response Colleges or Computed Values	Somewhat more than average About average Somewhat less than average	Very much Rather Not very Not at all Cotorel Cotorel than average	About average flow than average growth less than average Mich less than average for much Rather Not very.	Hot at all transgers than average block average Scowdat tess than average Scowdat tess than average hach less than average Very such
	Item or College	2. Scherchet more 3. About average 4. Schevint less 4. Schevint less	7. Very mich 9. Rather 9. Not very 5. Not at all f doctors 1. Mach more	About average a. Screenbat less b. Mich less tha b. T. Very mich B. Rather B. Not very	10. Not was all to a strangers? 1. Much more to 2. Somewhat werm 3. Abonewhat 20 %. Huch less to 6. F. T. Very wich ! B. Hather ! B. Hather ! B. Not very !
	1.cg	<u>i</u>		***	
155	Ites No.1	Column No.	L.B. 1077		4.02:07B

126	483:079

Compared with other Pounceters, now find the two states of the control and the
나라나 00 나라 나라나 01 24 아니라라보안 01 0 0 0전보인다. 아니라라보안 01 0 0 0전보인다.
나라고 6나 6나 6나 6년 10년 10년 10년 10년 10년 10년 10년 10년 10년 10
ంచస్తున్న రాం లెడ్డిస్తలు ంచక్రస్ట్రు రాం లెడ్డిస్తలు
ంచరిశ్రమీల రాం రామ్మర్జులు
]

425000

~~&&~S

191			Study II	F	ľ	Control		Experimental	ental
	as Court con and Bestonse	Control	L	Experimental	120	group	i a	Group	
Item No.:	Codings; or Computed Values	Group (N = 50)	심	(N = 50)	<u></u>	(N = 150	į,	(N = 150)	ő z
Column No.			2	-					
	Specific					•	0	8	13
1961120	What do they tende the	0	0	•	_	13,	127	86	ន្ត
	2. Nothing specific	N	-	C4	-	<b>-</b> 0	w.	E .	y cu
	5. Praving with dolls					•		•	
107-101-22	What does the child usually do when								1
	teased7107		4	7	æ	1	£.	J,	Č,
	1, Ories		-	· cu	0	9	.2	۰	×
	2. Answers back	n				53	50	S.	÷
	3. Fights, aggressive behavior	ŀ	4	11	12	2	15	92	2
		- 0			m	-	г.	-1-	٥.
	S. Whines	~		uz	6	9	-	<b>*</b> :	* ;
		10		ď	٠	#	13	'n	Ŧ
		1.	٦0		. ~	-	4	cu	cv :
		4 (	u c		10	-	4	t-	10
	9. Not aware, nothing	u	>		,	7	9	m	80
						c	2	٥	0
	11. Hits back after teasing persists	•	•	œ	~	15	۰.	8	7
	12. 1	34	^	•	1	ì			
						c	0	٦	~
	persista						-	o	,~
	14. Cries; gets angry	:		4	5	12	6	12	2
	15. Gets angry	07	0	1	S	ę u	1"	ì	
	16. Tells parents					`	,	•	•
			Ì				ļ		

106-por both Shultes II and III there were 30 additional characteristics reported, each by fewer than three of the in-formants represented in any one column of the table; 22 of these 30 were reported by the control and 15 by the experimental

GOD, Dypondents.

Why was Souther II and III there were 50 shell tool activate reports, each by fewer than these of the information terraged and the contraction of t

٠,-	E 8 24	0	«24gs	10 80	23	£01-0
N	H4 4 88	-	1200	- E	<b>.</b> 8	1 2000
æ	1622	24	~285°	- 8E	à.	55 0 0 81
. "	1369	Þ	04888	2 01g	ça	8002
25			0 ಉಕ್ಕೆ ಅನ	0		mate 10
48			40260	0		
				_		900 g
40			04580			W
0.3			04542	•		
17. Child is not teased (Study 118. Timers teased) 118. Fights Do you think the child feels severally which on?	1. Very much 2. Moderntely 3. Singetty 4. Not at all	In commutes with other children, how such do you believe your child is picked on?	1. When sore than average 2. Josewhat sore than average 3. About average 4. Somewhat less than average 5. Parth less than average	Does the child go to Sunday school? 1. Yes 2. No	3: No Dunday etchol, in church Done the child belong to other organ- isations (Gtudy II: Vast organia- tions done the child belong to, or what weetigs done the child attention	1. No (Ctudy III nome) 2. Seals (Ctudy III Soy Scotts) 3. Dancing class or club 4. Preschool or mirery school 15. Sunday school
र् <sub>याक्ष</sub>		*a:66		\$20100\$	501126-27	

18ther both Studies II and III there were 16 additional organizations reported, such by fewer than three of the in-fewents represented in any one column of the table; 12 of these 15 were reported by the central and 8 by the exercises. As proper responsate, Some responsate in Study II gave now than one response.

iental ip	<u>@</u> =					•	172	Ň	106	•	•						l
Experimental Group	(N - 150					•	123		105		u						
Study	əl≥						12	15	121		>						
Control	\$ 1 2						កន្ទ	75	60		-						
I Exyerimental	Group (N = 50)	, 100	-04	o ma				9			0-	• !					
Study 11	Group (N = 50)	m Mit	-vs m	e m	нm			ıı			0,	4	ర్లా	•			
	Item; or Computed Values Codings; or Computed Values	t 6. Cub Scouts † 7. Prof. svimitng club or other	+ 8. Boys' club	+10. FFA	113. Band or orchestra	How much freedom has the child to determining how he shall	use his own play time?	2. Moderate control	L. Practically complete	interested	5. Parent negligent and	+ 6. Rigid control by parent	+ 7. Complete Irecton, out	† 8. A good deal of control hose the child have any live	pets? (Dogs, cate, rabbits,	or gupples. That is, they must	and and an area and an
163	Item No.1 IIM Card and Column No.					\$021120\$								900	Canal Co.		

13 23 13	88	8	27.3	4	•	0 0	) <sub>b</sub> ,
2.82	ន្តន	6	ოოგ	<b>.</b>	4	a m	ted in a
24 33 33	ដូន	0	#06	7	7	09	represer
857.28	a∞	80	113	æ	tv	W 65	formants group re
PP-wowo	നമ	o	ਰਜਰ	N			Can three of the in
苏장니집이마ㅋㅋ	ma	(N	90 N	r		Ħ	id, each by fever the control and 6 b
or factor,   (total pres)  for the child have;) (out to the child have)  to the child have;) (out to the child have)  to the child have;  to the c	1. Not permitted by owner of home 2. Not desired by mother 3. Not desired by inches 1. Supervised by inches (or not howeversal)	4. Child has shown no desire for			9. too much trouble; town no place for them (Study II: incorrentent in town)	10. City is no place for pets	""In South It there were 10 odditional pris reported, such by freet than three of the informatis represented in map spoudigit in Goodby'd Ligner were than the severe of the central and 5 by the experiented from the transference of the first from the central fro

Trook is the former of the the one received the second of

nental	(g)×	~	000	N O		1,7	ដូ	0		61	젊	17	0		ŝ	1 0	ζ-	1-1	3							2	
Experimental	(N = 150)	15	00	00		32	á.	-1		3	Ħ	115	-		1	11	, r	20	ġ						a	4	•
10.	(550) K	~	<b>6</b> 2	m	2	56	123	0		-	80	121	0			13	Ľ,	0	49						-	'n	3
Control	(N = 150)	Ħ	- <del>1</del>	Ç2 C	M	8	â	CI.			4	ă	0			52	₽,	9 0	e eg						-	,	4
V. II	Group (N = 50)		2																	38	q	•••					
Study II	Group (N = 50)	Σ.	4																	9	?-	- 1	-				
	Item; or Question and Response Codings; or Computed Values		11. Not desired by parents	13. Enough in the neighborhood	14. Meighbor doesn't like form	Do you wish the child played better	1. Setter	2. Stay as he is	3. T	Do you wish the child women person	100 de 10	o. More quietly	3, Stay as he is	 Do you encourage or discourage	or say nothing about the child's	reading	1. Very much encourage	1. Moderately discourage	4. Very mich discourage	5. Say nothing either way	† 6. Encourage	17. Discourage	* 8, Indifferent	Do you encourage or discourage or	playing alone?	1. Very much encourage	
165	Item Mo.:	Column No.				5051832				5061133				507:234										508:135			

90	3 6 7 1 23	FB KTV 2 MA VA 0	15
10 4 119	25 24 20 4 20 4	F85000000000	12
, to 1.5	121	44 F018 F9 8 FF	۲
11.8	021	P122235	
26.85		80044H04H04	
		Freedrand	-
wo1		6	
5 ° 5		00010m01000	-
311,5		25000000000000000000000000000000000000	-
1. Noterately discourage 1. Noterately ann discourage 5. Soy making either way 6. Sincourage 1. Interaction 1. Interaction 1. Interaction in the company of the compa	A given by the second of the s	1. Active or coloron extrytites 2. Guist or indoor extryties 3. Mechanical surfavities 3. Mechanical surfavities 4. Mechanical surfavities 6. Faring indoor indoor indoor indoor 7. Subonic indicate 8. Foreign genes 11. Present genes 12. Present genes 12. Present genes 13. Present genes 14. Present genes 15. Present genes 16. Present genes 16. Present genes 17. Present ge	Sow much does the child macurbate? A. Wore than once a week
509:836-37	\$10*		511:138

Miper both Chaltes II and III there were 28 additional occupations reported, each by fewer than three of the informate represented in any one column of the table; 18 of these 28 were reported by the control and 16 by the experiental group. Moyer specific responses were respected than those literal variations of individual verding of responses have been Groups as here indicated for practical purposes of presentation. Soon responses in but senates gave sony than one re-

1	ental	, g	ε	'nξ	15	7			01	۲;	15	ž				0		2		S		3	3	6	3		13	23	r	2	-		
E	×	(N = 150)		wţ	75	, 6	•		0	4	2	e E				0		œ		16		7	Ď	ď	Ş		80	22	8	8	0		
Study III		[6]	Σ	ω,	21 5	<b>1</b>			0	-	16	124				o		-1		ಸ			116	5	4		6	9	S	2	0		
	Control	Group (N - 150)	4	5	6	izo.	?		-	80	#	130				0		Q		27		,	156	٠	,		-	ģ	8	583	0		
	ta)	5	Σ													0		A		5			15	,	52		•				۰.	20	91
	Freezamenta	Group (x 20)														-	•	0	d	•	,		52		8			,		ć	90	7	83
	Study	a . 5	Z ×	1													,		,	ď			23		23			N			20	57	8
		Group	2													•	•	t	_	·	_		17		11		•	N		ç	70	æ	8
		Item) or Duestion and Response	Courses of teaming		2. About once a week	3. Less than once a week	h, Mever	5. ?	stealing things?	1. Chresteally	2. Occasionally	3. Isolated case	4. Never	How does the child adjust to new	situations and new friends?	1. Shane all new contacts and	experiences	2. Very shy; avoids meeting new		3. Finds it difficult to meet new		4. Usually makes Satisfactory and	Sustinent to new stone come while	propie	just; definitely positive reaction	How much does your child irritate and	annoy you?	1. A great deal	2. Moderately	3. Slightly	4. None; not at all		+ 7. Hardly any
	167	Item No.1	Column No.						512:139					5131840												514:841							

28548	ww numacanusa s	7000 → D
≈ 5°€ 5°3 °4	BU BHUOHPHOOM C	. mgm 6
275 81 81 81	en Hunuodinene i	0 00151
£2463	24 Incoeducer -	-49° m
		].
2 01 18		] :
20 E 0 - 1		
0 2018		
« ដូក្ខដូន		
Now man does your child irritate and campy your vite (Tabbad)!  1. A creek deal.  2. Modernethy  3. Silghily  5. Silghily  1. None not at all  6. Son  7 mills and you will be the formation of the contrac	1. 100 for year.  1. Store bright year.  1. Sheer years who works or the store of t	15, Wohling specific 15, Wohling with the state of the st
5151842		717*

reproduction.

"Universe very distillation actions reported, each by fewer than three of the information represented in may one column of the table; 18 or these IV were reported by the central and 15 by the experimental prospectation. Miyor both Studies II and III there were 55 additional actions reported, each by frace than three of the informants represented in any one column of the table; 30 of these 55 were reported by the control and 45 by the experimental group

tal	2 ×	Ø 64	~~~	യ്രാധ	rugin	53	≇ರಬ್∞	807
Experimental	(n = 150)	91	W 64 44	นื้อผร	0455	22	13081	020
Study III	( S)	<b>~</b> °	21.6	설레리	~°59	19	5883	# 00 C
Control	Group (N = 150)	ន្ទភ	<b>6046</b>	. I a we	4424	70	2023	# NO
١,	5 J						33.12	46
	Experimental Group (M = 50)						2029 2029	00
Study II							200 g	٥٠
	Group Group						~క్షన్ల	mva
	Item; or Question and Response Codings; or Computed Values			5, Cries 6, Mants constant attention 7, Won't mind; disobedient 8, Slow't neating 9, Fights with sibs	10. White curversation of 11. Interrupting curversation of prents or others 12. Northing specific 13. Northing	X, No response; does not annoy aufficiently How often do you take time cut and	(Study III) you called (Study III) you called you bidy and your child!)  1. You or three times per day or nore  2. Once a day  3. Several times a week  4. Once a week	
ş	Iten No.1	Column No.				5181847		

000

SINDHOHN

Hymer were 35 additional activities reported, each by fover than three of the informats represented in any one column of the table; 23 of these 35 were reported by the control and 25 by the reportestata, group responses.

2842 P-# 0 M

Ē		Study 13	Constant	Study III	Experimental	ntal
Item No.: IN Card and Column No.	Item; or Question and Response Codings; or Computed Values	Control Experimental Group (N = 50) (N = 50) (N = 50) (N = 50)	Group F = 150		Group (N = 150)	⊙¤
521•	What does your vife (hunband) usually do when she (he) and the child spend time togetherill 2. Onlor stetures 2. Color stetures		5 en	118	Rup	8148
			0 m m	NO 0	aa w	40 -
			∾ °°สส	g 1410	H WOW	
	11. Rects, plus Games 22. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2.		M4W0 000	ဆက္ကဝ၈ ဝဝ	uono voi	m g a m a m a
522:153	id. 4 and the sure of the sure		າ ຄ	, tt	7 A	- គ
116Thers	Likmer were 39 additional activities respected, each by fover than times of the informatic represented in any one column of the tables 23 of these 30 were reported by the control and 27 by the experiential group respondents.	each by fever then three of the infe	ormants rep al group re	resented	in any on	

	2. Once a veck					}			1
	3. Several times a neek 4. Once a veek					22	g ~	13	274
	5. Octasionally, but not as often					0		0	m
	6. Principally manner					n		-	
	7. Don't have to (Study III; not					·w			4 (2
	Decestery)	X	8	:					,
	t B. Yes	? =	25	79	٥,	හි	102	8	**
533,46).	4 9. %	22	75	₽:	£,			,	:
2000	How often does your wife (jmsbend)	į	3	#	٥				
	more an effort to get your child to								
	converse with her (him)? (Study III;								
	Does your wife (Nusband) make an								
	with her feet your child to converse								
	True (Ela)?)								
	1. TWO OF three times per day on man-								
	2. Once a day					8	:		
	3. Several times a neer					3 8	7:	0,	2
	4. Office a unex					ŭ (	ų.	54	#
	5. Occasionally, but not					٠,	۰.	2	-
	RE Chre a usel					9			
	6. Practically penses					•			
	2. 4					э.	٦.	4	
	8. Don't have to family we are		0	o	-	40	4	4	
	Decessory) of County III not					n	~	-	. 9
	t 9. Yea	8	70	90	2	6			
	+10. No	9	17	ŕ	با	8	8	8	43
224:R55	How does were about	æ		7	4,5				,
	corrections and suggested to your				2				
	1. Extremely somethings								
	Mark: audie								
	2. Belligerent, or man "	.39	٥	c	·	,			
	halfcocked"; shows a source					٥	<b>ب</b>	ы	-7
	(Study II anding Area								
	clude "shown a termont"								
	3. Inclined to be stubborn	~	cu	-	-	,	,		
		9	14	6	13	- 5	÷ (	۰,	ដ
			j			2	ç	ž	0

tal			99	19	٦	٥				ς.			α	) #		ø	5	0		0	o			œ.	4	
Axperimental	(N - 150)		22	27	0	cu							o	o di		G	1.8	0		CV .	-			H.	9	
Study III	- (g)		93	#	m	7				6			-	7 7		g	2	100		-	0			~	<u>.</u>	
Control	Group (N = 150)		105	=	1-1	c	,			5				r-å	3	Б	•	, m	•	0	-			-	В	
	(0)		6	8	3					c	ı		,	ωa	0	2	5	3								
	Oroup (N = SO)		#	,	51					-	٠			٠.;	5	13	:	27								
Study 11	15 to 15	-	00	:	13					•	•			co ;	7	1		ដ								
	Control Group (H = 50		ž	1	17					٠	n			en:	ដ	97		Ť								
	Item; or Question and Response Codings; or Computed Values		4, Daughly tractable; becaring	attitude of tractability	5. Intelligent and leaders	6. Ignores parent	the time responds well	How does your child respond to the	vife (bushard)?	1. Extremely sensitive; eneily	murt; sulks or becomes tearful	2. Belligerent, or may "go off	halfcocked ; shows a temper	clude "shows a temper")	3. Inclined to be stubborn	conly seems to assume attitude	5. Trielligent and ressonable			7. Mail the time students text	B. •	How does the child usually evaluate	his abilities and accomplishments!	1. Very modest; tends to be	Contractor of the state of	* Wording Control of
171	Item Mo.1 JEM Card and	COTURN NO.						5251156														526:137				

	3. Moncount that h, Moderately proud	6	•	٥	7	121	118	10,101	68
	ter his accountablents  6. 1  7. Very depreciative  9. Rather depreciative	v=0.≠	2000	<b>8040</b>	m4 8/9	7.0	61 o	90	1,25
	19. Rather proud, normal reaction In cornection with other children, does the child seem to be a perfec- tionity (Study IV) couling does not include In comparison with other children In	৪	75	E	\$6				
527:1158	About home tiens assist 1. Meth nove tien average 2. Somewhat were tien average 2. About werenge 4. Somewhat less than average 5. Much less than average 5. Much less than average					ಐಕ್ಟಪ್ಟ್	85252 E	884-4	82210
Sebing	+7. Yea +8. No About his pretness?	35	66	នដ	35	A	m	•	m
	At Mich more than average 3. Corwinst more than average 3. About average than average 5. Much less than average 5. Much less than average 6. Very					~583~	1%ga*	585000	<b>3</b> 88300
Spiritio	i d. 10. About his actualment of the sure	28	63	22		" "33°°0			, <u> </u>

		•				10.000	1	Control	1		
The state of the	The state of constitution has proposed to the state of computed values of the state of computed values of the state of computed values of the state			Contra	-4	Capacita		Doro		,	-
1	for the special average and the form of th	Iten No. 1	Item; or Question and Personne Collect or Computed Values	0.5	, (o	×		E 2	္ပါ=		Į.
6. 7	6. 7  10. 70 sepecial average   13. 30 c   10. 70 sepecial average   15. 70 sepecial average   15. 70 sepecial average   15. 70 sepecial average   16. 70 sepecial average   16. 70 sepecial average   17. 70 sepecial average   18. 70 sepecial average   19. 70 sepecial average   1	Column No.			ž	2	2		,		_
6. 7 vs    1.	15. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7.			c	0	o	-	-	30		
1, 10   1, 1	A the sepecial and the separat		6.1	4	91	2,5	212				
1,   1,   1,   1,   1,   1,   1,   1,	About the special control of the con		4.7. Yes	2	20	2	4				,
A   A   A   A   A   A	About his special average  1. Paths more than severage  2. Secondar treat than severage  3. Secondar treat than severage  6. The secondar treat than severage  1. Secondar treat than severage  1. The secondar treat than severage  1. The secondar treat than severage  1. Control to the secondar treat than severage  1. The severage treat than severage  2. The severage conversations of secondar treat than severage  3. The severage treat than severage  3. The severage treat than severage  4. The severage treat than severage  5. The severage treat treat treat to the severage treat treat treat than severage  6. The severage treat		8. W	3				r	v	m	۰,
1. Incompared and severage 1. Incompared 1.	1. Used, new than average 2. Growning more than average 3. Another is then average 3. Another is then average 3. Another is then average 3. Another is then average 3. Another is then average 3. The does the child make bids for 6 4. The present and the conversations of 6 5. The first and 100. The child make bids onto 6 5. The first and 100. The child make a look of 100. The first and 100. The child make a look of 100. The first and 100. The child make a look of 100. The first and 100. The child make a look of 10		About his speech?					. 6	75	7	72
1. About average	5. Generals mere than sverte? 1. About average and than average and the state of th	1011	1 Nich Bore than average					1;	3	122	151
Active average   Acti	1, About 1 services because of 1, Company 1 services 1, Company 1		2. Somewhat more than average					ì	-	7	'n
Commonstation to the strength of the strengt	1, Secondaria issue than average 5, which less than average 7, which less than average 7, the less than average 7, the less than average 7, the less than average 7, the less than average 7, the less than average 7, the less than average 8, the less than average 8, the less than average 8, the less than average 8, the less than average 8, the less than average 8, the less than average 8, the less than average 9, the less than average 8, the le		Short average					•	٠,	. c	0
1. The lists than average 10 0 12 2 3 0 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	1. Special bits than average 10 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		Section than average					٥	41	1	
1	1. S. Man less than average.  1. S. Man less than average.  1. Carrier textoms  2. There textoms  2. There textoms  3. There textoms  4. There as of the form of t		a comparison that a second of			•		m	٥	-	,
	f. 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		S. Nich less than average	0	0	Se :		•			
	1, 7, 7, 7, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,			=	c	ផ	2'				
A   A   A   A   A   A   A   A   A   A	16, 10.     Inches does the child make bids for attentional line does the child make bids for 6 6     1. There textores   1. The child make the child make the child make the child make the child make the child make and there is 1. The third a lide   1. The child make the ch		,	::		32	2				
10, 000	10, one   11, other   12   1. Cyrlor   11, other   1. Cyrlor   1. Cyrlor   1. Cyrlor   1. Cyrlor   1. There instruction   1. Cyrlor   1. There is no   1. Cyrlor   1. Cyrlor   1. There is no   1. Cyrlor   1. Cyrlor   1. There is no   1. Cyrlor   1. Cyrlor   1. There is no   1. Cyrlor   1. There is no   1. Cyrlor   1. There is no   1. Cyrlor   1. Cyrlo			37	7	ì					
	ins does the first man time of a street and the first man time of a street man time of a street man time of a street man time of a street man of the street		10, 70								
Attention 117  1. Cycyres convertations of the convertations of the cycyres and cycles are cycles and cycles are cycles are cycles and cycles are cycles and cycles are cycles and cycles are cycles and cycles are cycles a	attention 117 6 6  1. Cyring 2. They tentioned 3. They tentioned 4. Answers tentioned 5. They tentioned 6. The affection of the series 7. Thirtie a lot 8. Cyring and cyring and cyring a lot 9. The series 9. They tention 9. They tention 9. They tention 9. They tention 1. Citish once present's lasp and polls 1. Citish once present's lasp and polls 1. Citish once present's lasp and polls 1. Citish once present's lasp and polls 1. Citish once present's lasp and polls 1. Citish once present's lasp and polls 1. Citish once present's lasp and polls 1. Citish once present's lasp and polls		new does the child make bids for					•		0	-
2	2 1 2 2 31 2 2 31 2 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31		attention! 117	٠	9	0	-	-, (	31	-	н
As agretican a service teathcurs	and operations of a second of		- Cardina			c	0	N	2	10	
Attention conversations of the	An article of the state of the		District Control of the Control of t	4	•	•		cı	-	0	ı
Americal and other states of the control of the con	weeter and other sections of 7 services and other sections of 9 31 services and other sections (since 160		Tourist Control of							٠	,
article and operations    3	where the control of		3. Asxa questions					٤	ç	18	ż
All the teach of t	hatter and others  hatter and others  hatter and other  ments and other  hatter and other  hatter and other  hatter and other  hatter and other  hatter and other  hatter and other  ments and other  hatter and other  ments and o		4. Interrupts conversations of	40	-	4	* :	2 5	:	52	19
1   1   2   2   2   3   3   3   3   3   3   3	the first look of the onto the first look of the		parents and others	Š	12	92	7.7	4	2		
mrmi's lap) mrmi's	oren's lab.  never's lab.  herer  her		5. Talking a lot	ì				•	•	c	
nrent's lap)  1 1 1 5  1 1 1 5  1 1 1 5  1 1 1 5  1 1 1 5  1 1 1 5  1 1 1 5  1 1 1 5  1 1 1 5  1 1 1 5  1 1 1 5  1 1 1 5  1 1 1 5  1 1 1 5  1 1 1 5  1 1 1 1	nares' lab)  A 2  Allers  A 2  A 2  A 2  A 3  Collab cardo parent's lab and pulls  parent's face Consed Mn		6. Gets affectionate (climos onto					•	N	9 6	
Alters 1, 2 0 1 6 2 6 1 6 2 6 1 1 6 2 1 6	heters h 2  Millers  Miles loudly  folis  folis  prient's the and pulls  prient's face found his		Hient's lap)					-1	н.	20	•
Address 18 27 0 1 18 27 0 1 18 27 1 18 27 1 18 27 1 18 27 1 18 28 1 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18	fulles of the fully 0 1 (2013) (2013) (2014) (2014) (2015)		7. Preters	4		c	٦	9	-	,	ų C
And the state of t	nake loady  O 1  Citabe onto parent's lap and pulls prent's face toward him		8. Whines	ŧ	u	,		18	27	0 4	٥-
folio coro parent's lap and pullo t 2 present's face conord him present's face conord him	folis Climbs onto parent's lap and pulls parent's face toward him		9. Talks loudly	•		c	-	٥	80	n	ŧ
011abs onto parent's lap and pulls parent's face toward him	Climbs onto parent's lap and pulls parent's face toward him		ni vella	0	•	•					٠
parent's face toward him	parent's face toward him		11. Climbs onto parent's lap and pulls					J.	cu	0	-
			parent's face toward him								

lifter both fruits II and III there were 60 andlittend behaviors reported, each by frose than there of the informat respectate in we column of the table 22 of these 60 were respected by the central and 55 by the seperimental group respectate, loss repredent a labely II forw are than on respect

1 .			
04 004	4 0 - 4	# 4554 wook	- 485°
NM 0ma	m 00m	£ 6324400m	2222
00 m≻-±	8 HVO	5 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	* %#£r
40 440	~ 00=	8 338434044	3250
~~	ย ผมี พระพ		2384
00	0 45 0040		బ్లాస్త్రా
	7		
00	0 44 WOLE		~##°
~0	0 40 640		27 K 6
	rent co	The Attention of the At	101
Acts stilly, "clowns"  Lays "Robody's paying sny strention to me"  Does forbidden things  Falls jurent's clothing  Falls jurent's clothing	Soes screening he brows in a managing as accounting the brows in a managing as a managing as a managing with a cheek of the top plays will be to be played with the top played with the top played with the top played will a managing will a managing will a managing will a managing will a managing will a managing will a managing will a managing will does not be a managing with the played will does not be sufficiently make bids for	# ## # :	Ė.
Hakes notes Cays "Robody's paying any strention to me" Does forbidden things Phils payent's clothing	Lab.  Lab.	de ti	
Acts silly, "clouns" Says "Roboly's paying sitention to me" bost forbidden things hilm parent's clothiums or elimbs onto it.	lap Oce a comething he smooth of East not rake bid East not noticed felling about act Colling about act Chicks to be played Elson off Chicks of Elson off Elson off Elson off Elson off Elson off Elson off Elson off Elson off	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
Acts silly, fays "Robot silly, fays "Robot strention to boes forbid Pulls Faren Ilumps or eli	ing not re not re think to be off erous	attention often does Geveral til Daily Geveral til Veckly Yvo or the Menthly Less than	::7
		ofter Ofter North	Pather Pather
354 75F	<b>#</b> #844644	\$ 4 4 4 4 4 6 4 5 6 6 6 9 B	1000
		, "	
		53211874	İ

ļ		15	Study II		Control	ſ	Experimental	į
		Control	Experimental				Group	
Item No. 1	Item; or Question and Response Codings; or Computed Values	(1 . 50)	Group (# • 50)	٦		, _l_	(W = 150)	- z
Column No.			-					ŀ
		,	=	-	22	12	7	Ť
5361780-07	1. With that parent more	ø	:	. ,		4	7	=
	2. That parent does not publish	0	\$	~	,	•		
	t, That parent is not with child		Ø	-	10	7	a	~
		•			0	3	-	0
					-	7	•	m
		-	-		10	~	₽.	4
	Į,	•			٥		0	0
	when sick, mursed him +8. Matural (normal) to favor	0	n					
	#9. That parent "bables" child		٥	4				
,	X. No response; not sufficient preference expressed preference expressed	37 35	30	22	67	83	g	8
5351758	In comparison view control bow vell behaved do you think your							,
	child is!	9	m		~9	ع د	má	υď
	2. Somewhat more than average	71		25		22	95	8
	3. About average	200	, m	, .		9	<b>-</b>	8.
	5. Mch less than average	0	0	-	o		۱ -	1
118 por	119tor but toutes it and ill there were 35 solditional reason reported, such by fower than three of the informatia prepresented in any one column of the table; 28 of these 35 were reported by the control and 38 by the experienced, group	ditional reason	reported, each	by fever the	an thre	e of the	informat tal gro	- A
respondents	respondents. Some respondents in Study II gave nore than one response.	than one reapon						

The Americant'ly to the abil's ministed

~ సొడి 350-

23011159	Now trequently is the child punished?							
	tion that goes beyond words.)							
	1. 5 to 25 times a day	7		c	•	c	•	¢
	2. 5 to 25 times a week	2	•••		• •	, 0	'6	,
	3. 5 to 20 times a month	2	15	16	16,	3%	292	48
	4. Some but less often than 3							}
	above	8	33	21	8	2	23	ą,
	5. Practically never		,		1	2	7	Ŗα
	6.1	0	0	o	•	?	,	
	7. Never	1 42		14	۰,	٠,	۰.	۰
537:370	Now frequently do you think your		,	,	•	•		N
	child is punished in comparison with							
	other children?							
	1. Much more than average	c	,	,	,			
	2. Somether ware the same	٠,	۰ د	0	0	a		-1
	a company work than average	m	cu.	m	_	22	15	2
	3. About everage	18	13	30	*	3.0	è	2 4
	4. Somewhat less than average	5	ž.	2	3 =	3.5	3 :	2:
	5. Mich less than average	~	٠	ī	. ~	70	40	<b>;</b>
•		٠.		, ,	, .	0 1	0 1	#
5381171	From ages 1 to 4 approximately how		•	n	^	-	-	m
	frequently one the child muniched?							
	1. 5 to 25 times a day	u	,					
	2. 5 to 25 times a veek	'n	> 5	40	٠:	0	rı	cu
	3. 5 to 20 times a month	,	2 :	0 (	<b>=</b> :	ς.	81	20
	4. Some but less often than 3	-	4	,	50	S	60	8
	above	ç	ć	į	:		:	
	5. Practically never	ï	•	Q	2	ì	9	39
		,	,			ಸ	51	#
	7. Rever	11	<b>u</b> -	4 (	ь.	Q.	0	N
539:812-13	What methods of punishment have you	-	•	'n	m	m	0	
	used? (Method most often used)119							
	1. Spanking or paddling	35	33	33	3	F	ē	ě
								3

o정단장다

4004 29

488

11/3 or both Studies II and III there were 35 statistical actuois reported, each by sever than three of the informess reproduct in any one column of the table 59 of three 30 were reported by the control and 15 by the experimental group responses.

Experimental	draup y 150)	77	۰ ۰	,4 <sub>0</sub>	21.18 21.18	0.40 N.M.		0	95		
Control	الم	<b>-0</b>	m -	* 1-0-	no est	900		en	me		
١	٩	60		91	* 5 ° 6	0 64	, 0	•	01 E	Rud at M	
Study 11	Group	31,	ำส	F-0	8				но	4048	
820	Control Group (N = 50)	¥ 50°	* £	60	n 92				01	2010 2010	
	Item; or Question and Response g Codings; or Computed Values	o. Barring privilege taken avey		5. Being sent to room, toom of privilege 6. Baving to sit in chair	9, Sept to roca 9, Sept to roca 10, Spanking, east to bed	11. Spanking; loss of privilege 12. Spanking; privilege taken avay; sent to room	14. Spanking; sent to room	16. Spanking; att on chair; sent	17. Privilege taken away; sit on chair. 18. Home 19. Home allowance taken away	† 20. ballangs separated from friends † 21. Playthings taken evay † 22. Stapped † 23. Strep	What method have you found to be most effective? (Study II)
£	Item 80.1	column No.									5401114-75

KEAS Latioticate	521	821
850000000000000000000000000000000000000	11.00	24 126 0
8 000 4 4 6 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 0	137	25.00
2400 unovadiunin	133	×8°
		<b>-</b> 0.4
25.4E 0 803 1	wito	# KH
13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 1		
. 3 50 60 64 143	⊿ဖွ ဝ	~ <u>3</u> °
855 08 4 0115 A		
which see we then of these states are stated have you need eact; 200  1. Spanking or passing a state of the states	1. Yes 2. No 3. 1 Por Filling what he was eating or drawing (Study II; food or drink)?	1. Yes 2. No 3. ?
942.1776	5421877	

8

A Your II You You You You You You You You You You	23 1. 0 37 1.09 1.11 1.3 1.11 3.8	0 % % Q	50	93 96 150	1, 126 133 0 0 0 0 11 13 13	;
181 144 10-1 11441 or Quest or and Secpuser 1144 10-1 11441 or Complete values 1144 144 144 144 144 144 144 144 144 1	5431879 3. Yes 2. No 3. 10	_	5951000 11. Yes 2. No.		5. 10 3. 1 5.45100 1. 10 2. 10 2. 10	550:110 Sweeting?

00000000000000000000000000000000000000	1.03		Spirity	14.0		Study JI	111	
1 Three   2	Item No.1 IEM Card and Colurn No.	Item; or Ovestitus and Response Codings; or Computed Values	Control Oroup (N = 50)		Gross Gross	20 CE	Experimental Group (H - 150)	To all
	1221096	1. Three 5. 12 w 6. 12 w 7. Geen 1. Geen 1. Geen 1. Call of the second o		-	488446466600055863 × 3 3884 × 4 8 8 8	0.00447 para 0.000 para 1. 1. 1000 para 2. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.	ANNUA TERMINATURE SERVICE TO THE SERVICE TO THE	224444 - 4 - 4 - 4 - 4 - 4 - 4 - 4 - 4 -

127pp both Budies II and III there were it additional methods reported, each by fewer than three of the informatis represented in we column of the largest 12 of these whiche were proposed by the conicol and 8 by the experimental, group respondents, flow respondents in 8000 II flow more than one response.

	15	1	٦			æ	8)	27	Д.	۰0				,	٠,	35	20	•	0	ì	93	? ;	2.	2	n s	3,4
	ដ	٠	0			-3	ይ	8 :	, o	0 0				٠	٠ 5	33	29	*	0	ì	2 5	28	ō-	•	21.7	389
	6	æ	10			æ	3	8	£, (	n n					^;	äē	9	-at	cu	į	Ç	۸ä	2 2	1	₹ 8	38
	n	11	C)			7	31	3 1	×,	0 ~				٠	nţ	- 5	3,5	eu eu	0	8	3 8	ÇĀ	5 2	:	5.5	48:
-																										
				∞ % =	1	,	'n	2	Z,	cu.					o c	'nć	5	ev		5	3 5	4 %	9		۰-۷	33.
				~ 2,4	•	^	#	ส:	⊒՝	^					~0	9 6	75	m		,	-;	25	şc	1	٠.	ä
-				0 K, v	0	-	0	5	2	cu					00	9 5	::	~		2	* :	25	30	,	# 5	18
				ంచి	>	-	0	Ж,	٥.	-					N	9	ĭ	0		•	70 F	-ģ	3,5	,	mţ	58
		<ol> <li>Schetines quietly, schetimes sharply tell him not to</li> </ol>	10, First reason with him; then	111. Get him to promise to do better 112. Explain why he shouldn't	113. Threaten him When you compare yourself with other parents, how strict do you feel you	STOT	2. Somewhat more than average	3. About everege	4. Somewhat less than average	5. Much less than average	When you compare your wife	(Rusband) with other parents,	how strict do you feel she	(he) isT	1. Nuch more than average	2. Somewhat more than average	4. Somewhat less than evernor	5. Much less than average	6.1	Do you feel you are	To look the	2. that take	The state of the s	Do you feel your wife (husband)	1. Too strict	3. About right
184					561122						562:123								•	563:I24				564125		

sperimental

Group 'N - 150) - 55 55 H

~2820

6.53 \$5.50

**.**ଅଞ୍ଚଞ୍ଜ.

& 27

쿲

E

		l						
	4. Expressed approvat or me	,	_				_	u
	TO THE	N	•	N		4		`
	S. Inconstntent sometimes bothers							
	County or and the same of the					0	0	0
,	relen							
569:130-31	Age the child entered first grade							
	(in years)			٠				
	A. Minimus		0.0	6.5	_		, a	
	b. Marimum		7.0	9	_		9.9	
					_		6.5	
	C* Mean							
	d. Weddan		0.0	3			4.0	
	e. 90th perceptile		9.9	9			5.9	
			7.7	3	_		53	
570:172-33	Why was child's starting to school							
	delawed?							
	1700		•				•	
	L SICK		5				,	
	2. No school available before		~		_		0	
	3. Speech difficulty thought							
	to be too mont a bandlage		,				•	
			, ,				,	
	4. Repeated kindergarten		0		n.		0	
	5. Way his birthday fell		6	-	•		19	
	6. Small for age		٥		٥		0	
	X. No response: no delay		٥		80		120	
571:134	Why was child started to school		1				}	
	early?							
	1. Advanced for age; very bright		0				o	
	2. Wey his birthday fell							
	3. Child large for his age		-				- 6	
	Z. To response not started serio		1 9	-	ο		,	
35.135.15	Grade attending no.		•	,	,		ç	
200	1 10		_				,	
	9:		+		_		-	
	¥1 .5		-1		-		4	
	2.58		<u>~</u>		ce		m	
	র <u>-</u>		64		đ		'n	
	四		.,		•		٥	
	A.		çu		-		0	
	n • 1		<b>.</b>		<b>e</b> *)			

13666. 3566.

| 15 2 000  | 0000  | **************************************   | 010%  | 700   |
|---|---|--|---|---|
| Experimental Group (N - 150)                                |   | 8.000<br>004006  | ละหมูา  | - R   |
| l″l ⊊(≊l  | 00008   | 25.500   | omgo  | ۵۵  |
| Control<br>Group<br>(N - 150)                               |   | 9,666,69   | 0 0 87  | ٥٤.   |
| (S)   | พถพณฑต± 0 ๘   |  |   |   |
| Axperimental Group (N = 40)                                 |   |  |   |   |
| Control Study II  | HAMOMMHH43 F  | •  |   |   |
| 16-34   |   |  | ead<br>read   |   |
| Alues   |   | eh11d  | e, you prince which reading was Conditions under which reading was Conditions under which reading was 1, Treschool age at home 2 Kindergartan | 1. Kindergarten age, at home<br>Was first writing learned mainly:<br>1. Cursive                 |
| Ites or Coetton and Response<br>Codings, or Coeputed Values | Ę   | 17. BA 17. BA 17. SA 17   | . your promise which research to mainting under which learn earned, where child learn 1. Preschool age at home 2. Kindergarten                | Kindergarten age, at home<br>Kindergarten age, at home<br>Kiret writing learned mali<br>Gursiye |
| aj or Quet  | 6. 48<br>20. 52<br>11. 63<br>12. 63<br>13. 77<br>14. 77<br>15. 73 | 116, 83<br>17, 94<br>17, 95<br>17, 95<br>18, 75<br>18, 75<br>18, 75<br>18, 75<br>18, 75<br>18, 75<br>18, 75<br>18, 75<br>19, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 75<br>10, 7 | ditions under<br>Treat, where ch<br>Treatchool age  | 4. Kindergartes<br>Ras first vritil   |
| l '   | ♥♥링릭링콕콕 <sup>‡</sup>  | \$87-5951 Z<br>2 Age<br>110ar<br>10ar<br>10ar<br>10ar<br>10ar<br>10ar<br>10ar<br>10a   | Con   | ma giril  |
| 167<br>Item No.1<br>IIM Card and<br>Column No.              |   | 577-583:<br>584:151-5  | 585153  | 5861154   |

127 pes trem have to do with school attendance, groude retardation and acceleration, adjustment to actual grande or and perfect or any state of any school special marks, and school special or exhibitionly small number or adjusted for when the trem were appropriate precludes meaningful. 2. Printing analysis of data.

|   | 32 33   |  | 438vou   | క భవింగ   | 92840040  |
|---|---|--|--|---|---|
|   | H#  | m=0  | 285201   | 882-8   | 5 E T T R O T   |
|   | 27.3  | 000  | 25840m   | 88847 33  | r-∞00-000   |
|   | \$ 5<br>1.  | 000  | 328404   | 33 ,  | 2504110   |
|   |   |  |  |   | 4 H000  |
|   | జ్ఞ   | ma00   | 428mo4   | 2 F & A   |   |
|   | 9 1-  | 4000   | ~25E400  | 30°E  | 0 3648  |
|   | ងដ  | 0044   | 9240   | was r   | H 4000  |
|   | <b>#</b> "  | 0040   | 25,5040  | 84 K  | 3 NHOO  |
|   | Now well estisfied are you with your endly similaring to completely estisfied 2. Fally well settisfied 3. Townsteed a nanocommittal (Study III) | ceding dies not tinchude "mm- cedittal") in the setting of a  5, not a till mettified  5, not a till mettified  For to till mettified  For to till mettified  For to till till mettified  For to till till light of till  comprese i infelligence with | 1) Much more intelligent than everage 2. Somewhat more than average 4. Somewhat less than average 5. Much less than average 6. 7 | Whatch and day and worst to thought in which do your fronties with 11 Who is use 2. Other than present case 3. No preference 5. As to preference 5. As to preference 5. As to preference 6. As to preference 6. As to preference 6. As to the fall you easily most 5. Cont. | 1, Carry 11 Age or revolve cuits) 2, Songet 1, Stand 1, Stand 1, Frich 6, Frith 7, One of younger twins |
| 3 | 596:167   | 891:168  |  | 598:169   |   |

| Control (1970) (N = 10) (N = 10) (N = 10) (N = 10) (N = 10) (N = 10) (N = 10) (N = 10) (N = 10) (N = 10) | MMUUNENASA<br>MMUUNIAMEOA | 0 1 1 0 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2   | a my o  | 20 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0   | 100 the total actional attendance, greade retardation and acceleration, adjustment to account annual action and action and action and action and action acti |
|--|---------------------------|---|---|--|--|
| Item; or Question and Response<br>Colings; or Computed Values  | Column 180-               | 717-501, (57-59); 171-501, 125-6(522<br>50-171-50, 167-59); 171-501, 125-6(522<br>150-171-50, 150-171-50, 150-171-50, 150-171-50<br>150-171-50, | 589153 Gonditton under which reading was Gonditton under child learned to read 1. Preschool age-es home 6. Kindergarten | 3, First from age, at home L, Kindergarden age, at home L, Kindergarden age, at home to the first writing learned uninjuly 1,000 transfer and to the first age. Printing | to do with achool attendance   |

|   | 3×  | H FO  | #282°  | rv.  | H26.45   | 2240040   |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|---|
|   | ar.   | m# 0  | #85wo  |  | 88248  | . 0554004   |
|   | #"  |   | 70.  |  |  |   |
|   | 32  | 000   | 25440  | m  | 2222   | . rego≠000  |
|   | <sup></sup>   | 000   | 22220  | -  | 86848  | 2224410   |
|   |   |   |  |  |  |   |
|   | ర్లా  | ma00  | 428mg  |  | 3 mg #   | 3 HOOD  |
|   | 9 ~   | 4000  | ~225.0   | o  | 40g #  | O JENO  |
|   |   |   |  |  | was a  | 4 4600  |
|   | ដង  | 0044  | 45244  |  |  | 4 UHOO  |
| İ | 3"  | 4040  | 28201  | •  | 24 %   |   |
|   | Ē   |   |  |  |  | 1g.   |
|   | vell satisfied are you with<br>r child's intelligence?<br>Completely satisfied<br>intelly well satisfied                                      | Ė   | the series   | i. t<br>thich child do you enjoy most!<br>(Study III: Who is your isvorite | <b>±</b>   | de or the child you enjoy most<br>(Sung III Age of hworite child)<br>(Sung III Age of hworite child)<br>2. Toulest<br>2. Toulest<br>4. Third<br>5. Fourth<br>5. Fourth<br>7. The of younger twins |
| l | How well satisfied are you with<br>your child's intelligence?<br>1. Completely astisfied<br>2. Fairly well satisfied<br>Fairly well satisfied | onding does not include conding does not include for very vell satisfied Not at all satisfied ? | do do you think your child<br>compares is sittiligance with<br>the meighborhood childress<br>is when more intestiligant than<br>sverage. Somewhat there than average<br>3. About average is somewhat were go<br>" Somewhat these than average is somewhat less than average<br>5, Nath Jess than average is  | 6. 1<br>Which child do you enjoy most?<br>(Study II: Who is your favori    | child?<br>1. Present case<br>2. Other than present case<br>3. No preference<br>1. ?<br>5. Only child | of the child yes end der II: Age of favori lides Conngest Second Fult Fult Fourth Fifth Dae of yourger twins  |
|   | How well satisfied are yo your child's intelligence i. Completely natiafied 2. Fairly well natiafied  | conding does not incluse condital") 4, Not set all satisfied 5, Not at all satisfied 66, 7      | hink ye<br>intelli<br>rhood cl<br>mintelli<br>more t<br>erage<br>erage<br>i less t   | t do yo  | han pre  | Age o   |
| ١ | Haller<br>Transfer  | coding does<br>committal")<br>Not sery vel<br>Not se all s                                      | do you t<br>hares in<br>neighbon<br>Much nor<br>everage<br>Soverhet<br>About av<br>Somewhet  | ady H  | child?)  2. Other than pr 3. To preference 4. ? 5. Only child  | Study II: Oldest 3. Foungest 4. Third 5. Fourth 6. Firth  |
| I | your o  |   | 1.50 P. 1.50 P | . B. B.  | 2<br>1.2.4.3.4.3.4<br>1.4.2.5.4.2.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4                                      | ようろ 下さる このか   |
|   | ٠.  |   | 99   | 169  |  | 5%:170  |
| 8 | 596:167   |   | 997:168  | 998:169  |  | <b>§</b>  |

| 188                                      |   |                              |        |                                  |          |                   | Study III          | 1                                  | }   |
|--|---|------------------------------|--------|----------------------------------|----------|-------------------|--------------------|------------------------------------|-----|
| Item No. :<br>INv Card and<br>Column No. | Item; or Question and Response<br>Codings; or Computed Values | Control<br>Group<br>(N = 50) | 10 COZ | Experimenta<br>Group<br>(N = 50) | 20 miles | Group<br>(N = 150 | ક <sup>દ</sup> ેઇ, | Experimental<br>Group<br>(N = 150) | 50) |
| -  |   | -                            | Σ      | ۵                                | -        | 1                 | E                  | 1                                  | {   |
|  | 8. Toungest child but a younger                               |                              |        |                                  |          | **                | ٥                  | 0                                  | 0   |
|  |   | u                            | v      | Ξ                                | 77       | 5                 | 15                 | 52                                 | 52  |
|  | 9, only child   | ,                            | ``     | 18                               | ( 2      | 8                 | E.                 | 7.                                 | 12  |
|  | IO. No preference   | 7                            | ; <    | , 0                              | (0       | •                 |                    |                                    |     |
|  | 111. Tvia   | 4                            | •      | ,                                | •        |                   |                    |                                    |     |
| 6001771                                  | Sex of child you enjoy most                                   |                              |        |                                  |          |                   |                    |                                    |     |
|  | (Study II: Sex of favorite child)                             |                              |        | c                                |          | ¥                 | 36                 | ď                                  | ę   |
|  | 1, Male   | ۰ ه                          | ۳,     | ۰,                               | v        | 2 8               | 3 8                | 2 6                                | 25  |
|  | 2. Fenale   | •                            | -      | ٦,                               | ^:       | 2                 | 4                  | Ç.                                 | 1   |
|  | Z. Only child: no preference                                  | g                            | 9      | 77                               | T.       | ő                 | 57                 | 8                                  | 3   |
| 601-1779-22                              | the to that shild the one vol                                 |                              |        |                                  |          |                   |                    |                                    |     |
| 1  | anion most? (Study III Why?) 123                              |                              |        |                                  |          |                   |                    |                                    |     |
|  | 1. Most Take as   | -                            | 0      | 0                                | ٥        | 0                 | o                  | 'n                                 | 0   |
|  | O Cuten yays  |                              |        |                                  |          |                   | m                  | m                                  | ~   |
|  | 2 Tankan to manage  |                              |        |                                  |          | Ç                 | m                  | ٦                                  | 4   |
|  |   |                              |        |                                  |          |                   |                    |                                    |     |
|  | 4, Can do note canngs with tun                                |                              |        |                                  |          | ç                 | •                  | u                                  |     |
|  | (represented)   | ,                            |        | ,                                | -        | , "               |                    | ۰,۰                                | ءِ. |
|  | 2. The youngest, a two  | a                            | 4      |                                  | ,        | næ                | . ~                |                                    | ď   |
|  |   |                              |        |                                  |          | •                 | ,                  |                                    |     |
|  |   |                              |        |                                  |          | •                 | ~                  | ,                                  | •   |
|  |   |                              |        |                                  |          | >                 | *                  | 3                                  | 5   |
|  | 8. Plays with parent more; with                               |                              |        |                                  |          |                   |                    |                                    |     |
|  | parent more   |                              |        |                                  |          | m                 | 0                  | 4                                  | œ   |
|  | 9. Nore pleasant: better temperament                          |                              |        |                                  |          | 0                 | *                  | -1                                 | ٥   |
|  | 10. Because a boy   |                              |        | ę                                |          |                   | ~                  | ٦                                  | М   |
|  | Il Whe altest alder   | -                            |        | c                                | c        | 0                 | c                  | -4                                 | •   |
|  | Carry Cappana out are   | •                            | ,      | •                                | ,        |                   | ,                  | ,                                  | ,   |

12/go both Staffer II and III there were 56 additional reasons reported, such by fewer than there of the informatia represented in pure exclusion of the babby 59 of these reviews were proposed by the restrict and 51 by the experimential, group respirately, down represents in Divid II gows more than one response.

Thich child does

2424044

25222

0528

333 ø

> refreshed in any one column of the tables 67 of these reasons were reported by the control and 45 by the experimental grup respondents. Some respondents in Study II gave more than one response.

| 191        |   |                            |     |                                   | }            |                   | Study II | E                                   | 1   |
|------------|---|----------------------------|-----|-----------------------------------|--------------|-------------------|----------|-------------------------------------|-----|
| Item No.1  | Item; or Question and Response<br>Colings; or Computed Values | Group<br>Group<br>(N = 50) | ો ૦ | Experimental<br>Group<br>(N = 50) | ental<br>So) | Group<br>(N = 150 |          | Experimental<br>Group<br>(ff = 150) | (S) |
| Column No. |   |                            | jz  | ۵.                                | ×            |                   | ×        |                                     | ×   |
|            | 2. Easier to take care of                                     |                            |     |                                   |              | н.                | ٥,       | ar ur                               | 0.4 |
|            | 3. A 81rl   |                            |     |                                   |              | 2 ~               | + 0      | ~                                   | ď   |
|            | 4. The baby; easter to manage                                 |                            |     |                                   |              | 1                 | ,        |                                     |     |
|            | 5. Older; prefert older children;                             |                            |     |                                   |              |                   |          |                                     |     |
|            | ensier to play with; doesn't                                  |                            |     |                                   |              | ,                 | •        | ٠                                   | •   |
|            | get hirt eastly   |                            |     |                                   |              | •                 | >        | ,                                   | 1   |
|            | 6. Parent not at home when other                              |                            |     |                                   |              |                   |          |                                     |     |
|            | child was an infant; has watched                              |                            |     |                                   |              | •                 | ,        | <                                   | •   |
|            | case grow up  |                            |     |                                   |              | ,                 | 2        | ,                                   | 4   |
|            | 7. Older; ean talk and reason                                 |                            |     |                                   |              |                   |          |                                     | -   |
|            |   |                            |     |                                   |              | ٥                 | п.       | 0                                   | #   |
|            | 8. Can do more things together;                               |                            |     |                                   |              |                   |          |                                     | ,   |
|            |   |                            |     |                                   |              | 5                 | 10       | a.                                  | ۰ م |
|            | o. Because the youngest and a boy                             |                            |     |                                   |              | •                 | 0        | 0                                   | ю.  |
|            |   | C)                         | -   | 0                                 | m            | 9                 | cu       | m                                   |     |
|            | 11, Cuter; smallest   |                            |     |                                   |              | O‡                | N.       | m                                   | a.  |
|            | 12. The oldest  | 84                         | ٥   | o                                 | -            | CV.               | -        |                                     | -   |
|            | 13. With that child more                                      |                            |     |                                   |              | -3                | ~        | 0                                   | 0   |
|            | 1.1   | 0                          | 0   | 4                                 | a            | m                 | 0        | 'n                                  | m   |
| 606:179    | Which of the children do you                                  |                            |     |                                   |              |                   |          |                                     |     |
|            | consider the brightest!                                       |                            |     |                                   |              |                   |          |                                     |     |
|            | 1. Present case   | 80                         | œ   | 4                                 | <b>r</b>     | 2                 | 19       | æ,                                  | ຄ   |
|            | 2. Other than present case                                    | ជ                          | 2   | 2                                 | 28           | 23                | 77       | 34                                  | 2   |
|            | 3. No difference  | 23                         | 92  | 7                                 | ជ            | 45                | 7.       | eg<br>eg                            | ç   |
|            | - · ·   | .#                         | m   | 5                                 | m            | 17                | 18       | 75                                  | Z   |
|            | X. No response; only child                                    | 5                          | ٠   | #                                 | Ħ            | 15                | 15       | 25                                  | 53  |
| 607:180    | Which of your children do you con-                            |                            |     |                                   |              |                   |          |                                     |     |
|            | sider slowest (dullest)!                                      |                            |     |                                   |              |                   |          |                                     |     |
|            | 1. Present case   | 0                          | 9   | 16                                | g            | 62                | ಜ        | 70                                  | 8   |
|            | 2. Other then present case                                    | Φ                          |     | 6                                 | ជ            | 32                | 37       | 32                                  | #   |
|            | 3. No difference  | 8                          | 52  | 2                                 | ដ            | eg.               | S.       | g.                                  | 27  |

|         |  | l    |    |      |      |     |     | i    |      |
|---------|--|------|----|------|------|-----|-----|------|------|
|         | L. Ma trafformes: conf. selected       | 0.1  | -  | 4    | 80   | S   | 55  | 2    | 8    |
| 608:16  | Of which child do you demend most?     | ^    | 2  | #    | ដ    | 15  | 1   | 180  | 353  |
|         | I. Present case                        |      |    |      |      | į   | 2   |      |      |
|         | 2. Other than present case             |      |    |      |      | S.  | 91  | 5    | 25   |
|         | 3. No difference                       |      |    |      |      | 88  | 27  | 89   | 5    |
|         | 5. Present case and one other than     |      |    |      |      | •   |     | g n  | 40   |
|         | protent case, equality                 |      |    |      |      |     |     |      |      |
| -       | X. No response; only child             |      |    |      |      | ~   | 0   | 0    | c    |
| 9-12-69 | (Answered by 1nterviouer)              |      |    |      |      | 15  | 23  | 252  | 6    |
|         | Information refused to talk about what |      |    |      |      |     |     |      | ì    |
|         | 1 should not a                         |      |    |      |      |     |     |      |      |
|         | 1. How child's condition and behavior  |      |    |      |      |     |     |      |      |
|         | compared with ideals                   |      |    |      |      |     |     |      |      |
|         | 2. Mont                                |      |    |      |      | cu  | c   |      |      |
|         | 3. Child's speech                      |      |    |      |      | 116 | 140 | 1    | 1    |
|         | 4. Box child's competion and between   |      |    |      |      | ~   | ì   | 7    | 3    |
|         |  |      |    |      |      | •   | 4   | ~    | 0    |
|         | S. Buchand's attended in toeals        |      |    |      |      | •   | ,   |      |      |
|         | 6. Wife's feetings                     |      |    |      |      | •   | •   |      | 0    |
| 910     | (Ansvered by interest com              |      |    |      |      | ,   | 0   | 0    | ~    |
|         | Characteristics of enforment 125       |      |    |      |      | •   | •   | 0    | 0    |
|         | 2. Mich blandag of other report        | ,    | ,  |      |      |     |     |      |      |
|         | Some blanding of                       | ۵,   | ۰. | CI   | •    | c   | •   |      |      |
|         | 3. Seened objective about self.        | ^    | 0  | 0    | · eu | o   | •   |      | 0    |
|         | family; coperative                     |      |    |      |      |     | •   | 9    | ~    |
|         | 4. Cooperatives willing to             |      |    |      |      | =   | 91  | ;    |      |
|         | Andver questions                       | ;    | :  |      |      | ſ   | 2   | #    | =    |
|         | 5. Rationalized own behavior: frame    | 42   | 12 | ş    | 4.7  | 10  | 31  | -    |      |
|         | excuses to justify his behavior        | c    | •  |      |      |     | ?   | 3    | 69   |
|         | 7. Bestrained; reserved                | O (N | ۰- | ou s | ev.  | 0   | 0   |      | _    |
|         | Industrial one conferent               |      | ı  | •    | 4    | 4   | 0   | s (m | * ** |
|         |  |      |    |      |      | ď   |     | . 1  | •    |

127m both Shalles II and III there were of scittional characteristics reported, each by freer than three of the In-the operiorald proup respondents, Some Lithemanis in Son't these characteristics were respond for encourage and the In-the operiorald group respondents, Some sincrements in Son't II were sessioned more than one characteristics.

| Study III | Experimental<br>Group<br>(N = 150)<br>P M  | 100                           |                           |                             | , -               | -                        |    | - |     | 1                 | • | v 1 | ٠.         |               | , د                | 3 4 2        |                                     |   |    | •                                  |   |                            |                                |   |                     |   |   |                        |         |                  |
|-----------|--|-------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|----|---|-----|-------------------|---|-----|------------|---------------|--------------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|---|----|------------------------------------|---|----------------------------|--------------------------------|---|---------------------|---|---|------------------------|---------|------------------|
|           | Control<br>Group<br>(N = 150)  | 45                            | ł                         | ,                           | 24                |                          | 7: |   | 0.5 |                   |   |     | <b>3</b> 1 | 0             | ov;                |              |                                     |   |    |                                    |   |                            |                                |   |                     |   |   |                        |         |                  |
|           | Experimental<br>Group<br>(N = 50)<br>F M   |                               |                           |                             |                   | ,                        |    |   |     |                   |   |     |            | ٦,            | 9                  |              |                                     |   | 72 |                                    | • | c                          |                                |   | • •                 |   | м | -                      |         | 0                |
| 11        | Edg 2  |                               |                           |                             | •                 | ~                        |    |   |     |                   |   |     |            | -             | 2                  |              |                                     | a | Đ  | ď                                  | 2 | •                          | •                              | , | 3"                  | 1 |   | 4                      | 9       | 5                |
|           | Control<br>Group<br>(R = 50)   |                               |                           |                             |                   | e<br>cu                  |    |   |     |                   |   |     |            | o<br>~        | 8                  |              |                                     | O | 0  |                                    | , |                            | •                              | , | 36                  | , | 1 | 9                      | ۲-<br>۱ | 9                |
|           | teem No. 1 Item; or Question and Response 1894 Card and Colings; or Computed Values Column No. | 8. Sincere but not productive | 9. Deliberate, thoughtful | ansvers and had to be asked | for clarification | 11. Friendly; interested |    |   |     | 15. Frank; direct |   |     |            | 18. Defensive | 19, Very talkative | X. No coment | †20. Some blanding of others (other |   |    | \$22. Gave cryptic, brief answers; |   | †23. Attempted to deminate | the contract from the contract |   | Alth qualitications |   |   | 127. Slow in answering |         | 129. Mild manner |
| 303       | 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1  |                               |                           |                             |                   |                          |    |   |     |                   |   |     |            |               |                    |              |                                     |   |    |                                    |   |                            |                                |   |                     |   |   |                        |         |                  |

|            | 130. Very vague answers; much I don't  | ٠   | •   | •    | ,                                       |     |     |     |     |
|------------|--|-----|-----|------|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|
|            | <b>*</b> 00  | ^   | ^   | Na - | -                                       |     |     |     |     |
|            | † 31. A good deal of talking of blame  | 0   | н   | 0    | ~                                       |     |     |     |     |
| 611.711    | (Angwered by interviewer)  |     |     |      |   |     |     |     |     |
|            | Conduct of interviews  |     |     |      |   |     |     |     |     |
|            | 1 617 at one eithing   | ç   | 20  | 7.7  | ======================================= | 148 | 1   | 3   | 23  |
|            | THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN   | ٠,  |     | •    | ::                                      | •   | ,   | •   | ď   |
|            | 2. Two seesions  | ,   | >   | ~    | 3                                       |     | ٠.  | - 1 | 2 1 |
|            | 3. Three sessions  | 0   | 0   | 0    | œ                                       | ۰   | 0   | 0   | 0   |
| 610.00     | Comments for Contract of the C |     |     |      |   |     |     |     |     |
| 2161210    | (VERACLES OF THREE PROPERTY)   |     |     |      |   |     |     |     |     |
|            | Amount of interpruption:   |     |     |      |   |     |     |     | ;   |
|            | 1. No interruptions  | -   | 0   | 8    | 82                                      | 111 | 25  | ä   | 8   |
|            | 9. One or a few minor inter-   |     |     |      |   |     |     |     |     |
|            |  |     | •   |      | 2                                       | ŧ   | :   | •   | 20  |
|            | 12pt as  | ^   | ъ.  | ÷    | 2                                       | 7   | ~   | 4   | 2   |
|            | 1. Moderate amount of inter-   |     |     |      |   |     |     |     |     |
|            |  | 2   | 2   | ,    | ď                                       | •   | £   | v   | c   |
|            |  | 4   | 4   | ,    | •                                       | `   | ń   | ,   | ,   |
|            | 4. Many interruptions severely   |     |     |      |   |     |     |     |     |
|            | interfered with effectiveness  |     |     |      |   |     |     |     |     |
|            |  | •   | ,   | •    | •                                       | •   | •   | •   | <   |
|            | of interdied   | n   | n   | •    | 0                                       | 4   |     | 0   | •   |
| 613:313-14 | Score on love Scale of Attitude  |     |     |      |   |     |     |     |     |
|            | town Stuttering  |     |     |      |   |     |     |     |     |
|            | r. Market  | 9   | -   | -    | -                                       |     | 9   | 2   | 9   |
|            |  |     | 2   | :    |   |     |     |     |     |
|            | o. Hatam   | o.  | ņ   | ÷    | 9                                       | ė   | ÷   | 'n  | 'n  |
|            | c. Yean  | 8.0 | 5.7 | 5.0  | 0.2                                     | 1.9 | 3.9 | 2.9 | 1.9 |
|            | d. Yedsan  |     |     |      |   |     | 0   |     |     |
|            |  |     |     |      |   |     |     |     |     |
|            | e. 90th percentile   |     |     |      |   | ċ   | *   | 5:2 | ŝ   |
|            | ×  | 7   | 5   | 3    | 3                                       | 571 | 110 | 118 | 776 |
| St. 10.    | Interestantia watthe of several to   | ,   |     |      |   |     |     | •   |     |
| ì          | of child's stuttering 126  |     |     |      |   |     |     |     |     |
|            |  |     | :   |      |   |     |     |     | :   |
|            | T. T. HOUSE  |     | 3   |      | _                                       |     | 3   |     | 7   |

experimental group mat these criteria. With two exceptions in which ratings were made by two persons, the interplever and a enerod allunds werter who made a tape recording of the child's specth, such child in Stady III was rated by the interpreted in the mine was made by one or more other claimed worters in addition to the interpreted and the religious made of each child's procedures were severable in this table III fractional values have been rounded to the mercest whole name ber, with any value lying addeny between two whole numbers being converted to the higher one of the two. For example, an arrags rating of 1.5 was entered to the table as 2.

12% See Chapter I for a description of the criteria for selecting the experimental group children, All children in the

| Study 111  Growto (N = 150)  (N = 150)  (N = 150)  (N = 150)  (N = 150)  (N = 150)  (N = 150)  (N = 150)   | 00000   | . 09<br>0. 09<br>5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5   | 23.3.3.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.  |
|--|---|---|--|
| Study 11   Experimental   Study 11   Experimental   Study 11   S | 220000  |   | 22<br>25<br>20<br>20<br>20<br>20<br>20<br>20<br>20<br>20<br>20<br>20<br>20<br>20<br>20   |
| 105 Item fib. Item; or Question and Response Online of Computed Salare Column for  | 2. 2, very mid<br>3. 4, veryes<br>5. 5, veryes<br>5. 5, veryes<br>7. 7, very veryes<br>7. 7, very veryes<br>7. 10 meted | 645 Steen on Humenscript?  Personalty Treatment orpital  Personalty Treatment orpital  647-620,176-420 Steen  647-620,176-420 Steen  647-640 | 623,123 for all the state of family: 1. Upper 2. Upper and all the state of family: 3. Lower-and all the state of the stat |

Type(2): Supposes to those these, concerned with Chibar's occupation, source of income, bouse type, and parents' education, were used to destruct the scores and retings summerted in 623 and 623. Lighes Chapter 7 for presentation of the data obtained from scalaistration of the MOT. 128ges Chapter 8 for presentation of the data obtained from scalysis of the tape-recorded samples of the children's

| 10   10   10   10   10   10   10   10  | 106      |   |         |         |  |             |                   |          |
|--|----------|---|---------|---------|--|-------------|-------------------|----------|
| L. directly repaid the property   L. directly   L. direc | 624:J24  | How stable has your employment been? 1. Rerely work 2. Work when can find Job, but 1. Wregularly 3. Unailly exployed but change Jobs  |         |         |  |             | × 0 6             |          |
| 1. The part of t | 6251,725 | 4. Steadily employed; infrequently<br>charge jobs<br>How well-to-do do you consider your-   |         |         | 131  |             | នី                |          |
|  |          | 1. Totally dependent 1. Totally dependent 2. Partially dependent 3. Meagerly independent 4. Adequately independent 6. Adequately independent 6. 7   |         | 0088200 | 0 46554 0  | 0 2 2 3 4 E | 0438-0            | 00 NE 44 |
| 1. Very Secure   | 626.326  | Elor regular is your faccost 1. Steady 2. Irregular 3. Non-serpones; uncertain included if you con- sider you job to be fully life if you receive do you con- sider you job to be will the if you receive do you con- server do you consider your habmant's   |         | 2000    | 61100  | 850 t 0     | 139<br>100<br>100 | 139      |
|  | 628,128  | 1. Very secure 2. Outs secure 3. New Transcure 4. Very Insecure 6. Signification 7. Backer and the secure does your 1. Backer out to secure does your 1. Control of the secure does your 1. Outs secure 2. Outse secure 3. Balber insecure 3. Balber insecure | Bure Bu |         | 84<br>64<br>64<br>64<br>64<br>64<br>64<br>64<br>64<br>64<br>64<br>64<br>64<br>64 |             | 취보소 아니니 설 없 수     | 8764004  |

| tal          | al=   |            |                  |                       | 98   | 9,4                        | 12                                 | m              | N 0  |   |               |                                  |                            | n                                 | a                              | 3                                    | 100    | 2         | 9                        | -                       | ri O                              |                                   |
|--------------|---|------------|------------------|-----------------------|--|----------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------|--|---|---------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------|-----------|--------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
|              | 150   | 61         |                  |                       | 100  | ខ្លា                       | -04                                | -              | 00   |   |               |                                  |                            | ev                                | 1                              | N                                    | 10     | 12        | #                        | 0                       | 40                                |                                   |
| a Annua      |   |            |                  |                       | 8  | 3                          | ٥,                                 | -              | 5.   |   |               |                                  |                            | 0                                 |                                | 33                                   | 7.     | 96        | -                        | -                       | C2 -                              | ١.                                |
| Control      | (N = 150)   | ٥,         | ۵ ط              |                       | 8  | 33                         | mv                                 | ۰,             | mr   | 4 |               |                                  |                            | 6                                 |                                | 63                                   | 53     | 5         | 2-4                      | .#                      | 0,                                | 1                                 |
| Įs.          | 2 ×   |            |                  |                       | 5  |                            | · en-                              |                | u m  |   |               |                                  |                            |                                   |                                |                                      |        |           |                          |                         |                                   |                                   |
| Rynerinental | Group<br>(H SO)   | ,          | mo               |                       |  | 7.4                        | 1                                  | æ              | 00   |   |               |                                  |                            |                                   |                                |                                      |        |           |                          |                         |                                   |                                   |
| Study        |   |            |                  |                       |  |                            | ວຸດ                                |                | 0.0  |   |               |                                  |                            |                                   |                                |                                      |        |           |                          |                         |                                   |                                   |
| 11           | Group<br>(N = 50)   | - N        | o.+ 0            | Þ                     |  | 30                         |                                    | 1 (4           | 00   |   |               |                                  |                            |                                   |                                |                                      |        |           |                          |                         |                                   |                                   |
|              | Item; or Question and Response<br>Codings; or Corputed Values |            | b, Very insocure | 6. Bushand unemployed | your present employment Wire:<br>How well do you like your hus-  | band's present employment? | 1. Very mich<br>2. Moderately well | 3. Indifferent | i, Moderately dislike<br>s. Strongly dislike |   | 7. Unemployed | your present employment uses and | demonstrates your dollars. | your husband's present employment | uses and demonstrates its con- | 2. Challenging, demands all my (his) | povers | abilities | L. Rather easy, not very | 5. Par below capacities | 7. Demonstrates abilities but not | suited to interests 8, Unemployed |
| 101          | Item Ho.1   | Column No. |                  |                       | Contra Co |                            |                                    |                |  |   |               | 630130                           |                            |                                   |                                |                                      |        |           |                          |                         |                                   |                                   |

| 251170  | Now well does your wife think your     |   |   |     |     |     |     |
|---------|--|---|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|
|         | present employment uses and uses.      |   |   | •   |     | -   |     |
|         | 1. Beyond my capacities                |   |   | 5   |     | 27  |     |
|         | 2. Challenging, demands all my powers  |   |   | S   |     | 101 |     |
|         | 3. Average, well suffed to admitted    |   |   | t   |     | #   |     |
|         | 4, Pather easy, not very challenging   |   |   | ļ   |     | r,  |     |
|         | 5. Far below capabilities              |   |   | ۵,  |     | 27  |     |
|         | £**9                                   |   |   | ; ° |     | ٥   |     |
|         | 7. Unemployed                          |   |   | u   |     | ,   |     |
| Steeres | How often have you changed your        |   |   |     |     |     |     |
|         | residence from one community to        |   |   |     |     |     |     |
|         | another on the average since marriage? |   |   |     |     | ,   | -   |
|         | 1. Twice a year or more often          |   |   | * ; | * : | о-  | 7 1 |
|         | 2, Chie every year                     |   |   | 7   | 21  | 7 ; | 'n  |
|         |  |   |   | Ħ.  | N.  | A   | 9   |
|         | 4. Every three years                   |   |   | 8   | Ħ   | ð,  | 2   |
|         | S. Every four vears                    |   |   | ģ   | r   | o   | 'n  |
|         | 6. Every five years                    |   |   | 59  | S   | Š   | 3   |
|         | 7. French ne sight vehre               |   |   | -af | و   | çu  | e   |
|         | 8. In case locale for ten vegre or     |   |   |     |     |     |     |
|         |  |   |   | 6   | 9   | 9   | 7   |
|         | G. Heyes chapped                       |   |   | 2   | S   | 8   | X   |
| £11.113 | For what resume have was changed       |   |   |     |     | 1   |     |
|         | wear commuter of residence since       |   |   |     |     |     |     |
|         | mrrtage 1230                           |   |   |     |     |     |     |
|         | 1. Chance to better business           |   |   |     |     |     |     |
|         | opportunities                          |   | ۰ | 2   | 40  | •   | æ   |
|         | 2. To take new Job                     | • | 6 | 2   | ×   | 52  | 53  |
|         | 3. House too noull; moved to larger    |   |   |     |     |     |     |
|         | quarters.                              |   |   | 13  | Ħ   | 8   | 33  |
|         |  |   |   | 9   | -   | cu  |     |
|         | 5. Rusband in service, transferred;    |   |   |     |     |     |     |
|         | metand transferred (not in service)    |   |   | cı  | -3  | =   | ä   |

130pc represents

| enter        | . (§ E  | 92          | ဂ္ဂဝ  | 00                          |                                 |   |  |                    | o z              | 25                                  |   |            | ^  | 2  | 101   | 1,9   |
|--------------|---|-------------|---|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|---|--|--------------------|------------------|-------------------------------------|---|------------|--|--|---|---|
| Experimental | (N - 150)   | 71.25       | 6,0   | 00                          |                                 |   |  |                    | °:               | 118                                 |   | 0          | •  | 2  | 101   | 4 5   |
| Study 11     | , (Q) *   | 114         | 25.2  | νū                          |                                 |   |  |                    | 00               | 127                                 |   | ч          | •  | ive  | 116   | r4  |
| On tony      | (N = 150)   | នេះ         | 227   | 44                          | ,                               |   |  |                    | 0.5              | 625                                 | •   | ٦.         |  |  | 112   | 15  |
| ١.           | . 1   |             |   |                             | s                               | 0.4   |  |                    |                  |                                     |   | 00         |  |  |   |   |
|              | Group<br>(N = 50)   |             |   |                             | •                               | 0.2   | _  |                    |                  |                                     | •   |            |  |  |   |   |
| Study II     | \$ 7  |             |   |                             |                                 |   |  |                    |                  |                                     |   |            |  |  |   |   |
| 10           | Control<br>Group<br>(N = 50)                                  | ٤           |   |                             | 9                               | m-  | :  | CT.                | ٥٠               | o <b>-&gt;</b> ţ                    | š '   |            |  |  |   |   |
|              | Contro<br>Group<br>(N = %                                     | 1           |   |                             |                                 |   |  |                    | 0.               | a w                                 | 3   | ~0         |  |  |   |   |
|              | 34  |             | ons<br>ons  | on a constant               | house                           | teular  | th and   | lities             |                  |                                     | brond.  |            | Mor proud is your wife (busband)<br>of your abilities and accomplishments? |  | r proud   | *ccop*  |
| 1            | Item) or Cuestion and Response<br>Codings; or Computed Values |             | Health reasons; to take new Job<br>To improve living conditions | Informant (husband) changed | re take new job; bought a house | to take advantage of particular<br>ducational advantage | Military service<br>Opportunity to buy, rent, find | permanent quarters |                  |                                     | "Normal" reaction; rather proud<br>Very proud; exaggerates accom- |            | Her proud is your wife (busband) of your abilities and accomplish          |  | Moreommittal<br>"Normal" reaction; rather proud | <ol> <li>Yery proud; exaggerates accom-<br/>plishments</li> <li>\$</li> </ol> |
|              | estion a<br>r Comput  |             | agonal t  | (husban                     | To take new Job; bought         | take advantage of                                       | mervice<br>ty to bu                                | permanent quarters | Accomplishments? | Rather depreciative<br>Noncommittal | reaction<br>d, exagg  | _          | your wit   | 1. Very depreciative<br>2. Rather depreciative | renction  | igos de se  |
| }            | dings; o  |             | enlth re<br>o improv  | o take n                    | o take                          | o take a  | Military service<br>Spportunity to b               | ermannt            | Accomplishments? | Rather depre                        | Normal"   | plishments | ar abili   | ather de                                       | Horomittal                                      | Very proud<br>plinhments<br>f   |
|              |   |             | 0 <b>-</b> -6   |                             | 3                               |   | 115.   |                    |                  | 6,4                                 | د<br>در در  |            | 000  | -6   | # <del>.</del>                                  | ÷ .   |
| 82           | Item Ho.1   | Cornual III |   |                             |                                 |   |  | det. des           |                  |                                     |   |            | 6351435  |  |   |   |

|  | 8 S       | 45.7     | } 6       | 2                  |   |                          | ra ;     | 2               | 5.5          | 3           | 0           |                        | ξ.       | 5.        | £                    |                                | c     |                                | -         |                              | 0           |                | e :        | 9;         | , u       | ٠,                 | 150 |
|--|-----------|----------|-----------|--------------------|---|--------------------------|----------|-----------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|------------------------|----------|-----------|----------------------|--------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------|-----------|------------------------------|-------------|----------------|------------|------------|-----------|--------------------|-----|
|  | 81 ET     | 20,5     | 10        | 9                  |   |                          | ru ;     | 21              | 9:           | ğ           | 6           |                        |          |           |                      |                                |       |                                |           |                              |             |                |            |            |           |                    |     |
|  | 28        | 6.0      | 7 (       | 3.8                | ī                                       |                          | m        | 19              | 8            | 56          | m           | :                      | 8        | Ç,        | %                    |                                | •     |                                | -1        |                              | н           |                | m          | ť,         | ž         | ۰,                 | 150 |
|  | 43        | eg<br>eg | 93        | 8 5                |   |                          | m        | 1               | Ģ.           | Ħ           | σ.          |                        |          |           |                      |                                |       |                                |           |                              |             |                |            |            |           |                    |     |
|  |           |          |           |                    |   |                          |          |                 |              |             |             |                        | 5,       | 15        | œ                    |                                | ٣     |                                |           |                              |             |                | m į        | Ŧ,         |           |                    | Ş.  |
|  |           |          |           |                    |   |                          |          |                 |              |             |             |                        |          |           |                      |                                |       |                                |           |                              |             |                |            |            |           |                    |     |
|  |           |          |           |                    |   |                          |          |                 |              |             |             |                        | 67       | 23        | ជ                    |                                | -1    |                                |           |                              |             |                | ۰.         | 1,         | 0.0       |                    | 2   |
|  |           |          |           |                    |   |                          |          |                 |              |             |             |                        |          |           |                      |                                |       |                                |           |                              |             |                |            |            |           |                    |     |
| score<br>har-  | :         |          |           |                    | a tuent                                 |                          |          |                 |              |             |             |                        |          |           |                      | lative                         |       | lative                         |           | rlend                        |             |                |            |            |           |                    |     |
| 's total<br>  Status C   |           |          |           | _                  | of the                                  | (11m)                    |          |                 |              |             |             | rented?                |          |           | buying               | wned by re                     |       | waed by n                      |           | wned by f                    | 2           | į              |            |            |           | 16                 |     |
| 131<br>Informant's father's total score<br>on Wanner Index of Status Char- |           |          | 4         | e. 90th percentile | I. B<br>Speinless rating of informant's | father (at present time) | ,        | -middle         | Lover-middle | Upper-lover | Lower-lower | home carned or rented? | -        | P         | In process of buying | Live in home owned by relative | rent) | live in home owned by relative | pay rent) | Live in home owned by friend | (no rent)   | or rooms       | inum.      | una.       |           | e. 90th percentile |     |
| Informan<br>on Warne   | a. Mainin | c. Metn  | d. Median | • 90th             | gueral                                  | tather (                 | 1. Upper | 2. Upper-middle | 3. Lower     | 4. Upper    | 5. Lower    | Is home                | 1. Owned | 2. Rented |                      |                                |       | 5. Live                        |           | 9. ITA                       | Q.          | Tagmin         | a. Minimum | D. MAXIMUM | d. Median | 9                  | E.  |
| 636-640:35-340 <sup>131</sup><br>641:342-42 Info<br>on N                   |           |          |           |                    | Chartha                                 | ,                        |          |                 |              |             |             | 643:344                |          |           |                      |                                |       |                                |           |                              | A selection | On the Part of |            |            |           |                    |     |
| 22   |           |          |           |                    | Š                                       | 5                        |          |                 |              |             |             | ď                      |          |           |                      |                                |       |                                |           |                              | ,           | ò              |            |            |           |                    |     |

Mappener to these three, excerned with the informati's father's occupitor, source of incom, house type, and chieffing week in certific the scores and religing ammerized in Oil and Dig. Ammerized to the control of the type, and the type, and the type of the t

| 106                                     |  |              |                                   | 2   | Study 111                                 | ĺ                    |
|---|--|--------------|-----------------------------------|---|---|----------------------|
| Item No.1<br>IBM Card and<br>Column No. | Item; or Question and Response<br>Codings; or Computed Values  | Gootrel E    | Experimental<br>Group<br>(N = 50) | Control<br>Group<br>(R = 150)   | Experimental<br>Group<br>(N = 150)<br>F M | mental<br>up<br>150) |
| 6451347+48                              | Marber Maja in home<br>a, Miniman<br>b, Marian<br>b, Marian<br>d, Median   | mosi<br>mosi | wa in                             | นอลัก   |   | 00 m.44              |
| 646:349-50                              | e, 90th percentile f, N Ratio of overcrowdedness (unaber of rooms divided by number of rooms divided in  | g.           | 8                                 | 150   |   | 150                  |
| į                                       | hornes )  a. Kitatan b. kestran d. kestran d. kestran e. 90h percentile  | Ann N        | . 8.4.<br>. 8.8.                  |   |   | 500000               |
| 6481351                                 | What begatines do you and the chair where you would the readily you will be the chair of the charter of the charter of the chair of the charter of the chart | 311£°        | a లచచిలి∻                         | 28655<br>2865<br>237<br>247<br>247<br>247<br>247<br>247<br>247<br>247<br>247<br>247<br>24 | \$5 <b>2</b> ~0.                          | 3 E2 000             |
| 6491352                                 | o, some<br>Does the child have adequate play<br>space inside the house?<br>1. Yes  |              |                                   | 128 123   | , 22                                      | े ह                  |

133See 648 for numbery of data.

| 3       |                                     |     |            |             |            | ;    | ,   | ;   | 5   |
|---------|-------------------------------------|-----|------------|-------------|------------|------|-----|-----|-----|
|         | 2. No                               |     |            |             |            | 81 9 | 8.  | 8 0 | 900 |
|         |                                     |     |            |             |            | ,    |     |     | ,   |
| 6502353 | Does the child have adequate play   |     |            |             |            |      |     |     |     |
|         | space outside the house?            |     |            |             |            | :    | *** | 30. | :   |
|         | 1. Yes                              |     |            |             |            | 7    | ş,  | 2,5 | Ž:  |
|         | 2. No                               |     |            |             |            | σ.   |     | η,  | 7   |
|         | 3                                   |     |            |             |            | 7    | 0   | 4   | >   |
| 651*    | To what community organizations do  |     |            |             |            |      |     |     |     |
|         | von belong134                       |     |            |             |            |      |     |     |     |
| 650.154 | Total number of organizations to    |     |            |             |            |      |     |     |     |
| 1       | of the new hallower                 |     |            |             |            |      |     |     |     |
|         | AUTON NOT DESCRIBE                  | •   | ,          | ,           |            | •    | •   | •   | •   |
|         | S. Minimin                          | ,   | ٥,         | <b>&gt;</b> |            | •    | ,   | •   | •   |
|         | b. Maximum                          | 13  | <b>x</b> 0 | -           | σ.         | -    | ~   | -   | ٥.  |
|         | c. Nean                             | 8.5 | 0.0        | ç           | 6.5        | 9    | 5:1 | -   | 7.6 |
|         | Madian                              |     |            |             |            | -    | ~   | -   | -   |
|         |                                     |     |            |             |            | -    | 1   | _   | •   |
|         | e. 90th percentile                  |     |            |             |            | •    | •   | ,   | 1   |
|         |                                     | 67  | S          | S           | S          | 150  | 150 | 120 | 55  |
| 653+755 | Total makes of owentestine in       |     |            |             |            |      |     |     |     |
| Contro  | total things to discount total      |     |            |             |            |      |     |     |     |
|         | AUTON YOU DANK DEAD OF LEGEL        |     |            |             |            |      |     |     | ,   |
|         | a. Minimum                          | 0   | 0          | 0           | 0          | 0    | 0   | 0   | 0   |
|         | b. Paximum                          | ٠   | ı          | 4           | 9          |      | æ   | a   | 6   |
|         | c. Yean                             | 9.0 | 2.0        | 0.7         | ,,         | 0.5  | 5.7 | 0.5 | ó   |
|         | d. Madian                           |     |            |             |            |      |     |     | c   |
|         | OOST TOTAL                          |     |            |             |            | •    | •   | •   |     |
|         | a som belommer                      | 9   | 5          | 5           | 5          |      | ,   | 15  | 1 5 |
|         |                                     | Ţ   | ž          | ž           | 2          | 120  | 120 | 2   | 120 |
| 024-00  | Now frequently do you take part in  |     |            |             |            |      |     |     |     |
|         | the following sparetime activities? |     |            |             |            |      |     |     |     |
| 654:356 | Movtes                              |     |            |             |            |      |     |     |     |
|         | 1. Deily                            | ٥   | c          | o           | c          | c    | c   | c   | -   |
|         | 2. Saveral times a unch             | -   |            |             |            | •    |     | ,   |     |
|         | 2. Once a week                      | 100 | , 5        | 7           |            | •    | 11  | 2   | ٦-  |
|         |                                     | ٠.  | ?'         | 1           | -          | -    | ,   | ٠.  | 2   |
|         | 4. Two or turee times a month       | đ   | r          | 9           | •          | 5    | 97  | đ   | ŝ   |
|         | 2. Once a month                     | #   | 6          | 9           | <b>x</b> 0 | %    | 16  | ż   | 8   |
|         | 5. Every two or three nouths        | 15  | 9          | ~           | æ          | ä    | 36  | ť   | 52  |
|         | 7. Once in gix months               | 60  | ~          | 10          | 9          | Ť.   | Ş   | 200 | ٦,  |
| į       |                                     |     |            |             |            | 1    | į   | i   | 4   |

134 See 652 for summary of data.

| 503                                     |  | Apines                  | 11.0                              | Study  | 2                                  | 1 1               |
|---|--|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|-------------------|
| Item No. 1<br>If Card and<br>Column No. | Item; or Cuestion and Response<br>Codings; or Computed Values  | Graps<br>(R = 50)       | Experimental<br>Group<br>(N = 50) | Group<br>Group<br>(H = 150)  | Experimental<br>Group<br>(N = 150) | ੜ <b>।</b> 1      |
|   | 8, Once a year or less   | 8 8 8                   | 9 cv                              | 20 26  | 19.03                              | <b>&amp;8</b>     |
| 655,J57                                 | A nave<br>1. Once a week<br>2. Two or three times a month<br>3. Once a month   | 0 mms                   | ***                               | 2, 50 6 L  | 9525                               | 01-7 H D          |
|   | 4, Every two Dr. Caree Controls<br>5, Once in six months<br>6, Chee a year or less   | 93<br>123               | 23.95                             | 253<br>253<br>253<br>253<br>253<br>253<br>253<br>253<br>253<br>253 |                                    | ಜಿಜಿಜಿ            |
| 656:358                                 | Paying cards 1. Pally 2. Beneal tites a week 3. Deer where 4. To or three times a month 5. Once a month 7. Once is month 7. Once in a month 7. Once in 11x months 7. Once in 11x months 7. Once in 11x months 7. Once in 11x months  | 0 4 02 4 WWW.           | ลชมือพพพท<br>อชะเห็ตพพท           | 0 4 8 4 8 4 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6                          | 311238444                          | 8185833°°°        |
| 6211289                                 | 9, Western 1. Daily 2. Description 3. Doily 3. Once a week 5. Once a week 5. Once a week 6. Once a menth 6. Once a menth 7. Once a menth 7. Once a menth 7. Once a menth 8. Once a menth 9. On | or u⇒aouaoa<br>aramamam |                                   |  |                                    | 2 0 N + M M D O M |
| 658*                                    | 9. Never<br>Sports participation 135   |                         | "                                 | 121 109  | E57                                | æ 1               |
|   |  |                         |                                   |  |                                    |                   |

135bata on file in University of Ious Speech Clinic.

|                 | •  |          |     |      |            |     |     |          |      |
|-----------------|--|----------|-----|------|------------|-----|-----|----------|------|
| 659*<br>6601J62 | Sports enjoyment.30 Travel (vacation trips; family pleas- nrs erics, Andlessus short great |          |     |      |            |     |     |          |      |
|                 | 1. Daily   | ٥        | ٥   | c    | c          | c   | -   | •        | •    |
|                 | 2. Once a veek   | •        | -   | ď    | ۰          |     |     |          | •    |
|                 | 3. Two or three times a month  | -        | 4   | ٥    |            | -   | •   |          | ·c   |
|                 | 4. Once a south  | -        | 7   | н    |            |     |     | ١,-      | • •  |
|                 | 5. Every two or three months   | 2        | •   |      | 0          | 7   | 2   | ۱=       | ų «C |
|                 | 6. Once in six months  | 20       | 52  | 0    | 9          | 30  | 8   | ة        | ,    |
|                 | 7. Once a year or less   | ĸ        | 8   | ő    | 2          | ìđ  | 8   | 12       | ď    |
|                 | 8. Never   | -        |     | ļ    | , •        | ; ; | 170 | 8        | 3 5  |
| 6611363         | Parties  |          |     |      | •          | 7   |     | 3        | ĭ    |
|                 | 1. Several times a veck  | ٥        | -   | c    |            |     |     | •        |      |
|                 | 2. Once a veek   |          | -4  | v    | 24         | • • | • • | > 0      | ,    |
|                 | 3. Two or three times a month  | ٥        | . 5 | ••   | ٠,         | 3 8 | 7 6 | 0 ;      | 5    |
|                 | 4. Once a mouth  |          | 70  | - =  | 3-         |     | 0:  | 2 2      | N.   |
|                 | 5. Every two or three months   | <u> </u> | ٠Ã  | 40   | ٠;         | 2 5 | Ç.  | 2        | Ε,   |
|                 | 6. Once in six nonths  | 24       | 3"  | ۰;   | 3 5        | P)  | 2:  | 8        | 2    |
|                 | 7. Once a year or less   | ه د      |     | 1.   | <b>a</b> , | -   | 2   | ę,       | 8    |
|                 | B. Never   | 4 ^      | 2   | -1 6 | ~          | # 1 | -   | <b>*</b> | #    |
| 6621364         | Interviewer's evaluation of informa-   | •        | ,   | n    | N          | ~   | ٥   | 2        | Ф    |
|                 | dat's social interests.  |          |     |      |            |     |     |          |      |
|                 | 1. Very few or none: years very  |          |     |      |            |     |     |          |      |
|                 | Dever attends functions cutaids  |          |     |      |            |     |     |          |      |
|                 | home   | •        | 2   |      | ,          | 1   | :   |          |      |
|                 | 2. Chiefly superficial: con-   | •        | 2   | ,    | •          | =   | 67  | ¥        | æ    |
|                 | mercial recreation but few other   |          |     |      |            |     |     |          |      |
|                 | Interests  | ٠        |     | •    | ,          | ;   | ,   |          |      |
|                 | 3. Limited to one or two tymes   | ŭ        | ٠,  | 4 8  | 7          | 3   | ٥,  | #        | 2    |
|                 | 4. Varied; includes at least three   | 2 5      | 2 : | 3 8  | 2 2        | 8   | 3   | 1        | ٤    |
| 663:365         | In comparison with others, her many  | 1        | 1   | 23   | Ž,         | 3   | 37  | 2        | 2    |
|                 | friends do you have?   |          |     |      |            |     |     |          |      |
|                 | L. Many more than everage  | -        | -   | ,    |            | ,   |     |          |      |
|                 | 2. Somewhat more than everage  |          | 4.2 | N-4  | 4 4        | 0.5 | o ; | #        | 8    |
|                 | 3. About average   | ĸ        | 2   | ģ    | ٠,٥        | 25  | 35  | ខន       | 2 1  |
|                 |  |          |     | ,    | ,          | 3   | 3   | 2        | 5    |

136 parts on file in University of Ious Speech Clinic.

| 502        |  | 1        | The state of |              |       |          | Study  | 111   |            |
|------------|--|----------|--------------|--------------|-------|----------|--------|-------|------------|
| Item No.1  | Item! or Question and Response           | Control  | ١.           | Experimental | ontai | Group    | 7 a    | Group | ontal      |
| Column No. | Codings of Computed values               | <b>.</b> | (S)          | <u>.</u>     |       | (N = 150 | ,<br>2 |       | 計          |
|            | I. comments forces than second           | ، }      |              | ~            | ٥     | 13       | ង      | 202   | 77.        |
|            | 5. Mary fever than average               | H        | -            |              | C)    | ~        | m      | ,     | 4          |
| 6641366    | How important are your friendships       |          |              |              |       |          |        |       |            |
|            | 1. Very important; essential; could      |          |              |              | :     | 1        | 3      | í     | ŧ          |
|            | not do vithout                           | a v      | <b>#</b> :   | ٣v           | a a   | 2.0      | į      | - 42  | <u>-</u> ₽ |
|            | 2. Rather Important                      | 9        | 3,           | <b>.</b>     | ٥.    | 34       |        | 24    | 20         |
|            | 3. Not very important                    | 0 0      | ^-           | ••           | + 4   | 7        | o      |       | 0          |
|            | 4. Not at all important                  | N C      |              | u C          | , c   | 0        | a      | ,0    | -          |
|            |  | ,        |              | ¢            |       | 0        | 0      | н     | 0          |
|            | 6. Indifferent                           | •        | ,            | ,            | ,     |          |        |       |            |
| 6651357    | How important are friendships to         |          |              |              |       |          |        |       |            |
|            | your wife (husband/)                     |          |              |              |       |          |        |       |            |
|            | 1. Very important; essential; could      | i        | į            | 2            | 8     | ţ        | ŧ      | æ     | ť          |
|            | not do without                           | 2        | Q.           | g -          | £.    | 21       | -1     | 5 5   | 12         |
|            | 2. Rather Important                      | #        | ď,           | • -          | 7'    | χ:       | ;      | ₹     | - 6        |
|            | 3, Not very important                    | 'n.      | ь,           | ŧ,           | N I   | 3 6      | 2 0    | ţ.    | 3.         |
|            | 4. Not at all important                  | -        | cu ·         | N '          | ır.   | ۵.       | ×      | ٠,    | ٠,         |
|            | 5.1                                      | -        | н.           | 0            | н.    | 9 (      | ۰.     |       | 0 0        |
|            | 6. Indifferent                           | 0        | 0            | 0            | 0     | ٥        | -      | ,     | N          |
| 6661368    | In comparison with others, how           |          |              |              |       |          |        |       |            |
|            | careful are you of your general          |          |              |              |       |          |        |       |            |
|            | appearance in sociel situations?         |          | ,            | ,            |       | 4        | ,      | ,     | 4          |
|            | 1. Much more than everage                | ^        | N            | ~            | >     | *        | • ;    | 2     | •          |
|            | 2. Somewhat more than average            | 17       | 4            | 15           | 23    | 2        | 2      | #     | 7          |
|            | 3. About Byernge                         | 4        | ይ            | 27           | ×     | 23       | 6      | 8     | 3          |
|            | 4. Somewhat less than average            | 4        | eu           | •            | 4     | 15       | 9      | ~     | •          |
|            | 5, Much less than everage                | 0        | o            | 0            | ٥     |          | -      | 0     | 0          |
| 6671369    |  |          |              |              |       |          |        |       |            |
|            | ful is your wife (husband) of her (his)  |          |              |              |       |          |        |       |            |
|            | general appearance in social situations? |          |              |              |       |          |        |       |            |
|            | 1. Meh more than average                 | æ        | -1           | 9            | -7    | 15       | ٥      | Ф     | -          |

| 2       |  | l  |    |          |     |     |     |     | ĺ   |
|---------|--|----|----|----------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
|         | and the same of th | 1  | 2  | 8        | ž   | S   | 3,8 | ç   | ş   |
|         | 2. SOMEVIEL HOLE CINE BYCYCE   | 7  | :: | : ;      | 1   | 8   | 3   | 100 | ; 8 |
|         | 3. About sversge   | 2  | ×  | 7.       | 3   | 8   | \$  | В   | ķ   |
|         | 4. Somewhat less than average  | ÷  | Q  | -        | 9   | cu  | 20  | m   | ъ   |
|         | 5. Much less than everage  | ٥  | 0  | 0        | -   | 0   | ٥   | 0   | a   |
|         |  | ۰  | ۰  | 0        | 0   | -   | 7   | 0   | 0   |
| 668:370 | How much do you care about the   |    |    |          |     |     |     |     |     |
|         | immension you make on others?  |    |    |          |     |     |     |     |     |
|         | 1. Very sensitive  | 97 | r  | 7        | 12  | 21  | 19  | 22  | 30  |
|         | 9. Orith amonthius   | 2  | 4  | -        | 7   | ď   | 1   | 4   | 9   |
|         | 2 Not warm appealation   | ď  | := | ?=       | 1   | , ç | 11  | 32  | ď   |
|         |  | α  | 1: | ۱۹       | ; • | 2   |     | , , | 3"  |
|         | TO THE PRINTING  |    | 7  | ,        |     | 7   |     | 7:  | ٠.  |
|         | 5. Indifferent; noncommittal   | m  | n, | n,       | 0   | 4   | -   | #   | ~   |
|         | 6. Sensitive, if care for person   | a  | 0  | Сŧ       | 0   | _   | ~   | .#  | 'n  |
|         |  | o  | 0  | 0        | 0   | 0   | 0   | -   | N   |
| 669:77  | How easily do you adjust to new  |    |    |          |     |     |     |     |     |
|         | situations and new friends?  |    |    |          |     |     |     |     |     |
|         | 1. Shans all new contacts and  |    |    |          |     |     |     |     |     |
|         | experiences  | c  | c  | c        | c   | -   |     | •   | -   |
|         | 2. Very chor applies manting new   | ,  |    | ,        |     | •   | ,   | ,   | •   |
|         |  | ,  | ,  | ,        |     |     |     |     |     |
|         |  | n  | 0  | ,        |     | e   | C.  | 4   | 0   |
|         | 3. Finds it difficult to meet new  |    |    |          |     |     |     |     |     |
|         |  | ជ  | 8  | 60       | 80  | 11  | 21  | 2   | ×   |
|         | 4. Usually makes satisfactory  |    |    |          |     |     |     | ,   |     |
|         | adjustment to new situations   |    |    |          |     |     |     |     |     |
|         | and people   | 8  | 20 | 17       | 8   | 118 | 161 | ď   | 3   |
|         | 5. Unusual ability to adjust;  |    |    |          |     |     |     | t   | i   |
|         | umBual poise; positive reaction  | #  | 10 | 9        | 18  | 2   | 9   | 8   | 2   |
|         | · •  | ٥  | ٦  | 0        | ۰   | o   | o   | , 0 | ,   |
| 6701372 | How enaily does your wife (husband)  |    |    |          |     |     |     |     |     |
|         | adjust to new situations and new   |    |    |          |     |     |     |     |     |
|         | friends?   |    |    |          |     |     |     |     |     |
|         | <ol> <li>Shins all new contacts and</li> </ol>   |    |    |          |     |     |     |     |     |
|         | experiences  | c  | c  | c        |     |     |     | •   | •   |
|         | 2. Very shy; avoids meeting new  |    |    |          |     | 1   |     | >   | •   |
|         | attuations if possible   | 0  | 0  | •        |     | •   | d   | v   | ¥   |
|         | 3. Finds it difficult to meet new  |    |    |          |     |     | ,   | •   | •   |
|         | eltuations   | 9  | φ  | <b>ب</b> | .#  | 19  | 15  | 8   | 2   |
|         |  |    |    |          |     |     |     | :   | ł   |

| ша                                      |   | 243  | 11 Springs                        |                   | Study III    | III                                | 1                    |
|---|---|--|-----------------------------------|-------------------|--------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|
| Item No.1<br>IIM Card and<br>Column No. | item; or Question and Response<br>Codings; or Computed Values   | Control<br>Group<br>(N = 50)                 | Experimental<br>Grasp<br>(N = 50) | Group<br>(N = 150 | 1. 214       | Experimental<br>Group<br>(N = 150) | 6nta]                |
|   | 4. Usually makes satisfactory adjusters to new situations   | 63 63  | 23 17                             | ħπ                | 21           | 8                                  | 83                   |
|   | 5. Unisual ability to adjust;<br>unusual poise; positive reaction   | 11 °   | 18 27                             | 71                | 77           | щ°                                 | . B                  |
| 671:373                                 | Nove you previously married?  1. Yes  2. No.  | 8 8 3 54 54 54 54 54 54 54 54 54 54 54 54 54 | t 13                              | 1,16              | 717          | 144                                | 1,6                  |
| į                                       | 3. Yes, then resarried to same<br>person  |  |                                   | 0                 | ø            | 0                                  | 0                    |
| 6721074                                 | not wan previous services.  1. Peath 2. Divorce 13. Armilied  | 044  | нто                               | 0.4               | мм           | 010                                | ط۳                   |
| 674:375<br>674:375                      | Aumer or enturen to previous marries 2. 700 | 000m   | 0400                              | 4048              | 4400         | 000m                               | 0004                 |
| 675*<br>676.377-79                      | Date of present marriage<br>Age (in years) at present marriage<br>a. Minimum<br>c. Mean   |  |                                   | 16.8              | 17.4<br>33.6 | 28.3<br>26.3                       | 18.1<br>35.0<br>23.4 |

13/There were too few oblidien to warrant a detailed report.

| 200       |  |    |    | I   |     |      | l          |            |            |
|-----------|--|----|----|-----|-----|------|------------|------------|------------|
|           | d. Welfun  |    |    |     |     | 23.5 | 21.5       | 52.0       | 9.5        |
|           | e. 90th percentile   |    |    |     |     | 4.5  | 25.5       | 12         | 2          |
|           | The same and the s |    |    |     |     | ,    | :          |            |            |
| Port / Po | (husband) 14th heine alme  |    |    |     |     |      |            |            |            |
|           |  |    |    |     |     |      |            |            |            |
|           | 1. Greatly enfor being together  | ď  | 4  | 9   | 8.6 | ě    | Ę          | 13         | ē          |
|           | O. Modesstale anime being together   | 30 | -  | ď   | q.  | 35   | 7          | 25         | : :        |
|           | 2. Indifferent   | •  |    | ۰,  |     | ζv   | 30         | 5          | 2"         |
|           | Madamatan Ata-18 to hadren by  |    | ı  | ,   |     | •    |            | •          | •          |
|           |  | ,  | ,  | •   |     | ٠    | ,          | ٠          |            |
|           |  | 0  | 0  | ٥   | 0   | 0    | 0          | ~          | -          |
|           | 5. Strongly dislike being together   | -1 | •  | 0   | 0   | ٥    | ٥          | -          | 0          |
|           | 6. No optaton  |    |    |     |     | 0    | -          | ۰          | 0          |
| 678:15    | How often have you been separated  |    |    |     |     |      |            |            |            |
|           | (estranged);   |    |    |     |     |      |            |            |            |
|           | 1. No Mistory of Separation  | 87 | 2  | 1   | 44  | 17.6 | 11.4       | Ş          | ¥          |
|           | 2. 0004  | -  |    |     |     |      |            |            | •          |
|           | 200  |    |    |     | ٠.  | ٠.   | ٠.         | •          | •          |
|           |  | ٠. | ,  | •   | 4   | -    | -          | rv         | -          |
|           | 4. Now Separated   | -1 | -4 | ٥   | 0   | 0    | 0          | 0          | 0          |
|           | 5. One separation and one divorce  |    |    |     |     | c    |            | •          | •          |
| 6791K7    | How much do you quarrel, as compared   |    |    |     |     | •    |            | ,          | •          |
|           | with your merried friends?   |    |    |     |     |      |            |            |            |
|           | 1. Nuch more than average  | 0  | -  | -   | •   | c    | •          | •          | •          |
|           | 2. Somewhat more than average  | ٥  |    | ٠.  | ,   |      | ) <b>4</b> | N ;        | ٧:         |
|           | 3. About average   | 20 | -  | , ; | 14  | ٦,   | • 5        | 25         | 1:         |
|           | 4. Somewhat less than average  | 2  | 1. | 7 5 | 2:  | 2-   | 35         | 8=         | 21         |
|           | 5. Nuch less than sverage  |    | ;  | 'n  | : 6 | \$   | 7          | 3 8        | ñ          |
|           |  | 10 |    | -   | 10  | 114  | 5,         | 8.         |            |
| 680-693   | Here are some things about which   | ,  |    | •   | ,   | •    | ,          | 1          | -          |
|           | some hasbands and wives have dif-  |    |    |     |     |      |            |            |            |
|           | ferences. How atrong are your  |    |    |     |     |      |            |            |            |
| 9         | differences about the following?   |    |    |     |     |      |            |            |            |
| occurre.  | Religion   |    |    |     |     |      |            |            |            |
|           | L. Very serious  | c  | 7  | c   | -   | 0    |            | •          | •          |
|           |  | ~  | *  | -   |     | •••  | v Œ        | + <b>a</b> | × <u>c</u> |
|           | 3. Silght  | ٥  | 91 | æ   | 0   | ç    |            | 3          | 1 5        |
|           | 4. None  | 8  | 32 | 3   | ě   | 3.5  |            | v :        | 7 ;        |
|           |  |    |    |     |     |      |            |            | ì          |

|            |   | Stud    | Study II     | Control |          | Experimental | 1    |
|------------|---|---------|--------------|---------|----------|--------------|------|
| ğ          |   | Control | Experimental | Group   |          | Group        |      |
| Item No.   | Item; or question and Response<br>Codings; or Computed Values |         | (N = 50)     |         |          |              | J_l  |
| Column No. |   | Σ.      |              |         |          |              | c    |
|            |   |         | 1            |         | mç       | a 15         | . £  |
| 681113     | Use of money  |         |              | 7.5     | 2.5      | 3            | S.   |
|            | 2. Moderately serious   | 52      | 12           |         | 93       | 62           | ž    |
|            | 3. Slight   |         |              | -       |          | Çt (         | .# 8 |
| 0001009    | Discipline of children  | o-      |              | 57      | 878      | ۰ <u>%</u>   | 32   |
|            | 1. Very serious 2. Moderately Serious                         | 33.4    | 23           | 3.5     | e e      | ц,           | 30   |
|            | 3. S11ght   | 18 13   |              | 0       | -        | D            | •    |
|            | 4. None   |         | •            | -       | 0        | 0            | ٦;   |
| 601.00     | Amount of social life   |         |              | 'n      | 9        | 91           | 77   |
| 2007       | 1. Very serious   |         |              | 89      | 53       | 25           | 3    |
|            | 2. Moderately serious   | 12      | 72           | 62      | 97       | ř.           | ŝ    |
|            | 3. Slight   |         |              |         | ,        | ;            | 60   |
|            | Kind of entertainment   | a       |              | - 5     | 9        | 6            | 4    |
| 0041147    | 1. Moderately serious   | 12      | o d          |         | 108      | g            | 101  |
|            | 2. Slight   |         | •            |         | •        | 0            | 0    |
| 68c.173    | Types of friends  | 0       | 0.           |         | , s      | 1            | ~    |
| 100        | 1. Very serious   | 5       |              | , 19    | 7        | 9            | 8    |
|            | 2. Moderately serious   | 25      | -3           |         | ,<br>10, | 8            | Ĭ    |
|            | S. DINE   |         |              |         | •        |              | -    |
| 6861015    | Ambition  | 0       |              | -10     | · •      | ıt-          | ~    |
|            | 1. Very serious   |         |              |         | Ħ        | 3            | 35   |
|            | 2. Moderately Berrous   | e :     | 12           |         | 11       | 103          | 112  |
|            | L. Mone   |         |              |         | c        | c            | -    |
| 687:106    | Employment of husband   | ۰ ،     | o            | 0       | .        | ٠            | 1    |
|            | to very serature  |         |              |         |          |              |      |

|           | 2. Noderstely serious<br>3. Blight<br>4. More  | 24 12 22  | °°4             | ၀ၧၒၟ    | 0.63     | 8 2 5 E               | «ដង      | 27<br>218 | 25g      |
|-----------|--|-----------|-----------------|---------|----------|-----------------------|----------|-----------|----------|
| 7 TX 1899 | Employment of wife  1. Very serious  2. Moderately serious  3. Slight  b. Wore   | 0405      | 404             | 0448    | 0044     |                       | ៰៹ដ      | 0 2       | 27 57    |
| 8031108   | In-levs 1. Very serious 2. Woderstely serious 3. Slight 1. Slight  | - 002     | ខ ∹⇒ជា          | o mar   | 3 440    | negg                  | ភ្ ជ     | £-10 F    | \$ ~3×.  |
| 6111069   | No. to epond waterions 1. Very serious 2. Noderstely serious 3. Siight   | Z 045     | , oor           | p 0-1-± | g 00 h   | g 0~%                 | 8°°0 N   | E 008     | 8 048    |
| 021169    | Nerrestion (se distinct from<br>entertainment)<br>1. Very serious<br>2. Modernely serious<br>3. Silght<br>1. No.   | ରୁ ବର୍ଣ୍ଣ | ლ იო <u>მ</u> ე | ရှာ ဝဝဋ | പ് മഹര്  | 1d 1d                 | 82 0 0 8 | 8d 48 &   | 12°°° 12 |
| (9) in 2  | Politica<br>1. Very serious<br>2. Moderately serious<br>3. Slight<br>3. Alone<br>Wadio and TV 1stantes   | 3 0 4 4 6 | ည္ ၀၈ဟဌာ        | g 00~m  | 8 00 1 B | 36<br>24<br>28<br>116 | 8222 B   | 8 4028    | 1 0 LTE  |
| (54112)   | 1, Very serious 2, Moderatory serious 3, Singht 4, Nose 5, Nose 7, Nos | 2 6 8 8   |                 | 0 5 2 5 | 00000    | 95,00                 | 233 3    | 0~28      | 9500     |

| 116                                     |  |                              | T WALLAND                                 |                   |  |                               | Study II    |  | 1      |
|---|--|------------------------------|---|-------------------|--|-------------------------------|-------------|--|--------|
| Item No.1<br>IRM Card and<br>Column No. | Item; or Question and Response<br>Codings; or Computed Values  | Control<br>Oroup<br>(N = 50) |   | Group<br>(N = 50) |  | Control<br>Group<br>(N = 150) |             | Experimenta<br>Group<br>(N = 150)<br>F M |        |
|   | antistica ore you with the way<br>your helbent participates 12 hoose<br>tenting and distribilise of the child's<br>p. polernisty will staffice at the child's<br>p. polernisty of an indifferent<br>p. polernisty of annilistical<br>p. topication or maliferent<br>p. p. might diseased.  | 750001                       | ర్జుంబం                                   | Feora             | 580<br>2000<br>2000<br>2000<br>2000<br>2000<br>2000<br>2000<br>2 | 4 E 0140                      | 25°91       | 1225                                     | 28~ੜ~  |
| 695121-29<br>6961369<br>6961369         | If dissultation, with<br>low well satisfied are you with<br>your preceds marked restationally?<br>I. Completely satisfied<br>S. Farity with satisfied<br>I, therefold or indifferent<br>A. Poterready dissultation<br>S. Highly dissatisfied   | 2<br>2→20H                   | 220011                                    | 3,4040            | 집~~  | 4×101                         | 88<br>0 * 0 | 84404                                    | 85-128 |
| 6971127                                 | line reachty do you conside in<br>your wife (humband) at the time<br>2, quite reachty; not the time<br>3, but wery reachty; occessionally<br>b, not as all reachty; saidon or<br>gener   | 유ታ일 ~~                       | Zwa no                                    | gada eno          | 결국과 HO   | #85 °°                        | გში სი      | 246 E                                    | 88H 40 |
| 698:1228                                | he really do you confide in others?  2. When really all the time  2. Wolfo really; often constantly  5. Now very readily; occessionally  6. Now very readily; relow or  where  5. The real readily; relow or  "The real real readily; relow or  "The real real readily; relow or  "The real real readily; relow or  "The real real readily; relow or  "The real real readily; relow or  "The real real readily; relow or  "The real real real readily; relow or  "The real real real real real real real rea | 이 연락값 없다                     | 25 55 50 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 | 471 8°            | Tha Eo   | 486 81<br>81                  | වේද දීම     | 548 80                                   | -88 3° |
| 1                                       |  |                              |   | 1                 |  |                               |             |  | ŀ      |

138 there were too few responses to warrant a detailed report.

| 6991829  | As a general rule, how tense are   |     |     |     |     |     |     |      |            |
|----------|------------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|------------|
|          | le Verr                            | ٦   | ,   | 5   | 01  | 9   | ::  | 7    | 57         |
|          | 2. Quite                           | 15  | 22  | 7.  | 15  | 33  | ď   | 43   | æ          |
|          | 3. Not very                        | 9   | 50  | 83  | 27  | 8   | ಪ   | 9    | g          |
|          | 4, Not at all                      | 8   | Çu  | 6   | 4   | 15  | 4   | а    | 1          |
|          | × • •                              |     |     |     |     | ٥   | -   | 0    | 0          |
| 70011/30 | Now irritable are you?             |     |     |     |     |     |     |      |            |
|          | 1. Very                            | ev. | cu  | 0   | æ   | 2   | ۲   | ٥    | 9          |
|          | 2. Quite                           | #   | 51  | 00  | 7.7 | 23  | 3   | , gy | 2          |
|          | 3. Not very                        | 7,  | 33  | 92  | 30  | 111 | 8   | 101  | 8          |
|          | 4. Not at all                      | 5   | 0   | 9   | •   |     | -   | æ    | v          |
|          |                                    | •   |     |     | ı   | ٠.  | ۱,- |      | • •        |
| 701:K31  | Now engraphic                      |     |     |     |     | •   |     | •    |            |
|          | 1. Very                            | α   | ,   | =   | a   | ;   | 2   | ď    | 6          |
|          |                                    | ,   | ٠,  | 18  | ,   | 4   | 3   | 2,   | 3          |
|          |                                    |     | 6   | Š.  |     | 3   | 101 | 3    | 3          |
|          |                                    | 4   | H   | ខ្ព | 9   | 2   | 27  | 7    | 0,7        |
|          | 4. Not at all                      | N   | 0   | ٥   | -   | c   |     |      | v          |
|          | 5.1                                |     |     |     |     | Ç   | ۰,  | • •  | •          |
| 700.102  | Now high are your standards of     |     |     |     |     | ,   | ,   | •    | •          |
|          | conduct (which you use in judging  |     |     |     |     |     |     |      |            |
|          | conduct of your family or others ? |     |     |     |     |     |     |      |            |
|          |                                    | ć   | 1,1 | 5   | ,   | 5   | 2   |      | :          |
|          | 2. Quite                           | 3,8 | 3 5 | 44  | 77  | 7 0 | 2   | 95   | #)         |
|          | 3. Not very                        | -   | ; 0 | 3,5 | 4-  | :0  | 2,5 | g :  | <u>s</u> : |
|          | 2.4                                |     | ۰.  | 4 0 | 4 4 | 7   | 3   | 7    | Ξ,         |
| 703:1733 | Now high are your standards of     | •   | ,   | ,   | ,   | 4   | •   | ٥    | 0          |
|          | peatment                           |     |     |     |     |     |     |      |            |
|          | 1. Very                            | 52  | -   | ٠   | ç   | ć   | ,   | :    | :          |
|          | 2. Quite                           | *   | 1   | 37  | 1 = |     | 24  | 41   | 45         |
|          | J. 25t very                        | 2   |     | ١,٠ | 2"  | Si  | 5   | 5    | 8.         |
|          | b. Not at all                      | 9 0 | , , |     | ٠.  | 4   | 9.  | 50   | 2          |
| 2011     | Now tence is your wife (hisband)?  | ٠   |     | ,   | •   | •   | -   | 0    | D          |
|          | 1. Very                            | •   | -   | d   |     | ٥   | c   | ;    | :          |
|          | 2. Quite                           | 1   | 'nœ | 8   | ,   | -   | 0;  | - N  | 5          |
|          | 7. 10t 11J                         | 2   | 9   | 18  | 16  | 77  | S   |      | A,         |
|          |                                    |     | •   |     | 2   | 2   | 3   | 5    | ř          |

| 213           |                                      |                   | Study    | -                  | Ì              |            | ptudy | F            |        |
|---------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------|----------|--------------------|----------------|------------|-------|--------------|--------|
|               | editorial hos entitles of the second | Control           | ł        | 12                 | enta1          | Control    | rol   | Experimental | Mutal. |
| I've Gerd And | Codings; or Computed Values          | Croup<br>(N = 50) | (0)      | Group<br>(II = 50) | 50)            | (N = 150)  | 150)  | (150)        | 50     |
| Column No.    |                                      | H                 | Įž.      |                    | ×              |            | ×     |              | 1      |
|               | b. Jot at all                        | 80                | 6.       | -7 (               | ~ 0            | អ្ន        | 81 -  | me           | 20     |
|               | 5. 2                                 | 0                 | ٥        | 2                  | •              | 2          | ,     |              |        |
| 7051835       |                                      | c                 | ,        | 64                 | ~              | 4          | Çij   | €0           | ۲.     |
|               | 1. Very                              | 'n                | 100      | 7                  | æ              | 2          | 25    | 3            | 25     |
|               | 3. not very                          | T.                | 2        | <b>۲</b> ۱         | ລະ             | 503        | 86    | na.          | £ 5    |
|               | 4, Not at all                        | 40                | 10       | . 0                | 40             | , <b>-</b> | 0     | 0            | 0      |
| 7061K36       | How ensygoing?                       |                   |          | :                  | ş              | ę          | 14    | ç            | 97     |
|               | 1. Very                              | ~6                | - 6      | 18                 | 32             | 28         | P.    | 35           | 2      |
|               | 2. quite                             | 20                | 2 2      | 101                | 0              | 20         | 53    | 3            | 56     |
|               | S. Mot very                          | ýv                | 4-       | -                  | . ~            | ,-         | -     | -            | ¢.     |
|               |                                      |                   |          |                    |                | 0          | -     | 0            | 0      |
| 707:107       |                                      |                   |          |                    |                |            |       |              |        |
|               | of conduct?                          | Q.                | ;        | è                  | ţ              | Ş          | ¥     | ç            | 2      |
|               |                                      | 0 0               | 47       | N C                | 76             | 32         | 12    | 8            | 10     |
|               | 2. Culte                             | Ş-                | 82       | 30                 | 40             | 3 00       | 22    | 'n           | 17     |
|               | L. Not at all                        |                   | 10       | 0                  | .0             | 0          | -     | 0            | -      |
|               |                                      |                   |          |                    |                | cı         | m     | ٥            | QL.    |
| 7081138       |                                      |                   |          |                    |                |            |       |              |        |
|               |                                      | 6                 | 5        | 10                 | 20             | Ş          | 3     | 8            | 17     |
|               | T. Carlo                             | 12                | 3 8      | 00                 | 20             | 25         | 12    | 8            | 8      |
|               |                                      | Ņ                 | 3.5      | 3 "                | , w            | 2          | 1     | 2            | 8      |
|               |                                      |                   | ?"       | ,                  |                | 9          | ;-    | ,            | -      |
|               | the ac est                           | •                 | •        | •                  | >              | 0          |       | 0            | 10     |
| 6531607       | Do you consider the other parent     |                   |          |                    |                | •          |       | •            |        |
|               |                                      |                   |          |                    |                |            |       |              |        |
|               | 1. 7ee                               | ៨                 | <b>.</b> | 25                 | ω <sub>2</sub> | 15         | 17    | 92           | ħ.     |
|               | 2. 19                                | 50                | ų c      | ģ                  | ္န <b>င</b>    | <u>.</u>   | Ŋ.    | 7. T         | ar     |
|               |                                      | ,                 | ,        |                    | ,              | ı          |       | •            | 1      |

|                                 | 38<br>110 114   | ı                                 | 87     | 129 109 | ~ |                     |                    | ç     | १ष्ट | 5, | •                              | -      | 401   | •  | ,                              | ,                               | 25    | Š   | •                               |               | ye     | 121   |     |                                      |                                 |                        | •             | o •            |                 | 1 2            | î |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------|--------|---------|---|---------------------|--------------------|-------|------|----|--------------------------------|--------|-------|----|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------|-----|---------------------------------|---------------|--------|-------|-----|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------|---------------|----------------|-----------------|----------------|---|
|                                 | 22 125 117 22 2 |                                   |        | 130 124 |   |                     |                    |       |      | 9  |                                |        | 211   |    |                                |                                 | 77.   |     |                                 |               |        | 122   |     |                                      |                                 |                        |               |                | - 4             |                |   |
|                                 | 아무              | •                                 | 13     | 120     | - | c                   | •                  | ;     | 7.   | ř  | ,                              | ٤      | 2.9   | c  | ,                              | ,                               | - 6   | 2 9 | •                               |               | 2      | 82    | ļ   | ,                                    |                                 |                        |               | • •            | ۰, «            | 2              | : |
|                                 | £,0             | ,                                 | ۰      | 39      | 0 | c                   | ,                  | ď     | ì    |    | 1                              | ¢      | 19    |    | ,                              | ٠                               | ٥,    | à   | v                               |               | 4      | 93    | •   | ,                                    |                                 |                        | c             | 0              | y -4            | =              | 1 |
|                                 | ~ <u>%</u> °    | ,                                 | 80     | 9       | ٥ | c                   | ,                  | =     | 38   | ૧  |                                | 13     | 7 %   | ì  | ,                              | ,                               | 9     | ? < | •                               |               | o      | S     | , c | ,                                    |                                 |                        | c             |                | 0               | -              |   |
|                                 | 4%0             | •                                 | 22     | 37      | 0 | _                   | •                  | ĕ     | : 8  | ç  |                                | ,      | 1,1   | ·c | •                              | 5                               | 2.5   | ? • | •                               |               | 11     | 35    | ٥   |                                      |                                 |                        | c             |                | و.              | 13             | " |
| To be too easy going concerning | 2. 30           | To be too demanding of the obild? | 1. Yes | 2. %    |   | h. Yes. orcestrally | To worry too much! | , Yes |      | £  | To be sunw from home ton much? | 7. Yes | 2, 10 |    | To be oddy the child one month | A STATE OF THE COURT OF THE CO. | 2. 40 | د ا | To be inconsistent in treatment | of the child? | 1. Yes | 2, 70 |     | Now often do you aids with the child | against the other parent in the | presence of the child? | 1. Constantly | 2. Cutte often | 3. Now and then | b. Hardly ever |   |
| 710:140                         |                 | 717.116                           |        |         |   |                     | 7121242            |       |      |    | 713:843                        |        |       |    | Tib. File                      |                                 |       |     | 7151K45                         | :             |        |       |     | 7161K%                               |                                 |                        |               |                |                 |                |   |

| 310                                      |  | rendy                                   | VII Superinental           | Control     | 1           | Experimental | Ę         |
|--|--|---|----------------------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|-----------|
| Ites No.1<br>Illy Card and<br>Column No. | Items or Question and Response<br>Codings; or Computed Values  | Great<br>Great<br>(N = 50)              | Group<br>(N = 50)          | (N - 150)   | al z        | (05)         |           |
| 717:147                                  | ity often does the other parent side with the child against you in the presence of the child? A constantly of the child? 2, quite often 3, Now and then 4, increty ever  | ere 소크림                                 | 2037111                    | ంబిపెట్     | 35520       | 04528        | 93,89     |
| 7181843                                  | 5. Never in order of the content of  | 00 8 A B                                | 00시합년<br>0시시작결             | 042480      | 040486      | ~a588°       | ంబన్టర్లు |
| የነፃ፣ሂዓ                                   | 6. The dotter married life for dotter and the second secon |   |                            | 12500       | 25854±0     | 738-00       | 439044    |
| 8  | y, And the could make two improvements in you could make two improvements in your vife (husband), what would they bethly   |   | •                          | 84          | 33          | 82           | 5         |
| 13961                                    | 199are specific response were reported than those listed; may different, individual vortings of response have been 199are applied to the second for mentical nursees of presentation. Some respondents gave more than one response.  | those listed; many<br>presentation, Son | different, individual pave | ual vording | s of respon | onse have    | peen      |

1394pre specific responses were reported than those listed grouped as here indicated for practical purposes of presentation

| 1 1   | itees or Question and Response<br>Codings or Corputed Values<br>you must unbary or disoniently<br>or dissisting with yourselffful<br>and and addings of the content of t |  | Control Study (N = 150) F H      | Avertmental Experimental Group (R = 150) F M Y M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M | True Code II       |
|---|--|--|----------------------------------|--|--------------------|
| 1. potenti<br>3. Finance<br>4. Fealth<br>5. Vork Tr<br>6. Fractor<br>9. Speech<br>9. Speech<br>10. Relation<br>(accia)<br>12. Perpera   | The principle of proper and the principle of             |  |                                  | ya marggatan   | ప్రదయ్యకారంలు సాన్ |
| 1. Mething 1. Mething 2. Nork problem 3. Nork problem 4. Disposition 5. Personal char 6. Relations with 7. It has never 6. To make the 6. To | rites with youlder<br>Schilder work manner of the control of the cont          |  | иев выжен<br>почтобия<br>почтоби | 1.222222 2001  | tomakay mar        |

| m. <del>r</del>   | 00  | -3e-17u  | ~ 00000  | чийоччио  |
|---|---|--|--|-----------|
| ೧ಚ  | ан  | ######################################   | 044 <sub>0</sub> 4   | ниммоноо  |
| vo3*  | ψo  | 5644 <i>e</i> e  | rr organ   | พนิจถพพอต |
| 00  | 80  | トサッコトコ   | wa wodiii  | ON#40000  |
|   |   |  |  |           |
|   | # 4   | п °  |  | ۰         |
|   | <b>4</b> °  | g °  | ۰ ،  | ۰         |
|   |   |  |  |           |
|   | 80  | ₹ <b>6</b>   | 0 0  | -         |
|   | 27.4  | ω Φ  | w m  | ٦         |
| 11. Physical appearance 12. Firmoid Droblems What is the usual mood of your wife (hanbons) 71-14. | 1. Unmoually happy (Study II: "and<br>expressive")<br>2. Very excitable; lacks control<br>3. Even-keeled; even-tempered | (Study Till even disposition;<br>muttal; even-leelad<br>h. Usaally happy person<br>5, quiet<br>6, Variable: eross und contented<br>6, Variable: eross und contented<br>8, Fattly happy | 9, Compensation of the property of the propert |           |
| 724:150-51  |   |  |  | }         |

After the foreign I and III there were 60 schillend mode reported, each by fewer than three of the information represented in any one chine of the the the control and 35 by the coperior in proper repondents, from responsing in fluid II few ways than one response.

| 210                                    |   | 201143                              | 11.5                                   | Study II                      | 111                           |
|--|---|-------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Item No.1<br>IN Card and<br>Column No. | Remy or Curation and Response<br>Codings; or Computed Values  | Control<br>Orcup<br>(N = 50)        | Syperitorial Group (N = 50)            | Centrol<br>Oroup<br>(N = 150) | (N = 150)                     |
| 731153                                 | Total makes of children of present servings of 5 to 5 to 5 to 5 to 5 to 5 to 5 to 5   | ≈µวัชพชอนนร์                        | 1200 4 40 0 0 0 E                      | స్కొనిటిళ్లం చే               | 2550000 g                     |
| 7261833                                | 19, Two front of child being studied in the force of child being studied in the force of child being studied in the force of child being studied in the force of child being studied at the force of child being studied at their being studied at their being studied at their being studied at their being studied at their being studied at their being studied at their being studied at their being studied at their being studied at their being studied at their being studied at the force of child studied at the force of | 1                                   | ŧ                                      | 488444420                     | 625000024                     |
| 727:K54                                | Do you expect to have any more childrent 2. No 3. T   | 27 28<br>3 28                       | 988                                    | 24.5                          | 32 42 53<br>24 25<br>25 25 25 |
| 7281R55-56                             | If no, vky nott".  I. Not physically able (post-meno-pause, sterile, etc.)  | 6                                   | 83                                     | 7                             | 15 17                         |
| 14.7or b<br>represented 1              | 114 or both Staties II and III there were II additional restons reported, each by fewer than three of the fafforments arranges a column of the Anis) 19 of these restons were represent by the cortical and 55 by the experiental, properspecially for represental, fore responses is 28 by the experiental,  | lonal reasons re<br>teasons vere re | ported, each by f<br>ported by the con | ever than three of the        | he informants<br>sperimental  |

| 131                                      |  | Sindy                        | 1  | Study                                   | 111                               | П                                       |
|--|--|------------------------------|--|---|-----------------------------------|---|
| Jies No. !<br>IIN Card and<br>Column No. | Item or Question and Response<br>Codings; or Corputed Values   | Control<br>Group<br>(# - 50) | Experimental Group N C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C | Control<br>Group<br>(N = 150)<br>F N    | Experimenta<br>Group<br>(R = 150) | 1 (5) at                                |
| 33*                                      | 1. Three slights per week  1. Three slights per week  1. One to so slights per week  1. What celer present have lived in the  1. The person have lived in the  1. The person is quirtly the lifteline  of the child (other than irreduce)  1. The child (other than irreduce)  1. The child (other than irreduce)  1. The child (other than irreduce)  1. The child (other than irreduce)  1. The child (other than irreduce)  1. The child (other than irreduce)  1. The child (other than irreduce)  1. The child (other than irreduce)  1. The child (other than irreduce)  1. The child (other than irreduce)  1. The child (other than irreduce)  | eve<br>v.w.                  | en.  | 211                                     | 100                               | 20                                      |
|  | frequency sees [14]  1. Neveral grandstates  2. Neveral grandstate  1. Mereral grandstate  5. Neveral grandstate  5. Neveral grandstate  6. Neveral sunce  7. Neveral sunce  7. Neveral sunce  8. Neveral sunce  9 | ~50%404a                     | <i>สพ</i> ศผูกสสพ  | - L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L |                                   | ~ # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # |
| 734,752                                  | 9, Count of child 10, Other relative re | m4048                        | നമ വച്ചുക  | ~a\b ≠ m                                |                                   | 0 1.00                                  |
|  | family unit<br>1. Ose<br>2. Too<br>3. Three  |                              |  | 30.00                                   |                                   | £13                                     |

14% was greaffe responses were reported than those itseled may different individual verdings of response have been grouped as here indicated for practical purposes of presentation. Some responstants in both studies gave more than one respecie.

|     | 2448   | 28 6 6 6  | 8 225  | 184 ranna  |
|-----|--|---|--|--|
|     |  |   |  | 19<br>64<br>41   |
| ٠   | ~«og   | 20 mm   | & 424  | 101 nasa 202   |
|     |  |   |  | 22<br>23<br>33   |
|     |  | <b>ው</b> ኮ ፡፡ ፡፡ ፡፡ ፡፡ ፡፡ ፡፡ ፡፡ ፡፡ ፡፡ ፡፡ ፡፡ ፡፡ ፡  | 011I   | ల విన <u>ి</u>   |
|     |  | ដស្ខស។ ០០   |  | 20°51  |
|     | 4, Four<br>5, Five<br>6, Eight<br>7, Nose<br>What is the textam number of persons, | Acady with, who have lived in the home as one to the continuous at one ten ending the lifeties of the continuous at the | 9. Right. 79. Man. 79. Man. 79. Man. 19. Man. 110. to the host 1. Less than one anoth 5. Coe south 5. to 3 south 5. to 3 south | 5. 6 to 12 months 6. 13 to 13 months 7. 19 to 24 months 10. 5 to 25 months 10. 5 to 25 months 10. 5 to 25 months 10. 5 to 25 months 10. 5 to 25 months 11. 5 to 25 months 12. 5 to 25 months 13. 5 to 25 months 14. 5 to 25 months 15. 5 to 25 mo |
| 222 | 7351162  |   | 736:1653   | 137:165  |

| 14                                       |   | 1     |                |                                   |              | }                             | Study       | 111   | 1                                  |
|--|---|-------|----------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|-------------------------------|-------------|-------|------------------------------------|
| Item No. 1<br>I'm Card and<br>Column No. | Item; or Cuestion and Response<br>Cosings; or Corputed Values   | Group | Group<br>Group | Experimental<br>Group<br>(N - 50) | 20) K        | Gratrol<br>Graup<br>(N = 150) | rol<br>1501 |       | Experimental<br>Group<br>(W = 150) |
|  | 4. Two or three times a month 5, Once a month or less   |       |                |                                   | ₽.∞          | 25.0                          | 179         | 239   | že.                                |
| 7381165                                  | Do you feel that, as a general rule,<br>a child should be seen and not heard?<br>1. fes<br>2. Unually yes<br>1. Unually yes | maa   | ៰៹៰            | 446                               | 446          | 8 - 2                         | 495         | 13.65 | r~= 6,6                            |
| 1391126                                  | 4, Ho<br>5, 4<br>Does your wife (husband) feel that,  | 33    | 3g             | ą.                                | 3            | e o                           | <b>1</b> 7  | 3-1   | 3.                                 |
|  | Ms & Green Talle, & crild should be seen and not beard?  1. Yes  2. Usually yes  3. Usually no                              | mmg   | 045            | 440                               | mar-9        | 2-2                           | ~24         | 8 25  | a~8                                |
| 7101157                                  | 4. No<br>100 vell do you like your neighbore?<br>1. Like most or all, with possibly   | × 2   | ۶ <u>۶</u>     | \$ 40 ¥                           | R <b>~</b> 2 | £ 2                           | £ £         | Ž, Ž  | 3 , 2                              |
|  | one or two exceptions 2. Indifferents noncommitted 3. Dislike most or all 4. Don't know neighbors wells no                  | 340   | 9              | , ea ea                           | 134          | w.m                           | 44          | ~     | რ-#                                |
|  |   | 00.0  | 0 A C          | 4 A C                             | ma c         | ‡°                            | a°          | ۰۰    | 9 1                                |
| 8241146                                  | 7. Uncertain; no reaponse in comparison with what you know of most people's neighbors, how                                  | 10    | ·              | • •                               | • •          | -3                            | ۳           | ٥     | 0                                  |
|  | friehaly are your beighbora!  1. Mach more than average   | m     | •              | -                                 | 7            | 80                            | ų           | 8     | 7                                  |

| 2883 04   | , 88£,000   | 4 0H   | 388-088  | ~%88350me  |
|---|---|--|--|--|
| ≉នីដ <b>ം</b> ⊦   | 821   | m Ho   | ድድ <sup>መ</sup> ች <u></u> ຂຂ   | 46884644   |
| 12338   | . <b>ಚ</b> ಟ್ಟಿ   | 0 HC   | 23 KI 000  | 026834-240   |
| 8 60 4 1  | 25 E 0 0 0  | 4 46   | *******  | 448 H 25-40 m  |
| စာတ္လထားဘ   | , ठॅंबेठच००   | a 0m0  | v  | 2115<br>4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1  |
| 25.00   | 400000  | co.  |  |  |
| <b>8188000</b>  | చచ్చం ం   | 0 0 0 0 0  | -01 m= m0  | o v 8 a a a a a a a  |
| 1725-10   | ##4400  | •  |  |  |
| 2. Screevist acre than everage 3. About average 4. Screevist loss than average 5. Much less than average 6. 7 | Ros do your nelighters unsuilly treat<br>your child!  1. Litte one of the multy 2. Friendly settleds 3. Indirectula settleds 4. Illandy settleds 5. Strongly settleds 6. i very | An office of the state of the s | 4. The or true titues per menth 5. Once a month 7. Once in a two or three menths 7. Once in a two or three menths 8. Once a year or less 9. Rever a year or less 9. Rever and the second of the second | 2. Devent times per vees, 2. Once a vees, 3. Once a vees, 5. Once a vees, 5. Once a vees, 6. Once a vees, 7. Once 15 sts seams 9. Neve 7 sea or 1ses |
|   | 7421K69   | 743:KT0  | 7441872  | -  |

| ž                                       |   |                              |                                   |                            | Study II       | 1                                  | {      |
|---|---|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------|------------------------------------|--------|
| Ites No.1<br>ITM Card and<br>Column No. | Item; or Question and Response<br>Colings; or Computed Values | Control<br>Group<br>(N = 50) | Experimental<br>Group<br>(N = 50) | Group<br>Group<br>(N = 150 |                | Experimental<br>Group<br>(N = 150) | 10 0 × |
| Tk. 77                                  | On to the mordes?   |                              |                                   |                            |                | -                                  | ١ ،    |
| -1416-1                                 | 1. Several times per week                                     | - 5                          | -1.4                              | 4 04                       | 4 (4           |                                    | 4 (0   |
|   | 2. Once a week  | ۲,                           | •                                 | 80                         | 4              | Cu ·                               | ~      |
|   |   | 100                          | -                                 | 91:                        | 25             | eo i                               | ۰-     |
|   |   | <b>a</b> ′                   | 2,1                               | 45                         | 25             | 7.5                                | ٥,     |
|   |   | -4 -2                        | 4                                 | 19                         | 7              | E                                  | Ħ      |
|   | A. Merer  | -                            | 91                                | 22                         | 8              | 8                                  | 8      |
| 1,61573                                 | Go to ball games or other sports events?                      |                              |                                   | •                          |                | •                                  | ,      |
| •                                       |   | •                            | 0                                 | 0                          | ۰,             | N.                                 | 0 0    |
|   |   | ۰.                           | ~                                 | 00                         | N C            | ٠.                                 | ۰,     |
|   |   |                              |                                   | -                          | <b>5</b> c     | •                                  | ^ r    |
|   | 4. Two to three times per month                               | 61                           | ^,                                | 7 6                        |                | 41                                 | 2.0    |
|   | S. Once a month   |                              | -                                 | ۹.                         | ۹.             | -«                                 | . "    |
|   | b. Every two or times nonting                                 |                              | • -                               | 34                         |                | 4                                  | n-#    |
|   | former to six months  | 4 2                          | 1.4                               | ::                         | œ              | -                                  | 60     |
|   | 9. Mever  | 12                           | 45                                | ğ                          | ' <del>=</del> | 119                                | 154    |
| 747:874                                 | ğ   |                              |                                   |                            |                |                                    |        |
|   | "parlor or" were not used in Study II.)                       |                              |                                   |                            | -              | ٠                                  |        |
|   | 1. Delly  | 0                            | · :                               | יי                         | * :            | N C                                | Nd     |
|   |   | σ,                           |                                   | 21                         | 2:             | 200                                | ۰,     |
|   | 3. Once to week   | ***                          |                                   | , .                        | 2 8            | ٥:                                 | 9 ;    |
|   | 4. 1vo or three times per month 5. Once a month               | gs                           | v. v-                             | 3.5                        | 2 2            | 12                                 | 20     |
|   | 6. Every two or three months                                  |                              |                                   | 2                          | , 0            | e c                                | œ      |
|   | 7. Once in six months   |                              |                                   | æ                          | 'n             | 40                                 | m      |
|   | 8. Once a year or less  | ន្ទ                          |                                   | 7                          | ٠,             | <b>→</b> ;                         | n,     |
|   | 9. Merer  | =                            | 27                                | ğ                          | g              | £                                  | 3      |

| 33                                     |  | 05,140                       | -                    |                    | St My III | III                                | H    |
|--|--|------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|-----------|------------------------------------|------|
| Rea No.1<br>IIN Card and<br>Column No. | Ites or Question and Response<br>Codings; or Computed Values   | Control<br>Group<br>(H • 50) | Experim non (N = 50) | Group<br>(y = 150) | - 20 ×    | Frjerimental<br>Group<br>(N = 140) | 를 하는 |
| 752:KT9                                | 5. Stateside and overess (noncombat) 6. Cther (vory, travel, education) (Instruction to interviewer; Ack this equestion on ambher 73; if child was allye at the tips) bid wife worry shoul   |                              |                      | 43                 | o m       | an.                                |      |
| Ş                                      | his (bubond's) safety? 1. Yes 2. No 3. 4.  | or<br>-                      | <b>r</b> n           | mno                | 000       | mind                               | 250  |
| 7531825                                | and child world about his timered by the little by the beautiful by the be | 204                          | a ~ 0                | 460                | 000       | ٥٢,4                               | 000  |
| 754116-7                               | For what texts 1 two should from the whole lifetime has the child been approved from his white a white the months lifetimes by basiname by bearing the season of the child bearing the season of the s |                              |                      | 22 22 25 0         |           | 3,3%                               |      |
| 755,128-9                              | From his mother (in months)? a. Mistans b. Pertuns c. Pean d. Pedian   |                              |                      | 0501               |           | 0417                               |      |
| 1                                      |  |                              |                      |                    |           |                                    | 1    |

14 Processoral group sothers in Study III gave the following responses "Very long period--dirat seven years howevers, we when it and "Very long period--half the time." These responses were not included in computing the mean, sadian, and Percentiles.

|          | e. 90th percentile   |     |      |     |      | ,   |          |           | 1    |
|----------|--|-----|------|-----|------|-----|----------|-----------|------|
| 7561220  | f. N<br>Now is your present health?                        |     |      |     |      | 120 |          | 120       |      |
|          | 1. Good<br>2. Pair<br>3. Poor                              | 300 | 82°  | 86. | ¥2.  | £3  | Ę,       | 137<br>E1 | 818  |
| 1571511  | 4. Unable to rate health<br>Borws it at onset of statement | •   | -    | -   | ۸    | 0 = | 40       | 00        | 00   |
|          | (For controls; At time correspond-                         |     |      |     |      |     |          |           |      |
|          | member of pair?)   |     |      |     |      |     |          |           |      |
|          | 2. Veda  | 3   | ÷    | H   | 26   | 141 | ¥        | 367       |      |
|          | 3. Poor  | ~ < | ev - | •   | .=   | 2   | 22       | 32        | 31   |
| ,        | 4. Unable to rate health                                   | ,   | ,    | ٨.  | c,   | C¢  | Q        | ~         | 0    |
| 738:175  | How to your vife's (husband's)                             |     |      |     |      | -   | ٥        | 0         | φ.   |
|          | pretent bealth?  |     |      |     |      |     |          |           |      |
|          | 1. 0000  | 2   | ¥    | ķ   | -    |     |          |           |      |
|          | 2. Pair  | 32  | k #  | g v | en u | 62  | <u> </u> | E         | 134  |
|          | 7- 1401  | -   | •    | •   | ۰,   | 9   | S.       | 13        | 15   |
| 75Bas133 | t. Unable to rate health                                   | 0   | 0    | -0  | 4 0  | о,  | (        | ۰         | .,   |
|          | (For controls, 44 star controls                            |     |      |     | ,    | •   | ٥        | 0         | ٥    |
|          | ing to the chief are for this                              |     |      |     |      |     |          |           |      |
|          | member of pair?  |     |      |     |      |     |          |           |      |
|          | 1. 0cod  | 1   | ;    |     |      |     |          |           |      |
|          | 2. Fair  | ``` | 2    | 2   | 3    | 133 | 139      | 173       | 130  |
|          | 3. Poor  |     | ar . | Ġ   | ۲-   | 52  | 2        | ,         | Ŋ.   |
|          | 4. Unable to rate health                                   | N C | a e  | -1  | 0    | ~   | ,        | ?"        | Ç.   |
|          | 15. Overtees   | ٥,  | 0    | ٦   | -    | a   |          | 2         | *7 ( |
| 139:01   | Now many times during the 11fe-                            | 0   | 0    | 0   | -    |     | ,        | 2         | 9    |
|          | the of the child have you, your                            |     |      |     |      |     |          |           |      |
|          | in the Carolin the other children                          |     |      |     |      |     |          |           |      |
|          | in the home had any severe all                             |     |      |     |      |     |          |           |      |
|          | SEGULAT STATES AND STATES                                  |     |      |     |      |     |          |           |      |

| 2                                       |   |                              |                   | Study 133                     | 133                                     |
|---|---|------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| Item No. 1<br>IN Card and<br>Column No. | lies; or Question and Response<br>Codings; or Corputed Values         | Control<br>Group<br>(N = 50) | Group<br>(N = 50) | Control<br>Group<br>(N = 150) | Experimental<br>Group<br>(N - 150)<br>F |
|   | or injury requiring severe re-  |                              |                   |                               |   |
|   | by others?  |                              |                   | 30                            | 3 %                                     |
|   | 2. Twice<br>3. Three times<br>b. Pour eines                           |                              |                   | 7800                          | 12°                                     |
|   | 5. Five to ten times or more<br>6. Never                              |                              |                   | 318                           | ~#                                      |
| 7601115                                 | What is the total tilness time<br>covered by the answer to 7591       |                              |                   | ,                             | 1                                       |
|   | 1. Less than one month<br>2. One month                                |                              |                   | ٥٥١                           | ₹.                                      |
|   | 3. One to three months 4. More than three months                      |                              |                   | 10                            | • •                                     |
| 761:116                                 | Where were these persons during                                       |                              |                   |                               |   |
|   | 1. At home  |                              |                   | EL S                          | 25                                      |
|   | 3. In relative's or neighbor's  |                              |                   | ; -                           | , (                                     |
|   | home<br>b. Home and hospital  |                              |                   | 13                            | , e                                     |
|   | 5. In hospital; in hotel resort<br>6. Hospital and marents, home      |                              |                   | 00                            | ~ <del>~</del>                          |
| 7621117                                 | If they were at home, who usually<br>looked after these who were ill? |                              |                   |                               |   |
|   | (Person who most often looked after them.)                            |                              |                   |                               |   |
|   | 1. To one   |                              |                   | in.                           | 2                                       |
|   | 2. Mabband or wife<br>3. Relative                                     |                              |                   | ልማ                            | ×.                                      |
|   | 4. Grandparent<br>5. Marse, neighbor, other                           |                              |                   | <b>\$</b>                     | 15                                      |

~ 4884 Ben 8

~ 20 05 m

Wher both Staties II and III there were 12 additional responses reported, each by fewer than three of the littermanta represented in any one column of the table; 9 of these responses were reported by the control and 6 by the experimental

| 112                                     |  |                              | Serieda | -                                 |            | {              | Study 11             | III                                | [ ]                 |
|---|--|------------------------------|---------|-----------------------------------|------------|----------------|----------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------|
| Item No.1<br>184 Card and<br>Column No. | Item or Question and Response<br>Codings; or Computed Values   | Control<br>Group<br>(N = 50) |         | Experimental<br>Group<br>(N = 50) | (5)<br>(5) | Group<br>Group | E 48                 | Experimental<br>Group<br>(N = 150) | (50)                |
| 769;123                                 | How is your wife's (fushand's) appetitef b. Good b. Bair c. Pa | z mo                         | , Žuo   | ₹.v.i                             | က္အထင      | 132            | 142<br>8<br>0        | 131<br>15                          | 139                 |
| 7691284                                 | How well does to (the) sleep! 1. Very well 2. Pairty well 3. Not too well 4. Very Pourly   | 85,000                       | 30000   | ₩0040                             | 8rm10      | 24.00          | 25<br>24<br>27<br>17 | 84340                              | 125<br>19<br>0<br>1 |
| 7701125                                 | De you have many food dislikes?  1. Yes  2. No   | စာဋ                          | чÃ      | <b>©</b> 23                       | m)-        | 135            | 142                  | 128                                | 145                 |
| 772.1264<br>772.1264                    | Open your vire (timeward, mave many) 1. Yes 2. No 3. In Plumber among turnediste family and  | ៤ដូ០                         | 4 E O   | 460                               | 25.0       | ជន្លិ          | 81 EU                | 137<br>137                         | 1252                |
| 773:1268                                | entieps; 1) Cos  2. No 3. None consistent of the cost  |                              |         |                                   |            | 139            | 137                  | 127                                | 130                 |
|   | diabetes<br>Cons<br>2. The<br>3. Three<br>4. More  |                              |         |                                   |            | 3000           | 51<br>0 0 621        | 19<br>10<br>10<br>80               | 8112                |

8248

£88888

៰ឨ៳ឨឨឨ

~~~~

8 B 67-7

| Item No.1<br>IN Card and<br>Column No. | Item; or Question and Response<br>Colings; or Computed Values                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Group<br>(n = 50) | hyperlachtal<br>Group<br>(N = 50) | Group<br>(N - 150) | 1 5 5 X      | Group<br>(N = 150)                                                             | 120    |
|----------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------|--------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|
|                                        | 13, None<br>12, Total right-banked<br>manage of terromet's shitnes the are                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |                   |                                   | 388                | 25<br>151    | 점함                                                                             | 44     |
| 2010                                   | Infinite red and a second and a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second |                   |                                   | ង«ដីខ              | 5 8 E        | 23,82                                                                          | 8258   |
| 7791433                                | Mumber of Informant's siblings<br>significantly wixed in bandedness<br>1. One<br>2. Two                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |                   |                                   | 2<br>0<br>147      | 140          | 1,<br>0<br>253                                                                 | 1165   |
| 7801L34                                | What is the handedness of your mother? I. Night I. Night I. Int. I. Hard I. Hard                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |                   |                                   | 4500               | 138<br>1 1 5 | 240<br>640<br>840<br>840<br>840<br>840<br>840<br>840<br>840<br>840<br>840<br>8 | 2,4 E0 |
| 781 tL35                               | What is the bondedness of your father? 1. Right 2. Core 3. Mixed 4. Nixed                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |                   |                                   | 85223              | 139<br>14    | \$204 I                                                                        | 139    |
| 76c*<br>7831236-37                     | Decres on Uplantual Handedness Ques-<br>tionaries No.<br>Informati's handedness score on lowa<br>Unicornal Hand Usege Questionality<br>a. Minism                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |                   | · ·                               | -1.7               | Ÿ            | -1.5                                                                           | 1,1    |
| S44                                    |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |                   |                                   |                    |              |                                                                                |        |

xperimental

Control Groun

experimental Group

Control Grann

24theta summaried in 189 are punched on IDM cards in the University of Iowa Statistical Service Office. The score can vary from -2.0, complete left-handedness, through 0.0, complete ambidanterity or lack of preference, to 2.0, complete right-

handedness.

"&ដដងន 077708 2000 52.53 25.42 52.55 ~44486 20020 'n 25.55.5

| 235                                    |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |                   | Shady       | -                                 |                     | 1                        | Study III         | E                           | []      |
|----------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|---------|
| Item No.1<br>IN Card and<br>Column No. | Item or Question and Response<br>Codings; or Computed Values                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | Group<br>(N = 50) |             | Experimental<br>Group<br>(N = 50) | 1811 - OX           | Group<br>Group<br>(N 150 | 10 05<br>H        | Croup<br>Group<br>(N = 150) | 1 0 ×   |
| 7661244-45                             | Child's handchess; score on Jon<br>Universal limb Usege Questionative<br>s. Minimal Wales<br>b. barian<br>c. bean<br>d. helins<br>e. 90th percentile                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |                   |             |                                   |                     |                          | 4 . 2 . 5 . 5     |                             | 44444   |
| 347,697                                | Arrico a trult; 1. Yes like-exect, probably 2. Yes like-exect, probably 3. Trulike-exect, probably 5. Trulike-exect 6. Yes, unities-exect                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | <i>\$</i>         | Ş=          | 80                                | 80                  | 0 408                    | a 444             | 0 408                       | 0 0 141 |
| 790,247-45                             | the tree may came of botten in<br>your inweditor many) (mong your<br>to more a manacher<br>in more a manacher<br>in more a manacher<br>to forerand gendancher<br>in forerand gendancher<br>in forerand manacher<br>in forerand | Monound           | і ўчычочычы | Moundus w                         | <sub>2</sub> 0ननननन | 8210 waa a a a           | 2 m o o o o m m o |                             | 123     |
|                                        |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |                   |             |                                   |                     |                          |                   | 1                           | 1       |

1990com respondents reported move than one relative. Note that in Study II, in responses 19-23, there were more kinds of relatives, and move distant ones, included than in Study III.

|   | 0 0              | -               |                 | 4 6             | ۰,                      | •              | •                     | د     |                                | 4    | 0                     |                      |                               |                          |                      |                | 150           |                                       |            |                       | 10   | 0                    | ~                    | 7           | .2          | ~        | -        | 7              | н             | ~               | -               | u,              |
|---|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------------|----------------|-----------------------|-------|--------------------------------|------|-----------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|----------------|---------------|---------------------------------------|------------|-----------------------|------|----------------------|----------------------|-------------|-------------|----------|----------|----------------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|   | 0 0              | ۰,              | •               | 4 6             | 4 0                     | ,              | •                     | ,     | •                              | 4    | cı                    |                      |                               |                          |                      |                | 150           |                                       |            |                       | 101  | 0                    | ev.                  | 0           | ٣           | œ        | ~        | 5              | -             | 60              | ٥               | 4               |
|   | 0                |                 |                 |                 |                         | >              |                       | 4     | •                              | 0    | 0                     |                      |                               |                          |                      |                | 150           |                                       |            |                       |      |                      |                      |             |             |          |          |                |               | ٥               |                 |                 |
|   | 0                | ۰.              | 4 4             | 5 0             | 0 0                     | 0              | •                     | Þ     | ١                              | 0    | 0                     |                      |                               |                          |                      |                | 150           |                                       |            |                       | 4    | 0                    | 0                    | •           | ٥           | -        | e        | 7              | ٥             | o               | 0               | ~1              |
|   |                  |                 |                 |                 |                         |                |                       |       |                                |      |                       |                      |                               |                          |                      |                |               |                                       |            |                       |      |                      |                      |             |             |          |          |                |               |                 |                 |                 |
|   | 0                | 0 0             | ۰.              |                 | 0 (                     | >              | •                     | 0     |                                | 0    | a                     | н                    | ន                             | 'n                       | m                    | 4              | ŝ             |                                       |            |                       | 8    | 0                    | 0                    | ÇV.         | -           | .4       | -        | m              | -             | ~               | 0               | 4               |
|   | 0                | ٥.              | ٥.              | 4               | 0                       | 0              | •                     | 0     |                                | 0    | 0                     | 0                    | m                             | đ                        | 0                    | 0              | S             |                                       |            |                       | 8    | 0                    | 0                    | o           | ٦           | æ        | 0        | cı             | ٥             | ~               | ٥               | -1              |
|   |                  |                 |                 |                 |                         |                |                       |       |                                |      |                       |                      |                               |                          |                      |                |               |                                       |            |                       |      |                      |                      |             |             |          |          |                |               |                 |                 |                 |
|   | ٥                | -1              | -               | 0               | 0                       | 0              | ,                     | 0     |                                | 0    | 0                     | 0                    | ۰                             | -4                       | Ç4                   | cı             | 2             |                                       |            |                       | ¥    | 0                    | 0                    | 0           | 0           | 0        | 0        | Ç              | •             | 0               | 0               | ~               |
|   | ۰                | -1              | ÇI              | 0               | 0                       | 0              |                       | 0     |                                | 0    | 0                     | 0                    | 0                             | ev                       | -1                   | 2              | S             |                                       |            |                       | ş    | 0                    | Q                    | ٥           | ٥           | o        | ٥        | 0              | 0             | 0               | 0               | -               |
|   |                  |                 |                 |                 |                         |                | 겉                     |       | 겋                              |      |                       |                      | _                             |                          |                      |                |               | Are there any cases of speech defects | anong your |                       |      |                      |                      |             |             |          |          |                |               |                 |                 |                 |
| ı |                  |                 |                 |                 |                         |                | ţ                     |       | ş                              |      |                       |                      | 8                             |                          |                      |                |               | 9                                     | 9          |                       |      |                      |                      |             |             |          |          |                |               |                 |                 |                 |
| l |                  |                 |                 |                 | 먑                       | ŧ              | r<br>:                |       | 11.7                           |      | Ł                     |                      | to<br>th                      | 983                      |                      |                |               | 800                                   | ä          |                       |      | , e                  | ij                   | ķ           | į           |          |          |                |               |                 |                 |                 |
| ĺ | 3                | _               |                 |                 | 百日                      | sunt and uncle | grandfather; paternal |       | Sthe                           |      | dent.                 | fathe                | raren                         | nt of                    | lativ                |                |               | 10                                    | Į          | S,                    |      | int<br>in            | int.                 | grandmother | grandfather |          |          | ų              |               | 114             | Ħ               | 5               |
| l | g                | 뎕               | dano            | custr           | i                       | int            | head                  |       | pusa                           |      | 5                     | - Supp               | 40                            | 262                      | 3                    | ative          | Apr.          | 9                                     | \$ste      | ÷                     |      | 1                    | Ę                    |             |             |          | Till I   | uncl           | and.          | to              | g<br>u          | 100             |
| l | Brother of child | Sister of child | Maternal cousts | Paternal cousin | Paternal sumt and uncle | 117            | ā                     |       | Paternal grandfather; paternal |      | T: unable to identify | Mother's grandfather | Uncle faunt of parent of case | County of parent of case | More remote relative | Other relative | If responding | 2                                     | į          | blood relatives ) 150 |      | Paternal grandmother | Paternal grandfather | Maternal    | Maternal    | Paternal | Paternal | Waternal uncle | Maternal munt | Brother of chil | Sister of child | Maternal cousts |
| ۱ | Broth            | Siste           | Mater           | Pater           | Pater                   | Maternal       | Rternal               | unele | Pater                          | Annt | 1 12                  | Moth                 | Uncle                         | Const                    | Yore                 | of the         | 100           | ther                                  | your       | 2                     | None | F.                   | ž                    | ¥           | Mat         | Ě        | P.       | H.             | Mat           | 10. Bro         | . Bis           | Ā               |
| İ | 01               |                 |                 |                 | 4                       | 2              | ģ                     |       | 17.                            |      | 18                    | 0                    | 20                            | 12                       | 8                    | 53             | 7             | 4                                     | 5          | 919                   | -:   | ŝ                    | ň                    | ż           | 'n          | w        | -        | αá             | 0             | 2               | #               | ដ               |
| ı |                  |                 |                 |                 |                         |                |                       |       |                                |      |                       |                      |                               |                          |                      |                |               |                                       |            |                       |      |                      |                      |             |             |          |          |                |               |                 |                 |                 |

, (ć

Whose responses is both indice secreta with me on the non-subscript, at all of early of children crowded by one or more reporded with the cold. The colds are not to be about a constituting only a resplicy opportunities proved to be extraordistrially effects for only respectively. The colds are constituted to the colds are only a resplicy opportunities and respectively only the matter of the control and respirately drop informatic and the matter of the control and respirately drop informatic and the matter of the control and respirately drop informatic and the matter of the control and respirately drop informatic and the matter of the control and respirately drop informatic and the matter of the control and respirately drop informatic and the matter of the control and the control and the control and the control and the control and the control and the control and the control and the control and the control and the control and the control and the control and the control and the control and the control and the control and the control and the control and the control and the control and the control and the control and the control and the control and the control and the control and the control and the control and the control and the control and the control and the control and the control and the control and the control and the control and the control and the control and the control and the control and the control and the control and the control and the control and the control and the control and the control and the control and the control and the control and the control and the control and the control and the control and the control and the control and the control and the control and the control and the control and the control and the control and the control and the control and the control and the control and the control and the control and the control and the control and the control and the control and the control and the control and the control and the control and the control and the control and the control and the

| Item No. 1<br>IN Card and<br>Column No. | Item; or Question and Response<br>Codings; or Computed Values                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | Group<br>(M = 50) | 5 <sup>(S)</sup> × | Experimental<br>Group<br>(M = 50) | 20 Kg    | Group<br>(N * 150) | 2 e 5  | 0roup<br>(N = 250) | Oroup<br>(N = 250) |
|-----------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------------|----------|--------------------|--------|--------------------|--------------------|
| 1921L51-52<br>1931L53                   | 1). Phermal could: 1). Inhermal could: 1). Could not break defects (exclusive them speech defects (exclusive them speech defects (exclusive them speech defects) in the country of our country of our country of our country of our others, now                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | 00                | 00                 | m-a                               | 22       | m                  | 0      | n.                 | m                  |
| 457146                                  | you have a<br>1. Auch more than average<br>2. Somewhat more than average<br>3. About overage<br>4. Somewhat test than average<br>5. Auch test than average<br>5. Auch test than average<br>Comparing your vite (Auchani) with<br>others, now much profited compris                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | -24 mo            | 4 258 4 4          | 0 6 8 8 0                         | ผอตีเรอ  | ०% मुँ ३०          | °3887  | 440000             | 그 작성 없다            |
|                                         | de toy or believe the 16th hast 1. Metch more than average 2. Convenient more than average 3. About average than average 4. Sonophal less than average 5. Med. less than average 6. Chall less than average 6. Chall average                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | 0042EBH           | ~ <u>7</u> 2000    | 43¥000                            | พหลีดออ  | ~8F840             | 525044 | A 25 8 8 9 9 9     | ∞8550°°°           |
| 1951155                                 | thousening been a plonner (urinx thousening by you was a plonner (urinx 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 to use 17 t | 224 8             | ឌដ្ឋ ឌ             | ‡60 S                             | ପିନ୍ୟ ଧି | ដងង ខ              | 42° 5  | ដូនដ ផ្ត           | 402 8              |

and 805. inform-15% operance to these those, conserved with the occupation, source of income, house type, and education of the ant's father during the informant's preceively years, were used in deriving the scores and rottings assemitted in 60%

| Trees No. 1 Trees To. 1 Trees No. 1 Trees No. 1 Trees To. 1 Trees To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 Collect To. 1 | Item; or Question and Response<br>Codinge; or Computed Values                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | Control | Experimental | Group                            | Tor                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | Experimental<br>Group                                           | enter.                                          |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|--------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|
|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | (N = %) | (05 - N) A   | 150                              | 202                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | (ST - 120)                                                      | S P                                             |
| e. 90th percentile                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | Directant's father's total score on<br>stray flates of Batus Christies<br>stroy informati's entraces into school<br>freshool hay<br>buttune<br>buttune<br>hartune<br>hartune<br>'9th percentie                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |         |              | 14.64<br>14.64<br>14.64<br>14.64 | ಬದ್ದಿಸಿದಿಸೆ                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | 18<br>19.3<br>19<br>128                                         | 8 £ 5.83 12                                     |
| 805;126 Sccial-class ra<br>interpretery into school (pr.<br>2. Upper-addia<br>6. Upper-addia<br>6. Upper-lover<br>6. Poper-lover                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | Social-class vetting of informant's<br>nature before informati's entrance<br>in the school free conditions of the<br>1 Upper-<br>1 Upper-<br>1 Described to the conditions of the conditions<br>of the conditions of the conditions of the conditions of the conditions of the conditions of the conditions of the conditions of the conditions of the conditions of the conditions of the conditions of the conditions of the conditions of the conditions of the conditions of the conditions of the conditions of the conditions of the conditions of the conditions of the conditions of the conditions of the conditions of the conditions of the conditions of the conditions of the conditions of the conditions of the conditions of the conditions of the conditions of the conditions of the conditions of the conditions of the conditions of the conditions of the conditions of the conditions of the conditions of the conditions of the conditions of the conditions of the conditions of the conditions of the conditions of the conditions of the conditions of the conditions of the conditions of the conditions of the conditions of the conditions of the conditions of the conditions of the conditions of the conditions of the conditions of the conditions of the conditions of the conditions of the conditions of the conditions of the conditions of the conditions of the conditions of the conditions of the conditions of the conditions of the conditions of the conditions of the conditions of the conditions of the conditions of the conditions of the conditions of the conditions of the conditions of the conditions of the conditions of the conditions of the conditions of the conditions of the conditions of the conditions of the conditions of the conditions of the conditions of the conditions of the conditions of the conditions of the conditions of the conditions of the conditions of the conditions of the conditions of the conditions of the conditions of the conditions of the conditions of the conditions of the conditions of the conditions of the conditions of the conditions |         | ·            | 488834v                          | - 182 g g =                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | ~#43±00                                                         | 4 4 9 E N W                                     |
| 9es_gto_ffs_triffs_rate filter=73                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | (33)  Communic's Atheries contained on a contained on a contained of the contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a contained on a containe                  |         |              | £\$\$\$23.                       | 1,555<br>1,738<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138<br>1,138 | 84 5.85<br>2.85<br>2.85<br>2.85<br>2.85<br>2.85<br>2.85<br>2.85 | 82 E. 1. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. |

| 812:174    | Abbertains within of informati's posts  Abbertainte interest's posts  1. Operation  1. Operation  2. Departation  3. Departation  3. Departation  5. Departation  5. Departation  5. Departation  6. Departation  6. Departation  7. Departation  8. Departation  8. Departation  9. Departation                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |                     |                     | ~ <b>483</b> 4. | 12 <b>ፍ</b> ጵሜ <i>~</i> | బచ్చిన <del>న</del> ేలు ర                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | すだなびのつ             |
|------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 8k31L75-76 | between comment (to convert, ments) between conset of cutatring (155; for everything, and date of cutatring (155; for of mittering, and date of interrite b, Maximum b, Maximum c, See See                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | 120<br>50.1<br>16.0 | 133<br>56.6<br>14.3 | 28.58<br>19.5   | - 048.8<br>8.8<br>34    | 18.55                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | 39 117.5           |
| 814:177-78 | 4. yozh percentils<br>7. Wiersparcy (to mercet month) between<br>sorber's and nather's report of age at<br>meet (1984), for centrol formy, age at<br>of child tobes settention was first given                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | £                   | \$                  | 38              | 8 K                     | 141                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | 72<br>72<br>73     |
| 8151179-80 | o manimary sepects of system  1. Next man  2. Next man  4. Next man  4. So have man  5. Man  5. Man  6. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7. Man  7 |                     | 108<br>15.3<br>10.5 |                 | 21.4<br>21.4<br>39      |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | 5.5<br>5.5<br>12.9 |
|            | a. N. (T Tespones excluded) a. N. Milliam b. Nextura b. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. Nextura c. |                     |                     |                 |                         | , 55 % 6 5 % 6 5 % 6 5 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % 6 9 % | 36<br>5.2<br>15.9  |

APPENDIX B

Statistically Significant Values of Cui-Square, Tables 2 through 37, and Associated Degrees of Freedom (6, G, M, and F Pefer, respectively, to Asperticated Group, Control Group, Mother, and Father)

| Item Bo.                                                                        |                                          |                        |                                         | Item No.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |                                          |                        |                                         |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------------|
| is Summry<br>Table                                                              | Groups<br>Commerce                       | Value of<br>Chi-Square | 45                                      | in Summary                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | Groups                                   | Value of<br>Chi-Square | ar                                      |
| 18416                                                                           | Contracto                                | Chi-Square             | _ar_                                    | TROIS                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | Compared                                 | Citt-cidente           | - 61                                    |
| 12                                                                              | CM = EM                                  | 10.64                  |                                         | 229                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | CK - EH                                  | 34-67                  | 1                                       |
| 22                                                                              | CF - EF                                  | 22.02                  | 3                                       | 229                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | CT - 27                                  | 4.51                   | ï                                       |
| 13                                                                              | E7 - E4                                  | 18.60                  |                                         | 231                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | CT - CH                                  | 6.86                   | 1                                       |
| 13                                                                              | CT + CH                                  | 30.04                  | 4                                       | 234                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | CH - ZN                                  | 37.06                  | 1                                       |
| 17                                                                              | CT ~ CN                                  | 10.27                  | 3                                       | 234                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | CP - EF                                  | 37-26                  | 1                                       |
| 19<br>20                                                                        | 24 - 24<br>24 - 24                       | 83.30<br>6.95          | 2                                       | 241<br>241                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | C7 - 27                                  | 6.43                   | 1                                       |
| 20                                                                              | 07 - 04                                  | 5.22                   | •                                       | 250                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | CH - 201                                 | 13.25                  | î                                       |
| 25                                                                              | CH - EN                                  | 6.43                   | •                                       | 250                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | G - 17                                   | 10.21                  | ī                                       |
| 26                                                                              | DK = TH                                  | 5.48                   | 3111211163221                           | 253                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | EF - PM                                  | 11,92                  | 2                                       |
| 29                                                                              | CH - EH                                  | 5.14                   | 1                                       | 256<br>262                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | 27 - 24                                  | 10,85                  | 1                                       |
| 50                                                                              | CH - EH<br>CP - CH                       | 6.33                   | 1                                       | 262                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | CH + 2H                                  | 147.06                 | 3                                       |
| 20                                                                              | DI-tx                                    | 7.95                   | ,                                       | 555                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | C7 - 127<br>27 - 28                      | 113.78                 |                                         |
| éi.                                                                             | m - ~                                    | 13-58                  | 3                                       | 262<br>263                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | 27 - EH<br>CH - EH                       | 11.95                  | - 6                                     |
| 82                                                                              | CH - 7N                                  | 11.68                  | ,                                       | 261                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | CT - VT                                  | 167.95                 | á                                       |
| €2                                                                              | O7 - C4                                  | 6.12                   | ž                                       | 263<br>264                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | CV - TM                                  | 69.34                  | š                                       |
| 22<br>25<br>25<br>25<br>25<br>25<br>25<br>25<br>25<br>25<br>25<br>25<br>25<br>2 | C7 - 27                                  | 7-31                   | 1                                       |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | CF - EF                                  | 63.32                  | 3                                       |
| ēī.                                                                             | 07 - 27<br>07 - 01<br>07 - 27            | 5.30                   | 1                                       | 265<br>265<br>268                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | (N - IX                                  | 22.55                  | 3                                       |
| 96                                                                              | 다 - DI<br>다 - 함                          | 4.96                   | 1                                       | 265                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | CF - EF                                  | 29.68                  | 3                                       |
| 110                                                                             | CK - EK                                  | 5.51<br>25.12          | 5                                       | 268                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | CH - EN                                  | 34.24<br>36.63         | - 1                                     |
| 110                                                                             | G - 17                                   | 22.12                  |                                         | 250                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | C7 - 27                                  | 32.09                  | ą.                                      |
| 111                                                                             | CH - ZH                                  | 21.10                  | 2                                       | 269<br>269                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | CK - EX<br>CF - EF                       | k2,00                  | 3                                       |
| 111                                                                             | (F - 1)                                  | 16.17                  | 2                                       | 1 276                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | CK - ZK                                  | 39.94                  | 3                                       |
| 120                                                                             | 27 - 2H                                  | 76.00                  | 2                                       | 570                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | C7 - 12                                  | 24.56                  | •                                       |
| 120                                                                             | CT - CN<br>CN - IN                       | 39.54                  | 2                                       | 273                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | CH - 2H<br>CT - 12                       | 8.33<br>5.19           | 3                                       |
| 122                                                                             | C7 - 27                                  | 10.33<br>6,68          | ****************                        | 273<br>274                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | CF - 12<br>CK - 2H                       | 119-57                 | ÷                                       |
| 122                                                                             | E7 - EM                                  | Ng. 46                 | •                                       | 274                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | G - E                                    | 96.77                  | ī                                       |
| 135                                                                             | C7 - C8                                  | 18.98                  | - 5                                     | 275                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | CH - EM                                  | 25.85                  | ī                                       |
| 123                                                                             | E7 - EH                                  | 156.78                 | ä                                       | 275<br>276                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | C7 - E7                                  | 9.12                   | 1                                       |
| 123<br>124                                                                      | C7 - D4                                  | 21.22                  | 5                                       | 276                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | (M - 2X                                  | 56.48                  | 1                                       |
| 153                                                                             | 27 - 24<br>CH - 24                       | 9.14                   | ś                                       | 815<br>815<br>817                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | CY - EY<br>TY - EM<br>CM - EM            | 25.60                  | •                                       |
| 153                                                                             | C7 - 27                                  | 5.77                   |                                         | 522                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | 27 - 2M<br>CH - 2M                       | 6.31                   |                                         |
| 153                                                                             | CH ~ ZH                                  | 13.52                  | •                                       | 1 277                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | C7 - 27                                  | 6.92                   | ī                                       |
| 153                                                                             | C7 - E7                                  | 19.78                  | 2                                       |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | XF - 2X                                  | h.26                   | i                                       |
| 164                                                                             | OI - 2N                                  | 8.05                   | 2                                       | 279                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | CH - TH                                  | 10.92                  | 1                                       |
| 164<br>170                                                                      | 07 - 27<br>04 - 24                       | 11.63                  | 1                                       | 283                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | CH - TH                                  | 21.45                  | 1                                       |
| 170                                                                             | C7 - E7                                  | 23.10                  | 2                                       | 279<br>263<br>283<br>283<br>263                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | CH - EN<br>CT - ET<br>ET - EX<br>CH - EN | 11-74                  | 1                                       |
| 192                                                                             | CT - ET<br>CX - EN<br>CT - ET<br>CX - EX | 13.75                  | 2                                       | 203                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | 27 - 28<br>28 - 28                       | 5-19<br>8-05           | i                                       |
| 188                                                                             | CT - ZF                                  | 8.00                   | ,                                       | 297                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | ET - EX                                  | 5.87                   | ž                                       |
| 190                                                                             | CX - EX                                  | 216.71                 | ī                                       | 321                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | CH - DI                                  | 150-71                 | 3                                       |
| 190                                                                             | CP - 보                                   | 226.94                 | 2                                       | 322                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | CP - EF                                  | 136-20                 | 3                                       |
| 191<br>191                                                                      | CH - TM                                  | 233-25                 | 1                                       | 322                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | Cit - Dit                                | 151.06                 | 3                                       |
| 192                                                                             | DI - Di                                  | 115-75                 |                                         | 1 322                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | 07 - 27<br>01 - 24                       | 173.54                 | 3                                       |
| 192                                                                             |                                          | 72.66                  | î                                       | 1 55                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | CH - EN                                  | 5,40                   | ĩ                                       |
| 215<br>218                                                                      | 27 - 24                                  | 7.85                   | 211111111111111111111111111111111111111 | NAME OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY | C7 - 27                                  | 4,49                   | ī                                       |
| 218                                                                             | CH - 254                                 | 5-91                   | 1                                       | 328                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | EF - EN                                  | 15.90                  | 3                                       |
| 221<br>221                                                                      | CH - EM                                  | 67.40                  | 1                                       | 328                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | CF - CH                                  | 22.57                  | 3                                       |
| 224                                                                             | 01 - 27                                  | 72-07<br>10-16         | 1                                       | 352<br>352                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | CH - 124<br>C7 - 27                      | 9.11                   | 1                                       |
| 224                                                                             | G - E                                    | 10.16                  | i                                       | 357                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | E7 - 104                                 | 10.86                  | ž                                       |
| 227                                                                             | Q7 - 17                                  | 7-10                   | î                                       | 1 366                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | Z7 - 20                                  | 35-75                  | 1                                       |
| 223                                                                             | CH - EN                                  | 7.89                   | ī                                       | 361<br>364                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | E7 - EM                                  | 18, 36                 | 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 |
| 559                                                                             | (7 - EF                                  | 6.28                   |                                         | 1 364                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |                                          | 28.07                  | 2.                                      |

| Itee Bos<br>in Summary<br>Table                                     | Groups<br>Compared                            | Yelue of<br>Chi-Square  | - dr                                    | Item No.<br>10 Summery<br>Table | Oronye<br>Companya                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | Value of<br>Chi-Square       | 41                                      |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|
| 364<br>365                                                          | CF - EF                                       | 12.11                   | 2                                       | 110                             | C7 - 27                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | 10.76                        | ,                                       |
| 365                                                                 | CK - DK                                       | 8.50                    | 3                                       | 532<br>533                      | Of a DI                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | 6.11                         | 3                                       |
| 367                                                                 | C7 - 17                                       | 11.20                   | ž.                                      |                                 | C7 - 22                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | 6.3                          | *************************************** |
| 371                                                                 | CN - 234                                      | 7.96                    | 2                                       | 535<br>535<br>536               | CH - 2H                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | 7.96<br>9.45<br>8.36<br>4.70 |                                         |
| 372                                                                 | CH - 2H                                       | 15.32                   | 2                                       | 935                             | 27 - IX<br>CH - 24                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | 2.45                         |                                         |
| 372                                                                 | E7 - 230                                      | 6.00<br>8.81            | 2                                       | 530                             | CH + DI                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | 0.50                         | - 1                                     |
| 375<br>376                                                          | CH - EH                                       | 9.04                    | 2 2 3 2 1 3 3                           | 543<br>543                      | 27 - 2X                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | 6.91                         | i                                       |
| 378                                                                 | CH - EH                                       | 4.14                    |                                         | 555                             | Ot - Di                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | 10.83                        | ī                                       |
| 387                                                                 | CH - EH<br>C7 - E7<br>C7 - CH                 | 8.06                    | •                                       | 554                             | ~ . 17                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | 4.25                         | 1                                       |
| 387                                                                 | C7 - E7                                       | 9.24                    | 3                                       | 555<br>564                      | C7 + C4                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | 7.65                         | 1                                       |
| 388                                                                 |                                               | 10.73                   | 2                                       | 564                             | CK - EX                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | 7.07<br>6.60                 | •                                       |
| 389                                                                 | CN - ZH                                       | 1.37<br>6.69            | 2                                       | 50.6                            | # - #<br># - #<br># - #<br># - #<br># - #<br># - #                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | 0.53                         | •                                       |
| 391                                                                 | C7 - 27                                       | 8.69                    | 2                                       | 568<br>596                      | 67 CX                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | 5.44                         | •                                       |
| 390                                                                 | C7 - C4<br>C4 - E4                            | 6.46                    |                                         | 597                             | 17 - Di                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | 6,88                         | ż                                       |
| 1990                                                                | G - 17                                        |                         | ;                                       | 199                             | CH - 2H                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | 5.02                         |                                         |
| £00                                                                 | C7 - CH                                       | 6.98                    | 2                                       | 599<br>611                      | 27 - 2H                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | 12.94                        | 1                                       |
| 902                                                                 | CH + EX                                       | 6.90                    | 2                                       | 612                             | 27 - DL                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | 8.26                         | :                                       |
| ¥02                                                                 | # 6 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5       | 6.98<br>6.90<br>11.78   | 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 | 612                             | C7 - C1                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | 6.27                         | •                                       |
| 406                                                                 | CH - 200                                      |                         | 3                                       | 625                             |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | 18.41                        | ī                                       |
| 405                                                                 | C7 - 17                                       | 41.00                   | 3                                       | 629                             | CK - 2N                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | 16.56                        | 2                                       |
| A18                                                                 | CH - EX                                       | 13.57                   | 1                                       | 610                             | CT - EF                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | 11.62                        | ,                                       |
| 1971<br>1989<br>1991<br>1993<br>1993<br>1993<br>1993<br>1993<br>199 | 2 - 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2       | 13.57<br>12.10<br>11.34 |                                         | 630<br>630<br>630               | 17 - TX                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | 12-39                        | ***********                             |
| 420                                                                 | C7 - 17                                       | 9.24                    | ,                                       | 630<br>633<br>634               | C7 - C8                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | 15.12                        | •                                       |
| 421                                                                 | C7 - CH                                       | 5.42                    | i                                       | 6)3                             | CF - EF                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | 11.13<br>9.10                | ;                                       |
| 755 -                                                               | CK - EX                                       |                         | 2                                       | 634                             | EF - EN                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | 15.50                        |                                         |
| 462                                                                 | C7 - E7                                       | 4.50                    | 1                                       |                                 | 27 - 24                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | 5.66                         | 1                                       |
| 100                                                                 | E7 - 2M                                       | 15-03                   | 2                                       | 652<br>652                      | 07 - 04                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | 6 57                         | 1                                       |
| 123<br>123<br>123<br>123<br>123<br>123<br>123<br>123<br>123<br>123  | C7 - 27                                       | 12.03<br>6.28<br>8.80   | ***********                             | 653                             | CH - EN                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | 15-78                        | •                                       |
| 110                                                                 | EP - EH                                       |                         |                                         | 653                             | C7 - 17                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | 13.94                        | :                                       |
| 435                                                                 | CT - TT                                       | 5.13<br>9.72<br>6.63    | 1                                       | 653<br>697                      | CK - IX                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | 8.96                         | ,                                       |
| 138                                                                 | CN + EN                                       | 9-72                    | 3                                       | 657<br>662<br>662               |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | 15.62                        | ý                                       |
| 172                                                                 | CH - EH                                       | 6.63                    | 2                                       | €62                             | CK - 2X                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |                              | 3                                       |
| *72                                                                 | EF - EM                                       | 7.59                    | 8                                       | 664                             | CT - 17<br>CH - EM                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | 15.44                        |                                         |
| 178                                                                 | 07 + 04<br>07 + 04                            |                         | *                                       | 664                             | C7 - CH                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | 15.44                        | •                                       |
| 130                                                                 | C7 - D1                                       | 9.07<br>7.89<br>11.26   | •                                       | 665                             | CH - EM                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | 6.82                         | •                                       |
| 481                                                                 | C7 - E7                                       | 7.89                    | ž                                       | 666                             | C7 - C4                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |                              | ;                                       |
| Mag.                                                                | CH - EN                                       | 11.26                   | 3                                       | 668<br>669                      | 77 - DI                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | 10.28<br>9.10<br>8.79        |                                         |
| L83                                                                 | Ot + 2M                                       |                         | 3                                       | 669                             | 7 . 17                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | 9.10                         |                                         |
| 484                                                                 | CH - EM                                       | 25.74                   | ?                                       | 670                             | 4 . 22                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | 1.79                         | •                                       |
| los                                                                 | ET - EM                                       | 8.05<br>16.18           | : 1                                     | 670                             | CF - 17                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | 9.15                         | •                                       |
| 103                                                                 | C7 - 17                                       | 17.58                   | 2                                       | 679                             | C7 - 17                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | 7.12                         | ź                                       |
| ¥96                                                                 | 20122222222<br>201222222222<br>20122222222222 | 17.58<br>7.28           | **********                              | 683                             | - COLUMN CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CO | 7.32                         | ,                                       |
| 500                                                                 | CH - DI                                       | 15.78                   | 2                                       | 684                             | 67.04                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | 11.90                        | 1                                       |
| 500                                                                 | C7 - 17                                       | 6.92                    | *                                       | 626                             | CT - CH                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | 12.49                        | 1                                       |
| 203                                                                 | CH - EK                                       | 9.96                    | 1                                       | 629                             | 27 - 24                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | 15.04                        | •                                       |
| 508                                                                 | C7 - CH<br>E7 - EM                            | 16.68                   |                                         | 604                             | 67 - 04<br>01 - P4                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | 7.14<br>7.19                 | í                                       |
| 508                                                                 | 27 - CH                                       | 9.43                    | i                                       | 696                             | CH - P4                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |                              | ,                                       |
| 513<br>514                                                          | G - E                                         | 12.14                   | ž į                                     | 697                             | 17 - DI                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | 12.60                        | •                                       |
| 514                                                                 | 7 - H                                         | 16.60                   | 3                                       | 695                             | C . 17                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | 11.65<br>6.75<br>7.00        | :                                       |
| 524<br>518                                                          | C7 - C4                                       | 23.8a<br>22.30          | 212521                                  | 699                             | C4 - 14                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | 7.00                         |                                         |
| 31.5                                                                | 27 - IN                                       | 22.30                   | ;                                       | 699                             | 2 . D. D. D. D. D. D. D. D. D. D. D. D. D                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | 6.75                         | ī                                       |
| 524<br>524                                                          | 27 • 24<br>CH • 24                            | 36.97<br>10.24          | 3                                       | 699<br>700                      | C7 - D1                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | 6.44                         | 1                                       |
| 524                                                                 | CM • EM                                       | 7.08                    | 2 1                                     | 700                             | 7 - 7                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | 6.24                         | ,                                       |
| 223                                                                 | CH - EN                                       | 6.47                    | 2                                       | 701                             | 17 24                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | 6.10                         | ;                                       |
| 525                                                                 | C7 - E7                                       | 8-24                    | 2                                       | 701                             | C7 - C4                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | 7.23<br>6.47                 | ;                                       |
| 577                                                                 | C7 • E7                                       | 6.86                    | 2                                       | 704                             | C7 - 27                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | 19 12                        | i                                       |
| 525<br>332                                                          | C7 - 17                                       | e.ca                    | - 1                                     | 704                             | 77 - 74                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |                              |                                         |
|                                                                     | CH - EH                                       | 9.91                    |                                         |                                 |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |                              |                                         |

| Ites Eo.   |           |               |    | Ites to.   |                |            |              |
|------------|-----------|---------------|----|------------|----------------|------------|--------------|
| is Summery | Groupe    | Yelme of      |    | is Summery | Groups         | Value of   |              |
| Table      | Corpored  | Chi -Square   | 45 | Table      | Compared       | Chi System | 81           |
| 705        | 27 - DI   | 10.38         | 2  | 794        | Ø - Ø          | 11.04      | 2            |
| 705        | 67 - 69   | 9.00          | 2  | 795        | E7 - E8        | 7.52       | 2            |
| 706        | G - G     | 6.01          | 5  | 799        | Z - Z          | 6.02       | •            |
| 707        | 17 - TX   | 9.90          | 2  | 797        | D - D          | 4.24       | ī            |
| 109        | CX - DX   | 6.06          | i  | 798        | F - D          | 12.22      | •            |
| 710        | 7 - D     | 1.58          | î  | 799        | 0.0            | 9.08       | *-*********  |
| 723        | O1 - 2X   | 1.77          | î  | 108 x 109  | DX vs. DX by   |            | - 5          |
| 711        | EF - DX   | 9.69          | 1  | 359 x 370  | CK 41. CK by   |            | •            |
| 712        | G - 17    | 5.02          | i  | 369 x 3TQ  | C 70. C by     |            | •            |
| 712        | 17 - Di   | B.88          | i  | 167 x 169  | 27 15 - 27 by  |            | 2            |
| 713        | E - Di    | 21.67         | 1  | 473 x 474  | CK TH. CH by   | 7 13.90    |              |
| 733        |           | 18.74         | i  | 526 × 535  | C7 75 C7 37    |            | •            |
| ni.        | D - DX    | 12.50         | i  | 515 x 520  | CH TH. CH by   |            | •            |
| 714        | G - G     | 4.72          | ;  | 63b x 635  | Dive. Dibr     |            | 3            |
| 716        | 127 - 124 | 10.44         | 2  | 634 x 635  | D 11. D b      |            |              |
| 728        | Ø- 0x     | 7.60          |    | 034 x 033  |                | 12.31      |              |
| 719        | O1 - D1   | 11.40         | 2  | 666 x 667  | Di vs. Di by   |            |              |
| 719        | CN - EX   | 11.46         | 5  | 666 x 667  | CH vs. CK by   |            | 1            |
|            |           | 9.92          | 3  | 699 x 70=  | Di ve. Di by : |            | 2            |
| 752        | OH - 104  | 7-91          | 3  | 700 x 705  | C7 vs. C7 by   | M 18.10    | 2            |
| 711        | C - 17    | 11-45<br>6-40 | 2  | 701 x 706  | C7 11. C7 by   | SI 6.58    |              |
|            | OI - IN   |               | 1  | 702 x 707  | Di ve. Di by   | 12.37      | 1            |
| 743        | Ot - Di   | 21.42         | 5  | 703 x 708  | Di va. Di by   |            | 5            |
| 747        | (7 - E)   | 26.62         | 6  | 703 x 708  | DI YE. CH by   |            | 2            |
| 750        | 7 - 17    | 7-47          | 2  | 703 x 708  | Cf vs. Cf by   |            | 2            |
| 757        | 27 - 24   | 5-78          | 2  | 738 x 739  | D vs. D by     | D1 β.07    | 2            |
| 754        | 27 - 24   | 11.70         | 2  | 738 x 739  | CK TE. CH by   | 7.10       | 2            |
| 770        | 27 - 24   | 10.42         | 1  | 766 x 768  | CX YE. CX by   | r 5.48     | 2            |
| 791        | C7 ~ CN   | 6.16          | 1  | 767 x 769  | Cf Ts. Cf by   | or 6-61    | ************ |
| 793        | D - D     | 10.24         | 2  | 623 x 642  | 27 TL. 27      | 9-37       | 2            |
| 793        | G7 - 500  | 15.80         | 5  | 630 x 631  | Di ve. Er      | 6.67       | 2            |
| 794        |           | 7-58          | 2  | 630 x 631  | / OK *1. C*    | 7.65       | 2_           |

Wend: Sating of experimental group maker of herself compared with the rating made of her by her husband. The following 21 entries are to be read in like fundion.